# Protocol

# **BMJ Open** Restore and Rebuild (R&R): a protocol for a phase 2, randomised control trial to compare R&R as a treatment for moral injury-related mental health difficulties in UK military veterans to treatment as usual

Victoria Williamson (1,2 Dominic Murphy (1,2,3 Amanda Bonson,<sup>2,3</sup> Natasha Biscoe (1,3 Daniel Leightley (1,2,3 Vicky Aldridge,<sup>3</sup> N Greenberg (1,2,3 Vicky Aldridge,<sup>3</sup> Vicky Aldridge,<sup>3</sup> N Greenberg (1,2,3 Vicky Aldridge,<sup>3</sup> N Greenberg (1,2,3 Vicky Aldridge,<sup>3</sup> Vicky Aldridge,<sup></sup>

#### ABSTRACT

To cite: Williamson V, Murphy D, Bonson A, et al. Restore and Rebuild (R&R): a protocol for a phase 2, randomised control trial to compare R&R as a treatment for moral injuryrelated mental health difficulties in UK military veterans to treatment as usual. BMJ Open 2024;14:e082562. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-082562

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-082562).

Received 27 November 2023 Accepted 21 April 2024

#### Check for updates

C Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BM.J.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK <sup>2</sup>King's College London, London, UK

<sup>3</sup>Combat Stress, Leatherhead, UK

**Correspondence to** Dr Victoria Williamson; victoria.williamson@kcl.ac.uk

Background Exposure to potentially morally injurious events is increasingly recognised as a concern across a range of occupational groups, including UK military veterans. Moral injury-related mental health difficulties can be challenging for clinicians to treat and there is currently no validated treatment available for UK veterans. We developed Restore and Rebuild (R&R) as a treatment for UK veterans struggling with moral injury-related mental health difficulties. This trial aims to examine whether it is feasible to conduct a pilot randomised controlled trial (RCT) of R&R treatment compared with a treatment-as-usual (TAU) control group.

Methods We will use a feasibility single-blind, singlesite RCT design. The target population will be UK military veterans with moral injury-related mental health difficulties. We will recruit N=46 veteran patients who will be randomly allocated to R&R (n=23) or TAU (n=23). Patients randomised to R&R will receive the 20-session one-to-one treatment, delivered online. Veterans allocated to TAU, as there are currently no manualised treatments for moral injury-related mental health problems available, will receive the one-to-one treatment (online) typically provided to veterans who enter the mental health service for moral injury-related mental health difficulties. We will collect outcome measures of moral injury, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), alcohol misuse, common mental disorders and trauma memory at pretreatment baseline (before randomisation), end of treatment, 12 weeks and 24 weeks post-treatment. The primary outcome will be the proportion of patients who screen positive for PTSD and moral injury-related distress post-treatment.

Ethics and dissemination This trial will establish whether R&R is feasible, well-tolerated and beneficial treatment for veterans with moral injury-related mental health difficulties. If so, the results of the trial will be widely disseminated and R&R may improve access to effective care for those who struggle following moral injury and reduce the associated negative consequences for veterans, their families and wider society. Trial registration number ISRCTN99573523.

# STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- $\Rightarrow$  A strength of this feasibility, single-blind study is that it will examine patient outcomes following treatment for moral injury, comparing treatment via Restore and Rebuild (R&R) or treatment as usual (TAU).
- $\Rightarrow$  A further strength is the use of mixed-methods assessments, with patient outcomes explored via a range of psychometric measures pre/posttreatment as well as gualitative interviews.
- $\Rightarrow$  An independent steering committee, consisting of veterans and key stakeholders, will be drawn on for guidance throughout the trial.
- $\Rightarrow$  R&R and TAU will be delivered online and it is possible that this may inadvertently exclude some individuals who have limited technological access or literacy.

# **INTRODUCTION**

data mining, AI training, Exposure to potentially morally injurious , and events (PMIEs) is increasingly recognised as a concern across a range of occupational groups, including military personnel, healthcare workers, journalists and emergency services.<sup>1-4</sup> PMIEs include acts of commission, omission and betrayal.<sup>5-8</sup> An example of a commission PMIE in a military context could be using undue force to detain an enemy combatant; whereas an act of omission may be being unable to help civilians on deployment due to restrictive rules of engagement. Betrayal PMIEs can include perceptions of being supplied faulty/inadequate equipment for the deployment mission.

For some individuals, PMIE exposure can contribute towards debilitating negative changes in beliefs about oneself and others (eg, 'I am a horrible person', 'other people

to text

and

<u>s</u>

Protected by copyright, including for uses related

BMJ

don't care about me'), as well as intense feelings of guilt, shame and anger.<sup>5 10 11</sup> 'Moral injury' is a term used to describe these profound cognitive and emotional changes that some individuals can experience after PMIE exposure which violate their moral or ethical code.<sup>10</sup> While moral injury is not a diagnosable mental health condition, experiencing moral injury is significantly associated with a range of poor mental health outcomes, including posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, anxiety and suicidality.<sup>12 13</sup>

