Table 3 Description of social support interventions

Author/Year			Participan Interventic			C	Outcomes	Results
	sample size	e Careo type		Duration	Intervention content	Social support	Other outcomes	
Neal/2024	76 vs 74	ICG	Multi- component	4 weeks	Use FindMyApps app twice a week	MSPP	HRQoLL; SSCQ; Costs	SSCQ: ↑ ; MSPP,HRQoL:NS.Costs: ↓.
Xiao/2024	131 vs 135	FCG	Multi- component	6 months	Use iSupport, and host a monthly carer peer support meeting lasting 45–60 min	The COPE Index-QS	QoL; Self- efficacy; Behaviours and carers reactions; Carer's perspective of the QoL of the person living with dementia	Mental related QoL: ↑ ; Self- efficacy, social support: NS;
Xu/2023	20	African Americ an FCG	Peer support	6 months	The SCP Plus contained a 12 h in-person training with the senior companions.	13 items from four domains.	Burden and/or stress; Coping skills; Caregiver appraisal; Cultural justifications for caregiving; Caregiver well- being	KAD, social support satisfaction, coping skills: ↑; Burden: ↓.
Blackberry/20 23	113	FCG	Peer support	32 weeks	Verily Connect model	MOS	ZBI; bespoke surveys	Social support: $\uparrow$ ; ZBI: $\downarrow$

Author/Year	-		Participar Interventio			0	utcomes	Results
	sample size	Careo type		Duration	Intervention content	Social support	Other outcomes	
Glueckauf/20 22	12	African Americ an FCG	Multi- component	12 weeks	12 weekly telephone sessions, 7 one- hour group sessions and 5 one-hour individual goal- setting and implementation sessions.	ISEL	Severity of CG- identified problems; Depression; Health status; Consequences of caregiving activities	Depression: ↓ ; Perceived social support: ↑ ; CAI: NS.
Berwig/2022	107 vs 104	FCG	Peer support	6 months	Telephone- based group meeting	FSozU K22	Restrictions; Depressed mood states; general complaints; Quality of life; Utilization of support services; Perfomance in different areas of life.	The mental health domain of quality of life of family carers and perceived social support: ↑ Depression: ↓;
Christie/2022	48 vs 48	Primar y CGs	Multi- component	16 weeks	The intervention group had access to Inlife, participants could use Inlife in at their own pace.	MSPSS; Received support; Number of friends and family ties	Sense of competence; Feelings of loneliness; Anxiety and depression; Quality of life; Perceived stress	Received support; MSPSS; Number of friends and family ties: NS; Sense of competence; Feelings of loneliness; Anxiety and depression; Perceived stress; Quality of life: NS.

Page | 2

Author/Year	-		Participant Interventio			b developed skills; Caregiver ↑; KAD, overall scale well-being; stress/burden levels, well Burden and/or stress; coping skills: NS			
	sample size	Careo type		Duration	Intervention content		Other outcomes		
Fields/2021	16	FCG	Peer support	3 months	Nine in-home psychoeducatio nal session covering one topic per week to their paired ADRD family caregiver over a three-month period were delivered by Each Senior Companion	Self- developed	skills; Caregiver well-being; Burden and/or	stress/burden levels, well- being of doing activities,	
Szcze <sup>´</sup> sniak/2 021	45 vs 21 vs 15	FCG	Multi- component	3 months	MCSP for both people living with dementia and their carers	Experience s of emotional and practical support		Emotionally supports: ↑; Satisfaction: ↑; Burden: ↓.	

Page | 3

Author/Year			Participan Interventic			supportntionSocialDepression; relationshiChanges regarding own illnesses, the living situation with the PwD, the 				
	sample size	e Careç type		Duration	Intervention content		Other outcomes			
Töpfer/2021	29 vs 22	FCG	Individual therapy	6 months	The intervention group (IG) received 12 individual therapy sessions (each 50 min) delivered via telephone from Tele.TAnDem intervention.	relationshi ps: The German Version of the World Health	Caregiver burden; Emotional well-being; Utilization of	illnesses, the living situation with the PwD, the living environment, the employment status, care for any other person than		
van Wezel/2021	202 vs 184	Turkis h or Moroc can backgr ound FCG	Peer support	Two 2- hour interven tions	Two educational sessions on dementia, each last two hours, with other participants (peers) with the same cultural background (Turkish or Moroccan).	The support received: four self- developed questions	The perceived pressure from informal care; The perceived ability to talk about dementia; KAD;	Support received from family, friends or neighbors, and advice received from a doctor: NS. support received from home-care staff: 1		

Author/Year			Participa Interven	ants tion		support MOS Family All findings: NS; Due to g conflict; small sample size. Caregiver burden; Loneliness; Anxiety; Satisfaction with care decisions; Depression;				
	sample size	e Care type	egiver Type e		Duration Intervention content		Other outcomes			
Gustafson Jr/2019	16 vs 15	FCG	Multi- component	6 months	Intervention group receiving D-CHESS. Control group receiving a caregiving book.	MOS	conflict; Caregiver burden; Loneliness; Anxiety; Satisfaction with care decisions;	-		
Czaja/2018	146	FCG	Multi- component	6 months	12, 60-min individual (6 telephone and 6 face-to-face) educational sessions and skill building and 5 support groups by telephone.	Social Support Questionn aire	Depression; Affective distress; Burden; Caregiving Self- Efficacy; Memory related problems, and disruptive behaviors;	Depression, overall burden, overall bother: ↓; Social support, positive aspects of caregiving or obtaining respite services: NS.		

