To cite: Zhang Z, Fan W,

Yang G, et al. Risk of

treated with TNF- α

012567

012567).

tuberculosis in patients

antagonists: a systematic

review and meta-analysis of

randomised controlled trials.

BMJ Open 2017;7:e012567.

doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-

Prepublication history and

available. To view please visit

the journal (http://dx.doi.org/

10.1136/bmjopen-2016-

Received 9 May 2016

Revised 22 February 2017

Accepted 24 February 2017

additional material is

BMJ Open Risk of tuberculosis in patients treated with TNF-α antagonists: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials

Zheng Zhang,¹ Wei Fan,^{1,2} Gui Yang,³ Zhigao Xu,² June Wang,¹ Qingyuan Cheng,¹ Mingxia Yu^{1,3}

ABSTRACT

Objectives: An increased risk of tuberculosis (TB) has been reported in patients treated with TNF- α antagonists, an issue that has been highlighted in a WHO black box warning. This review aimed to assess the risk of TB in patients undergoing TNF- α antagonists treatment.

Methods: A systematic literature search for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) was performed in MEDLINE. Embase and Cochrane library and studies selected for inclusion according to predefined criteria. ORs with 95% CIs were calculated using the randomeffect model. Subgroup analyses considered the effects of drug type, disease and TB endemicity. The quality of evidence was assessed using the Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach.

Results: 29 RCTs involving 11 879 patients were included (14 for infliximab, 9 for adalimumab, 2 for colimumab. 1 for etanercept and 3 for certolizumab pegol). Of 7912 patients allocated to TNF- α antagonists, 45 (0.57%) developed TB, while only 3 cases occurred in 3967 patients allocated to control groups, resulting in an OR of 1.94 (95% CI 1.10 to 3.44, p=0.02). Subgroup analyses indicated that patients of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) had a higher increased risk of TB when treated with TNF- α antagonists (OR 2.29 (1.09 to 4.78), p=0.03). The level of the evidence was recommended as 'low' by the GRADE system.

Conclusions: Findings from our meta-analysis indicate that the risk of TB may be significantly increased in patients treated with TNF- α antagonists. However, further studies are needed to reveal the biological mechanism of the increased TB risk caused by TNF- α antagonists treatment.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

CrossMark

Correspondence to Dr Mingxia Yu; dewrosy520@163.com

INTRODUCTION

Tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) is a pleiotropic cytokine that plays a central role in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis (RA), inflammatory bowel disease (IBD),

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This meta-analysis evaluated the tuberculosis (TB) risk of all TNF- α antagonists across a variety of conditions in randomised controlled trials (RCTs) with low heterogeneity.
- In addition to the diseases most commonly treated by TNF- α antagonists (rheumatoid arthritis, ulcerative colitis, ankylosing spondylitis and psoriatic arthritis), the review included studies that involved patients with asthma, sarcoidosis and graft-versus-host disease.
- The quality of the evidence was assessed using the GRADE approach, which has been recommended for grading evidence by the British Medical Journal since 2006.
- The relatively short follow-up period in the RCTs might have caused an underestimation of the TB rates.

ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and other ≥ immune-mediated or inflammation-related training, diseases.¹ Therefore, it is a critical molecular member in targeted biological interventions,² and the advent of TNF-α-directed targeted therapies represents a major advance in the treatment and management of conditions such as RA, psoriatic arthritis (PsA) and IBD,^{3–5} improving the quality of life for these patients.⁶ Increasingly, evidence indicate that TNF- α antagonists may possess promising therapeutic potential in many **o** TNF-α-mediated diseases. Our previous study **o** showed that TNF- α played a critical role in § the occurrence and development of inflammation and tumour, and the TNF-a monoclonal antibody which we prepared as a TNF- α antagonist significantly suppressed the growth of breast cancer in an animal model.⁷

To date, five TNF- α antagonists have been used in clinical practice: etanercept, adalimumab, infliximab, golimumab and certolizumab pegol. Although their therapeutic

simi

BMJ

efficacy has been confirmed, the side effects of these TNF-a antagonists need to be considered carefully in clinical practice.⁸ An increased risk of tuberculosis (TB) among patients receiving TNF-a antagonists has been observed.⁹ and several meta-analyses have evaluated the risk of TB in patients treated with TNF-a antagonists or with specific conditions.^{10–13} Nevertheless, the association between TNF-a antagonists and an increased risk of TB remains uncertain.

With the aim of further clarifying the issue, this meta-analysis compared the risk of TB between TNF-a antagonists treatment and control groups in randomised controlled trials (RCTs) focusing on any disease condition. A secondary objective was to investigate the association of the rate of active TB with the type of medication, the disease condition and the location of study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The review was conducted according to the Preferred Systematic Reporting Items for **Reviews** and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement.¹⁴

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

We performed a search for all published RCTs that reported TB risk among patients treated with any of the existing five TNF-a antagonists: etanercept (ETN), adalimumab (ADA), infliximab (IFX), golimumab (GOL) and certolizumab pegol (CZP). Studies were selected for inclusion according to predefined inclusion criteria:

- ▶ Participants: Adults (aged 16 years or older) with any disease included in studies of any of the five TNF-a antagonists.
- ▶ *Interventions*: TNF-α antagonists ETN, ADA, IFX, GOL or CZP with or without standard-care treatment for any medical condition.
- Comparators: Placebo with or without standard-care treatment or standard-care treatment alone.
- Outcomes: Diagnosis of TB, TB reactivation, miliary or cavitary TB of the lung or any other body organ.
- ► Study design: RCTs.