Currently, no validated treatment for moral injuryrelated mental health difficulties exists in a UK setting. This represents a considerable concern and a recent UK study found that clinical care teams report feeling uncertain about how to best treat patients with moral injury, in part, due to there being no manualised treatment available.<sup>14</sup> As research in the field of moral injury expands, it is increasingly recognised that, while moral injury and PTSD can co-occur,<sup>15</sup> individuals who struggle following moral injury may have a distinct symptom profile and specific treatment needs.<sup>10</sup> <sup>16</sup> For example, military personnel who report life-threat trauma have been found to experience considerable difficulties with flashbacks, exaggerated startle response and nightmares<sup>7</sup> while those who struggle with moral injury may be more likely to report high levels of guilt, shame, anger, depression and interpersonal difficulties.<sup>11 17</sup> People with a moral injury may also experience a significant deterioration in their intrapersonal and interpersonal relationships.<sup>18</sup> <sup>19</sup> Relationship difficulties can, in turn, reinforce problematic cognitive and behavioural changes such as social withdrawal, isolation and self-contempt associated with guilt, shame and anger.<sup>9 19 20</sup>

It has been argued that existing treatments for PTSD may not fully address the distress experienced by individuals with moral injury.<sup>21 22</sup> Moreover, some authors consider that existing PTSD treatments, such as prolonged exposure (PE), could exacerbate symptoms of guilt and shame in cases of moral injury.<sup>21</sup> Similarly, studies of patients who received trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (TF-CBT) have reported that receiving standardised treatment did not fully address their moral injury-related responses or symptoms of shame or guilt.<sup>23</sup>

In recent years, some treatments have been developed to better meet the needs of patients with moral injury, including Adaptive Disclosure<sup>24-26</sup> and the Impact of Killing (IOK).<sup>27 28</sup> While these preliminary trials have shown promising results, the studies have been primarily restricted to samples of US military personnel/veterans. This presents several limitations. First, treatments such as IOK-which focuses on psychological difficulties experienced after killing-may not be beneficial or applicable to individuals who experience a wider range of PMIEs. Studies with UK military and non-military samples show that acts of commission (including injuring/killing others) are less prevalent than reported PMIE experiences of omission and betrayal.<sup>29-33</sup> Second, it is also possible that both of these treatments, which were developed and

text

tested in US military personnel/veterans, may not entirely fit the needs of those serving in a UK military context. The US and UK militaries have different rules of engagement while on deployment and have been found to have different experiences and reactions to trauma exposure.<sup>34</sup> Therefore, there is a need for a treatment that considers the needs and responses of UK veterans who are struggling with moral injury-related mental health difficulties.

To respond to this gap, Restore and Rebuild (R&R) was developed as a treatment for moral injury-related mental health difficulties. R&R was codesigned with international leading experts in the field of moral injury<sup>35</sup> as well as UK military veterans with lived experience of PMIE exposure and moral injury.<sup>23</sup> Data from a phase 1, feasibility **2** pilot study indicated that the 20-session R&R treatment 8 was acceptable and well tolerated by veteran patients who years also reported a significant reduction in symptoms post-treatment.<sup>36</sup> However, how R&R compares to existing

treatment.<sup>30</sup> However, how R&R compares to existing **F**, including for uses relating trauma-focused treatment typically offered for patients with moral injury remains unclear. **OBJECTIVES**This trial aims to examine whether it is feasible to conduct a pilot randomised controlled trial (RCT) of R&R treatment compared with a treatment-as-usual (TAU) control group. Our target population is seeking UK military group. Our target population is seeking UK military 5 veterans with moral injury-related mental health difficulties. Our primary objective is to examine if it is feasible to recruit, randomise, retain and follow-up participants to either R&R or TAU.

and Our secondary objectives are (1) to compare outcomes related to PTSD and moral injury at 3months and a Our secondary objectives are (1) to compare outcomes 6months post-treatment, compared with pretreatment baseline in our target population of patients receiving R&R versus TAU patients; (2) to examine whether R&R is ≥ acceptable and tolerable to patients and those delivering training, the intervention to inform an integrated process evaluation and (3) to compare outcomes related to well-being and quality of life for the total population of patients randomised to R&R and TAU at 3 months and 6 months post-treatment.

similar technologies This protocol follows the SPIRIT (Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials) reporting guidance (see online supplemental material 1 for SPIRIT checklist).

# **TRIAL DESIGN**

To address these aims, this study will use a feasibility single-blind, single-site RCT design. The target population will be UK military veterans who served in either the British Army, Royal Navy or Air Force with moral injuryrelated mental health difficulties.

Eligible veterans will be identified during their initial assessment for treatment at a UK-wide veterans mental health charity, Combat Stress. We will recruit N=46 veteran patients who will be randomly allocated to R&R

(n=23) or TAU (n=23). Veteran patients allocated to R&R will receive the 20-session one-to-one treatment, delivered online by a Combat Stress clinician. Veterans allocated to TAU, as there are no recommended manualised treatments for moral injury-related mental health problems available at present, will receive the one-to-one treatment (online) typically provided to veterans who enter Combat Stress for moral injury-related mental health difficulties. Veteran patients will complete psychological outcome measures at pretreatment baseline, end of treatment, 12weeks post-treatment and 24weeks posttreatment. Qualitative interviews will be conducted with R&R veteran patients to explore acceptability and feasibility. During this 27-month trial, participant recruitment and treatment will take place between July 2023 and December 2024.

# **METHODS**

#### **Ethical approval**

This study was reviewed and approved by King's College London Research Ethics Committee (HR/ DP-22/23-36849).

