BMJ Open

Sing Your Lungs Out- A community singing group for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a one-year pilot study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-014151
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Sep-2016
Complete List of Authors:	McNaughton, Amanda; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand, Respiratory Medicine; Wellington Hospital, Respiratory Medicine Weatherall, Mark; University of Otago Wellington, Williams, Mathew; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand, McNaughton, Harry; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand Aldington, Sarah; Wellington Hospital, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Emergency Medicine Williams, Gayle; Capital and Coast District Health Board, Community Health Services Beasley, Richard; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand,
Primary Subject Heading :	Respiratory medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Patient-centred medicine
Keywords:	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, Pulmonary Rehabilitation, Singing

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

Sing Your Lungs Out- A community singing group for chronic obstructive pulmonary
disease: a one-year pilot study
Amanda McNaughton ^{1,2} , Mark Weatherall ³ , Mathew Williams ¹ , Harry McNaughton ¹ , Sarah
Aldington ^{1,4} , Gayle Williams ⁵ , Richard Beasley ^{1,2}
¹ Medical Research Institute of New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand
² Department of Respiratory Medicine, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New
Zealand
³ Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Wellington, New Zealand
⁴ Department of Emergency Medicine, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New
Zealand
⁵ Department of Community Health, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New
Zealand
Corresponding author:
Amanda McNaughton
Medical Research Institute of New Zealand,
Wellington Hospital
Private Bag 7902
Wellington 6021 New Zealand
0064 27 838 6925

amanda.mcnaughton@ccdhb.org.nz

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

ABSTRACT

Objective Singing group participation may benefit patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Previous studies are limited by small numbers of participants and short duration of hospital-based singing group intervention. This study examined the feasibility of long-term participation in a community singing group for patients with COPD who had completed pulmonary rehabilitation (PR).

Methods This was a mixed methods pilot study. Patients with COPD who had completed PR were recruited to a new community-based singing group which met weekly for over one year. Measurements at baseline, four months and one year comprised comprehensive pulmonary function tests including lung volumes, six minute walk tests (6MWT), Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), and hospital admission days for acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD) for one year before and after joining singing group. Individual interviews and a focus group provided data for the qualitative assessment which is reported separately.

Findings Of 28 initial participants, 21 completed four-month, and 18 completed one-year assessments The mean attendance was 85.4%. The 6MWT at one year improved by 62.2 (95% CI 26.9 to 97.5) m compared to baseline P=0.002. There was an important reduction in residual volume after four months; 130 (95% CI 3 to 250) ml, P=0.046, and a reduction in the HADS Anxiety Score after one year of 1.1 (95% CI 0.3 to 1.9) points, P=0.009. Mean (SD) hospital admission days for AECOPD were 3.6 (7.9) before, and 2.7 (7.3) after joining the singing group, p=0.40 for the difference.

Conclusions Our findings support the feasibility of long-term participation in a community singing group for breathless adults with COPD and provide evidence of improved exercise capacity and lung function, and a reduction in anxiety.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- The mixed-methods design of this study provides a broad perspective on a novel intervention.
- The inclusion of an unselected cohort of COPD patients, and the real-world community setting of the singing group supports generalisability of the findings.
- High retention rates with one-year follow-up support the feasibility of this intervention.
- This is the first report of serial lung volume measurements in COPD patients attending a singing group over one year.
- This is a relatively small cohort study.

INTRODUCTION

 that is usually progressive, is an increasingly common syndrome.[1] Common symptoms include progressive breathlessness, cough and sputum production as well as anxiety and depression. [2,3] The chronic breathlessness in COPD is physically limiting and socially isolating, adding further to depression and anxiety.[4] Current pharmacological options have limited benefits and significant adverse effects driving the need for cost-effective, non-pharmacological, person-centred, communitybased interventions.[5–8] Pulmonary Rehabilitation (PR) is one of the most effective therapies for COPD, improving quality of life, exercise tolerance, and breathlessness.[9] An important research guestion is how the benefits of PR can be sustained and augmented.[10]

inspiratory expansion (using the diaphragm, external intercostal, and accessory muscles), active exhalation (incremental recruitment of abdominal and internal intercostal muscles) and optimal posture.[11] Singing, therefore, has the potential to improve breathing control and posture, and theoretically to reduce residual volume (RV), which is a manifestation of lung hyperinflation. Improvement in psychological wellbeing has been reported in healthy subjects and people with a variety of chronic conditions participating in singing groups.[12–14]

<page-header><page-header><text><section-header><text><text> guality of life and reduction in anxiety, although not in lung function.[15,16], One study examining the effects of adding therapeutic singing to PR programme showed no benefit in quality of life or exercise tolerance, [17] whilst two studies have reported improvement in maximal expiratory pressure. [18,19] The limitations of these studies include small sample sizes and short duration, hospital-based intervention.[15–19] Combined with the heterogeneity of disease severity, phenotypes, comorbidities and treatment regimens of COPD, the clinical and physiological benefits of singing group interventions are likely to have been underestimated. What remains unknown is whether singing group participation as an intervention, is acceptable and sustainable longer term, to an unselected group of COPD patients

and whether the benefits can be achieved in real-world conditions. The effects of singing group participation on hyperinflation and lung function in COPD patients also remain unclear.

We are interested in the potential of singing group participation for patients with COPD as a means to sustain the benefits of PR. We wished to study this in real world conditions (amateur singing Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies group facilitator leading free, weekly, sessions in a community hall), particularly looking at lung function including lung volumes, exercise capacity, and wellbeing. The purpose of this mixed methods cohort study was to assess the feasibility of community singing group participation for one year, for breathless patients with COPD who have completed PR. We report here the quantitative results of the study, the qualitative results are reported separately.[20]

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

METHODS

This was a prospective cohort study. Patients with COPD or another chronic lung disease, who had completed an eight-week, hospital-based PR programme, and were attending a weekly maintenance community PR exercise class, were invited by the PR nurse to join a new community-based singing group for the purposes of this study. The group was based in Wellington New Zealand. In our institution, patients are enrolled in PR programmes if they have chronic lung disease and a Modified Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale of at least grade 2. We report on those participants with physician-diagnosed COPD based on GOLD criteria.[21] There were no further recruitment restrictions based on age, severity of disease, cognition, singing ability, or co-morbidities. The study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group, and all patients gave written informed consent. The trial was prospectively registered at <u>www.anzctr.org.au</u>, registry number

ACTRN12615000736549.

Data collection

Patient demographics, pulmonary function tests, six-minute walk test (6MWT), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)[22] and the Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ)[23] were measured at baseline, four months and one year after enrolment. Spirometry and lung volumes including forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), total lung capacity (TLC), residual volume (RV), functional residual capacity (FRC) and inspiratory capacity (IC) were measured according to ATS/ERS standards (body volume constant plethysmography Masterlab, Erich-Jaeger, Wurzburg, Germany). Reference values were those of the European Community for Coal and Steel (ECCS). Short-acting bronchodilator therapy was withheld for four hours prior to testing, and patients were tested when clinically stable, and not within two weeks of an exacerbation. Six-minute walk test (6MWT) was performed according to international guidelines [24]

The respiratory scientist performing the pulmonary function tests was blind to the results of previous assessments. Questionnaires were self-completed. Each patient's electronic health record was searched for admissions where the primary discharge code was an acute exacerbation of COPD.

