

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Incidence and risk factors for glaucoma, and its clinical, mental health, and economic impact in an elderly population

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2024-096879
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Nov-2024
Complete List of Authors:	Jan, Catherine; The University of Melbourne Faculty of Medicine Dentistry and Health Sciences, Liu, Jiahao; Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital; The University of Melbourne, Ophthalmology Department of Surgery Congdon, Nathan; Sun Yat-Sen University; ORBIS International; Queen's University Belfast Jin, Xin; Peking University, institute of population research; Peking University, Kang, Mengtian; Beijing Tongren Hospital Department of Ophthalmology Hu, Wenyi; University of Melbourne Chen, Ruiye; Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital; The University of Melbourne, Department of Surgery (Ophthalmology) Li, Li; Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital; The University of Melbourne, Department of Surgery (Ophthalmology) He, Mingguang; Sun Yat-sen University, State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center; University of Melbourne, Centre for Eye Research Australia, Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital
Keywords:	Glaucoma < OPHTHALMOLOGY, Glaucoma, PUBLIC HEALTH, Risk Factors, China

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Catherine Jan^{1,2,3*}, Xin Jin^{4,5*}, Mengtian Kang⁶, Jiahao Liu^{1,2}, Wenyi Hu^{1,2}, Ruiye Chen^{1,2}, Li Li^{1,2}, Mingguang He^{1,2,7,8,9}, Nathan Congdon^{10,11,12}

- 1. Centre for Eye Research Australia, Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital, East Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.
- 2. Ophthalmology, Department of Surgery, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- 3. Lost Child's Vision Project, Sydney, Australia

- 4. Institute of Population Research, Peking University
- 5. Peking University Library, Peking University, Beijing, China
- 6. Beijing Tongren Eye Center, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, Beijing, China
- 7. School of Optometry, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
- 8. Research Centre for SHARP Vision (RCSV), The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
- 9. Centre for Eye and Vision Research (CEVR), 17W Hong Kong Science Park, Hong Kong.
- 10. State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China
- 11. ORBIS International, New York, USA
- 12. TREE Centre, Centre for Public Health, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland

Correspondence to Catherine Jan (<u>catherine.jan@student.unimelb.edu.au</u>) or Nathan Congdon (<u>n.congdon@qub.ac.uk</u>)

^{*}Catherine Jan and Xin Jin are joint first authors

Abstract

Objectives

To investigate the incidence and determinants of glaucoma in an elderly Chinese population, and clinical, mental health and economic impacts.

Design

This nationally-representative, longitudinal study assessed self-reported 6-year (from 2011 to 2018) incident glaucoma diagnosis by a physician, and measured biological, clinical, and socioeconomical participant characteristics at baseline and endline.

Setting

In the first stage, 150 county-level units from across China were randomly selected with a probability-proportional-to-size sampling technique from a frame containing all county-level units nation-wide. The sample was stratified by region and within region by urban district or rural county and per capita gross domestic product (GDP). The final sample of 150 counties included 30 out of 31 provinces and autonomous regions in China.

Participants

Consenting, community-dwelling Chinese persons aged 50 years and older.

Primary and secondary outcome measures

Incident glaucoma incidence (primary), factors associated with incident glaucoma (secondary), impact of glaucoma (secondary).

Results

Among 9,973 individuals, 3.4% reported glaucoma diagnosis between 2011 and 2018; Central China had the highest incidence (3.95%) and Eastern China the lowest (2.64%) between 2011 and 2018. Those diagnosed with glaucoma during 2011 and 2018 were older age (beta coefficient: 0.050, 95% CI: 0.001, 0.001, p<0.001), had higher prevalence of diabetes (beta coefficient: 0.049, 95% CI: 0.028, 0.032, p<0.001), hypertension (beta coefficient: 0.019, 95% CI: 0.006, 0.008, p<0.001), smoking (beta coefficient: 0.029, 95% CI: 0.004, 0.020, p=0.004), alcohol consumption (beta coefficient: 0.026, 95% CI: 0.002, 0.017, p<0.009), and illiteracy (beta coefficient: -0.057, 95% CI: -0.030, -0.015, p<0.001). Logistic regression models showed significant association between incidence of the following characteristics and baseline glaucoma: poor self-reported distance vision (beta coefficient: 1.106, 95% CI: 0.701, 1.511, p<0.001), having hypertension (beta coefficient: 0.545, 95% CI: 0.496, 0.593, p<0.001), having diabetes (beta coefficient: 0.388, 95% CI: 0.326, 0.449, p<0.001), not having obesity (beta coefficient: -0.184, 95% CI: -0.239, -0.129, p<0.001), and lower mean value of health utility score of residents' quality of life (beta coefficient: -0.040, 95% CI: -0.006, 0.776, p<0.001).

Conclusion

Glaucoma incidence rate varies among geographical regions in China. Several risk factors for incidence glaucoma were identified. In addition, glaucoma was found to be associated with multiple physical and psychosocial outcomes. Targeted public health strategies are needed,

emphasising early detection and better vision care, to alleviate the burden of glaucoma and improve well-being.

Keywords

Glaucoma; public health; risk factors; China

Word count



Introduction

Glaucoma, the world's leading cause of irreversible blindness,¹ poses a significant public health challenge, especially in a rapidly ageing population such as China's. In China, the burden of glaucoma is increasingly recognised as a substantial health issue due to its impact on vision-related quality of life and the economy.^{2, 3} Despite this recognition, there is no comprehensive nationwide studies exploring the incidence rates and determinants associated with incident glaucoma in mainland China.

China is a large and populous country containing many ethnicities. Understanding the epidemiology of glaucoma incidence and its associated risk factors on a national scale is crucial for developing effective preventive strategies, early detection methods, and targeted interventions. Glaucoma incidence studies from mainland China are rare.⁴⁻⁶ One study reported the 5-year cumulative incidence of primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) was 1.3% in Bai Chinese aged 55 years and above,⁴ while another study reported the 5-year cumulative incidence of primary glaucoma was 1.6% in rural northern China among people aged 30 years and older.⁵ Previous localised studies have highlighted specific risk factors contributing to glaucoma incidence in certain regions of China, such as increased age,^{4, 5} elevated intraocular pressure,^{4, 5} lower educational level,⁴ and the presence of myopia,⁴ yet a cohesive and comprehensive nationwide analysis is essential to capture the broader landscape.

The current report aims to address this gap by providing a comprehensive assessment of the national glaucoma incidence rates in China and exploring the determinants, risk factors, and impact associated with incident glaucoma across diverse geographical regions and demographic groups. This project employed a national longitudinal survey conducted in 30 out of 31 Chinese provinces and autonomous regions (except Tibet, though the study did include one Tibetan county), representative of the adult population 45 years and older between 2011 and 2018.

The aim of this research is to offer insights into the epidemiology of glaucoma in China, aiding policymakers, healthcare providers, and public health experts in formulating targeted preventive strategies, allocating resources effectively, and devising tailored interventions to mitigate the burden of glaucoma and preserve ocular health nationally.