The exclusion criteria included: (1) duplicated studies or studies based on unoriginal data, (2) studies that did not report TB incidence, (3) studies that did not observe TB events and (4) articles not published in English.

Data sources and search strategies

We systematically searched for reports of trials and systematic reviews up to December 2015 from the following online databases: MEDLINE, Embase and Cochrane Library. No restrictions were imposed with regard to region and time. To identify all RCTs, a highly sensitive search strategy developed on the basis of Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions was applied, which combined with the following key terms: 'etanercept', 'adalimumab', 'infliximab', 'golimumab',

'certolizumab' and 'TNF-a antagonist' (The MEDLINE search strategy is provided in online supplementary appendix 1). In addition, the reference lists of all topic-related review articles, reports or meta-analyses were searched for potentially relevant studies.

Selection of studies

Two reviewers independently screened the titles and abstracts of all records retrieved by the searches and identified studies that were potentially eligible for inclu-Τ sion. Full-text versions were obtained, and these were otected independently assessed for eligibility by two reviewers to inclusion and exclusion according criteria. Disagreements between reviewers at both stages of screening were resolved by discussion and consensus.

Data extraction and methodological guality assessment

by copyright, includ Data extraction was conducted independently by two investigators, and discrepancies were resolved through discussion. For each included study, we extracted essential information, including publication details, sample size, characteristics of trial participants, timing of assessment, interventions/comparisons, incidence cases of TB, performance of TB screening prior to therapy and geographic location of the study classified according to s rela the incidence rate (IR) of TB (WHO, incidence TB estimation, 2014). Countries with an IR $\geq 40/100000$ are considered as high-incidence TB areas. The methodoç text logical quality of all included RCTs was assessed using the Cochrane collaboration's tool. The tool contains seven dimensions: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and persondata nel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting and other bias. Studies ining, Al training, were considered as low risk of bias when all these key aspects were assessed to be at low risk.

Statistical analysis

Principal statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager 5.2 software according to the Cochrane handbook. On the basis of events reported by included studies, the number of patients developing TB was com-<u>0</u> pared between the placebo-controlled or standard-care populations and patients receiving at least one dose of TNF-α antagonists. Statistical heterogeneity among results was evaluated by using the I² statistic with the significance level set at 0.1. Meta-analyses were performed using the random-effects model. Results were presented as OR and its 95% CI. An OR >1 suggests a higher risk **3** of TB than the control. Publication bias was tested by funnel plots, Egger's regression method and Begg's rank correlation method, using Stata software (V.11.0, College Station, Texas, USA). To evaluate the influence of all single studies on the pooled outcome, we also performed sensitivity analysis through the leave-one-out approach. Stratified analyses were performed by type of medication, disease being treated and estimated TB rates of studies' geographic locations.

We assessed the quality of evidence using the Grades of Assessment, Development Recommendation, and Evaluation (GRADE) methods.¹⁵ GRADEprofiler 3.6 software was applied to create the evidence profile. The GRADE approach categorises the quality of evidence as follows: (1) high quality (further research is extremely unlikely to change the credibility of the pooled results); (2) moderate quality (further research is likely to influence the credibility of pooled results and may change the estimate); (3) low quality (further research is extremely likely to influence the credibility of pooled results and is likely to change the estimate) and (4) very quality (the pooled results have low extreme uncertainty).

RESULTS

Search results

A total of 6843 study records were identified following the search strategy; 2773 references were left after removing duplicates. After title and abstract screening, 187 references progressed to the next stage, in which articles were re-evaluated based on full texts. Ultimately, 27 RCTs met the inclusion criteria and were included in our meta-analysis. In addition, two records were added after checking the references of previous systematic reviews.¹⁶ ¹⁷ The PRISMA flow diagram of study selection is presented in figure 1.

Study characteristics and methodological guality

The 29 included studies involved a total of 11879 patients.^{16–44} The duration of outcome assessment in included studies ranged from 8 weeks to 3 years.



Figure 1 PRISMA flow diagram of study selection.

Fourteen trials assessed infliximab, two trials assessed golimumab, nine trials assessed adalimumab, one trial assessed etanercept and three trials assessed certolizumab pegol. Thirteen RCTs were in areas with a low IR of TB and eleven in areas with a high incidence; this information was unavailable in the remaining five RCTs (table 1). TB screening was reported in 26 RCTs but was not carried out in 3 trials. A total of 45 TB cases occurred among 7912 patients treated with TNF-a antagonists and only 3 cases developed in 3967 patients in the control groups (see online supplementary otected appendix 2). The methodological quality assessments of included studies are summarised in online supplementary appendix 3.

TB risk and TNF- α antagonists

by copyright, Pooled analysis determined that treatment with TNF-a antagonists was associated with an increased occurrence of TB compared with control groups (OR 1.94 (1.10, 3.44), p=0.02; figure 2). No significant heterogeneity was detected (I²=0%). The funnel plot revealed no obvious Bul asymmetry in distribution, suggesting a low likelihood of publication bias (see online supplementary appendix 4), r uses and this was statistically confirmed by Begg's test (p=0.348) and Egger's regression asymmetry test related (p=0.321). Sensitivity analysis using random-effects model suggested that pooled result was not affected substantially by any of the included studies (see online d supplementary appendix 5).