BMJ Open

Singing group intervention

Singing group intervention
The singing group (Sing Your Lungs Out) met for one hour, weekly, in an urban
community hall, continuously throughout the study period. An amateur singing group facilitator
(SGF) led the group and a PR nurse attended all sessions. Each rehearsal comprised a five
minute warm up session, 35 minutes singing, a five minute warm down and 15 minutes social
morning-tea time. It was run free of charge to patients. The SGF and the group chose the
singing repertoire together, including a wide mix of genres (eg pop, musicals, traditional Maori
songs, folk songs, rounds) with attention to the group's voice range and capacity for phrase
lengths. The SGF also discussed breathing for singing techniques as the year progressed and
as the group gained confidence. No music reading ability was required. We made CD
recordings of songs to allow practice at home. Collaboration with a local boys' high school
developed from student piano accompaniment to working with the senior boys' chorale including
some joint performances. Over the year, the participants delivered six public performances,
supported by the senior chorale from the local school on four occasions.

Analysis
Continuous variables were compared using paired t-tests for most variables. Hospital admission days
were right skew and were compared with a paired Wilcoxon test and Hodges-Lehmann estimator of the

were right skew and were compared with a paired Wilcoxon test and Hodges-Lehmann estimator of the difference. No adjustment has been made for multiple statistical testing. SAS version 9.4 was used.

RESULTS

Twenty-eight participants enrolled in the singing group in five months: October 2014 to February 2015. Five participants withdrew within one month of enrolment due to disinterest or recruitment to another study. Twenty-three (82%) participants attended the singing group for at least one year and had measurements at enrolment and after four months. Twenty participants were reassessed after 12 months: one had undergone lung transplant and two had such frequent exacerbations that stable follow-up testing was not possible. At baseline assessment, twenty-one participants had COPD as defined by GOLD criteria[21] but two participants had normal FEV1/FVC ratio on spirometry with a clinical diagnosis of interstitial lung disease. They continued to attend singing group but are excluded from this analysis. Figure 1 shows the study overview.

The participants with COPD had a wide range of disease severity and comorbidities. Mean (SD) FEV1% at baseline was 60.3 (21.1), median 57.1, range 14.6-110. Six patients (29%) had an FEV1 at baseline of less than one litre. Common comorbidities were bronchiectasis, congestive cardiac failure, diabetes, and obesity. Six of 21 (29%) were Māori, consistent with the higher prevalence of COPD in Māori in New Zealand who constitute only 6% of the total population over 50 years.[25] The mean (SD) attendance rate for the 12 months was 85.4% (12.1). Table 1 shows characteristics of the study population at baseline.

Table 1	Characteristics	of the	study	population
	•	• • • • •		p • p •

	N=21	
Age years mean (SD)*	68.8 (9.8) range 51 to 91	
Sex n (%)		
Men	8 (38)	
Women	13 (62)	
Ethnicity n (%)		
European	14 (67)	
Maori	6 (29)	
Asian	1 (5)	
Smoking history n (%)		
Current smoker	1 (5)	
Ex-smoker	18 (86)	
Never smoker	2 (10)	
COPD severity ^a n (%)		
BODE score: 0-2	4 (19)	
3-4	8 (38)	
5-6	6 (29)	
7-10	3 (14)	
Continuous long term domiciliary	2 (10)	
oxygen therapy n (%)		
Comorbidities [®] n (%)		
Bronchiectasis	3 (14)	
Heart failure	6 (29)	
Diabetes	7 (33)	
Anxiety on treatment	5 (24)	
Atrial fibrillation	8 (38)	
Ischaemic Heart Disease	5 (24)	
		[_
Clinical characteristics *	Mean (SD)	Range
FEV1 (L)	1.3(0.5)	0.6-2.6
FEV1 (% predicted)	60.3 (21.1)	14.6-110.3
FVC (L)	2.85 (0.9)	1.8-4.9
FVC (%predicted)	103.5 (26.9)	53.4-160.4
FEV1/FVC	0.47 (0.14)	0.22-0.68
TLC (L)	6.38 (1.99)	3.57-11.3
RV (L)	3.39 (1.52)	1.67-8.52
SpO2 at rest (%)	95.4 (2.3)	89-99
6MW1 (m)	299.6 (110.1)	132-508
BMI (kg/m²)	29.1 (7.6)	20.1-53.0
Questionnaires*		
	2.11 (0.83)	0.4-3.3
HADS anxiety	5.8 (2.8)	1-11
HADS depression	4.1 (2.3)	1-10
HADS total	9.9 (4.6)	2-21

a:BODE score- The body-mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnoea and exercise capacity index in COPD [26] **b** Some participants had multiple comorbidities.

FEV1- forced expiratory volume in one second, FVC- forced vital capacity, TLC- total lung capacity RVresidual volume, SpO2-oxygen saturation measured by a pulse oximeter, 6MWT- six-minute walk test, BMI- body mass index, CCQ- clinical COPD questionnaire, HADS- hospital anxiety and depression score.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

There was strong evidence for an increase in 6MWT after four months, with a mean increase of 28.4m, increasing further to 62.2m at one year. HADS Anxiety scores were lower at one year with a mean change from baseline of -1.1. Lung function tests showed a reduction in RV, mean decrease 130 ml, and total lung capacity, mean decrease 150 ml, after four months, but no significant differences at 12 months compared to baseline. The questionnaire and clinical measurements, and their changes are shown in Table 2.

	Mean (SD)			Difference from baseline (95% CI)		
Variable	Baseline	Four months	One year	Four months One year		
	N=21	N=21	N=18			
FEV1 (L)	1.32 (0.5)	1.34 (0.5)	1.44 (0.5)	0.02 (-0.01 to 0.06)	0.04 (-0.04 to 0.12)	
				P=0.21	P=0.32	
FEV1%	60.3 (21.1)	61.9 (22.3)	64.5 (20.2)	1.6 (-0.1 to 3.4)	2.4 (-1.5 to 6.3)	
				P=0.065	P=0.22	
FVC (L)	2.85 (0.9)	2.81 (0.92)	2.91 (0.97)	-0.04 (-0.15 to 0.06)	-0.03 (-0.16 to 0.10)	
				P=0.38	P=0.65	
FVC%	103.5 (26.9)	103.1 (30.4)	107.0 (24.8)	-0.5 (-4.5 to 3.6)	-0.3 (-5.0 to 4.4)	
				P=0.81	P=0.90	
FEV1/FVC	0.47 (0.14)	0.48 (0.13)	0.50 (0.12)	0.01 (-0.004 to 0.03)	0.01 (-0.003 to 0.03)	
				P=0.13	P=0.10	
TLC (L)	6.38 (1.99)	6.22 (1.86)	6.23 (1.7)	-0.15 (-0.29 to -0.02)	-0.04 (-0.21 to 0.13)	
				P=0.023	P=0.63	
TLC%	117.8 (20.9)	115.2 (20.2)	116.4 (20.8)	-2.6 (-4.8 to -0.4)	-0.7 (-3.6 to 2.2)	
		•		P=0.021	P=0.62	
RV (L)	3.39 (1.52)	3.27 (1.44)	3.22 (1.18)	-0.13 (-0.25 to -0.003)	0.03 (-0.18 to 0.24)	
				P=0.046	P=0.78	
RV%	156.1 (59.9)	150.2 (57.1)	145.7 (44.1)	-5.9 (-11.5 to -0.3)	-0.1 (-8.9 to 8.8)	
				P=0.04	P=0.99	
IC (L)	2.29 (0.86)	2.35 (0.83)	2.33 (0.87)	0.06 (-0.03 to 0.15)	-0.07 (-0.18 to 0.04)	
				P=0.18	P=0.19	
IC%	107.2 (27.2)	110.1 (25.7)	108.6 (24.3)	3.0 (-1.8 to 7.7)	-2.9 (-9.3 to 3.4)	
				P=0.21	P=0.35	
IC/TLC	0.37 (0.09)	0.39 (0.09)	0.38 (0.09)	0.02 (0.001 to 0.03)	-0.01 (-0.03 to 0.01)	
				P=0.037	P=0.49	
RV/TLC	0.52 (0.09)	0.52 (0.09)	0.52 (0.09)	-0.01 (-0.02 to 0.01)	0.01 (-0.02 to 0.03)	
				P=0.45	P=0.61	
6MWT (m)	299.6 (110.1)	328.0 (118.4)	376.5 (103.6)	28.4 (5.1 to 51.7)	62.2 (26.9 to 97.5)	
				P=0.019	P=0.002	
CCQ	2.11 (0.83)	2.08 (0.86)	2.31 (1.04)	-0.03 (-0.31 to 0.26)	0.27 (-0.04 to 0.57)	
				P=0.84	P=0.09	
HADS	5.8 (2.8)	5.81 (3.52)	4.67 (3.2)	0 (-1.2 to 1.2)	-1.1 (-1.9 to -0.3)	
Anxiety				P=0.99	P=0.009	
HADS	4.1 (2.3)	3.19 (2.56)	4.0 (3.91)	-0.9 (-2.1 to 0.2)	-0.1 (-1.5 to 1.4)	
Depression				P=0.11	P=0.93	