Methods:

Study population

The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) is a nationally-representative longitudinal survey among Chinese persons aged 45 years and older, that includes assessments of biological, social and economic conditions. We used data from the baseline in-person study conducted in 2011 ("Wave 1") and an in-person follow up conducted in 2018 ("Wave 4"). Main respondents and spouses in the baseline survey are followed throughout the life of CHARLS, or until they die.²⁴ Detailed information on the methodology of CHARLS has been provided elsewhere.²⁴ Among 17,250 eligible participants at baseline who provided information on glaucoma status and had not been diagnosed with glaucoma

The participant cohort was chosen through multistage probability sampling. In the first stage, 150 county-level units were randomly selected with a probability-proportional-to-size (PPS) sampling technique from a frame containing all county-level units in the country, with the exception of the Tibet Autonomous Region. The sample was stratified by region and within region by urban district or rural county and per capita gross domestic product (GDP). The final sample of 150 counties included 28 of China's 31 administrative units (provinces and autonomous regions). Our sample used the lowest level of government organization, consisting of administrative villages (*cun*) in rural areas and neighborhoods (*shequ* or *juweihui*) in urban areas, as primary sampling units (PSUs). We selected 3 PSUs within each county-level unit, using PPS sampling (more details are reported elsewhere).⁷

Variables

 Glaucoma incidence in this paper refers to the proportion of the cohort population aged 50 years and older who reported having glaucoma diagnosis by a physician in at least one eye between 2011 and 2018, among those who had not been diagnosed in either eye at baseline. This was determined by participants' response to the question "Have you been diagnosed with glaucoma by a physician before?", comparing answers between the previous and present round. Age and gender were self-reported variables taken from the baseline 2011 survey.

Rural or urban place of residence was obtained from self-reported *hukou*. *Hukou* is a Chinese internal passport system, designed to regulate the movement of rural residents into urban areas; migrants with a rural *hukou* do not have access to local health care as do local urban residents. Hukou also determines the type of public health insurance a person has access to.

Three comorbidities linked with glaucoma were extracted from the CHARLS dataset. Hypertension was defined as either self-report of a physician's diagnosis, or presence of objective biomarkers (systolic pressure >= 140 and/or diastolic pressure >= 90 mmHg) during direct physical examination. Diabetes was also defined by self-report of prior diagnosis by a doctor or the presence of a biomarker (HbA1c value ≥6.5%) on examination. Depression symptoms were measured as a continuous variable, using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D-10),8 with total score ranging from 0 (minimal symptoms) to 30 (most symptomatic). Other covariates included in this study are household expenditure, representative of economic status, and EQ-5D health utility scores, an indicator of quality of life, and level of attained education.

Data were obtained from the National School of Development (China Centre for Economic Research) of Peking University. Secondary analysis of data from CHARLS did not require ethical approval. All data collected in CHARLS are maintained at the National School of Development of Peking University, Beijing, China. The datasets are available from https://charls.charlsdata.com/pages/data/111/zh-cn.html.²⁶ CHARLS was approved by the

 Ethics Review Committee of Peking University, ethical approval number IRB00001052-11015, and all participants gave written informed consent at the time of participation.

Patient and Public Involvement (PPI)

As this is an analysis of publicly available dataset, further PPI was not applicable.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 14.0 (StataCorp., College Station, TX). The t-test was used to compare continuous variables, while Pearson X² or Fisher's exact tests were used for the comparison of categorical data. To assess accuracy of household expenditure data from the sample as an index of income in the province, a scatterplot graph was made comparing household expenditure to provincial gross domestic product (GDP) data. The 7-year incidence of newly-diagnosed glaucoma stratified by age and gender were calculated. Age was defined in our analysis as the age at baseline. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were used to test for associations with incident glaucoma over the 7-year follow-up period. We ran regression analyses including the whole sample of eligible participants, aged 50 years and above. Variables in univariate models with p < 0.05 were entered into the multivariate models. In addition, univariate logistic regression models were applied to investigate the association of basline (2011) glaucoma with subsequent (2018) social and economic variables. We applied sample weights to the glaucoma incidence between 2011 and 2018. These weights were constructed from weights for the structure of age and gender from the baseline wave of the CHARLS study. A p value of <0.05 was defined as statistically significant.

Results

Among 9973 eligible participants aged 50 years and above (Table 1), 342 (3.4%) self-reported glaucoma diagnosis by a physician, while 9631 (96.6%) did not. Those who were diagnosed with glaucoma were significantly older (62.9+/-7.99 vs 60.8+/-7.49, p<0.001), were more likely to be female (60.8% vs 51.0%, p<0.001), to self-report poor distance vision at baseline (38.6% vs 22.1%, p<0.001), to have diabetes (14.3% vs 7.6%, p<0.001), to report currently drinking alcohol and drinking in the past year (64.9% vs 67.8%, p<0.05), currently smoking and smoking in the past (75.2% vs 67.4%, p=0.01), to be illiterate (45.3% vs 30.4%, p<0.001), have a lower health utility quality of life score (0.72+/-0.23 vs 0.79+/-0.25, p<0.001), higher depression score (10.5+/-7.08 vs 8.49+/-6.32, p<0.001 and to be unmarried (23.1% vs 18.3%) (Table 1). The proportion of persons living in the different geographic regions of China also differed between those with vs without glaucoma (Eastern region 26.9% vs 35.2%, Central region 37.7% vs 32.6%, and Western region 35.4% vs 32.3%, p<0.01).

Self-reported seven-year glaucoma incidence was 2.67% among the cohort aged 50-59 years, 3.76% at 60-69 years, 5.35% for 70-79 years, and 4.79% for 80+ years (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the glaucoma incidence between 2011 and 2018 stratified by geographic region and province. Central China had the highest incidence (3.95%) and Eastern China the lowest (2.64%).

In regression models of potential predictors of incidence of glaucoma among persons aged 50 years and above at baseline, older age (beta coefficient: 0.050, 95% CI: 0.001, 0.001, p<0.001), having hypertension (beta coefficient: 0.019, 95% CI: 0.006, 0.008, p<0.001) or diabetes (beta coefficient: 0.049, 95% CI: 0.028, 0.032, p<0.001), being female (beta coefficient: 0.036, 95% CI: 0.006, 0.020, p<0.001), recent smoking (beta coefficient: 0.029, 95% CI: 0.004, 0.020, p=0.004), and consumption of alcohol (beta coefficient: 0.026, 95% CI: 0.002, 0.017, p<0.009), and illiteracy (beta coefficient: -0.057, 95% CI: -0.030, -0.015, p<0.001), were all significantly associated with increased incidence in the univariate model, and all factors except female sex remained significant in the multivariate model (Table 4).

Incidence glaucoma is 3.94% among those with baseline hypertension, 6.52% among those with diabetes, 3.53% among those with obesity, 11.54% among those with low quality of life, 4.40% among those with depression, and 3.35% among those with below average household per capita expenditure (Table 5).

Logistic regression models showed significant association between incidence of the following characteristics and baseline glaucoma: poor self-reported distance vision (beta coefficient: 1.106, 95% CI: 0.701, 1.511, p<0.001), having hypertension (beta coefficient: 0.545, 95% CI: 0.496, 0.593, p<0.001), having diabetes (beta coefficient: 0.388, 95% CI: 0.326, 0.449, p<0.001), not having obesity (beta coefficient: -0.184, 95% CI: -0.239, -0.129, p<0.001), and lower mean value of health utility score of residents' quality of life (beta coefficient: -0.040, 95% CI: -0.006, 0.776, p<0.001) (Table 6).

Discussion

Glaucoma poses a substantial public health challenge globally, especially in aging populations. Our study aimed to comprehensively assess nationwide glaucoma incidence rates and associated determinants among older Chinese persons, addressing a critical gap in understanding this condition's epidemiology.

Utilizing data from the CHARLS from 2011 to 2018, we identified significant factors associated with incident glaucoma among adults aged 50 and older. Our findings demonstrated a self-reported glaucoma incidence of 3.4%, revealing demographic, socioeconomic, and health-related disparities among those diagnosed with glaucoma vs those without. Affected individuals were older, more likely to report poor vision and exhibit comorbidities like diabetes, and experienced lower quality of life scores and higher depression levels.