## **Study setting**

The study setting is Combat Stress, a leading UK charity delivering trauma-focused care to military veterans across the UK.

#### Patient and public involvement

R&R was codesigned using extensive input from UK veterans as well as leading international experts.<sup>36</sup> In this study, an independent steering committee consisting of UK military veterans, military chaplains, clinicians and leading international experts in the field of moral injury will provide patient and public input on study materials/procedures, monitor study progress, advise the

investigators on scientific/management issues and ensure no major deviations from the study protocol occur.

# **Eligibility criteria**

Veteran patients: Eligible participants for both arms of the trial will be UK military veterans who have completed a clinical assessment of Combat Stress. Veterans must have been clinically assessed to have a military-attributable moral-injury-related mental health difficulty. No limitations on eligibility according to demographic characteristics (eg, gender, age and rank) will be imposed. Moreover, we will not restrict participation by deployment location or military role. Exclusion criteria are listed in table 1.

Exclusion and inclusion criteria will be screened through a review of patient notes following an initial clinical assessment at Combat Stress, as well as during a screening call prior to informed consent. Any patients who do not meet the study inclusion criteria will be referred to services that better meet their needs by the Combat Stress clinician.

Inclusion criteria for qualitative interview: To be eligible **g** for a qualitative interview, the veteran patients must have **o** completed (or dropped out of) the R&R treatment and provided written informed consent, including consent for audio recording the interview.

# Sample size

A power calculation is typically used to determine the sample size needed to detect an effect of a given size with a certain degree of confidence. However, as this is a pilot, exploratory study a calculation has not been performed. Following a pragmatic approach and consistent with previous pilot studies of PTSD and complex PTSD,<sup>37–39</sup> we aim to recruit n=23 individuals per arm (total sample=46). This approach will enable us to answer our research questions and calculate a sample size for an adequately powered, full-scale future trial.

Table 1	Participant exclusion criteria			
	Exclusion criteria			
1	Not aged 18 years or more			
2	Unwilling or unable to provide written informed consent			
3	Do not have military-attributable moral injury-related mental health problems as determined by their clinician			
4	Have speech or hearing difficulties or serious cognitive impairment			
5	Actively self-harming or expressing significant suicidal ideation			
6	Have received trauma-focused individual therapy within last 3 months or have planned concurrent psychological therapy treatment			
7	Experiencing serious cognitive impairment, dissociative identity disorder, other severe mental health difficulty (eg, severe psychotic disorder) or have current alcohol or drug use disorder requiring further support or treatment			
8	Currently experiencing significant life stressors that would impair the participant's ability to engage in therapy (eg, homelessness)			
9	Unwilling to complete R&R or TAU treatment sessions remotely			
10	Have previously participated in R&R treatment in the treatment pilot (Williamson et al)			
R&R, Re	store and Rebuild; TAU, treatment as usual.			

#### Recruitment

When entering Combat Stress clinical service, all presenting veterans receive a comprehensive full clinical assessment by a member of the interdisciplinary team (IDT). PMIE exposure and associated distress will initially be determined via clinician rating as all veterans are asked to provide an overview of their exposure to trauma and related symptoms as part of this assessment. All cases are discussed at a weekly case IDT management meeting. The details of veterans who express symptoms of moral injury-related mental health difficulties, and are deemed ready for therapy by the IDT, will be forwarded to the research team for review. Following a review of the completed assessment, the research team will approach the veteran to book a screening call for the trial. During the screening call, the veteran will then be assessed by the research therapist to confirm that moral injury appears to be their main presenting difficulty. Eligible veterans who are interested in taking part in the trial will then be sent a study information pack, including an information sheet and consent form. Once written consent is received, the research team will invite the veteran to complete the pretreatment baseline measures sent via a secure email link. Following the completion of the well-being measures, veterans will be randomised into R&R or TAU. The research assistant will inform the Combat Stress clinical care team of the outcome so veterans in TAU, or those who opted not to participate in the trial, can be offered the standard treatment.

### Assignment of interventions: allocation and blinding

Veteran patients will be randomly allocated to treatment group to minimise bias. Asymptotic maximal procedure will be used to randomly assign patients to treatment groups.<sup>40</sup> Randomised lists will be generated using an online, closed-source, tool (https://ctrandomization. cancer.gov/tool/). Randomisation will be overseen by DL.

### Interventions

R&R: R&R is a manualised, 20-session talking therapy.<sup>36</sup> Treatment is delivered one-to-one between therapist and patient, remotely via Microsoft Teams. Sessions are 60 min in length and occur weekly, however, a 4-week break in sessions takes place between sessions 19 and 20 (the final session). Following a review of existing treatments and codevelopment with experts and veterans with moral injury,<sup>41</sup> R&R was designed to include moral injury psychoeducation; discussion of the PMIE(s); exploration of postevent changes in beliefs and thought processes; support to adaptively rewrite or update these; and an examination of core values and goals for the future. R&R **•** includes in-session discussions with a therapist, as well as written exercises, thought records and worksheets, completed both inside and outside of sessions by veteran patients. An outline of treatment sessions can be found in table 2.

copyright. TAU: Since there is a lack of validated manualised treatments for moral injury available at present, TAU will be the one-to-one treatment that would typically be provided to veterans who entered Combat Stress for treatment for moral injury-related mental health difficulties. TAU will consist of one-to-one trauma-focused therapy with a therapist from the broader Combat Stress clinical team, delivered online. TAU is expected to include elements of psychoeducation, symptom management and therapy intervention; typically following a related CBT or cognitive processing therapy model.<sup>42</sup> Details of the TAU intervention provided to all TAU-arm participants will be recorded (eg, treatment given and number of sessions).

dai Well-being outcome measures: To analyse the impact of R&R versus TAU on reducing the severity of veteran patient moral injury-related mental health symptoms, several self-report measures will be collected from all veteran patients at various time points pretreatment and . ⊳ post-treatment (see table 1).