HADS Total	9.9 (4.6)	9.0 (5.7)	8.7 (6.4)	-0.9 (-3.0 P=0.3	to 1.2) 37	-1.2 (-2.9 to 0.5) P=0.17
			12 m	nonths prior to enrolment	12 month enrolm	s after ient	HL estimator (95% CI)
Hospital adm N=	Hospital admission days for AECOPD N=20* Mean (SD)			3.6 (7.9)	2.7 (7	.3)	-1.0 (-8.5 to 3.0) P=0.40

 enrolment
 enrolment

 ospital admission days for AECOPD N=20* Mean (SD)
 3.6 (7.9)
 2.7 (7.3)
 -1.0 (-8.5 to 3.0) P=0.40

 Forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; TLC, total lung capacity; RV, residual volume; FRC, nal residual capacity; IC, inspiratory capacity. Spirometric reference values from European Community for Coal and CCCS). Short-acting bronchodilator therapy withheld for four hours before testing, patients were tested when clinically and not within two weeks of an exacerbation. Six-minute walk test (6MWT) performed according to international eas [24] AECOPD, Acute exacerbation of COPD. * Excluding one patient who had lung transplant between 4 months ear follow-up assessments.
 Figure 2 displays individual patient line plots of 6 minute walk test distance of each participant at baseline, 4 months and one year.

 DISCUSSION
 The results of this study show that participation in a weekly community-based singing group for

 FEV1, Forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; TLC, total lung capacity; RV, residual volume; FRC, functional residual capacity; IC, inspiratory capacity. Spirometric reference values from European Community for Coal and Steel (ECCS). Short-acting bronchodilator therapy withheld for four hours before testing, patients were tested when clinically stable, and not within two weeks of an exacerbation. Six-minute walk test (6MWT) performed according to international guidelines.[24] AECOPD, Acute exacerbation of COPD. * Excluding one patient who had lung transplant between 4 months and 1-year follow-up assessments.

The results of this study show that participation in a weekly community-based singing group for one year is associated with increased exercise capacity, reduced anxiety, and improved lung function in patients with COPD. The high attendance rate over one year, (mean 85.4%), supports the acceptability, feasibility, and enjoyment of this intervention. Factors favouring generalisability of these findings are the Al training, and similar technologies broad inclusion criteria, COPD patients recruited from typical public hospital pulmonary rehabilitation service and singing group intervention requiring no special training.

We were surprised by the high long-term attendance rate (mean 85.4%) for this cohort of patients with significant respiratory disease and comorbidities. The qualitative data from this mixed methods study showed that most participants had not been previously interested in singing and considered the singing group a fun social activity more than music practice. [20] The reduction in HADS anxiety scores confirms previous research [15] but this is the first report of statistically and clinically significant improvements in respiratory function and 6MWT with this type of intervention. The mean reduction in HADS anxiety of -1.1 at one year, did not exceed minimal clinically important difference (MCID) of 1.32.[27] The mean increase in 6MWT of 62.2m at one year was greater than the MCID of

to tex

BMJ Open

30m.[28] The small reduction in RV after four months supports the findings of a previous small study.[19] This is the first report of serial RV measurement in COPD patients attending a singing group over one year. Although the reduction in hospital admission days for AECOPD was not statistically significant, the point estimate was almost one day less per participant in the year attending singing group, compared to the year before starting (excluding the patient who has a lung transplant during the year). If this is a genuine reduction then, at a daily hospital bed day rate of approximately \$NZ800 (GBP400), this represents a saving of \$14080 for this group of 20 patients. The actual cost of running the singing group for 12 months was approximately \$NZ4000. Therefore this low-cost intervention could potentially be very cost effective. Strengths of this study, are the inclusion of an unselected cohort of patients with COPD, high retention rates with one-year follow-up, comprehensive pulmonary function tests, and mixed-method significant, the point estimate was almost one day less per participant in the year attending singing

related design in a real world community context. Previously reported studies of singing intervention for COPD have generally been of short duration, none longer than 24 weeks, mostly 6-10 weeks. [15, 16, 18, 19] In and contrast to studies using professional singing teachers and physiotherapists, we used amateur singing data mining, Al training, group facilitators, with musical experience and strong group facilitation skills. Limitations of the study include that the 6MWT was only performed once at each visit, but participants had all done at least two 6MWT as part of their recent PR programmes so any learning effect is likely to have been minimised.[29] All participants received usual medical care; so therapeutic changes may have affected and similar technologies some, but not all, of the participants. This is a relatively small cohort study without a control group so both type I and type II errors are possible.

Our findings are also relevant to the question of sustaining the benefits of pulmonary rehabilitation. All our participants had completed a PR programme- the improvements described here are additional. A possible mechanism for singing group effectiveness is the promotion of physical activity which is considered to be a critical component of PR.[30] Our qualitative data showed that for many patients, attending the weekly singing group was a most enjoyable highlight of their week and often their only outing. [20] Our finding of a reduction in RV after four months, but not 12 months, may

to text

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

reflect a positive effect of singing on respiratory muscles and expiratory airways pressure that is subsequently overtaken by the natural history of the decline in lung function in COPD. In contrast to other studies[15–18] our singing group intervention focussed on fun group singing with no specific breathing exercises. The impact of breathing exercises alone or in addition to singing remains uncertain.[31] Our group met weekly with singing duration of 35 minutes, and no explicit practice at home. It is possible that there is a dose-response relationship, with increased benefit with more frequent singing group classes and singing practice at home. From our experience, longer classes would not be well tolerated by COPD patients.

Our qualitative analysis showed singing group participation was associated with an increased sense of social connection, purpose and meaningful participation which may, in turn, have ameliorated anxiety.[20] Although there seems to be an association between anxiety in COPD patients, and their health status, the frequency of AECOPD and hospitalisation, it is complex.[32][32][32] However, the reduction in anxiety and increased exercise capacity observed in this study points to the potential for reducing readmissions and supports the use of exercise capacity and readmission for AECOPD, as primary outcome measures for a future randomised controlled trial (RCT).

We believe our results are generalizable because of our broad inclusion criteria, recruitment from a typical hospital PR programme and the use of amateurs for singing group facilitation. We have made available on-line our "10 practical top tips" document for setting up and running a community singing group for people living with COPD. We have been able to sustain this intervention long term, free to patients, as it was set up and financed by a charitable trust. The singing group is still going strong after twenty-two months with high attendance including almost all of the founding members, avoiding the ethical issue of withdrawing the intervention at study completion.