Regional variations were evident in glaucoma incidence, with Central China reporting the highest incidence rates. Factors such as older age, hypertension, diabetes, illiteracy, smoking history, and alcohol consumption were associated with increased glaucoma incidence, emphasizing the multifaceted nature of the disease and its risk factors.

Importantly, our study also underscored the impact of incident glaucoma on individuals' subsequent health and socioeconomic status. Those diagnosed with glaucoma at baseline showed increased incident comorbidities, lower quality of life scores, and financial vulnerabilities, reflecting the broader repercussions of this condition beyond vision

impairment. These findings not only highlight the importance of early prevention of risk factors, but also emphasising the full-cycle management of glaucoma in alleviating the associated disease burden in terms of health system and the society.

Our findings align with previous localized studies in China, highlighting the significance of older age and lower education level as key risk factors for glaucoma. ^{4, 5} However, our nationwide scope underscores the need for targeted interventions at the national level addressing these risk factors

The strengths of this study include use of a large, nationally-representative sample, drawn from most of China's administrative units, and selected using standardized protocols. Study personnel were trained in standard fashion to ensure all procedures were carried out as described. Our findings provide a basis for comparison with other low- and middle-income countries and especially those undergoing rapid economic transitions. Limitations include the self-reported nature of glaucoma diagnosis and potential underreporting or misdiagnosis, though though evidence has suggested that self-reported medical diagnosis is reasonably accurate. A study showed that of the 200 subjects with medical record information indicating glaucoma, 165 (77.0%) correctly self-reported their glaucoma diagnosis. Among the 130 subjects with medical record information indicating glaucoma suspect or ocular hypertension, 109 (83.9%) correctly self-reported no glaucoma diagnosis. An additional limitation is that the results may have been influenced by patients (42.2%) who did not attend the follow-up examination, or who failed to provide information on their glaucoma history.

Conclusion

Our study provides critical insights into glaucoma incidence rates, risk factors, and their association with long-term disease and psychosocial outcomes on the Chinese population. These findings underscore the need for national-level public health strategies focusing on early detection, improved vision care, and targeted interventions to mitigate the burden of glaucoma and enhance overall well-being. This research lays the foundation for further investigations and policy formulations aimed at addressing the multifaceted challenges posed by glaucoma in China, ultimately contributing to enhanced eye health and improved quality of life.

Baseline Characteristic	Glaucoma	Non-glaucoma	P-value
N	342	9631	
Mean age, years (SD)	62.9 (7.99)	60.81 (7.49)	<0.001
Self-rated distance Vision, n %)	,	,	
Poor	132(38.6)	2,125(22.06)	<0.001
Excellent, very good, good, or fair	190(55.6)	6,956(72.23)	
Hypertension present, n (%)	157 (45.9)	3,978 (41.3)	0.232
Diabetes present, n (%)	49 (14.3)	731 (7.59)	<0.001
besity present, n (%)	83 (24.27)	2,334 (24.23)	0.512
Male gender, n (%)	134 (39.2)	4,714 (49.0)	<0.001
Prinking alcohol currently			
nd also drinking in the past ear	222 (64.9)	5,565 (57.8)	0.029
Currently smoking and also moking in the past	257 (75.2)	6,488 (67.4)	0.010
iteracy, n (%)			
literate	155 (45.3)	2,932 (30.4)	<0.001
Q-5D score, mean (SD)	0.72(0.23)	0.79(0.25)	<0.001
Depression score, mean (SD)	10.5(7.08)	8.49(6.32)	<0.001
ural hukou, n (%)	279 (81.6)	7,728 (80.2)	0.817
Mean log household per apita expenditure in USD, nean (SD)	4.59(0.88)	4.62 (0.88)	0.539
Reporting having health	323 (94.4)	9,057 (94.0)	0.880
Geographical location, n (%)			
astern region	92 (26.9)	3,387 (35.2)	0.006
Central region	129 (37.7)	3,138 (32.6)	
Vestern region	121 (35.4)	3,106 (32.3)	
Narried and living together D = standard deviation	263 (76.9)	7,870 (81.7)	0.024

Age group (years)	Cases	Glaucoma incidence, among entire population (%)	Among those with self- reported incident glaucoma (%)
50-59	134	2.67	39.18
60-69	132	3.76	38.6
70-79	67	5.35	19.59
80+	9	4.79	2.63
Total	342	3.43	100

	Self-reported glaucoma
Province	incidence, n (%)
	Eastern China
Tianjin	1(1.30%)
Beijing	1(3.03%)
Shanghai	1 (4.17%)
Jiangsu	16(3.16 %)
Zhejiang	11(2.69%)
Guangdong	8(1.61%)
Liaoning	13(4.38%)
Fujian	7(2.49%)
Shandong	13(1.48%)
Jilin	13(5.78%)
Hebei	21(4.45%)
Heilongjiang	5(3.29%)
TOTAL	92 (2.64%)
	Central China
Hubei	7(2.22%)
Shanxi	11(3.44%)
Hunan	13(2.80%)
Henan	34(4.32%)
Jiangxi	15(2.92%)
Anhui	31(6.34%)
TOTAL	129 (3.95 %)
	Western China
Inner Mongolia	19(4.39%)
Chongqing	15(2.92%)
Shaanxi	11(3.56%)
Xinjiang	3(7.14%)
Qinghai	4(4.88%)
Sichuan	40(4.38%)
Guangxi	8(2.22%)
Gansu	8(3.56%)
Yunnan	15(2.55%)
Guizhou	3(2.44 %)
TOTAL	121 (3.75%)

Baseline Characteristic –	Univariate model		Multivariate model	
baseline Characteristic –	β (95% CI)	P value	β (95% CI)	P value
Age, years	0.050(0.001,0.002)	<0.001	0.035(0.001,0.001)	<0.001
Hypertension present (adjusted for age)	0.019(0.006,0.008)	<0.001	0.005(0.001,0.003)	0.001
Diabetes present (adjusted for age)	0.049(0.028,0.032)	<0.001	0.052(0.030,0.034)	<0.001
Female	0.036(0.006,0.020)	<0.001	-0.001(- 0.002,0.001)	0.611
Currently smoking and also smoking in the past	0.029(0.004,0.020)	0.004	0.020(0.007,0.010)	<0.001
Drinking alcohol currently and also drinking in the past year	0.026(0.002,0.017)	0.009	0.013(0.004,0.006)	<0.001
Literate	-0.057(-0.030,-0.015)	<0.001	-0.044(-0.019,- 0.016)	<0.001
Rural (vs non-rural) hukou	0.006(-0.006,0.012)	0.544		

Erasmushogeschool .

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Characteristic occurred between 2011 and 2018	Incidence		Incidence ratio (%)
	Present, n (%)	Absent, n (%)	_
Hypertension (adjusted for age)	10,165 (3.94)	247,917 (96.1)	4.10
Diabetes (adjusted for age)	3,112 (6.52)	44,601 (93.5)	6.97
Obesity (adjusted for age)	5,102 (3.53)	139,240 (96.5)	3.66
Mean value of health utility score of residents' quality of life (EQ-5D) below 0	6(11.54)	46(88.5)	13.05
Mean depression score (adjusted for age) ≥8	13,948 (4.40)	302,761 (95.60)	4.60
Mean depression score (adjusted for age) ≥10	11,924 (4.69)	242,450 (95.31)	4.92
Log household per capita expenditure below average	144(3.35)	4,150(96.65)	3.47

^{*}Incidence ratio = Present % / Absent %

 Table 6. Logistic regression models of potential subsequent clinical, mental health, and socioeconomic associations with **baseline** (2011) self-reported glaucoma diagnosed by any physician, among a nationally-representative sample of Chinese persons aged > =50 years (n=9973) (Bold type indicates values significant at P<0.05) (Independent variable = 2011 glaucoma status, dependent variable = subsequent 2018 clinical, mental health, and socioeconomic associations)

2018 status	β(95%CI)	P-value
Poor self-reported distance vision	1.106(0.701,1.511)	<0.001
Hypertension present (adjusted for age)	0.545(0.496,0.593)	<0.001
Diabetes present (adjusted for age)	0.388(0.326,0.449)	<0.001
Obesity 2015 (adjusted for 2011 age)*	-0.184(-0.239,-0.129)	<0.001
Mean value of health utility score of residents' quality of life (EQ-5D)	-0.040 (-0.134,-0.045)	<0.001
Mean depression score (adjusted for age)	0.018(-0.006,0.776)	0.051
Logarithm of household per capita expenditure	-0.012 (-0.336,0.072)	0.204

^{*}Note: Wave 4 (2018) did not undergo physical examinations or blood tests; therefore, the BMI is based on the 2015 data

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the CHARLS team for the data that enabled these analyses. This research is supported by the Wellcome funding (R2806CPH).