The primary outcome measures will be the Moral Injury Outcome Scale,<sup>18</sup> which measures symptoms of moral injury, and the International Trauma Questionnaire45 which measures symptoms of PTSD and complex PTSD.

Sessions 1–2Resource buildingFormulation and emotional regulation strategies concentrating on from the eventSessions 3–8Focusing on the eventRecounting the PMIE via narrative exposure, evaluating responses and determining stuck pointsSessions 9–12Moving on from the eventCognitive restructuring of core beliefs about self as well as others to examination of key themes including power, control and trustSessions 13–18Rebuilding connectionsOvercoming shame through sharing of PMIE narrative. Developing power to be and power to be power to be and power to be power to be and power to be and power to be and power to be and power to be power to be and power	Table 2 Outline of R&R treatment sessions							
Sessions 9–12Moving on from the eventCognitive restructuring of core beliefs about self as well as others t examination of key themes including power, control and trustSessions 13–18Rebuilding connectionsOvercoming shame through sharing of PMIE narrative. Developing	ostering self-							
examination of key themes including power, control and trust Sessions 13–18 Rebuilding connections Overcoming shame through sharing of PMIE narrative. Developing	to the event							
	hrough							
goals to help rebuild a value-centred life and enhance connections Review barriers to recovery. Incorporating self-compassion into da	with others.							
Sessions 19–20 Ending Reviewing progress, maintaining gains and plans for future, signport further needs identified	sting if							

Maaaa	Baseline	Cassian 10	Find of two otwo out	Marke wast two stores at	24 weeks post-
Measure	pretreatment	Session 19	End of treatment	Weeks post-treatment	treatment
MIOS	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
MORIS	Х		Х	Х	Х
PCL-5	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
ITQ	Х		Х	Х	Х
PHQ-9	Х		Х	Х	Х
DAR-5	Х		Х	Х	Х
AUDIT	Х		Х	Х	Х
OSSS-3	Х		Х	Х	Х
SWEMWBS	Х		Х	Х	Х
SF-12	Х		Х	Х	Х
MI memory perspective measure	Х		Х	Х	Х
Health economics information	Х		Х		Х

MI Memory Perspective Measure=measure of MI memory perspective, adapted from Wells and Papageorgiou.<sup>52</sup>

AUDIT, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; DAR-5, The Dimensions of Anger Reactions-5; ITQ, International Trauma Questionnaire ; MI, moral injury; MIOS, Moral Injury Outcome Scale; MORIS, Moral Injury Scale ; OSSS-3, Oslo Social Support Scale-3; PCL-5, PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 ; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9; SF-12, Short Form Health Survey ; SWEMWBS, Short Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale.

Secondary outcome measures will also include the Moral Injury Scale (Williamson et al, under review), which measures moral injury-related distress. Symptoms of PTSD will be measured using PTSD Checklist for The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5).44 The Patient Health Questionnaire-9<sup>45</sup> will be used to measure symptoms of depression. The Dimensions of Anger Reactions scale-5<sup>46</sup> will be used to measure anger and the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test<sup>47</sup> will be used to measure alcohol usage. Social support will be measured using the Oslo Social Support Scale-3.<sup>48</sup> The Short Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale<sup>49 50</sup> will be used to measure general mental well-being. The Short Form Health Survey-12 will be used to measure physical health outcomes.<sup>51</sup> Finally, a short measure of moral injury memory perspective will be used, adapted from Wells and Papageorgiou.<sup>52</sup> This measure will be used to record the veteran patients' trauma memory perspective and whether this viewpoint changes during treatment (online supplemental material 2).

Additional information and measures: Demographic information (eg, age, gender, military branch and years of military service) will be collected from veteran patients at baseline. Health economics information will also be collected (at baseline, end of treatment and 24weeks post-treatment, see table 3) to explore whether, over the last 6 months, the veteran patient's mental health has led to their: having had days off work; visits to Accident and Emergency departments and/or hospital; visits to their general practitioner; contact with the police or use of mental health helplines (eg, Samaritans). Treatment-related data will be collected relating to the number of R&R and TAU sessions attended, the number and nature of any serious adverse events, the number of patients who dropped out after the first treatment session, and any patients who are lost to follow-up. Serious adverse events will be defined according to the National Research Ethics Service Guidelines.<sup>53</sup>

Qualitative interviews: To gain an in-depth understanding of whether R&R is acceptable and well tolerated, up to 23 veteran patients will be invited to interview at the end of their treatment by a study researcher (VW). Any veterans who drop-out of R&R treatment will also be approached and invited to interview to explore their experiences of treatment. Prior to interviews, veterans will be informed that their interviews will be anonymised with identifying information removed on transcription and their participation in the interview will not impact the care they receive from Combat Stress or other services.