CONCLUSIONS

Our findings support the feasibility of long-term participation in a community singing group for breathless adults with COPD and provide evidence of improvement in lung function and

BMJ Open

exercise capacity, and reduction in anxiety. These results can inform sample size and selection of outcome measures for a future RCT. A study of community singing group participation as an alternative to PR for those who decline PR is underway: ACTRN12616000584437. We provide links to two short videos of this singing group and a "10 practical top tips" document for setting up a community singing group for people living with COPD which we hope will inform, motivate and encourage others to set up singing groups.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text https://www.dropbox.com/sc/boc5sr7hkcbi6tz/AAAlbUQqOWyvDeJGTnoXVcq-a?preview=NO Graphics 02.mp https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fduau0jV09o

http://www.mrinz.ac.nz/pdfs/How to set up SYLO.pdf

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Ruth Collingham and Jackie McAuliffe for running the Sing Your Lungs Out Singing Group and to all the patients for their participation.

and **Contributors** AM conceived the idea of the study, designed the protocol, wrote the first and final drafts data mining, Al training, and similar technologies of the manuscript, was the senior investigator, and will act as guarantor. SA, HM, MWi, GW, MWe, RB helped design and plan the study and helped write the first and final drafts of the manuscript. GW, AM recruited patients to the study. MWi, AM collected data. MWe was responsible for the analysis.

Funding This study was supported by the Medical Research Institute of New Zealand. Sing Your Lungs Out is run by the COPD Choir Trust, a volunteer-run registered charity, which received grants in 2015 from the Wellington City Council and Infinity Foundation Community Trust.

Competing interests None

Ethics approval This study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group of 23 September 2014

Data sharing No additional data are available

REFERENCES

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

Mannino DM, Buist AS. Global burden of COPD: risk factors, prevalence, and future trends. *Lancet* 2007;:765–73. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61380-4

- 2 Rennard SI, Vestbo J. Natural histories of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2008;**5**:878–83. doi:10.1513/pats.200804-035QC
- 3 Yohannes AM, Alexopoulos GS. Depression and anxiety in patients with COPD. *Eur Respir Rev* 2014;**23**:345–9. doi:10.1183/09059180.00007813
- 4 Martinez Rivera C, Costan Galicia J, Alcázar Navarrete B, *et al.* Factors Associated with Depression in COPD: A Multicenter Study. *Lung* 2016;**194**:335–43. doi:10.1007/s00408-016-9862-7
- 5 Kew KM, Dias S, Cates CJ. Long-acting inhaled therapy (beta-agonists, anticholinergics and steroids) for COPD: a network meta-analysis. In: Kew KM, ed. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. Chichester, UK: : John Wiley & Sons, Ltd 2014. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010844.pub2
- 6 NICE. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in over 16s: diagnosis and management | Guidance and guidelines | NICE. 2010.https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG101 (accessed 21 Aug2016).
- 7 Agusti A. The path to personalised medicine in COPD. *Thorax* 2014;**69**:857–64. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-205507
- 8 Ernst P, Saad N, Suissa S. Inhaled corticosteroids in COPD: the clinical evidence. *Eur Respir J* 2014;:525–37. doi:10.1183/09031936.00128914
- 9 McCarthy B, Casey D, Devane D, *et al.* Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;**2**:CD003793. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003793.pub3
- 10 Celli BR, Decramer M, Wedzicha J, *et al.* An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: research questions in COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2015;**45**:879– 905. doi:10.1183/09031936.00009015
- 11 Watson A. Breathing in Singing. In: Welch G, Howard D, Nix J, eds. *The Oxford Handbook of Singing*. Oxford University Press 2014. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199660773.013.10
- 12 Clift S, Hancox G, Morrison I, *et al.* Choral singing and psychological wellbeing: Quantitative and qualitative findings from English choirs in a cross-national survey. *J Appl Arts Heal* 2010;**1**:19–34.
- 13 Clark I, Harding K. Psychosocial outcomes of active singing interventions for therapeutic purposes: a systematic review of the literature. *Nord J Music Ther* 2012;**21**:80–98. doi:10.1080/08098131.2010.545136
- 14 Reagon C, Gale N, Enright S, *et al.* A mixed-method systematic review to investigate the effect of group singing on health related quality of life. *Complement Ther Med* 2016;**27**:1–11. doi:10.1016/j.ctim.2016.03.017
- 15 Lord VM, Cave P, Hume VJ, *et al.* Singing teaching as a therapy for chronic respiratory disease--a randomised controlled trial and qualitative evaluation. *BMC Pulm Med* 2010;**10**:41. doi:10.1186/1471-2466-10-41

BMJ Open

16	Lord VM, Hume VJ, Kelly JL, <i>et al.</i> Singing classes for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. <i>BMC Pulm Med</i> 2012; 12 :69. doi:10.1186/1471-2466-12-69
17	Goodridge D, Nicol JJ, Horvey KJ, <i>et al.</i> Therapeutic Singing as an Adjunct for Pulmonary Rehabilitation Participants With COPD: Outcomes of a Feasibility Study. <i>Music Med</i> 2013; 5 :169–76. doi:10.1177/1943862113493012
18	Bonilha AG, Onofre F, Vieira ML, <i>et al.</i> Effects of singing classes on pulmonary function and quality of life of COPD patients. <i>Int J COPD</i> 2009; 4 :1–8. doi:10.2147/COPD.S4077
19	Pacheco C, Costa A, Amado J, <i>et al.</i> Singing in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: A pilot study in Portugal. <i>Rev Port Pneumol</i> 2014; 20 :225–8. doi:10.1016/j.rppneu.2014.02.009
20	McNaughton A, Aldington S, Williams G, <i>et al.</i> Sing Your Lungs Out: A qualitative study of a community singing group for people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). <i>BMJ Open</i> 2016; In Press .
21	Global Strategy for Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of COPD - 2016 - Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease - GOLD. http://goldcopd.org/global- strategy-diagnosis-management-prevention-copd-2016/
22	Bjelland I, Dahl A, Haug T, <i>et al.</i> The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale: an updated literature review. <i>J Psychosom</i> 2002.
23	van der Molen T, Willemse BWM, Schokker S, <i>et al.</i> Development, validity and responsiveness of the Clinical COPD Questionnaire. <i>Health Qual Life Outcomes</i> 2003; 1 :13.
24	ATS Statement: Guidelines for the Six-Minute Walk Test. Am Thorac Soc Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002; 166 :111–7. doi:10.1164/rccm.166/1/111
25	Ministry of Health New Zealand. District health board Maori health plans profiles and needs assessments. 2015.http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/dhb-maori-health-profiles
26	Celli BR, Cote CG, Marin JM, <i>et al.</i> The body-mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnea, and exercise capacity index in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>NEJM</i> 2004; 350 :1005–12. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa021322
27	Puhan MA, Frey M, Büchi S, <i>et al.</i> The minimal important difference of the hospital anxiety and depression scale in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>Health Qual Life Outcomes</i> 2008; 6 :46. doi:10.1186/1477-7525-6-46
28	Holland AE, Nici L. The Return of the Minimum Clinically Important Difference for 6- Minute-Walk Distance in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. <i>Am J Respir Crit Care</i> <i>Med</i> 2013; 187 :335–6. doi:10.1164/rccm.201212-2191ED
29	Holland AE, Spruit MA, Troosters T, <i>et al.</i> An official European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society technical standard: field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 2014; 44 :1428–46. doi:10.1183/09031936.00150314
30	Spruit MA, Pitta F, McAuley E, <i>et al.</i> Pulmonary Rehabilitation and Physical Activity in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. <i>Am J Respir Crit Care Med</i> 2015; 192 :924–33. doi:10.1164/rccm.201505-0929CI

Holland AE, Hill CJ, Jones AY, et al. Breathing exercises for chronic obstructive