Contributors

CJ conceptualised the study, did literature review, participated in data collection and data analysis, drafted the manuscript and is responsible for the overall content as a guarantor. NC conceptualised the study, interpreted data and revised the manuscript. JX participated in data collection and data analysis, interpreted data, created the figure and revised the manuscript. MK, JL, WH, RC, LL, and MH participated in data interpretation and manuscript revision. All authors read and approved the final draft.

Funding

This research is supported by the Wellcome funding (R2806CPH).

Competing interests None declared.

Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication

Not required.

Ethics approval

This study involves human participants. The CHARLS was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Peking University, and all participants gave written informed consent at the time of participation. This study used public data and therefore does not require additional ethics approval. The original CHARLS from which the data were collected was carried out in Beijing and has obtained ethics approval from the Ethical Review Committee of Beijing University; the ethical approval number is IRB00001052-11015.

Data availability statement

Data are available in a public, open access repository. All data collected in the CHARLS are maintained at the National School of Development of Peking University, Beijing, China. The datasets are available from http://charls.pku.edu.cn/pages/data/111/zh-cn.html.

References

- 1. Pascolini D, Mariotti SP. Global estimates of visual impairment: 2010. British Journal of Ophthalmology 2012;96:614-618.
- 2. Song P, Wang J, Bucan K, et al. National and subnational prevalence and burden of glaucoma in China: a systematic analysis. Journal of global health 2017;7.
- 3. Sun Y, Chen A, Zou M, et al. Disease burden of glaucoma in China: Findings from the global burden of disease 2019 study. Clinical Epidemiology 2022:827-834.
- 4. Pan C-W, Yang W-Y, Hu D-N, et al. Longitudinal cohort study on the incidence of primary open-angle glaucoma in Bai Chinese. American journal of ophthalmology 2017;176:127-133.
- 5. Zhang Y, Hao J, Zhang Q, et al. Five-year incidence of primary glaucoma and related risk factors—The Handan eye study. Acta Ophthalmologica 2023;101:e50-e60.
- 6. Chiu S-L, Chu C-L, Muo C-H, Chen C-L, Lan S-J. The prevalence and the incidence of diagnosed open-angle glaucoma and diagnosed angle-closure glaucoma: changes from 2001 to 2010. Journal of glaucoma 2016;25:e514-e519.
- 7. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the China health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). International journal of epidemiology 2014;43:61-68.
- 8. Lewinsohn PM, Seeley JR, Roberts RE, Allen NB. Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) as a screening instrument for depression among community-residing older adults. Psychology and aging 1997;12:277.
- 9. MacLennan PA, McGwin Jr G, Searcey K, Owsley C. Medical record validation of self-reported eye diseases and eye care utilization among older adults. Current eye research 2013;38:1-8.

BMJ Open

Incidence and risk factors for glaucoma, and its clinical, mental health, and economic impact in an elderly population: a longitudinal study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2024-096879.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-Mar-2025
Complete List of Authors:	Jan, Catherine; The University of Melbourne Faculty of Medicine Dentistry and Health Sciences, Jin, Xin; Peking University, institute of population research; Peking University, Kang, Mengtian; Beijing Tongren Hospital Department of Ophthalmology Liu, Jiahao; Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital; The University of Melbourne, Ophthalmology Department of Surgery Hu, Wenyi; University of Melbourne Chen, Ruiye; Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital; The University of Melbourne, Department of Surgery (Ophthalmology) Li, Li; Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital; The University of Melbourne, Department of Surgery (Ophthalmology) He, Mingguang; Sun Yat-sen University, State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center; University of Melbourne, Centre for Eye Research Australia, Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital Congdon, Nathan; Queen's University Belfast; Sun Yat-Sen University; ORBIS International
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Global health
Keywords:	Glaucoma, PUBLIC HEALTH, Risk Factors, China

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Catherine Jan^{1,2,3*}, Xin Jin^{4,5*}, Mengtian Kang⁶, Jiahao Liu^{1,2}, Wenyi Hu^{1,2}, Ruiye Chen^{1,2}, Li Li^{1,2}, Mingguang He^{1,2,7,8,9}, Nathan Congdon^{10,11,12}

- 1. Centre for Eye Research Australia, Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital, East Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.
- 2. Ophthalmology, Department of Surgery, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- 3. Lost Child's Vision Project, Sydney, Australia

- 4. Institute of Population Research, Peking University
- 5. Peking University Library, Peking University, Beijing, China
- 6. Beijing Tongren Eye Center, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, Beijing, China
- 7. School of Optometry, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
- 8. Research Centre for SHARP Vision (RCSV), The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
- Centre for Eye and Vision Research (CEVR), 17W Hong Kong Science Park, Hong Kong.
- 10. Centre for Public Health, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland
- 11. State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China
- 12. ORBIS International, New York, USA

Correspondence to Nathan Congdon (n.congdon@qub.ac.uk) or Catherine Jan (catherine.jan@student.unimelb.edu.au)

^{*}Catherine Jan and Xin Jin are joint first authors

Abstract

Objectives

To investigate the incidence and determinants of glaucoma in an elderly Chinese population, and clinical, mental health and economic impacts.

Design

This nationally-representative, longitudinal study assessed self-reported 6-year (from 2011 to 2018) incident glaucoma diagnosis by a physician, and measured biological, clinical, and socioeconomical participant characteristics at baseline and endline.

Setting

In the first stage, 150 county-level units from across China were randomly selected with a probability-proportional-to-size sampling technique from a frame containing all county-level units nation-wide. The sample was stratified by region and within region by urban district or rural county and per capita gross domestic product (GDP). The final sample of 150 counties included 30 out of 31 provinces and autonomous regions in China.

Participants

Consenting, community-dwelling Chinese persons aged 50 years and older.

Primary and secondary outcome measures

Incident glaucoma incidence (primary), factors associated with incident glaucoma (secondary), impact of glaucoma (secondary).

Results

Among 9,973 individuals, 3.4% reported glaucoma diagnosis between 2011 and 2018; Central China had the highest incidence (3.95%) and Eastern China the lowest (2.64%) between 2011 and 2018. Those diagnosed with glaucoma during 2011 and 2018 were older age (beta coefficient: 0.050, 95% CI: 0.001, 0.001, p<0.001), had higher prevalence of diabetes (beta coefficient: 0.049, 95% CI: 0.028, 0.032, p<0.001), hypertension (beta coefficient: 0.019, 95% CI: 0.006, 0.008, p<0.001), smoking (beta coefficient: 0.029, 95% CI: 0.004, 0.020, p=0.004), alcohol consumption (beta coefficient: 0.026, 95% CI: 0.002, 0.017, p<0.009), and illiteracy (beta coefficient: -0.057, 95% CI: -0.030, -0.015, p<0.001). Logistic regression models showed significant association between incidence of the following characteristics and baseline glaucoma: poor self-reported distance vision (beta coefficient: 1.106, 95% CI: 0.701, 1.511, p<0.001), having hypertension (beta coefficient: 0.545, 95% CI: 0.496, 0.593, p<0.001), having diabetes (beta coefficient: 0.388, 95% CI: 0.326, 0.449, p<0.001), not having obesity (beta coefficient: -0.184, 95% CI: -0.239, -0.129, p<0.001), and lower mean value of health utility score of residents' quality of life (beta coefficient: -0.040, 95% CI: -0.006, 0.776, p<0.001).