care they receive from Combat Stress or other services. The interview schedule (online supplemental material 3) will be informed by the research aims, the wider moral injury literature and previous qualitative studies of experiences of psychological treatment for moral injury.<sup>89111754</sup> Interviews will focus on veterans' experiences of accessing psychological treatment, their perceptions of being offered a novel treatment for moral injury and taking part in the RCT, their experience of receiving R&R, aspects of the R&R treatment that did/did not work well, the impact of R&R on their daily functioning and wellbeing, barriers and facilitators to treatment and perceptions of any outstanding support needs. Veteran patients who received TAU will not be interviewed as considerable evidence already exists regarding perceptions of existing psychological treatments for moral injury-related mental health difficulties.<sup>17 23 55</sup> Interviews will be conducted by telephone or MS Teams and audio recorded with patient consent. Interviews will be transcribed verbatim, with audio recordings destroyed following transcription. It is beyond the scope of this study to share transcripts with participants for triangulation.

#### **Planned data analysis**

Quantitative: STATA V.17 will be used to analyse the data. Descriptive statistics will be calculated for baseline, follow-up and change scores for outcome measures with paired t-tests used to test for significant changes in scores from baseline and between treatment groups (R&R vs TAU). Descriptive statistics will also be used to examine the treatment delivery information (eg, number of sessions attended and drop-out) to explore acceptability and feasibility. Should there be missing data, multiple imputation methods will be used.

Qualitative: Interviews with R&R veteran patients (N=23) will be analysed using thematic analysis.<sup>56</sup> Interview data will be preliminary coded using an inductive 'bottom-up' approach. Researchers will familiarise themselves with the data by reading and re-reading the transcripts; they will generate early codes; search for and generate preliminary themes; and then finalise superordinate themes. Credibility will be checked via analytical triangulation using reflective discussions with coauthors. A reflexive journal will be also kept<sup>57</sup> in order to note the influence of the researchers' views, expectations or assumptions, and experiences to prevent premature or biased interpretation of the data.

#### **Data management**

We will use Qualtrics to securely collect self-report questionnaire assessments at baseline, session 19, posttreatment, and 12weeks and 24weeks post-treatment. Following questionnaire completion, data will be stored on secure KCL servers. Each veteran patient will be assigned a unique ID, and all study data will be labelled with ID (not name). A document linking patient ID and personal details and contact information will be stored separately from other data, with access restricted to the research team directly involved in collecting data and delivering treatment. At the end of the trial, the linking document including personal/contact information will be deleted. Pseudonymised study databases will be examined, cleaned, locked and signed off by senior authors prior to securely sharing with the study statistician (DL). Once the main trial analyses are completed and published, we plan to make a sufficiently anonymised version of the main study databases available on a public repository for use by other researchers.

#### Adverse events reporting and harms

Protocols for managing any risk or safeguarding concerns will be followed, and any potential adverse events will be

recorded and monitored in line with the study adverse events protocol and Combat Stress standard operating procedures. Potential adverse events will be recorded, logged and monitored by the study clinicians and senior authors, and serious adverse events will be reported to the study's independent steering committee and the director of Combat Stress.

# Participant withdrawal or discontinuation

Veteran patients in both arms will be free to withdraw from  $\neg$ the trial at any point, without giving a reason and without their legal rights or care being affected. The study team may also withdraw veteran patients if they consider their continuation to be harmful. The study team will review all **Z** occurrences of adverse events, whether events are consid- 8 ered to be attributable to the trial, and decide whether the veteran patient should be withdrawn from the study. Non-identifiable data from veteran patients who have been withdrawn from the study will be used to assess trial feasibility. Patient engagement may be ceased based on adverse events. In the case of an adverse event, the clinician will notify the study team. The study team will review this information and evaluate whether the event could this information and evaluate whether the event could reasonably be attributed to the R&R or TAU intervention or participation in the trial. All instances of adverse events will be reviewed as to whether or not they are considered to be attributable to the interventions (R&R vs TAU) or 5 trial, and, based on this information, determine whether text the participant should be withdrawn and/or if the trial should continue, be suspended or cease. and

# **Treatment fidelity**

The R&R intervention will be delivered by an experienced psychotherapist (AB) who has already been trained in R&R delivery. The therapist will be supervised by VA and DM for the duration of the study. A selection of treatment Al training, and sessions will be audio recorded and assessed for treatment integrity and fidelity.

# **Ethics and dissemination**

We will share a summary of trial outcomes with veteran patients and disseminate the findings widely to reach a <u>0</u> variety of stakeholders. For example, we will publish study outcomes in open-access articles in journals to reach academic and clinical audiences; present findings at both national and international conferences; create tailored reports for policy-makers and care providers; and share **o** findings via our institutional website, newsletters, blogs **g** and social media platforms.

This trial, which was reviewed and approved by King's College London Research Ethics Committee, aims to explore the feasibility and acceptability of delivering a targeted psychological therapy (R&R) to veterans presenting with moral injury-related mental health difficulties, compared with current usual treatment provision. If R&R is found to be feasible, well tolerated and beneficial, R&R may improve access to effective interventions for those who struggle following moral injury and reduce

# **Trial status**

Participant recruitment and treatment is expected to begin in July 2023 and continue until December 2024.