We performed subgroup analyses based on type of and medication, disease under treatment and TB rate of the data geographic location. In these analyses, the type of drugs was not associated with statistically significant differences in the risk of TB between patients treated with TNF-a antagonists and control groups (IFX: 1.82 (0.82-4.06), ADA: 2.11 (0.73-6.12), CZP: 2.38 (0.42-13.42)) (see ≥ online supplementary appendix 6). When grouped for trair disease, a significantly increased TB risk was associated with anti-TNF- α drugs in RA patients (OR 2.29 (1.09 to 4.78), p=0.03) (figure 3). When analysed according to and estimated TB rates of studies' geographic locations, ORs for studies in high or low TB rate areas were 2.39 (95%) similar technologies. CI 0.97 to 5.90, p=0.06) and 1.64 (95% CI 0.70 to 3.88, p=0.26), respectively (figure 4).

GRADE profile evidence

The results of assessing the quality of evidence are shown in online supplementary appendix 7. The quality for the main result was recommended as 'low' by the GRADE system.

DISCUSSION

TNF-α antagonists have been widely used in many rheumatic diseases due to their considerable therapeutic effects and are promising candidates for future clinical applications in many other relevant diseases." However, an increased risk of TB has been observed

Table 1

Kim¹⁶

Barker¹⁹

Braun²⁰

Chen²

First author

Rutgeerts¹⁷

Breedveld²¹

Colombel²³

Kavanaugh²⁶

Couriel²⁴

Judson²⁵

Kennedy²

Keystone²⁸

Keystone²⁹

Maini³⁰

Nam³¹

Reich³²

Schiff³³

Schiff³⁴

Sieper³⁵

Smolen³⁶

St Clair³⁷

Suzuki³⁸

Wenzel⁴³

Van Den Bosch⁴⁰

van Vollenhoven⁴²

ABA, abatacept; ADA, adalimumab; A disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug

van der Heiide⁴¹

Westhovens44

Tam³

Baranauskaite¹⁸

	Disease	Timing of assessment	Comparison	EA					
	RA	Week 24	PBO vs ADA	No					
	UC	Week 54	PBO vs IFX	Yes					
	PsA	Week 16	MTX vs IFX+MTX	Yes					
	Ps	Week 24	MTX vs IFX	-					
	AS	Week 12	PBO vs IFX	No					
	RA	Year 2	MTX vs ADA/ADA+MTX	-					
	RA	Week 12	MTX vs ADA+MTX	No					
	CD	Week 20	AZA vs IFX/IFX+AZA	-	Pro				
	GvH	Month 6	MP vs IFX+MP	No	ote				
	Sarcoidosis	Week 44	PBO vs GOL	_	C C				
	RA	Week 26	PBO+MTX vs ADA+MTX	Yes	å				
	RA	Week 12	PBO vs ADA	No	Уd				
	RA	Week 52	PBO+MTX vs ADA+MTX	No	8				
	RA	Week 52	PBO+MTX vs CZP+MTX	Yes	<u>Š</u>				
	RA	Week 102	DMARDs vs IFX+DMARDs	No	rig				
	RA	Week 78	PBO+MTX vs IFX+MTX	No	, jt				
	Ps	Week 12	PBO vs CZP	No	5				
	RA	Year 2	ABA+MTX vs ADA+MTX	No	<u>c</u>				
	RA	Year 1	PBO+MTX vs IFX+MTX	Yes	<u>a</u>				
	AS	Week 28	PBO+NPX vs IFX+NPX	Yes	ng				
	RA	Week 24	PBO+MTX vs CZP+MTX	Yes	fo				
	RA	Week 54	PBO+MTX vs IFX+MTX	No	Ē				
	UC	Week 8	PBO vs ADA	No	se				
	RA	Month 6	MTX vs IFX+MTX	Yes	ST				
	AS	Week 12	PBO vs IFX	-	ੂ ਕੇ ਧ				
	RA	Year 3	MTX vs ETN/ETN+MTX	Yes	tec				
	RA	Week 24	PBO+MTX vs ADA+MTX	Yes	t d				
	Asthma	Week 76	PBO vs GOL	No	o te				
	RA	Week 22	PBO+MTX vs IFX+MTX	Yes	хõ				
S, ankylosing spondylitis; AZA, azathioprine; CD, Crohn's disease; CZP, certolizumab pegol; DMARDs, s; EA, endemic area of TB; ETN, etanercept; GOL, golimumab; GvH, graft-versus-host disease; IFX, TX, methotrexate; NPX, naproxen; PBO, placebo; Ps, plaque psoriasis; PsA, psoriatic arthritis; RA,									
ITIC									

infliximab; MP, methylprednisolone; MTX, methotrexate; NPX, naproxen; PBO, placebo; Ps, plaque psoriasis; PsA, psor rheumatoid arthritis; UC, ulcerative colitis.

among patients receiving anti-TNF treatments,⁹ an issue that has been highlighted by WHO in a black box warning for TB and other opportunistic infections.