Conclusion

Glaucoma incidence rate varies among geographical regions in China. Several risk factors for incidence glaucoma were identified. In addition, glaucoma was found to be associated with multiple physical and psychosocial outcomes. Targeted public health strategies are needed,

Keywords

Glaucoma; public health; risk factors; China

Word count

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The study utilised a large, nationally representative sample from most of China's administrative units, selected using standardised protocols.
- Study personnel were trained uniformly to ensure consistent implementation of procedures.
- The self-reported nature of glaucoma diagnosis may have introduced underreporting or misdiagnosis, though prior evidence suggests reasonable accuracy in self-reports.
- The results may have been influenced by the high proportion (42.2%) of participants who did not attend follow-up examinations or provide information on their glaucoma history.

Introduction

Glaucoma, the world's leading cause of irreversible blindness,¹ poses a significant public health challenge, especially in a rapidly ageing population such as China's. In China, the burden of glaucoma is increasingly recognised as a substantial health issue due to its impact on vision-related quality of life and the economy.^{2, 3} Despite this recognition, there is no comprehensive nationwide studies exploring the incidence rates and determinants associated with incident glaucoma in mainland China.

China is a large and populous country containing many ethnicities. Understanding the epidemiology of glaucoma incidence and its associated risk factors on a national scale is crucial for developing effective preventive strategies, early detection methods, and targeted interventions. Glaucoma incidence studies from mainland China are rare.⁴⁻⁶ One study reported the 5-year cumulative incidence of primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) was 1.3% in Bai Chinese aged 55 years and above,⁴ while another study reported the 5-year cumulative incidence of primary glaucoma was 1.6% in rural northern China among people aged 30 years and older.⁵ Previous localised studies have highlighted specific risk factors contributing to glaucoma incidence in certain regions of China, such as increased age,^{4,5} elevated intraocular pressure,^{4,5} lower educational level,⁴ and the presence of myopia,⁴ yet a cohesive and comprehensive nationwide analysis is essential to capture the broader landscape.

The current report aims to address this gap by providing a comprehensive assessment of the national glaucoma incidence rates in China and exploring the determinants, risk factors, and impact associated with incident glaucoma across diverse geographical regions and demographic groups. This project employed a national longitudinal survey conducted in 30 out of 31 Chinese provinces and autonomous regions (except Tibet, though the study did include one Tibetan county), representative of the adult population 45 years and older between 2011 and 2018.

The aim of this research is to offer insights into the epidemiology of glaucoma in China, aiding policymakers, healthcare providers, and public health experts in formulating targeted preventive strategies, allocating resources effectively, and devising tailored interventions to mitigate the burden of glaucoma and preserve ocular health nationally.

Methods:

This study uses data drawn from the same original longitudinal cohort as our previous publication on cataract surgery incidence (Jan et al., 2023)⁷, including the same population selection, study settings, and statistical analysis framework. As such, some overlap in the *the Method* sections is present due to the consistent methodology applied across both papers. However, the two studies address entirely distinct research questions: while the previous paper focused on the incidence and determinants of cataract surgery uptake—a healthcare service intervention—this manuscript investigates the incidence of glaucoma, a different disease entity, and explores its associated clinical, psychosocial, and economic consequences.

The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) is a nationally-representative longitudinal survey among Chinese persons aged 45 years and older (note for this particular paper, we used data from people aged 50 years and above because glaucoma is an agerelated disease and this is the most common threshold reported by literature), that includes assessments of biological, social and economic conditions. We used data from the baseline in-person study conducted in 2011 ("Wave 1") and an in-person follow up conducted in 2018 ("Wave 4"). Main respondents and spouses in the baseline survey are followed throughout the life of CHARLS, or until they die. ²⁴ Detailed information on the methodology of CHARLS has been provided elsewhere. ²⁴ Among 17,250 eligible participants at baseline who provided information on glaucoma status and had not been diagnosed with glaucoma in 2011, 9973 (57.8%) attended the 7-year follow-up and provided glaucoma information in 2018. The identical protocols for collection of the data used in this paper were followed during the baseline and follow-up studies.

The participant cohort was chosen through multistage probability sampling. In the first stage, 150 county-level units were randomly selected with a probability-proportional-to-size (PPS) sampling technique from a frame containing all county-level units in the country, with the exception of the Tibet Autonomous Region. The sample was stratified by region and within region by urban district or rural county and per capita gross domestic product (GDP). The final sample of 150 counties included 28 of China's 31 administrative units (provinces and autonomous regions). Our sample used the lowest level of government organization, consisting of administrative villages (*cun*) in rural areas and neighborhoods (*shequ* or *juweihui*) in urban areas, as primary sampling units (PSUs). We selected 3 PSUs within each county-level unit, using PPS sampling (more details are reported elsewhere).8

Variables

Glaucoma incidence in this paper refers to the proportion of the cohort population aged 50 years and older who reported having glaucoma diagnosis by a physician in at least one eye between 2011 and 2018, among those who had not been diagnosed in either eye at baseline. This was determined by participants' response to the question "Have you been diagnosed with glaucoma by a physician before?", comparing answers between the previous and present round. Age and gender were self-reported variables taken from the baseline 2011 survey.

Rural or urban place of residence was obtained from self-reported *hukou*. *Hukou* is a Chinese internal passport system, designed to regulate the movement of rural residents into urban areas; migrants with a rural *hukou* do not have access to local health care as do local urban residents. Hukou also determines the type of public health insurance a person has access to.

Three comorbidities linked with glaucoma were extracted from the CHARLS dataset. Hypertension was defined as either self-report of a physician's diagnosis, or presence of objective biomarkers (systolic pressure \geq 140 and/or diastolic pressure \geq 90 mmHg) during direct physical examination. Diabetes was also defined by self-report of prior diagnosis by a doctor or the presence of a biomarker (HbA1c value \geq 6.5%) on examination. Depression symptoms were measured as a continuous variable, using the Center for

Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D-10),⁹ with total score ranging from 0 (minimal symptoms) to 30 (most symptomatic). Other covariates included in this study are household expenditure, representative of economic status, and EQ-5D health utility scores, an indicator of quality of life, and level of attained education.

Data were obtained from the National School of Development (China Centre for Economic Research) of Peking University. Secondary analysis of data from CHARLS did not require ethical approval. All data collected in CHARLS are maintained at the National School of Development of Peking University, Beijing, China. The datasets are available from https://charls.charlsdata.com/pages/data/111/zh-cn.html.²⁶ CHARLS was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of Peking University, ethical approval number IRB00001052-11015, and all participants gave written informed consent at the time of participation.