Author/Year				pipants rention		Results		
	sample size	Careg type		be Duratior	n Intervention content	Social support	Other outcomes	
Wilkerson/201 8	60	Inform al CGs	Peer support	6 weeks	Participants were allotted to two private Facebook groups receiving the intervention over the course of six weeks.	MOS	Burden; Frequencies of emotional problems; Learning activities	Burden; ↓; Perceived stress: ↓; Emotional and informational supports: ↑
Smith/2018	16	FCG	Peer support		Carers receiving one-to-one peer support or befriending from volunteers at least a weekly basis.	I	Depression and anxiety; Loneliness	Perceived social support: ↑ ; Depression, anxiety and loneliness: NS.

Author/Year			Participan Interventic			Οι	itcomes	Results
	sample size	e Careg type		Duration	Intervention content	Social C support	other outcomes	
Tremont/201 7	105 vs 94	Inform al CGs	Counselling group	6 months	Trained therapists contacted caregivers 16 times use telephone for 6 months, providing recommendatio ns for resources, information about dementia, and emotional support.	Community support services used times, healthcare resource use	Burden; Depression; Behavior problems	Caregivers who received the FITT-C used community support services significantly more than those receiving TS; FITT-C caregivers had a significantly lower rate of ED visits and hospital stays; Care recipient use of community or medical resources did not differ according to group.
Lykens/2014	494	FCG	Multi- component	6 months	Certified interventionists deliver the intervention included 12 sessions [9 in- home, and 3 telephone sessions], five structured telephone support group sessions	10 item Risk Assessment of feeling isolated, availability of someone to talk to or assist with caregiving	Caregiver Burden; Depression; Self-Care	Caregiver burden and Depression: ↓,Social support and self-care: a slight but not statistically significant increase after the service, which is in the correct direction.

Author/Year			Participa Intervent			0	utcomes	Results
	sample size	e Careo type		Duration	Intervention content	Social outcomes support	Other	
Whitebird/201 3	38 vs 40	Primar y CGs	MBSR	8 weeks	8 weekly 2.5-hr in- person group sessions.	MOS	Stress; Mental Health; Burden	MBSR was more effective at reducing stress, decreasing depression, and improving overall mental health than CCES. Both interventions improved caregiver mental health and were similarly effective at improving anxiety, social support, and burden.
Bass/2013	299 vs 187	FCG	Multi- component	12 months	Partners in Dementia Care: initial assessment; action plan; Ongoing Monitoring and Reassessment	Support resourc e:1) number of informal helpers; 2) use of caregive r support services	Unmet needs; Caregiver strains; Depression	Three types of caregiver strains, depression, unmet needs: ↓ , and two support resources: ↑

Author/Year	-		Participar Interventio			Οι	utcomes	Results
	sample size	e Careg type	giver Type	Durati	on Intervention content	Social support	Other outcomes	
Czaja/2013	36 vs 63	FCG	Multi- component	5 months	A technology based multi- component psychosocial intervention was delivered in- home and via videophone technology over 5 months.	10 items assessing three domains of support: (a) received support(b) satisfaction with support(c) negative interaction s/ supports		Caregiver burden: ↓ ; satisfaction with social support: ↑ ; appreciation of the positive aspects of caregiving: ↑ ;
Easom/2013	85	FCG	Multi- component	mont hs	Nine face-to-face (in the home) and three telephone sessions, tailored education and support.	A Risk Appra Assessment: three questic of social sup	aisal A Risk Appraisal ons Assessmen	1.1

Author/Year			Participa Intervent			question risk appraisal, adaptedrisk areas of advanced 		
	sample size	e Careg type		Duration	n Intervention content		ther outcomes	impact of depression on daily lives, and caregiving frustrations: ↓; Social support: NS. The Video Group demonstrated greater improvement in mental health status. For the Video Group, improvements in neuroticism, self-
Nichols/2011	127	FCG	Multi- component	6 months	The intervention included education, support, and skills training to address 5 caregiving risk areas: safety, social support, problem behaviors, depression, and caregiver health.	question risk appraisal, adapted from	risk areas of advanced care planning, education, safety, health and healthy behaviors, and caregiving	impact of depression on daily lives, and caregiving frustrations:
Marziali/2011	91	FCG	Multi- component	10 weeks	Online Chat Group Intervention: the Chat Group was provided with access to the CFO website for 6 months; Online Video Conferencing Support Group Intervention:10 weekly sessions in mutual self-help mode with 1 of the group members manipulating the technical aspects of the video- conferencing meetings.	MSPSS	Caregiver health; Depressive symptoms; Caregiving	demonstrated greater improvement in mental health status. For the Video Group, improvements in neuroticism, self- efficacy, and social support were associated with lower stress response to coping with the care recipient's decline in function and