Characteristics of randomised controlled trials included

Year

2007

2005

2012

2011

2002

2006

2009

2010

2009

2014

2013

2014

2004

2008

1999

2014

2012

2014

2008

2014

2009

2004

2014

2012

2002

2007

2011

2009

2006

This meta-analysis aimed to consider TB risk in any patient treated with TNF- α antagonists, with the premise that the adverse event profile of TNF- α antagonists would be similar irrespective of the condition being treated. Twenty-nine published RCTs involving 11879 patients were eventually included. In addition to the diseases most commonly treated with TNF-α antagonists (RA, UC, AS and PsA), this review also included studies that involved patients with asthma, sarcoidosis and Graft-versus-Host disease (GvH). We found that the risk of TB was statistically significantly increased in patients treated with TNF- α antagonists. With patients being treated with any TNF- α antagonist for any disease included, the risk of TB was almost doubled compared with those in normal care or placebo comparator arms. This result is in accordance with previously reported suspicions that TNF-a antagonists could increase TB risk, but differs from the findings of two previous meta-analyses on this topic, which found no significantly increased TB risk among patients with chronic immunemediated inflammatory diseases or RA treated with different TNF- α antagonists.¹⁰ ¹¹ One possible reason for this discrepancy may be the relatively small number of patients included in those meta-analyses.

Bui In order to take into account the effects of disease condition and the rate of TB in the background population on the pooled results, subgroup analyses were performed. When patients with RA were considered alone, the level of increased risk of TB in RA patients receiving TNF-α antagonists, compared with placebo or normal care groups, was higher than the increased risk among patients in any disease condition. Although it has been вol reported that RA patients showed an increased risk of TB when compared with the general population,⁴⁵⁻ the potential for anti-TNF drugs to increase this risk further should not be ignored. It was also expected that patients in endemic areas would have a higher risk of TB after treatment with anti-TNF agents. While the difference in TB incidence between anti-TNF treated patients and control groups was not statistically significant (p=0.06), the trend towards higher incidence was enough to suggest the likelihood of a repeatable difference, which indicates that safety studies should include

	Experime	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	I M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
Baranauskaite 2012	1	57	0	58	3.1%	3.11 [0.12, 77.85]			
Barker 2011	1	653	0	215	3.2%	0.99 [0.04, 24.41]			
Braun 2002	1	35	0	35	3.1%	3.09 [0.12, 78.41]			
Breedveld 2006	1	542	0	257	3.2%	1.43 [0.06, 35.14]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Chen 2009	1	35	0	12	3.1%	1.09 [0.04, 28.47]	•		
Colombel 2010	1	338	0	170	3.2%	1.52 [0.06, 37.40]			
Couriel 2009	1	29	2	28	5.4%	0.46 [0.04, 5.43]			
Ho-Yong KIM 2007	1	65	0	63	3.1%	2.95 [0.12, 73.87]			
Judson 2014	1	55	0	58	3.1%	3.22 [0.13, 80.74]			
Kavanaugh 2013	1	515	0	517	3.2%	3.02 [0.12, 74.24]			
Kennedy 2014	1	85	0	44	3.1%	1.58 [0.06, 39.59]			
Keystone 2004	1	419	0	200	3.2%	1.44 [0.06, 35.44]	· · · · ·		
Keystone 2008	5	783	0	199	3.9%	2.82 [0.16, 51.19]	· · · ·		
Maini 1999	1	340	0	88	3.2%	0.78 [0.03, 19.36]			
Nam 2014	1	55	0	57	3.1%	3.17 [0.13, 79.37]			
Reich 2012	1	117	0	59	3.2%	1.53 [0.06, 38.19]	· · · ·		
Rutgeerts 2005	1	243	0	121	3.2%	1.50 [0.06, 37.17]			
Schiff 2008	2	165	0	110	3.5%	3.38 [0.16, 71.06]			
Schiff 2014	2	328	0	318	3.5%	4.88 [0.23, 101.99]			
Sieper 2014	1	106	0	52	3.2%	1.49 [0.06, 37.28]			
Smolen 2009	5	492	0	127	3.9%	2.88 [0.16, 52.37]			
St Clair 2004	4	751	0	298	3.8%	3.59 [0.19, 66.96]			
Suzuki 2014	1	177	0	96	3.2%	1.64 [0.07, 40.65]			
Tam 2012	1	20	0	20	3.1%	3.15 [0.12, 82.16]			
Van Den Bosch 2002	1	20	0	20	3.1%	3.15 [0.12, 82.16]			
van der Heijde 2007	1	454	0	228	3.2%	1.51 [0.06, 37.25]			
van Vollenhoven 2011	1	79	0	76	3.2%	2.92 [0.12, 72.88]			
Wenzel 2009	1	233	0	78	3.2%	1.01 [0.04, 25.12]			
Westhovens 2006	4	721	1	363	6.8%	2.02 [0.22, 18.13]			
Total (95% CI)		7912		3967	100.0%	1.94 [1.10, 3.44]	◆		
Total events	45		3						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 3.79, df = 28 (P = 1.00); l ² = 0%									
Fest for overall effect: Z = 2.28 (P = 0.02) 0.01 0.1 10 100 Fest for overall effect: Z = 2.28 (P = 0.02) Eavours [experimental] Eavours [experimental] Eavours [experimental]									

Figure 2 Meta-analysis of TB risk associated with TNF- α antagonists. TNF- α , tumour necrosis factor- α ; TB, tuberculosis.