Patient and Public Involvement (PPI)

None

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 14.0 (StataCorp., College Station, TX). The t-test was used to compare continuous variables, while Pearson X² or Fisher's exact tests were used for the comparison of categorical data. To assess accuracy of household expenditure data from the sample as an index of income in the province, a scatterplot graph was made comparing household expenditure to provincial gross domestic product (GDP) data. The 7-year incidence of newly-diagnosed glaucoma stratified by age and gender were calculated. Age was defined in our analysis as the age at baseline. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were used to test for associations with incident glaucoma over the 7-year follow-up period. We ran regression analyses including the whole sample of eligible participants, aged 50 years and above. Variables in univariate models with p < 0.05 were entered into the multivariate models. In addition, univariate logistic regression models were applied to investigate the association of basline (2011) glaucoma with subsequent (2018) social and economic variables. We applied sample weights to the glaucoma incidence between 2011 and 2018. These weights were constructed from weights for the structure of age and gender from the baseline wave of the CHARLS study. A p value of <0.05 was defined as statistically significant.

Results

Among 9973 eligible participants aged 50 years and above (Table 1), 342 (3.4%) self-reported glaucoma diagnosis by a physician, while 9631 (96.6%) did not. Those who were diagnosed with glaucoma were significantly older (62.9+/-7.99 vs 60.8+/-7.49, p<0.001), were more likely to be female (60.8% vs 51.0%, p<0.001), to self-report poor distance vision at baseline (38.6% vs 22.1%, p<0.001), to have diabetes (14.3% vs 7.6%, p<0.001), to report currently drinking alcohol and drinking in the past year (64.9% vs 57.8%, p<0.05), currently smoking and smoking in the past (75.2% vs 67.4%, p=0.01), to be illiterate (45.3% vs 30.4%, p<0.001), have a lower health utility quality of life score (0.72+/-0.23 vs 0.79+/-0.25, p<0.001), higher depression score (10.5+/-7.08 vs 8.49+/-6.32, p<0.001 and to be unmarried (23.1% vs 18.3%) (Table 1). The proportion of persons living in the different geographic regions of China also differed between those with vs without glaucoma (Eastern region

Self-reported seven-year glaucoma incidence was 2.67% among the cohort aged 50-59 years, 3.76% at 60-69 years, 5.35% for 70-79 years, and 4.79% for 80+ years (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the glaucoma incidence between 2011 and 2018 stratified by geographic region and province. Central China had the highest incidence (3.95%) and Eastern China the lowest (2.64%).

In regression models of potential predictors of incidence of glaucoma among persons aged 50 years and above at baseline, older age (beta coefficient: 0.050, 95% CI: 0.001, 0.001, p<0.001), having hypertension (beta coefficient: 0.019, 95% CI: 0.006, 0.008, p<0.001) or diabetes (beta coefficient: 0.049, 95% CI: 0.028, 0.032, p<0.001), being female (beta coefficient: 0.036, 95% CI: 0.006, 0.020, p<0.001), recent smoking (beta coefficient: 0.029, 95% CI: 0.004, 0.020, p=0.004), and consumption of alcohol (beta coefficient: 0.026, 95% CI: 0.002, 0.017, p<0.009), and illiteracy (beta coefficient: -0.057, 95% CI: -0.030, -0.015, p<0.001), were all significantly associated with increased incidence in the univariate model, and all factors except female sex remained significant in the multivariate model (Table 4).

Incidence glaucoma is 3.43% in the general study population, 3.94% among those with baseline hypertension, 6.52% among those with diabetes, 3.53% among those with obesity, 11.54% among those with low quality of life, 4.40% among those with depression, and 3.35% among those with below average household per capita expenditure (Table 5).

Logistic regression models showed significant association between incidence of the following characteristics and baseline glaucoma: poor self-reported distance vision (beta coefficient: 1.106, 95% CI: 0.701, 1.511, p<0.001), having hypertension (beta coefficient: 0.545, 95% CI: 0.496, 0.593, p<0.001), having diabetes (beta coefficient: 0.388, 95% CI: 0.326, 0.449, p<0.001), not having obesity (beta coefficient: -0.184, 95% CI: -0.239, -0.129, p<0.001), and lower mean value of health utility score of residents' quality of life (beta coefficient: -0.040, 95% CI: -0.006, 0.776, p<0.001) (Table 6).

Discussion

Glaucoma poses a substantial public health challenge globally, especially in aging populations. A previous study reported the prevalence of glaucoma in the same population, however, glaucoma incidence has not been reported in this population. Our study aimed to comprehensively assess nationwide glaucoma incidence rates and associated determinants among older Chinese persons, addressing a critical gap in understanding this condition's epidemiology.

Utilising data from the CHARLS from 2011 to 2018, we identified significant factors associated with incident glaucoma among adults aged 50 and older. Our findings demonstrated a self-reported glaucoma incidence of 3.4%, revealing demographic, socioeconomic, and health-related disparities among those diagnosed with glaucoma vs those without. Affected individuals were older, more likely to report poor vision and exhibit

 comorbidities like diabetes, and experienced lower quality of life scores and higher depression levels.

Regional variations were evident in glaucoma incidence, with Central China reporting the highest incidence rates. Glaucoma development can be attributed to a complex interplay of genetic, environmental, and socioeconomic factors. 11 In Central China, for instance, neovascularisation and trauma were identified as leading causes for secondary glaucoma.¹² This elevated rate of trauma-related glaucoma may be linked to specific regional activities or occupational hazards prevalent in Central China. 12 Our findings showed that older age, hypertension, diabetes, illiteracy, smoking, and alcohol consumption were associated with increased glaucoma incidence, highlighting the multifactorial nature of its risk factors. Our longitudinal findings are consistent with the cross-sectional baseline paper¹⁰ showing associations between glaucoma and hypertension, diabetes, smoking, and alcohol use. Interestingly, our study found no association between incident glaucoma and socioeconomic or urban-rural status, despite a significant link between illiteracy and incident glaucoma. This contrasts with previous prevalence studies that reported an association between lower socioeconomic status and higher glaucoma prevalence. 13 These findings highlight the need for more longitudinal studies on glaucoma incidence and risk factors, beyond cross-sectional prevalence studies.

Importantly, our study also underscored the impact of incident glaucoma on individuals' subsequent health and socioeconomic status. Those diagnosed with glaucoma at baseline showed increased incident comorbidities, lower quality of life scores, and financial vulnerabilities, reflecting the broader repercussions of this condition beyond vision impairment. These findings not only highlight the importance of early prevention of risk factors, but also emphasising the full-cycle management of glaucoma in alleviating the associated disease burden in terms of health system and the society.

Our findings align with previous localized studies in China, highlighting the significance of older age and lower education level as key risk factors for glaucoma. ^{4, 5} However, our nationwide scope underscores the need for targeted interventions at the national level addressing these risk factors

The strengths of this study include use of a large, nationally-representative sample, drawn from most of China's administrative units, and selected using standardized protocols. Study personnel were trained in standard fashion to ensure all procedures were carried out as described. Our findings provide a basis for comparison with other low- and middle-income countries and especially those undergoing rapid economic transitions. Limitations include the self-reported nature of glaucoma diagnosis and potential underreporting or misdiagnosis, though though evidence has suggested that self-reported medical diagnosis is reasonably accurate. A study showed that of the 200 subjects with medical record information indicating glaucoma, 165 (77.0%) correctly self-reported their glaucoma diagnosis. Among the 130 subjects with medical record information indicating glaucoma suspect or ocular hypertension, 109 (83.9%) correctly self-reported no glaucoma diagnosis. However, further studies validating self-reported diagnoses against medical records are warranted. An additional limitation is that the results may have been influenced by patients

Conclusion

Our study provides critical insights into glaucoma incidence rates, risk factors, and their association with long-term disease and psychosocial outcomes on the Chinese population. These findings underscore the need for national-level public health strategies focusing on early detection, improved vision care, and targeted interventions to mitigate the burden of glaucoma and enhance overall well-being. This research lays the foundation for further investigations and policy formulations aimed at addressing the multifaceted challenges posed by glaucoma in China, ultimately contributing to enhanced eye health and improved quality of life.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of 9973 participants aged 50 and above who participated both the baseline and follow-up surveys.

