

Supplementary Table 1. PRISMA-P (preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol.

SECTION AND TOPIC	ITEM #	CHECKLIST ITEM	CHECK
Administrative information			
Title:			
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	N/A
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Authors:			
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	N/A
Support:			
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Introduction			
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Methods			
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

		electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage	
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Study records:			
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Data Synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2 , Kendall's τ)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>