BMJ Open

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Pilot in study title.
		(<i>b</i>) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Yes
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Yes Introduction para 1-3, pg3-4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Yes Introduction para 4, pg 4. No hypotheses, this was a feasibility study.
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Methods, para 1, pg 5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Methods, para 1, pg 5 and under 'Singing Group Intervention' pg 6. Specific dates are in first line of Results, pg 7
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	Methods, para 1, pg 5
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	No matched control group
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Methods, 'data collection', pg 5
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Methods, 'Data collection', pg 5

1
2
2
3
4
5
6
7
0
8
9
10
11
10
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
20
21
<u>∽</u> 1
22
23
24
25
26
20
27
28
29
30
24
31
32
33
34
35
00
36
37
38
30
40
40
41
42
43
44
15
40
46
47
48
10
43
50
51
52
53
54
04
55
56
57
5.9 5.9
50
59

Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Objective lung function tests measured by scientist blinded to previous results. Self reported questionnaires
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Convenience sample, twice the size of previous studies.
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Methods, 'Analysis', pg 6
Statistical methods	12	(<i>a</i>) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Methods, 'Analysis', pg 6
		(<i>b</i>) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	N/A
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	N/A
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how	Results, para 1, pg 7 and
		loss to follow-up was addressed	Figure 1 Study overview
		Case-control study—If applicable, explain how	
		matching of cases and controls was addressed	
		Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe	
		analytical methods taking account of sampling	
		strategy	
		(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage	Results, para 1, pg 7 and
		of study—eg numbers potentially eligible,	Study overview Figure 1
		examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible,	
		included in the study, completing follow-up,	
		and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Results, para 1 and figure 1
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 1
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and	Results, para 2, pg 7 and Table 1
		information on exposures and potential	
		(b) Indicate number of nontriving with	Table 1
		(b) indicate number of participants with	Table 1
		(c) Cohort study Summarise follow un time	Result para 1 pg 7
		(c) conort study—summarise forlow-up time (eg. average and total amount)	Result, para 1,pg /
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome	Results para 3 pg 9 and Table 2
	10	events or summary measures over time	and Figure 2
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each	
		exposure category, or summary measures of	
		exposure	
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of	
		outcome events or summary measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if	Admission days adjusted. Other

For peer review only - http://bmjopen?bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

		applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	results unadjusted. Table 2
		(<i>b</i>) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	N/A
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	N/A feasibility study
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Discussion para1
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Discussion para 3, pg 12
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion para 6, pg 13
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Funding statement pg 14

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at <u>www.strobe-statement.org</u>.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open

Sing Your Lungs Out- A community singing group for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a one-year pilot study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-014151.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-Oct-2016
Complete List of Authors:	McNaughton, Amanda; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand, Respiratory Medicine; Wellington Hospital, Respiratory Medicine Weatherall, Mark; University of Otago Wellington, Williams, Mathew; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand, McNaughton, Harry; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand Aldington, Sarah; Wellington Hospital, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Emergency Medicine Williams, Gayle; Capital and Coast District Health Board, Community Health Services Beasley, Richard; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand,
Primary Subject Heading :	Respiratory medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Patient-centred medicine
Keywords:	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, Pulmonary Rehabilitation, Singing

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1 2		
3 4	1	Sing Your Lungs Out- A community singing group for chronic obstructive pulmonary
5 6	2	disease: a one-year pilot study
7 8 9	3	Amanda McNaughton ^{1,2} , Mark Weatherall ³ , Mathew Williams ¹ , Harry McNaughton ¹ , Sarah
10 11	4	Aldington ^{1,4} , Gayle Williams ⁵ , Richard Beasley ^{1,2}
12 13 14	5	¹ Medical Research Institute of New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand
15 16	6	² Department of Respiratory Medicine, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New
17 18 19	7	Zealand
20 21 22	8	³ Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Wellington, New Zealand
22 23 24	9	⁴ Department of Emergency Medicine, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New
25 26	10	Zealand
27 28 29	11	⁵ Department of Community Health, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New
30 31	12	Zealand
32 33 34	13	
35 36 37	14	Corresponding author:
38 39	15	Amanda McNaughton
40 41 42	16	Medical Research Institute of New Zealand,
43 44 45	17	Wellington Hospital
46 47	18	Private Bag 7902
48 49 50	19	Wellington 6021 New Zealand
50 51 52	20	0064 27 838 6925
53 54 55	21	amanda.mcnaughton@ccdhb.org.nz
56 57 58	22	
59 60		0

23 ABSTRACT

Objective Singing group participation may benefit patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary
disease (COPD). Previous studies are limited by small numbers of participants and short
duration of generally, hospital-based singing group intervention. This study examines the
feasibility of long-term participation in a community singing group for patients with COPD who
had completed pulmonary rehabilitation (PR).

Methods This was a non-experimental cohort study. Patients with COPD who had completed
PR were recruited to a new community-based singing group which met weekly for over one
year. Measurements at baseline, four months and one year comprised comprehensive
pulmonary function tests including lung volumes, six minute walk tests (6MWT), Clinical COPD
Questionnaire (CCQ), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), and hospital admission
days for acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD) for one year before and after the first singing
group session.

Findings There were 28 participants with chronic lung disease recruited initially. Five withdrew in the first month. Twenty-one participants meeting GOLD criteria for COPD completed four-month, and 18 completed one-year assessments. The mean attendance was 85%. The 6MWT at one year improved by 65 (95% CI 35 to 99) m compared to baseline P<0.001. There was a reduction in residual volume after four months of 130 (95% CI 3 to 250) ml, P=0.046, and a reduction in the HADS Anxiety Score after one year of 0.9 (95% CI 0.1 to 1.8) points, P=0.038. Mean (SD) hospital admission days for AECOPD were 3.6 (7.9) before, and 2.7 (7.3) after joining the singing group, p=0.40 for the difference.

1 2						
- 3 4	45	Conclusions Our findings support the feasibility of long-term participation in a community				
5 6	46	singing group for breathless adults with COPD who have completed PR and provide evidence of				
7 8	47 improved exercise capacity and a reduction in anxiety.48					
9 10 11						
12 13	49					
13 14 15	50					
16	51	Strengths and limitations of this study:				
17 18 19	52	 Broad inclusion criteria and community setting of the singing group supports 				
20 21	53	generalisability of the findings.				
22 23	54	 High retention rates with one-year follow-up support the feasibility of this intervention. 				
24 25	55	 This is the first report of serial lung volume measurements in COPD patients attending a 				
26 27 28	56	singing group over one year.				
28 29 30	57	 This is a relatively small cohort study. 				
31 32	58					
33 34	59					
35 36						
37 38						
39 40						
41						
42 43						
44						
45 46						
47						
48 40						
- 50						
51						
52						
53 54						
55						
56						
ວ <i>າ</i> 58						
59						
60		2				

INTRODUCTION

that is usually progressive, is an increasingly common syndrome.[1] Common symptoms include progressive breathlessness, cough and sputum production as well as anxiety and depression. [2,3] The chronic breathlessness in COPD is physically limiting and socially isolating, adding further to depression and anxiety.[4] Current pharmacological options have limited benefits and significant adverse effects driving the need for cost-effective, non-pharmacological, person-centred, community-based interventions.[5–8] Pulmonary Rehabilitation (PR) is one of the most effective therapies for COPD, improving quality of life, exercise tolerance, and breathlessness.[9] An important research guestion is how the benefits of PR can be sustained and augmented.[10]

inspiratory expansion (using the diaphragm, external intercostal, and accessory muscles), active exhalation (incremental recruitment of abdominal and internal intercostal muscles) and optimal posture.[11] Singing, therefore, has the potential to improve breathing control and posture, and theoretically to reduce residual volume (RV), which is a manifestation of lung hyperinflation. Improvement in psychological wellbeing has been reported in healthy subjects and people with a variety of chronic conditions participating in singing groups.[12–14]