Author/Year	<u>.</u>		Participant Intervention			supportsatisfactionBurden; QOLIntervention group'swith socialutilization of familysupportservices was significantlyavailable:decreased at the 18-SSQ6;month follow-up, theFormalroutine care group'ssupportservices utilization had aservices:slight increase.FSSIFSSI					
	sample size	e Caregiv type		Duration	Intervention content		Other outcomes				
Chien/2011	46 vs 46		Multi- component	6 months	DFCP	with social support available: SSQ6; Formal support services:	Burden; QOL	utilization of family services was significantly decreased at the 18- month follow-up, the routine care group's service utilization had a			
Tompkins and Bell/2009	367	FCG	Health educated	12h	12h training		Overall satisfaction; Depression; Overall services used	Depression: ↓ ; Overall services used: ↑ ;			
Chiu/2009	35		Multi- component	6 months	The ICSS supported two Internet-based communication tools: (a) a caregiver information handbook, and (b) personalized e-mail communication between client and clinician.	MSPSS	Family burden; Caregiver's ability; Depression; Perceived overall health; PAC; Care recipients' functioning level	Burden, social support and health behavior: NS; depression: ↓.			

Author/Year			Participan Interventic			Out	comes	Results
	sample size	Careo type		Duration	Intervention content	Social ( support	Other outcomes	
Bank/2006	41	FCG	Multi- component	18mont hs	Professional provides telephone support group	Support Group Questionnai re	NO	Support group attendance: NS; Intervention Improved relationships among family members, and telephone support groups made them more willing to participate in community support groups
Roth/2005	163 vs 149	Spous e CGs	Counselling group	12 months	Counseling and support	Social support network: caregiver's Satisfaction: methods of Stokes; caregivers' reports of the frequency at which they received information or assistance from support persons.	problems; Depression	Intervention group achieved significant increases after 1 year on 8 of the 11 indicators, which were total size of social network, number of close family members, general satisfaction, satisfaction with assistance, satisfaction with emotional support, telephone calls (no. per month), personal visits (no. per month), sitting with patient (no. per month).

Author/Year	Participants Intervention					Outcomes		Results
	sample size	e Careo type		Duration	Intervention content	Social support	Other outcomes	
Hébert/2003	60 vs 56	Primar y CGs	Multi- component	16 weeks	Participants in the study group had fifteen 2-hr weekly sessions focusing on stress appraisal and coping	The Inventory of Socially Supportive Behaviors	Frequency of behavioral and memory problems; Desire to institutionalize; Subjective load	Institutionalization: ↓ ;personal efficacy: ↑ ;other outcomes: NS.
Mercedes/20 02	19 vs 19 vs 20	FCG	Multi- component	8 weeks	1.multicompone nt + respite group; 2. respite group; 3. control group	MOS	Burden	The control group social support: ↓; the multicomponent plus respite group social support: ↑ after10-month follow-up.
Robinson/198 8	11 vs 9	FCG	Health education	8 weeks	Social skill training program	NSSQ	Self-esteem; Social skills; Caregiving burden	Objective and subjective burden: $\downarrow$ ; the treatment group and control group of social support: NS.

FCG: Family caregivers; ICG: Informal caregivers; MSPP : Maastricht Social Participation Profile; HRQoL: Health Related Quality of Life; SSCQ: the Short Sense of Competence Questionnaire; QoL:Quality of Life; The COPE Index-QS: the Carers of Older People in Europe Index-Quality of Social Support;;NR: not reported; SSRS: Social Support Scale; CAI: Caregiver Appraisal Inventory; CBI: Caregiver Burden Inventory; SCSO: Simplified Coping Style Questionnaire; GSES: General Self-Efficacy Scale; 1 : significant improvement; NS: No Significant difference; 1 : significant reduction; MSPSS: Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support; PAC: Positive Aspects of Caregiving; CGs: Caregivers; MOS: Medical Outcomes Study; KAD: Knowledge of Alzheimer's disease/dementia; NSSQ: Norbeck's Social Support Questionnaire; ISEL: Interpersonal Support Evaluation List; MCSP: Dutch Meeting Centers Support Programme; DFCP: Dementia Family Care Programme; SSQ6: Six-item Social Support Questionnaire; FSSI: Family Support Services Index; MBSR: Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction; SCP Plus: Senior Companion Program Plus; PwD: people with dementia; ZBI: Zarit Burden Interview