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	I М-Н, I	Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 RA								
Breedveld 2006	1	542	0	257	5.3%	1.43 [0.06, 35.14]		
Chen 2009	1	35	0	12	5.1%	1.09 [0.04, 28.47]		
Ho-Youn KIM 2007	1	65	0	63	5.2%	2.95 [0.12, 73.87]	_	
Kavanaugh 2013	1	515	0	517	5.3%	3.02 [0.12, 74.24]	_	
Kennedy 2014	1	85	0	44	5.2%	1.58 [0.06, 39.59]		•
Keystone 2004	1	419	0	200	5.3%	1.44 [0.06, 35.44]		· · ·
Keystone 2008	5	783	0	199	6.5%	2.82 [0.16, 51.19]		
Maini 1999	1	340	0	88	5.3%	0.78 [0.03, 19.36]		-
Nam 2014	1	55	0	57	5.2%	3.17 [0.13, 79.37]	_	
Schiff 2008	2	165	0	110	5.9%	3.38 [0.16, 71.06]	-	
Schiff 2014	2	328	0	318	5.9%	4.88 [0.23, 101.99]	9	
Smolen 2009	5	492	0	127	6.5%	2.88 [0.16, 52.37]		
St Clair 2004	4	751	0	298	6.4%	3.59 [0.19, 66.96]	-	
Tam 2012	1	20	0	20	5.1%	3.15 [0.12, 82.16]	_	
van der Heijde 2007	1	454	0	228	5.3%	1.51 [0.06, 37.25]	2	
van Vollenhoven 2011	1	79	0	76	5.3%	2.92 [0.12, 72.88]	_	
Westhovens 2006	4	721	1	363	11.3%	2.02 [0.22, 18.13]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		5849		2977	100.0%	2.29 [1.09, 4.78]		•
Total events	33		1					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0	00; Chi ² =	1.52, df	= 16 (P =	1.00);	l² = 0%			
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 2.20 (P =	0.03)						
1.1.2 AS								
Braun 2002	1	35	0	35	33.4%	3.09 [0.12, 78.41]	_	
Sieper 2014	1	106	0	52	33.7%	1.49 [0.06, 37.28]	10	
Van Den Bosch 2002	1	20	0	20	32.9%	3.15 [0.12, 82.16]	_	
Subtotal (95% CI)		161		107	100.0%	2.43 [0.38, 15.77]		
Total events	3		0					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 0.13, df = 2 (P = 0.94); l ² = 0%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.93 (P = 0.35)								
							12 Z	
							0.005 0.1	
						F	avours lexperime	ntal] Favours [control]

Figure 3 Subgroup analysis of TB risk in RA and AS patients. AS, ankylosing spondylitis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; TB, tuberculosis.

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% CI	
1.2.1 EA								
Baranauskaite 2012	1	57	0	58	7.8%	3.11 [0.12, 77.85]		
Kavanaugh 2013	1	515	0	517	7.9%	3.02 [0.12, 74.24]		
Keystone 2008	5	783	0	199	9.7%	2.82 [0.16, 51.19]		
Rutgeerts 2005	1	243	0	121	7.9%	1.50 [0.06, 37.17]		
Schiff 2008	2	165	0	110	8.8%	3.38 [0.16, 71.06]		
Sieper 2014	1	106	0	52	7.9%	1.49 [0.06, 37.28]	· · · · ·	
Smolen 2009	5	492	0	127	9.7%	2.88 [0.16, 52.37]		
Tam 2012	1	20	0	20	7.7%	3.15 [0.12, 82.16]		
van der Heijde 2007	1	454	0	228	7.9%	1.51 [0.06, 37.25]		
van Vollenhoven 2011	1	79	0	76	7.9%	2.92 [0.12, 72.88]		
Westhovens 2006	4	721	1	363	16.9%	2.02 [0.22, 18.13]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		3635		1871	100.0%	2.39 [0.97, 5.90]	•	
Total events	23		1					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	.00; Chi² =	0.43, df	= 10 (P =	1.00);	² = 0%			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.90 (P =	= 0.06)						
1.2.2 not EA								
Braun 2002	1	35	0	35	7.1%	3.09 [0.12, 78.41]		
Chen 2009	1	35	0	12	6.9%	1.09 [0.04, 28.47]		
Couriel 2009	1	29	2	28	12.2%	0.46 [0.04, 5.43]		
Ho-Yong KIM 2007	1	65	0	63	7.1%	2.95 [0.12, 73.87]		
Kennedy 2014	1	85	0	44	7.1%	1.58 [0.06, 39.59]		
Keystone 2004	1	419	0	200	7.2%	1.44 [0.06, 35.44]		
Maini 1999	1	340	0	88	7.2%	0.78 [0.03, 19.36]		
Nam 2014	1	55	0	57	7.1%	3.17 [0.13, 79.37]		
Reich 2012	1	117	0	59	7.1%	1.53 [0.06, 38.19]		
Schiff 2014	2	328	0	318	8.0%	4.88 [0.23, 101.99]		
St Clair 2004	4	751	0	298	8.6%	3.59 [0.19, 66.96]	· · · ·	
Suzuki 2014	1	177	0	96	7.2%	1.64 [0.07, 40.65]	· · · ·	
Wenzel 2009	1	233	0	78	7.2%	1.01 [0.04, 25.12]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		2669		1376	100.0%	1.64 [0.70, 3.88]	-	
Total events	17		2					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 2.60, df = 12 (P = 1.00); I ² = 0%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.13 (P = 0.26)								

Subgroup analysis of TB risk in high or low TB rate areas, TB, tuberculosis, Figure 4

patients from these areas to provide a true profile of the risk of infection. No differences in TB incidence were identified between anti-TNF-treated patients and controls when subgroup analyses were conducted by single drug types. However, it is likely that this is a result of the small number of included patients.