<page-header><page-header><text><section-header><text> guality of life and reduction in anxiety, although not in lung function.[15,16], One study examining the effects of adding therapeutic singing to PR programme showed no benefit in quality of life or exercise tolerance, [17] whilst two studies have reported improvement in maximal expiratory pressure. [18,19] The limitations of these studies include small sample sizes and short duration, hospital-based intervention.[15–19] A non-experimental feasibility study of singing group intervention for people with COPD in the United Kingdom recruited 106 people into 6 singing groups, and followed the group for ten months. [20] In that report it was unclear if the participants had participated in PR before the singing intervention. That study reported a 34% attrition rate and small statistically significant differences in St

1 2		
- 3 4	86	Georges Respiratory Questionnaire as well as spirometry parameters measured by a portable
5 6	87	spirometry device. We wished to explore whether singing group participation as an intervention, is
7 8	88	acceptable and sustainable for a longer time period with adequate retention rates, to a broad group of
9 10	89	COPD patients who had completed PR and whether the benefits can be achieved in a community ${f au}$
11 12	90	setting taking a low-cost, pragmatic approach that might be easily reproducible in other centres
13 14 15	91	comprising amateur singing group facilitator leading free weekly sessions in a community hall. We are
16 17	92	interested in the potential of singing group participation for patients with COPD as a means to sustain
18 19	93	the benefits of PR. The effects of singing group participation on hyperinflation and lung function in
20 21	94	COPD patients remain unclear and we wished to measure both spirometry and lung volumes over a
22 23	95	ق significant time period in singing group participants, looking for any effect on residual volume as well as ق
24 25	96	airflow.
20 27 28	97	The purpose of this cohort study was to assess the feasibility of community singing group
20 29 30	98	participation for one year, for breathless patients with COPD who have completed PR. We report here
31 32	99	the quantitative results of the study, the qualitative results are reported separately.[21]
33 34	100	da ta
35 36	101	
37 38		g, Att
39 40		
41 42		IJĢ ar
43 44		
45		
46 47		tec
48		O THE SECOND
49 50		og ie
51		Ŭ,
52		
53 54		
55		
56 57		
58		
59		Δ
60		4
		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded . Erasmushogeschool .

Protected by copyright, including for uses

related

from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA

102 METHODS

> This was a prospective cohort study. Patients with COPD or another chronic lung disease, who had completed an eight-week, hospital-based PR programme, and were attending a weekly maintenance community PR exercise class, were invited by the PR nurse to join a new community-based singing group for the purposes of this study. The group was based in Wellington, New Zealand. In our institution, patients are enrolled in PR programmes if they have chronic lung disease and a Modified Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale of at least grade 2. We report on those participants with physician-diagnosed COPD based on GOLD criteria.[22] There were no further recruitment restrictions based on age, severity of disease, cognition, singing ability, or co-morbidities. The study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group, and all patients gave written informed

112 consent. The trial was registered at <u>www.anzctr.org.au</u>, registry number ACTRN12615000736549.

113 Data collection

Patient demographics, pulmonary function tests, six-minute walk test (6MWT), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)[23] and the Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ)[24] were measured at baseline, four months and one year after enrolment. Spirometry and lung volumes including forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), total lung capacity (TLC), residual volume (RV), functional residual capacity (FRC) and inspiratory capacity (IC) were measured according to≥ ATS/ERS standards (body volume constant plethysmography Masterlab, Erich-Jaeger, Wurzburg Germany) using the reference values of the European Community for Coal and Steel (ECCS).[25] Short-acting bronchodilator therapy was withheld for four hours prior to testing, and patients were tested when clinically stable, and not within two weeks of an exacerbation. Six-minute walk test? (6MWT) was performed according to international guidelines [26] except that only one test was performed at each measurement point, rather than the recommended two. All participants had $\overset{\wp}{}$ performed at least one, and mostly two, 6MWT previously as part of their PR programme.

126 The respiratory scientist performing the pulmonary function tests was masked to the results of previous 127 assessments. Questionnaires were self-completed. Each patient's electronic health record was Page 7 of 26

BMJ Open

2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
/ ~			
B			
9			
1	0		
1	1		
1	່ ວ		
1	2 م		
1	3		
1	4		
1	5		
1	6		
1	7		
1	'n		
1	0 0		
I	9		
2	0		
2	1		
2	2		
2	ર		
2	л		
2	4		
2	5		
2	6		
2	7		
2	8		
2	9		
2	ñ		
5 5	4		
3	1		
3	2		
3	3		
3	4		
3	5		
ຈ	6		
2	7		
с С	1		
3	8		
3	9		
4	0		
4	1		
1	2		
1	2		
+ ^	ں ۸		
4	4		
4	5		
4	6		
4	7		
4	8		
4	a		
т Б	ი ი		
5	2		
D	1		
5	2		
5	3		
5	4		
5	5		
5	с А		
5	0 7		
2	1		
5	8		
5	9		

searched for admissions where the primary discharge code was an acute exacerbation of COPD. Hospital admission data for acute exacerbation of COPD were recorded for the 12 months before enrolment and 12 months starting from the date of enrolment. PR programme information, the date,

enrolment and 12 months starting from the date of enrolment. PR programme information, the date, sessions attended, and 6MWT results at entry and completion, were obtained from the PR nurse with runs the programme. **Singing group intervention**The singing group (Sing Your Lungs Out) met for one hour, weekly, in an urban community hall, continuously throughout the study period. An amateur singing group facilitator (SGF) led the group and a PR nurse attended all sessions. Each rehearsal comprised a five minute warm up session, 35 minutes singing, a five minute warm down and 15 minutes social morning-tea time. It was run free of charge to patients. The SGF and the group chose the singing repertoire together, including a wide mix of genres (eg pop, musicals, traditional Măori songs, folk songs, rounds) with attention to the group's voice range and capacity for phrase lengths. The SGF also discussed breathing for singing techniques as the year progressed and as the group gained confidence. No music reading ability was required. We made recordings of songs to allow practice at home although this was optional. Collaboration with a local boys' high school developed from student piano accompaniment to working with the senior boys' chorale including some joint performances. Over the year, the participants delivered six public performances, supported by the senior chorale from the local school on four occasions. Singing group members continued to attend maintenance exercise classes as well as singing group.

Analysis

Continuous variables were compared using a mixed linear model for most variables with an unstructured variance-covariance correlation structure. Hospital admission days were right skew and

Page 8 of 26

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

BMJ Open

<text><text><text> were compared with a paired Wilcoxon test and Hodges-Lehmann estimator of the difference. Although individual comparisons are presented with 95% confidence intervals a large number of statistical tests have been carried out and this will inflate the Type I error rate. SAS version 9.4 was used.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

RESULTS

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies. Twenty-eight participants with chronic lung disease were enrolled in the singing group in five months: October 2014 to February 2015. The mean (SD) time from completion of past PR was 1.2 (1.2) years. Response to past PR by the change in 6MWT was available for 14 participants and for these participants the distance had improved from a mean (SD) of 316 (126) m to 356 (148) m. Five participants withdrew within one month of enrolment as they did not wish to continue (n=4) or were recruited into another study (n=1). At baseline assessment, twenty-one participants had COPD as defined by GOLD criteria[22] but two participants had normal FEV1/FVC ratio on spirometry with a clinical diagnosis of interstitial lung disease. They continued to attend singing group but were excluded from this analysis. The 21 participants with COPD attended the singing group for at least one year and had measurements at enrolment and after four months. Eighteen participants were reassessed after 12 months: one had undergone lung transplant and two had such frequent exacerbations that stable follow-up testing was not possible. Figure 1 shows the study overview. The participants with COPD had a wide range of disease severity and comorbidities. Mean (SD) FEV1% at baseline was 60.3 (21.1), median 57.1, range 14.6-110. The majority (66%) of participants

had moderate COPD by GOLD criteria but 20% had severe or very severe COPD, similar to the proportions of COPD severity in the NZ community.[27] Six patients (29%) had an FEV1 at baseline of

less than one litre. Common comorbidities were bronchiectasis, congestive cardiac failure, diabetes,

and obesity. Six of 21 (29%) were Māori, consistent with the higher prevalence of COPD in Māori in