Baseline Characteristic	Glaucoma	Non-glaucoma	P-value
N	342	9631	
Mean age, years (SD)	62.9 (7.99)	60.81 (7.49)	<0.001
Self-rated distance Vision, n (%)			
Poor	132(38.6)	2,125(22.06)	<0.001
Excellent, very good, good, or fair	190(55.6)	6,956(72.23)	
Hypertension present, n (%)	157 (45.9)	3,978 (41.3)	0.232
Diabetes present, n (%)	49 (14.3)	731 (7.59)	<0.001
Obesity present, n (%)	83 (24.27)	2,334 (24.23)	0.512
Male gender, n (%)	134 (39.2)	4,714 (49.0)	<0.001
Drinking alcohol currently and also drinking in the past year	222 (64.9)	5,565 (57.8)	0.029
Currently smoking and also smoking in the past	257 (75.2)	6,488 (67.4)	0.010
.iteracy, n (%) Illiterate	155 (45.3)	2,932 (30.4)	<0.001
EQ-5D score, mean (SD)	0.72(0.23)	0.79(0.25)	<0.001
- (05)	10.5(5.00)	0,045,000	
Depression score, mean (SD)	10.5(7.08)	8.49(6.32)	<0.001
Rural hukou, n (%) Mean log household per	279 (81.6)	7,728 (80.2)	0.817
capita expenditure in USD, mean (SD)	4.59(0.88)	4.62 (0.88)	0.539
Reporting having health nsurance, n (%)	323 (94.4)	9,057 (94.0)	0.880
Geographical location, n (%) Eastern region	92 (26.9)	3,387 (35.2)	0.006
Central region	129 (37.7)	3,138 (32.6)	_
Western region	121 (35.4)	3,106 (32.3)	
Married and living together D = standard deviation	263 (76.9)	7,870 (81.7)	0.024

Age group (years)	Cases	Glaucoma incidence, among entire population (%)	Among those with self- reported incident glaucoma (%)
50-59	134	2.67	39.18
60-69	132	3.76	38.6
70-79	67	5.35	19.59
80+	9	4.79	2.63
Total	342	3.43	100

Table 3: Glaucoma incidence between 2011 and 2018, stratified by geographic region and province.

Self-reported glaucoma incidence, n (%) Eastern China Tianjin 1(1.30%) Beijing 1(3.03%) Shanghai 1 (4.17%) Jiangsu 16(3.16 %) Zhejiang 11(2.69%) Guangdong 8(1.61%) Liaoning 13(4.38%) Fujian 7(2.49%) Shandong 13(1.48%) Jilin 13(5.78%) Hebei 21(4.45%) Heilongjiang 5(3.29%) TOTAL 92 (2.64%) Central China 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China 10(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%)		
Eastern China Tianjin 1(1.30%) Beijing 1(3.03%) Shanghai 1 (4.17%) Jiangsu 16(3.16 %) Zhejiang 11(2.69%) Guangdong 8(1.61%) Liaoning 13(4.38%) Fujian 7(2.49%) Shandong 13(1.48%) Jilin 13(5.78%) Hebei 21(4.45%) Heilongjiang 5(3.29%) TOTAL 92 (2.64%) Central China 11(3.44%) Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Gansu 8(3.56%) <th>Province</th> <th>Self-reported glaucoma</th>	Province	Self-reported glaucoma
Tianjin 1(1.30%) Beijing 1(3.03%) Shanghai 1 (4.17%) Jiangsu 16(3.16 %) Zhejiang 11(2.69%) Guangdong 13(4.38%) Fujian 7(2.49%) Shandong 13(1.48%) Jilin 13(5.78%) Hebei 21(4.45%) Heilongjiang 5(3.29%) TOTAL 92 (2.64%) Central China Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)		
Beijing 1(3.03%) Shanghai 1 (4.17%) Jiangsu 16(3.16 %) Zhejiang 11(2.69%) Guangdong 13(4.38%) Fujian 7(2.49%) Shandong 13(1.48%) Jilin 13(5.78%) Hebei 21(4.45%) Heilongjiang 5(3.29%) TOTAL 92 (2.64%) Central China Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)		
Shanghai 1 (4.17%) Jiangsu 16(3.16 %) Zhejiang 11(2.69%) Guangdong 8(1.61%) Liaoning 13(4.38%) Fujian 7(2.49%) Shandong 13(1.48%) Jilin 13(5.78%) Hebei 21(4.45%) Heilongjiang 5(3.29%) TOTAL 92 (2.64%) Central China 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China 1nner Mongolia Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)		· · · · ·
Jiangsu Zhejiang Zhejiang Jiangsu Zhejiang Jiangsu Jiangsi Jiangsi Anhui TOTAL Jiangsi		` '
Zhejiang 11(2.69%) Guangdong 8(1.61%) Liaoning 13(4.38%) Fujian 7(2.49%) Shandong 13(1.48%) Jilin 13(5.78%) Hebei 21(4.45%) Heilongjiang 5(3.29%) TOTAL 92 (2.64%) Central China Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	•	· · ·
Guangdong 8(1.61%) Liaoning 13(4.38%) Fujian 7(2.49%) Shandong 13(1.48%) Jilin 13(5.78%) Hebei 21(4.45%) Heilongjiang 5(3.29%) TOTAL 92 (2.64%) Central China Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	_	, ,
Liaoning 13(4.38%) Fujian 7(2.49%) Shandong 13(1.48%) Jilin 13(5.78%) Hebei 21(4.45%) Heilongjiang 5(3.29%) TOTAL 92 (2.64%) Central China Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)		
Fujian 7(2.49%) Shandong 13(1.48%) Jilin 13(5.78%) Hebei 21(4.45%) Heilongjiang 5(3.29%) TOTAL 92 (2.64%) Central China Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)		
Shandong 13(1.48%) Jilin 13(5.78%) Hebei 21(4.45%) Heilongjiang 5(3.29%) TOTAL 92 (2.64%) Central China Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Liaoning	13(4.38%)
Jilin 13(5.78%) Hebei 21(4.45%) Heilongjiang 5(3.29%) TOTAL 92 (2.64%) Central China Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Fujian	7(2.49%)
Hebei 21(4.45%) Heilongjiang 5(3.29%) TOTAL 92 (2.64%) Central China Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Shandong	13(1.48%)
Heilongjiang TOTAL Central China Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang Qinghai 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Jilin	13(5.78%)
TOTAL Central China Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang Qinghai Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 92 (2.64%) 92 (2.64%) 11(3.44%) 11(3.44%) 11(3.44%) 11(3.44%) 15(2.92%) 15(2.92%) 15(2.92%) 15(2.92%) 15(2.55%) 15(2.55%) 15(2.55%) 15(2.55%)	Hebei	21(4.45%)
Central China Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang Qinghai 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Heilongjiang	5(3.29%)
Hubei 7(2.22%) Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	TOTAL	92 (2.64%)
Shanxi 11(3.44%) Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)		Central China
Hunan 13(2.80%) Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Hubei	7(2.22%)
Henan 34(4.32%) Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Shanxi	11(3.44%)
Jiangxi 15(2.92%) Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Hunan	13(2.80%)
Anhui 31(6.34%) TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Henan	34(4.32%)
TOTAL 129 (3.95 %) Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Jiangxi	15(2.92%)
Western China Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Anhui	31(6.34%)
Inner Mongolia 19(4.39%) Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	TOTAL	129 (3.95 %)
Chongqing 15(2.92%) Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)		Western China
Shaanxi 11(3.56%) Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Inner Mongolia	19(4.39%)
Xinjiang 3(7.14%) Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Chongqing	15(2.92%)
Qinghai 4(4.88%) Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Shaanxi	11(3.56%)
Sichuan 40(4.38%) Guangxi 8(2.22%) Gansu 8(3.56%) Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Xinjiang	3(7.14%)
Guangxi8(2.22%)Gansu8(3.56%)Yunnan15(2.55%)Guizhou3(2.44 %)	Qinghai	4(4.88%)
Gansu8(3.56%)Yunnan15(2.55%)Guizhou3(2.44 %)	Sichuan	40(4.38%)
Yunnan 15(2.55%) Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Guangxi	8(2.22%)
Guizhou 3(2.44 %)	Gansu	8(3.56%)
,	Yunnan	15(2.55%)
TOTAL 121 (3.75%)	Guizhou	3(2.44 %)
	TOTAL	121 (3.75%)