## X Victoria Williamson @VWilliamson\_psy

**Contributors** All authors (VW, DM, NG, VA, AB, NB and DL) contributed to the design of the study. All coauthors (VW, DM, NG, VA, AB, NB and DL) contributed towards drafting the manuscript and reviewed and approved the manuscript prior to submission.

Funding This research was funded by the Forces in Mind Trust.

**Disclaimer** The funder did not have a role in the design of the study, data analysis, writing of the manuscript or submission of this article.

**Competing interests** Authors had financial support from a grant from the Forces in Mind Trust for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work. DM is a trustee for the funder of this project, the Forces in Mind Trust. DL is a reservist in the UK Armed Forces. This work has been undertaken as part of his civilian employment. For the purposes of open access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license to any Accepted Author Manuscript version arising from this submission.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### **ORCID iDs**

Victoria Williamson http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3110-9856 Dominic Murphy http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9530-2743 Natasha Biscoe http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3471-6472 Daniel Leightley http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9512-752X N Greenberg http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4550-2971

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Williamson V, Stevelink SAM, Greenberg N. Occupational moral injury and mental health: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2018;212:339–46.
- 2 Williamson V, Lamb D, Hotopf M, et al. Moral injury and psychological wellbeing in UK healthcare staff. *Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology* [Preprint] 2022.
- 3 Papazoglou K, Chopko B. The role of moral suffering (moral distress and moral injury) in police compassion fatigue and PTSD: an unexplored topic. *Front Psychol* 2017;8:1999.
- 4 Feinstein A, Pavisian B, Storm H. Journalists covering the refugee and migration crisis are affected by moral injury not PTSD. *JRSM Open* 2018;9.

- 5 Williamson V, Murphy D, Phelps A, et al. Moral injury: the effect on mental health and implications for treatment. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2021;8:453–5.
- 6 Bryan CJ, Bryan AO, Anestis MD, *et al*. Measuring moral injury: psychometric properties of the moral injury events scale in two military samples. *Assessment* 2016;23:557–70.
- 7 Bryan CJ, Bryan AO, Roberge E, *et al.* Moral injury, posttraumatic stress disorder, and suicidal behavior among national guard personnel. *Psychol Trauma* 2018;10:36–45.
- 8 Phelps AJ, Adler AB, Belanger SAH, et al. Addressing moral injury in the military. BMJ Mil Health 2024;170:51–5.
- 9 Bonson A, Murphy D, Aldridge V, *et al.* Conceptualization of moral injury: a socio-cognitive perspective. J Mil Veteran Fam Health 2023;9:75–81.
- 10 Litz BT, Stein N, Delaney E, et al. Moral injury and moral repair in war veterans: a preliminary model and intervention strategy. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2009;29:695–706.
- 11 Griffin BJ, Purcell N, Burkman K, *et al*. Moral injury: an integrative review. *J Trauma Stress* 2019;32:350–62.
- 12 Biscoe N, Bonson A, Nickerson A, *et al.* Factors associated with exposure to potentially morally injurious events (Pmies) and moral injury in a clinical sample of veterans. Eur J Trauma Dissoc 2023;7:100343.
- 13 Williamson V, Greenberg N, Murphy D. Predictors of moral injury in UK treatment seeking veterans. *Child Abuse Negl* 2021;112:104889.
- 14 Williamson V, Murphy D, Stevelink SAM, et al. Delivering treatment to morally injured UK military personnel and veterans: the clinician experience. *Mil Psychol* 2021;33:115–23.
- 15 Koenig HG, Youssef NA, Pearce M. Assessment of moral injury in veterans and active duty military personnel with PTSD: a review. *Front Psychiatry* 2019;10:443.
- 16 Nash WP, Litz BT. Moral injury: a mechanism for war-related psychological trauma in military family members. *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev* 2013;16:365–75.
- 17 Williamson V, Murphy D, Stevelink SAM, et al. The impact of trauma exposure and moral injury on UK military veterans: a qualitative study. Eur J Psychotraumatol 2020;11:1704554.
- 18 Yeterian JD, Berke DS, Carney JR, et al. Defining and measuring moral injury: rationale, design, and preliminary findings from the moral injury outcome scale consortium. J Trauma Stress 2019;32:363–72.
- 19 Williamson V, Murphy D, Stevelink SAM, et al. Family and occupational functioning following military trauma exposure and moral injury. BMJ Mil Health 2023;205–11.
- 20 Frankfurt S, Frazier P. A review of research on moral injury in combat veterans. *Military Psychology* 2016;28:318–30.
- 21 Maguen S, Burkman K. Combat-related killing: expanding evidencebased treatments for PTSD. Cogn Behav Pract 2013;20:476–9.
- 22 Steinmetz S, Gray M. Treatment for distress associated with accurate appraisals of self-blame for moral transgressions. *Curr Psychiatry Rev* 2015;11:207–19.
- 23 Bonson A, Murphy D, Aldridge V, et al. Veterans' experiences of moral injury, treatment and recommendations for future support. BMJ Mil Health 2023.:e002332.
- 24 Litz BT, Lebowitz L, Gray MJ, et al. Adaptive disclosure: a new treatment for military trauma, loss, and moral injury. The Guildford Press; 2017. Available: https://books.google.co.uk/books?hl= en&Ir=&id=w20sDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Adaptive+ Disclosure%3B+Litz+et+al.,+2017&ots=PuKBQC8yBg&sig=Pm54\_ VyKSnadr80KdsrlhvgEiK4#v=onepage&q=Adaptive%20Disclosure% 3B%20Litz%20et%20al.%2C%202017&f=false
- 25 Gray MJ, Schorr Y, Nash W, *et al*. Adaptive disclosure: an open trial of a novel exposure-based intervention for service members with combat-related psychological stress injuries. *Behav Ther* 2012;43:407–15.
- 26 Litz BT, Rusowicz-Orazem L, Doros G, *et al.* Adaptive disclosure, a combat-specific PTSD treatment, versus cognitive-processing therapy, in deployed marines and sailors: a randomized controlled non-inferiority trial. *Psychiatry Res* 2021;297:113761.
- 27 Maguen S, Burkman K, Madden E, et al. Impact of killing in war: a randomized, controlled pilot trial. J Clin Psychol 2017;73:997–1012.
- 28 Purcell N, Burkman K, Keyser J, et al. Healing from moral injury: a qualitative evaluation of the impact of killing treatment for combat veterans. J Aggress Maltreat Trauma 2018;27:645–73.
- 29 Williamson V, Murphy D, Greenberg N. Experiences and impact of moral injury in U.K. Veterinary professional wellbeing. *Eur J Psychotraumatol* 2022;13:2051351.
- 30 Williamson V, Lamb D, Hotopf M, *et al*. Moral injury and psychological wellbeing in UK healthcare staff. *J Ment Health* 2023;32:890–8.