TNF- α is an immune mediator that plays a critical role in protective mechanism against infections, especially TB. TNF increases the phagocytic capacity of macrophages and enhances intracellular killing of mycobacterium via the generation of reactive nitrogen and oxygen intermediates, effectively synergising with interferon (IFN)- γ .⁴⁸ TNF- α is also involved in the pathological changes of latent tuberculous infection (LTBI), especially in maintaining the formation and function of granuloma which prevents mycobacterium from disseminating into the blood.⁴⁹ These TNF-mediated immune mechanisms may explain the reason for the increased risk of TB in patients receiving anti-TNF agents' treatment.

The results of this review may have direct implications in the management of a large number of patients treated currently with biologics. Therapeutic approaches that include intensive screening and surveillance seem to be advisable when TNF-a antagonists are used. One review of infection risk associated with anti-TNF-α agents suggested that a patient eligible for such treatment should undergo a careful medical history and tests such

as the TB skin test (TST) or chest X-ray to assess the risk of TB re-activation.⁵⁰ Interferon-y release assay (IGRA) is also established as an alternative to the TST in TB infection diagnosis, especially in the diagnosis of LTBI due to the higher specificity.⁵

Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

AI training, Previous studies have shown that prophylaxis in patients before or during anti-TNF-α therapy with standard anti-TB regimen prevented reactivation effectively.^{52 53} One study estimated that preventive treatment in patients with LTBI can reduce the risk of reactivation by 65%.¹⁰ Some countries have formulated national simi guidelines to deal with LTBI before anti-TNF agents treatment.⁵⁴ During the anti-TNF therapy, the patients should also be closely monitored at least once a year to identify reactivation of latent TB or new TB infection. Patients' adherence to isoniazid (INH) treatment is important for preventing the reactivation of latent TB. Screening and surveillance may be of particular importance when TNF-α antagonists are used as part of combined therapies. A previously published systematic review⁵⁵ reported that, compared with monotherapy, the risk of TB was increased 13-fold when anti-TNF agents were combined with immunosuppressant agents such as methotrexate or azathioprine. Additionally, a recent network meta-analysis and Cochrane overview highlighted the association between different biologics including TNF-α antagonists and higher rates of adverse effects in several diseases.

⊒

These adverse events included TB reactivation, although the roles of other factors potentially associated with TB reactivation were not fully illuminated.¹³

Several limitations in this study should be addressed. First, the review identified only a limited number of RCTs, with only two studies about golimumab and one about etanercept. Second, the relatively short follow-up period in the RCTs might have caused an underestimation of TB incidence rates. Third, the meta-analysis was limited to published scientific publications, and the omission of unpublished data from pharmaceutical trials may affect the pooled results.

In summary, our results suggest that the risk of TB is doubled when patients with any condition are treated with anti-TNF- α drugs. When anti-TNF- α treatments are considered, the increased risk of TB should be part of the treatment decision-making process. Patients should be screened for LTBI and anti-TB prophylaxis or concomitant treatment should be considered. Further high-quality research regarding the long-term safety of biologics is needed to improve the safety of biological treatment in clinical use.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Clinical Laboratory & Center for Gene Diagnosis, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei, China

²Department of Pathology, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei, China

³Department of Clinical Laboratory, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei, China

Acknowledgements The authors thank the authors of the primary studies and Dr Brian Buckley (Visiting Professor, Wuhan University) for assistance in preparation of the English language manuscript.

Contributors All authors conceived of and designed the study. ZZ and WF performed the literature search, data collection and statistical analysis. GY and ZX assessed the quality of articles. ZZ, JW and QC wrote the paper. MY and WF revised the manuscript.

Funding This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 81472033 and 30901308), the National Science Foundation of Hubei Province (nos. 2013CFB233 and 2013CFB235), the Scientific and Technological Project of Wuhan City (2014060101010045), Hubei Province Health and Family Planning Scientific Research Project (WJ2015Q021) and Seeding Program of the Science and Technology Innovation from Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University (ZNPY2016054).

Competing interests None declared.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

REFERENCES

- Bradley JR. TNF-mediated inflammatory disease. J Pathol 2008;214:149–60.
- 2. Choy EH, Panayi GS. Cytokine pathways and joint inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis. *N Engl J Med* 2001;344:907–16.