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Bassling Chausatanistis	Univariate model		Multivariate model	
Baseline Characteristic —	β (95% CI)	P value	β (95% CI)	P value
Age, years	0.050(0.001,0.002)	<0.001	0.035(0.001,0.001)	<0.001
Hypertension present (adjusted for age)	0.019(0.006,0.008)	<0.001	0.005(0.001,0.003)	0.001
Diabetes present (adjusted for age)	0.049(0.028,0.032)	<0.001	0.052(0.030,0.034)	<0.001
Female	0.036(0.006,0.020)	<0.001	-0.001(- 0.002,0.001)	0.611
Currently smoking and also smoking in the past	0.029(0.004,0.020)	0.004	0.020(0.007,0.010)	<0.001
Drinking alcohol currently and also drinking in the past year	0.026(0.002,0.017)	0.009	0.013(0.004,0.006)	<0.001
Literate	-0.057(-0.030,-0.015)	<0.001	-0.044(-0.019,- 0.016)	<0.001
Rural (vs non-rural) hukou	0.006(-0.006,0.012)	0.544		

Characteristic occurred between 2011 and 2018	Incidence		Incidence ratio (%)
	Present, n (%)	Absent, n (%)	
Hypertension (adjusted for age)	10,165 (3.94)	247,917 (96.1)	4.10
Diabetes (adjusted for age)	3,112 (6.52)	44,601 (93.5)	6.97
Obesity (adjusted for age)	5,102 (3.53)	139,240 (96.5)	3.66
Mean value of health utility score of residents' quality of life (EQ-5D) below 0	6(11.54)	46(88.5)	13.05
Mean depression score (adjusted for age) ≥8	13,948 (4.40)	302,761 (95.60)	4.60
Mean depression score (adjusted for age) ≥10	11,924 (4.69)	242,450 (95.31)	4.92
Log household per capita expenditure below average	144(3.35)	4,150(96.65)	3.47

^{*}Incidence ratio = Present % / Absent %

Table 6. Logistic regression models of potential subsequent clinical, mental health, and socioeconomic associations with **baseline** (2011) self-reported glaucoma diagnosed by any physician, among a nationally-representative sample of Chinese persons aged > =50 years (n=9973) (Bold type indicates values significant at P<0.05) (Independent variable = 2011 glaucoma status, dependent variable = subsequent 2018 clinical, mental health, and socioeconomic associations)

2018 status	β(95%CI)	P-value
Poor self-reported distance vision	1.106(0.701,1.511)	<0.001
Hypertension present (adjusted for age)	0.545(0.496,0.593)	<0.001
Diabetes present (adjusted for age)	0.388(0.326,0.449)	<0.001
Obesity 2015 (adjusted for 2011 age)*	-0.184(-0.239,-0.129)	<0.001
Mean value of health utility score of residents' quality of life (EQ-5D)	-0.040 (-0.134,-0.045)	<0.001
Mean depression score (adjusted for age)	0.018(-0.006,0.776)	0.051
Logarithm of household per capita expenditure	-0.012 (-0.336,0.072)	0.204

^{*}Note: Wave 4 (2018) did not undergo physical examinations or blood tests; therefore, the BMI is based on the 2015 data

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the CHARLS team for the data that enabled these analyses. This research is supported by the Wellcome funding (R2806CPH).

Contributors

CJ conceptualised the study, did literature review, participated in data collection and data analysis, drafted the manuscript and is responsible for the overall content as a guarantor. NC conceptualised the study, interpreted data and revised the manuscript. JX participated in data collection and data analysis, interpreted data, created the figure and revised the manuscript. MK, JL, WH, RC, LL, and MH participated in data interpretation and manuscript revision. All authors read and approved the final draft.

Funding

This research is supported by the Wellcome funding (R2806CPH). Catherine Jan is supported by Research Training Program Scholarship from the Commonwealth Government of Australia. The funding bodies had no role in any aspect of the study or the decision to submit this manuscript for publication.

 Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement

None

Patient consent for publication

Not required.

Ethics approval

This study involves human participants. The CHARLS was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Peking University, and all participants gave written informed consent at the time of participation. This study used public data and therefore does not require additional ethics approval. The original CHARLS from which the data were collected was carried out in Beijing and has obtained ethics approval from the Ethical Review Committee of Beijing University; the ethical approval number is IRB00001052-11015.

Data availability statement

Data are available in a public, open access repository. All data collected in the CHARLS are maintained at the National School of Development of Peking University, Beijing, China. The datasets are available from http://charls.pku.edu.cn/

References

- 1. Pascolini D, Mariotti SP. Global estimates of visual impairment: 2010. British Journal of Ophthalmology 2012;96:614-618.
- 2. Song P, Wang J, Bucan K, et al. National and subnational prevalence and burden of glaucoma in China: a systematic analysis. Journal of global health 2017;7.
- 3. Sun Y, Chen A, Zou M, et al. Disease burden of glaucoma in China: Findings from the global burden of disease 2019 study. Clinical Epidemiology 2022:827-834.
- 4. Pan C-W, Yang W-Y, Hu D-N, et al. Longitudinal cohort study on the incidence of primary open-angle glaucoma in Bai Chinese. American journal of ophthalmology 2017;176:127-133.
- 5. Zhang Y, Hao J, Zhang Q, et al. Five-year incidence of primary glaucoma and related risk factors—The Handan eye study. Acta Ophthalmologica 2023;101:e50-e60.
- 6. Chiu S-L, Chu C-L, Muo C-H, Chen C-L, Lan S-J. The prevalence and the incidence of diagnosed open-angle glaucoma and diagnosed angle-closure glaucoma: changes from 2001 to 2010. Journal of glaucoma 2016;25:e514-e519.
- 7. Jan C, Jin X, Dong Y, et al. Patterns and determinants of incident cataract surgery in China from 2011 to 2015 using a nationally representative longitudinal database. BMJ open 2023;13:e069702.
- 8. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the China health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). International journal of epidemiology 2014;43:61-68.

- 10. Sun J, Li T, Zhao X, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of glaucoma among Chinese people from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study. Journal of Glaucoma 2022;31:789-795.
- 11. Jayaram H, Kolko M, Friedman DS, Gazzard G. Glaucoma: now and beyond. The Lancet 2023;402:1788-1801.
- 12. Liu Q, Liu C, Cheng W, et al. Clinical analysis of secondary glaucoma in Central China. Scientific reports 2023;13:8439.
- 13. Shweikh Y, Ko F, Chan M, et al. Measures of socioeconomic status and self-reported glaucoma in the UK Biobank cohort. Eye 2015;29:1360-1367.
- 14. MacLennan PA, McGwin Jr G, Searcey K, Owsley C. Medical record validation of self-reported eye diseases and eye care utilization among older adults. Current eye research 2013;38:1-8.