# **Open access**

- 31 Aldridge V, Scott H, Paskell R. Military behavioral health investigating the relationship between guilt and shame proneness and moral injury in veterans that have experienced active deployment. *Military Behavioral Health* 2019;7:83–91.
- 32 Peris J, Hanna P, Perman G. "It's all very well for politicians in whitehall to run a war, but they're not on the ground": U.K. Military veterans' experiences of betrayal-based moral injury.. *Traumatology* (*Tallahass Fla*) 2022.
- 33 Hoge CW, Castro CA, Messer SC, et al. Combat duty in Iraq and Afghanistan, mental health problems, and barriers to care. N Engl J Med 2004;351:13–22.
- 34 Sundin J, Fear NT, Iversen A, *et al.* PTSD after deployment to Iraq: conflicting rates, conflicting claims. *Psychol Med* 2010;40:367–82.
- 35 Serfioti D, Murphy D, Greenberg N, et al. Professionals' perspectives on relevant approaches to psychological care in moral injury: a qualitative study. J Clin Psychol 2023;79:2404–21.
- 36 Williamson V, Murphy D, Bonson A, et al. A feasibility pilot study of a co-designed intervention for moral injury-related mental health difficulties. Eur J Psychotraumatol 2023;14:2256204.
- 37 Karatzias T, Mc Glanaghy E, Cloitre M. Enhanced skills training in affective and Interpersonal regulation (ESTAIR): a new modular treatment for ICD-11 complex posttraumatic stress disorder (CPTSD). *Brain Sci* 2023;13:1300.
- 38 NCT04752072. Clinical trial: enhanced skills training in affective and Interpersonal regulation (ESTAIR) for veterans with CPTSD: A pilot RCT. 2021. Available: https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT04752072
- 39 Brady F, Chisholm A, Walsh E, et al. Narrative exposure therapy for survivors of human trafficking: feasibility randomised controlled trial. BJPsych Open 2021;7.
- 40 Zhao W, Berger VW, Yu Z. The asymptotic maximal procedure for subject randomization in clinical trials. *Stat Methods Med Res* 2018;27:2142–53.
- 41 Serfioti D, Murphy D, Greenberg N, et al. Effectiveness of treatments for symptoms of post-trauma related guilt, shame and anger in military and civilian populations: a systematic review. BMJ Mil Health 2022.:e002155.
- 42 Murray H, Ehlers A. Cognitive therapy for moral injury in posttraumatic stress disorder. *tCBT* 2021;14.
- 43 Cloitre M, Shevlin M, Brewin CR, et al. The International trauma questionnaire: development of a self-report measure of ICD-11 PTSD and complex PTSD. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2018;138:536–46.

- 44 Weathers FW, Litz BT, Herman DS, et al. The PTSD checklist (PCL): reliability, validity, and diagnostic utility. Annual Meeting of International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies; 1993
- 45 Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The PHQ-9. J Gen Intern Med 2001;16:606–13.
- 46 Forbes D, Alkemade N, Mitchell D, et al. Utility of the dimensions of anger reactions-5 (Dar-5) scale as a brief anger measure. *Depress Anxiety* 2014;31:166–73.
- 47 Babor TF, Higgins-Biddle JC, Saunders JB, *et al.* AUDIT-the alcohol use disorders identification test- guidelines for use in primary care. *J Psychopharmacol* 2001.
- 48 Kocalevent R-D, Berg L, Beutel ME, et al. Social support in the general population: standardization of the oslo social support scale (OSSS-3). BMC Psychol 2018;6:31:31:.
- 49 Tennant R, Hiller L, Fishwick R, et al. The Warwick-Edinburgh mental well-being scale (WEMWBS): development and UK validation. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2007;5:63.
- 50 Stewart-Brown S, Tennant A, Tennant R, et al. Internal construct validity of the Warwick-Edinburgh mental well-being scale (WEMWBS): a rasch analysis using data from the Scottish health education population survey. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2009;7:15:1–8:.
- 51 Ware JE, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12 item short form health survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of Reliability and validity. *Med Care* 1996.
- 52 Wells A, Papageorgiou C. The observer perspective: biased imagery in social Phobia, Agoraphobia, and blood/injury Phobia. *Behav Res Ther* 1999;37:653–8.
- 53 Hull and East Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust. A handbook for researchers involved in non-CTIMP studies. 2015.
- 54 Drescher KD, Currier JM, Nieuwsma JA, et al. A qualitative examination of VA chaplains' understandings and interventions related to moral injury in military veterans. J Relig Health 2018;57:2444–60.
- 55 Schorr Y, Stein NR, Maguen S, *et al.* Sources of moral injury among war veterans: a qualitative evaluation. *J Clin Psychol* 2018;74:2203–18.
- 56 Clarke V, Braun V. Thematic analysis. J Posit Psychol 2017;12:297-8.
- 57 Dodgson JE. Reflexivity in qualitative research. *J Hum Lact* 2019;35:220–2.