- Baraliakos X, Listing J, Fritz C, et al. Persistent clinical efficacy and safety of infliximab in ankylosing spondylitis after 8 years—early clinical response predicts long-term outcome. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2011;50:1690–9.
- Perrier C, Rutgeerts P. Cytokine blockade in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Immunotherapy* 2011;3:1341–52.
- Bansback N, Sizto S, Sun H, et al. Efficacy of systemic treatments for moderate to severe plaque psoriasis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dermatology (Basel)* 2009;219:209–18.
- Sánchez-Moya AI, García-Doval I, Carretero G, *et al.* Latent tuberculosis infection and active tuberculosis in patients with psoriasis: a study on the incidence of tuberculosis and the prevalence of latent tuberculosis disease in patients with moderate-severe psoriasis in Spain. BIOBADADERM registry. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2013;27:1366–74.
- Yu M, Zhou X, Niu L, et al. Targeting transmembrane TNF-alpha suppresses breast cancer growth. Cancer Res 2013;73:4061–74.
- Solovic I, Sester M, Gomez-Reino JJ, *et al.* The risk of tuberculosis related to tumour necrosis factor antagonist therapies: a TBNET consensus statement. *Eur Respir J* 2010;36:1185–206.
- Raval A, Akhavan-Toyserkani G, Brinker A, et al. Brief communication: characteristics of spontaneous cases of tuberculosis associated with infliximab. Ann Intern Med 2007;147:699–702.
- Ai JW, Zhang S, Ruan QL, et al. The risk of tuberculosis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with tumor necrosis factor-alpha antagonist: a meta-analysis of both randomized controlled trials and registry/cohort studies. J Rheumatol 2015;42:2229–37.
- Souto A, Maneiro JR, Salgado E, et al. Risk of tuberculosis in patients with chronic immune-mediated inflammatory diseases treated with biologics and tofacitinib: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and long-term extension studies. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2014;53:1872–85.
- Xie X, Chen J, Peng Y, *et al.* [Meta-analysis of infection risks of anti-TNF-alpha treatment in rheumatoid arthritis]. *Zhong Nan Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban* 2013;38:722–36.
- Singh JA, Wells GA, Christensen R, et al. Adverse effects of biologics: a network meta-analysis and Cochrane overview. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011;(2):Cd008794.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ 2009;339:b2535.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, *et al.* GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008;336:924–6.
- Kim H-Y, Lee S-K, Song YW, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III study of the human anti-tumor necrosis factor antibody adalimumab administered as subcutaneous injections in Korean rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with methotrexate. APLAR J Rheumatol 2007;10:9–16.
- Rutgeerts P, Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, *et al.* Infliximab for induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. *N Engl J Med* 2005;353:2462–76.
- Baranauskaite A, Raffayová H, Kungurov NV, et al. Infliximab plus methotrexate is superior to methotrexate alone in the treatment of psoriatic arthritis in methotrexate-naive patients: the RESPOND study. Ann Rheum Dis 2012;71:541–8.
- Barker J, Hoffmann M, Wozel G, et al. Efficacy and safety of infliximab vs. methotrexate in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis: results of an open-label, active-controlled, randomized trial (RESTORE1). Br J Dermatol 2011;165:1109–17.
- Braun J, Brandt J, Listing J, et al. Treatment of active ankylosing spondylitis with infliximab: a randomised controlled multicentre trial. Lancet 2002;359:1187–93.
- Breedveld FC, Weisman MH, Kavanaugh AF, et al. The PREMIER study: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind clinical trial of combination therapy with adalimumab plus methotrexate versus methotrexate alone or adalimumab alone in patients with early, aggressive rheumatoid arthritis who had not had previous methotrexate treatment. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:26–37.
- Chen DY, Chou SJ, Hsieh TY, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, comparative study of human anti-TNF antibody adalimumab in combination with methotrexate and methotrexate alone in Taiwanese patients with active rheumatoid arthritis. J Formos Med Assoc 2009;108:310–19.
- Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Reinisch W, *et al.* Infliximab, azathioprine, or combination therapy for Crohn's disease. *N Engl* J Med 2010;362:1383–95.
- Couriel DR, Saliba R, de Lima M, *et al.* A phase III study of infliximab and corticosteroids for the initial treatment of acute graft-versus-host disease. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2009;15:1555–62.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Open Access