New Zealand who constitute only 6% of the total population over 50 years. [28] The mean (SD)

attendance rate for the 12 months was 85% (12.1). Table 1 shows characteristics of the study population at baseline.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

3	
Δ	
5	
с С	
6	
7	
8	
9	
1	n
4	4
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	ñ
4	7
	1
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	ว
2	<u>~</u> っ
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	Q
2	0
2	9
3	0
3	1
3	2
3	3
ž	Δ
2	5
3	S
3	6
3	7
3	8
3	9
۵	ñ
1	1
4	1
4	2
4	3
4	4
4	5
4 ⊿	5 6
4	5 6 7
4 4 4	5 6 7
4 4 4	5 6 7 8
4 4 4 4	5 6 7 8 9
4 4 4 5	5 6 7 8 9 0
444455	5 6 7 8 9 0 1
4444555	56789012
44445555	567890122
444455555	567890123
4444555555	5678901234
44445555555	56789012345
4444555555555	567890123456

1 2

181 Table 1 Characteristics of the study population

	N=21			
Age (years) mean (SD) [range]	68.8 (9.8) [51 to 91]			
Sex	N/21 (%)			
Men	8 (38)			
Women	13 (62)			
Ethnicity				
European	14 (67)			
Maori	6 (29)			
Asian	1 (5)			
Smoking history				
Current smoker	1 (5)			
Ex-smoker	18 (86)			
Never smoker	2 (10)			
COPD severity (FEV1% predicted)				
≥80% (mild)	3 (14)			
50-79% (moderate)	14 (66)			
30-49% (severe)	2 (10)			
<30% (very severe)	2 (10)			
COPD mortality risk ^a				
BODE score: 0-2	4 (19)			
3-4	8 (38)			
5-6	6 (29)			
7-10	3 (14)			
Continuous long term domiciliary	2 (10)			
oxygen therapy				
Comorbidities ^b				
Bronchiectasis	3 (14)			
Heart failure	6 (29)			
Diabetes	7 (33)			
Anxiety on treatment	5 (24)			
Atrial fibrillation	8 (38)			
Ischaemic Heart Disease	5 (24)			
Clinical characteristics	Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	Min to max	
FEV1 (L)	1.3(0.5)	1.3 (0.9 to 1.6)	0.6 to 2.6	
FEV1 (% predicted)	60.3 (21.1)	57.1 (50 to 72.4)	14.6 to 110.3	
FVC (L)	2.85 (0.9)	2.75 (2.2 to 3.0)	1.8 to 4.9	
FVC (%predicted)	103.5 (26.9)	102.5 (85.3 to 126.7)	53.4 to160.4	
FEV1/FVC	0.47 (0.14)	0.47 (0.36 to 0.56)	0.22 to 0.68	
TLC (L)	6.38 (1.99)	5.68 (5.2 to 7.0)	3.57 to 11.3	
RV (L)	3.39 (1.52)	3.06 (2.6 to 3.4)	1.67 to 8.52	
SpO2 at rest (%)	95.4 (2.3)	96 (93 to 97)	89 to 99	
6MWT (m)	300 (110)	290 (212 to 349)	132 to 508	
BMI (kg/m ²)	29.1 (7.6)	28.0 (23.9 to 32.5)	20.1 to 53.0	
Questionnaires				
CCQ	2.11 (0.83)	1.9 (1.7 to 2.8)	0.4 to 3.3	
HADS anxiety	5.8 (2.8)	6 (4 to 7)	1 to 11	
HADS depression	4.1 (2.3)	3 (3 to 6)	1 to 10	
	99(46)	9 (7 to 12)	2 to 21	

a:BODE score- The body-mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnoea and exercise capacity index in
 COPD [29] b Some participants had multiple comorbidities.

58 59

60

1 2		
3 4 5	184 185 186	FEV1- forced expiratory volume in one second, FVC- forced vital capacity, TLC- total lung capacity RV- residual volume, SpO2-oxygen saturation measured by a pulse oximeter, 6MWT- six-minute walk test, BMI- body mass index, CCQ- clinical COPD questionnaire, HADS- hospital anxiety and depression score.
6 7 8	187	
9 10 11 12		
13 14 15		
16 17 18		
20 21 22		
23 24 25		
26 27 28 29		
30 31 32		
33 34 35		
37 38 39		
40 41 42		
43 44 45 46		
47 48 49		
50 51 52		
53 54 55 56		
57 58 59		
60		10
There was strong evidence for an increase in 6MWT after four months, with a mean increase of 28 (95%CI 5 to 52) m, p = 0.019, increasing further to 65 (95%CI 35 to 99) m, p < 0.001 at one year. HADS Anxiety scores were lower at one year with a mean change from baseline of -0.9 (95%CI -1.8 to -0.1) p = 0.038. Lung function tests showed a reduction in RV, mean decrease 130 (95%CI -250 to -3) ml, p =0.046 and total lung capacity, mean decrease 150 (95%CI -290 to -20) ml, p = 0.023 after four months, but no significant differences at 12 months compared to baseline. The questionnaire and , anu . clinical measurements, and their changes are shown in Table 2.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

asmushogesci

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

		Mean (SD)		Difference from	baseline (95% Cl)
Variable	Baseline	Four months	One year	Four months	One year
	N=21	N=21	N=18		
FEV1 (L)	1.32 (0.5)	1.34 (0.5)	1.44 (0.5)	0.02 (-0.01 to 0.06)	0.04 (-0.05 to 0.12
				P=0.21	P=0.44
FEV1%	60.3 (21.1)	61.9 (22.3)	64.5 (20.2)	1.6 (-0.1 to 3.4)	2.4 (-1.4 to 6.1)
				P=0.065	P=0.21
FVC (L)	2.85 (0.9)	2.81 (0.92)	2.91 (0.97)	-0.04 (-0.15 to 0.06)	-0.04 (-0.17 to 0.09
				P=0.38	P=0.50
FVC%	103.5 (26.9)	103.1 (30.4)	107.0 (24.8)	-0.5 (-4.5 to 3.6)	-0.02 (-4.5 to 4.4)
				P=0.81	P=0.99
FEV1/FVC	0.47 (0.14)	0.48 (0.13)	0.50 (0.12)	0.01 (-0.004 to 0.03)	0.02 (-0.002 to 0.03
				P=0.13	P=0.08
TLC (L)	6.38 (1.99)	6.22 (1.86)	6.23 (1.7)	-0.15 (-0.29 to -0.02)	-0.04 (-0.21 to 0.13
				P=0.023	P=0.61
TLC%	117.8 (20.9)	115.2 (20.2)	116.4 (20.8)	-2.6 (-4.8 to -0.4)	-0.7 (-3.7 to 2.3)
				P=0.021	P=0.61
RV (L)	3.39 (1.52)	3.27 (1.44)	3.22 (1.18)	-0.13 (-0.25 to -0.003)	0.06 (-0.16 to 0.27
				P=0.046	P=0.58
RV%	156.1 (59.9)	150.2 (57.1)	145.7 (44.1)	-5.9 (-11.5 to -0.3)	1.3 (-7.8 to 10.3)
				P=0.04	P=0.77
IC (L)	2.29 (0.86)	2.35 (0.83)	2.33 (0.87)	0.06 (-0.03 to 0.15)	-0.07 (-0.18 to 0.04
				P=0.18	P=0.21
IC%	107.2 (27.2)	110.1 (25.7)	108.6 (24.3)	3.0 (-1.8 to 7.7)	-2.9 (-9.3 to 3.5)
				P=0.21	P=0.35
IC/TLC	0.37 (0.09)	0.39 (0.09)	0.38 (0.09)	0.02 (0.001 to 0.03)	-0.01 (-0.03 to 0.07
				P=0.037	P=0.36
RV/TLC	0.52 (0.09)	0.52 (0.09)	0.52 (0.09)	-0.01 (-0.02 to 0.01)	0.01 (-0.01 to 0.03
				P=0.45	P=0.35
6MWT (m)	300 (110)	328 (118)	377 (104)	28 (5 to 52)	65 (35 to 99)
				P=0.019	P<0.001
CCQ	2.11 (0.83)	2.08 (0.86)	2.31 (1.04)	-0.03 (-0.31 to 0.26)	0.26 (-0.04 to 0.57
				P=0.84	P=0.08
HADS	5.8 (2.8)	5.81 (3.52)	4.67 (3.2)	0 (-1.2 to 1.2)	-0.9 (-1.8 to -0.1)
Anxiety				P=0.99	P=0.038
HADS	4.1 (2.3)	3.19 (2.56)	4.0 (3.91)	-0.9 (-2.1 to 0.2)	0.1 (-1.3 to 1.6)
Depression				P=0.11	P=0.85