Supplementary Material 4 - Participant Consent Form





Patient Identification Number for this study:

# **Consent Form**

Psychological treatment for moral injury in UK Armed Forces Veterans

Name of researcher: Dr Victoria Williamson & Prof Dominic Murphy

#### Please initial box

1.	I confirm that I have read and understood the information sheet and privacy notice for the above study [Veteran Patient Information Sheet V3 07.07.23 & Patient Privacy Notice Statement 14.4.23 V1.] I have had the opportunity to consider the information, ask questions and have had these answered satisfactorily.	
2.	I understand that my participation is voluntary and that I am free to stop participating at any time without giving any reason, without my care or legal rights being affected.	
3.	I understand I have until 1 month after data collection to withdraw my data if I take part in a study assessment.	
4.	I understand that all data will be stored to preserve confidentiality as described in the information sheet. I understand that nothing will be published in any research reports which could identify me.	
5.	I consent to the processing of my personal information for the purposes explained to me in the Privacy Notice. I understand that such information will be handled in accordance with the terms of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the UK Data Protection Act 2018	
6.	I understand the information I provide Combat Stress for the purpose of this research study will be anonymised and shared with researchers at King's College London.	
7.	I understand that the information I provide will be retained for a period of 10 years following completion of the study and then destroyed.	
8.	I agree to my post-treatment interview with a researcher being audio recorded.	
9.	I agree to my data from this interview being shared with a third-party transcriber who will have signed a confidentiality agreement.	
10	I agree to take part in the above study	

# Name of Participant

Date

Signature

Thank you for agreeing to take part in this research

When completed, 1 for patient; 1 for researcher site file; 1 (original) to be kept in medical notes.

# **Supplementary Material 3**

# Indicative guide for in-depth interviews with R&R veteran patients

# Topics to explore in the interview.

- a. How have you found getting mental health treatment from Combat Stress so far?
  - i. What made you want to seek help?
  - ii. Were there any issues or concerns you were hoping treatment would help with?
- b. How did you find being offered the R&R treatment?
  - i. What did you hope to get out of taking part in this treatment?
  - ii. Did you have any concerns at this stage?
  - iii. Was there any more information you would have liked to have had?
- c. How did you get on with the initial questionnaires and consent forms?
  - i. Was there anything that you found difficult in filling in the questionnaires/consent forms?
  - ii. Was there anything that could've been made easier for you here?
- d. What did you think about the treatment being online/remote?
  - i. How do you think this compares to a F2F treatment?
- e. What aspects of treatment have gone well?
- f. When do you find time to work through your homework?
  - i. What things help you to engage? What things can get in the way?
- g. Has the way that you think about the event(s) that brought you to therapy changed? If so, how?
- h. Are there any changes to the treatment you think would be helpful?
  - i. What features would you alter? Why?
- i. How do you feel about managing your emotional/psychological difficulties having finished treatment?
  - i. Has your knowledge or confidence changed since accessing treatment?
- j. Has there been any change in your family life since taking up the treatment?
- k. Have you become aware of any new sources of support as a result of being part of the treatment?

- I. How does this treatment compare to treatments you have had previously or other treatments you are aware of?
- m. How do you feel about the number or length of sessions? Are there not enough or too many or just right?
- n. In an ideal world, is there any other support or help you would've liked to receive?
  - i. Could anything have been made easier for you/others to keep engaging with treatment?
- o. Have you spoken with other people about the treatment you've received?
- p. Is there anything we can do to make sure the treatments works well for other veterans in future?

# Issues to explore in the interview for those who dropped out

- q. At what stage did you begin to feel like treatment wasn't suitable for you?
- r. Was there anything you didn't feel you were getting from the treatment that could be improved?
- s. Did you have any particular needs you didn't feel were addressed by treatment?

# Supplementary Material 2 Trauma Memory Perspective

The event(s) or experience(s) related to moral injury which have brought you to this treatment will be stored as images in your memory. Thinking about the image that you have in your mind (you can close your eyes if this is helpful), is your predominant impression one of viewing the situation as if looking out through your eyes, observing the details of what is going on around you, or is your predominant impression one in which you are observing yourself, that is, as if you were outside of yourself, looking at yourself from an external point of view? Please look at this scale and give me a rating of your perspective.