- Judson MA, Baughman RP, Costabel U, et al. Safety and efficacy of ustekinumab or golimumab in patients with chronic sarcoidosis. *Eur Respir J* 2014;44:1296–307.
- Kavanaugh A, Fleischmann RM, Emery P, et al. Clinical, functional and radiographic consequences of achieving stable low disease activity and remission with adalimumab plus methotrexate or methotrexate alone in early rheumatoid arthritis: 26-week results from the randomised, controlled OPTIMA study. Ann Rheum Dis 2013;72:64–71.
- Kennedy WP, Simon JA, Offutt C, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of pateolizumab (anti-lymphotoxin-alpha) compared to adalimumab in rheumatoid arthritis: a head-to-head phase 2 randomized controlled study (The ALTARA Study). *Arthritis Res Ther* 2014; 16:467.
- Keystone EC, Kavanaugh AF, Sharp JT, *et al.* Radiographic, clinical, and functional outcomes of treatment with adalimumab (a human anti-tumor necrosis factor monoclonal antibody) in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis receiving concomitant methotrexate therapy: a randomized, placebo-controlled, 52-week trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:1400–11.
- Keystone E, Heijde Dv, Mason D, Jr, et al. Certolizumab pegol plus methotrexate is significantly more effective than placebo plus methotrexate in active rheumatoid arthritis: findings of a fifty-two-week, phase III, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study. Arthritis Rheum 2008;58:3319–29.
- Maini R, St Clair EW, Breedveld F, *et al.* Infliximab (chimeric anti-tumour necrosis factor alpha monoclonal antibody) versus placebo in rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving concomitant methotrexate: a randomised phase III trial. ATTRACT Study Group. *Lancet* 1999;354:1932–9.
- Nam JL, Villeneuve E, Hensor EM, *et al.* Remission induction comparing infliximab and high-dose intravenous steroid, followed by treat-to-target: a double-blind, randomised, controlled trial in new-onset, treatment-naive, rheumatoid arthritis (the IDEA study). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:75–85.
- Reich K, Ortonne JP, Gottlieb AB, *et al.* Successful treatment of moderate to severe plaque psoriasis with the PEGylated Fab' certolizumab pegol: results of a phase II randomized, placebo-controlled trial with a re-treatment extension. *Br J Dermatol* 2012;167:180–90.
- Schiff M, Weinblatt ME, Valente R, *et al.* Head-to-head comparison of subcutaneous abatacept versus adalimumab for rheumatoid arthritis: two-year efficacy and safety findings from AMPLE trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:86–94.
- Schiff M, Keiserman M, Codding C, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of abatacept or infliximab vs placebo in ATTEST: a phase III, multi-centre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and an inadequate response to methotrexate. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008;67:1096–103.
- Sieper J, Lenaerts J, Wollenhaupt J, et al. Efficacy and safety of infliximab plus naproxen versus naproxen alone in patients with early, active axial spondyloarthritis: results from the double-blind, placebo-controlled INFAST study, Part 1. Ann Rheum Dis 2014;73:101–7.
- Smolen J, Landewé RB, Mease P, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of certolizumab pegol plus methotrexate in active rheumatoid arthritis: the RAPID 2 study. A randomised controlled trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68:797–804.
- St Clair EW, van der Heijde DM, Smolen JS, *et al.* Combination of infliximab and methotrexate therapy for early rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized, controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:3432–43.

- Suzuki Y, Motoya S, Hanai H, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of adalimumab in Japanese patients with moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis. *J Gastroenterol* 2014;49:283–94.
- Tam LS, Shang Q, Li EK, *et al.* Infliximab is associated with improvement in arterial stiffness in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis—a randomized trial. *J Rheumatol* 2012;39:2267–75.
- Van Den Bosch F, Kruithof E, Baeten D, *et al.* Randomized double-blind comparison of chimeric monoclonal antibody to tumor necrosis factor alpha (infliximab) versus placebo in active spondylarthropathy. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46:755–65.
- van der Heijde D, Klareskog L, Landewé R, et al. Disease remission and sustained halting of radiographic progression' with combination etanercept and methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2007;56:3928–39.
- 42. van Vollenhoven RF, Kinnman N, Vincent E, *et al.* Atacicept in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and an inadequate response to methotrexate: results of a phase II, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011;63:1782–92.
- Wenzel SE, Barnes PJ, Bleecker ER, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of tumor necrosis factor-alpha blockade in severe persistent asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009;179:549–58.
- 44. Westhovens R, Yocum D, Han J, et al. The safety of infliximab, combined with background treatments, among patients with rheumatoid arthritis and various comorbidities: a large, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:1075–86.
- Carmona L, Hernández-García C, Vadillo C, et al. Increased risk of tuberculosis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2003;30:1436–9.
- Doran MF, Crowson CS, Pond GR, *et al.* Frequency of infection in patients with rheumatoid arthritis compared with controls: a population-based study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46:2287–93.
- Arkema EV, Jonsson J, Baecklund E, et al. Are patients with rheumatoid arthritis still at an increased risk of tuberculosis and what is the role of biological treatments? Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:1212–17.
- Bekker LG, Freeman S, Murray PJ, *et al.* TNFα controls intracellular mycobacterial growth by both inducible nitric oxide synthase-dependent and inducible nitric oxide synthase-independent pathways. *J Immunol* 2001;166:6728–34.
- Kindler V, Sappino AP, Grau GE, *et al.* The inducing role of tumor necrosis factor in the development of bactericidal granulomas during BCG infection. *Cell* 1989;56:731–40.
- Murdaca G, Spanò F, Contatore M, *et al.* Infection risk associated with anti-TNF-alpha agents: a review. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 2015;14:571–82.
- Danielsen AV, Fløe A, Lillebaek T, *et al.* An interferon-gamma release assay test performs well in routine screening for tuberculosis. *Dan Med J* 2014;61:A4856.
- Carmona L, Gómez-Reino JJ, Rodríguez-Valverde V, et al. Effectiveness of recommendations to prevent reactivation of latent tuberculosis infection in patients treated with tumor necrosis factor antagonists. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52:1766–72.
- Yun JW, Lim SY, Suh GY, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in arthritis patients treated with tumor necrosis factor antagonists in Korea. J Korean Med Sci 2007;22:779–83.
- 54. Hauck FR, Neese BH, Panchal AS, *et al.* Identification and management of latent tuberculosis infection. *Am Fam Physician* 2009;79:879–86.
- Lorenzetti R, Zullo A, Ridola L, et al. Higher risk of tuberculosis reactivation when anti-TNF is combined with immunosuppressive agents: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Ann Med 2014;46:547–54.