ł	HADS Total	9.9 (4.6)	9.0 (5	5.7)	8.7 (6.4)	-0.9 (-3.0 to 1.2)	-0.8 (-2.6 to 1.0)	
						P=0.37	P=0.35	
				12 mo	nths prior to	12 months after	1	
				en	rolment	enrolment	HL estimator (95% CI)	
	Hospital admi N=2	ssion days for <i>A</i> 20* Mean (SD)	AECOPD	3	.6 (7.9)	2.7 (7.3)	-1.0 (-8.5 to 3.0) P=0.40	
197 198 199 200 201 202 202 203	FEV1, Forc FRC, functi for Coal an tested when according t lung transp	ed expiratory vol onal residual cap d Steel (ECCS). n clinically stable o international gu lant between 4 m	ume in 1 s; bacity; IC, in Short-acting , and not wi idelines.[24 bonths and	FVC, force hspiratory c g bronchod ithin two we 4] AECOPE 1-year follo	ed vital capacity; apacity. Spirome ilator therapy with eeks of an exacen o, Acute exacerba w-up assessmen	L TLC, total lung capacity; I tric reference values from hheld for four hours befor rbation. Six-minute walk t ation of COPD. * Excludin ts.	RV, residual volume; European Community e testing, patients were est (6MWT) performed g one patient who had	
204								
205	DISCUS	SION						
206	In	this study par	rticipation	in a wee	kly communit	y-based singing grou	p for one year is assoc	
207	with incre	eased exercise	e capacity	, reduced	d anxiety, and	improved lung funct	ion in patients with COI	
208	who have	e completed P	R. The hi	gh attend	lance rate ove	er one year, (mean 8	5%), supports the	
209	acceptab	acceptability and feasibility of this intervention. Factors favouring generalisability of these findings are						
210	the broad	l inclusion crite	eria, COF	PD patien	ts recruited fro	om typical public hos	pital pulmonary	
211	rehabilita	tion service ar	nd singing	g group ir	ntervention rec	quiring no special trai	ning.	
212	W	We were surprised by the high long-term attendance rate, mean 85%, for this cohort of patient						
213	with signi	ficant respirat	ory disea	se and co	omorbidities, i	ncluding two particip	ants with very severe	
214	COPD, o	ne of whom ha	ad a lung	transpla	nt four months	after joining the sing	ging group. The qualitat	
215	data from	n this project, r	reported s	separately	y, showed tha	t most participants ha	ad not been previously	
216	interested	d in singing an	id conside	ered the s	singing group	a fun social activity r	nore than a musical	
217	endeavou	endeavour.[21] The reduction in HADS anxiety scores confirms previous research [15] but this is the						
218	first repo	rt of statisticall	y and clir	nically sig	nificant impro	vements in 6MWT ar	nd lung volumes, albeit	
219	the latter	were modest	and short	t-term, wi	th this type of	intervention The m	ean reduction in HADS	
220	anxiety se	anxiety score of 0.9 units at one year, did not exceed the minimal clinically important difference (MCID)						
				f 1.32.[30] The mean increase in 6MWT of 65m at one year was greater than the MCID of 30m.[31]				

Page 15 of 26

1

BMJ Open

2
3
4
5
6
7
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
~~ ^?
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
20
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
20
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
/7
41 10
40
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
30
5/
58
59

60

222 This is the first report of serial RV measurement in COPD patients attending a singing group over one 223 year. The small reduction in RV after four months supports the findings of a previous small COPD study 224 although in that study lung volumes were measured immediately after singing.[19] Although the 225 reduction in hospital admission days for AECOPD was not statistically significant, the point estimate was almost one day less per participant in the year attending singing group, compared to the year 226 before starting (excluding the patient who has a lung transplant during the year). If this is a genuine 227 228 reduction then, at a daily hospital bed day rate of approximately \$NZ800 (GBP460), this represents a 229 saving of \$14080 for this group of 20 patients. The actual cost of running the singing group for 12 230 months was approximately \$NZ4000. Therefore this low-cost intervention could potentially be cost effective. 231

Protected by copyright, including for uses Strengths of this study are the broad inclusion criteria, high retention rates with one-year follow-232 related to tex 233 up, comprehensive pulmonary function tests, and pragmatic community context. Previously reported 234 studies of singing intervention for COPD have generally been of short duration, mostly 6-10 weeks.[15,16,18,19] In contrast to studies using professional singing teachers and physiotherapists, we 235 used amateur singing group facilitators, with musical experience and strong group facilitation skills. Our 236 findings confirm those of Morrison and colleagues who showed medium-term (ten months) feasibility for 237 ≥ 238 community singing groups for people with COPD in the UK but with a significantly higher attrition rate than we achieved. A significant component of our study was that participants had both completed a PR 239 and similar technologies. programme and were enrolled in a weekly maintenance exercise programme. These are likely to be 240 241 people more motivated to manage their own health and who had already demonstrated an ability to attend weekly sessions. Thus these results can't be readily generalised to a population of people with 242 COPD who have declined or not been offered PR. We believe there may be a role for SG participation 243 for people with COPD who decline PR and have started a controlled study testing this hypothesis 244 245 (www.anzctr.org.au registry number ACTRN12616000584437). Limitations of our study include that the 246 6MWT was only performed once at each visit, but participants had all done at least one 6MWT as part of their recent PR programmes so any learning effect is likely to have been minimised.[32] Singing 247

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

for uses

related to text and

data mining, Al training,

and

group members continued to attend maintenance exercise classes which could explain some of the improvement in exercise capacity. However, on average, the participants had been attending exercise classes for approximately one year prior to singing group enrolment and mean 6MWT distance at commencement of singing group was lower than the 6MWT distance at the end of the PR programme for the 14 participants with complete data from the preceding PR programme. The presence of a PR nurse at the singing sessions may have been important. Her role was in 'meeting and greeting' participants, general encouragement to attend, supervising refreshments and organising transport for performances. There was no formal or informal exercise advice provided. In the interviews that formed the qualitative component of this project [21], a key theme was being cared for in a safe environment and the PR nurse presence contributed to this. All participants received usual medical care so commencement of singing group was lower than the 6MWT distance at the end of the PR programme and the PR nurse presence contributed to this. All participants received usual medical care so therapeutic changes may have affected some, but not all, of the participants. This is a relatively small cohort study without a control group so both type I and type II errors are possible. This analysis also includes a large number of hypothesis tests which inflate the type 1 error rate.

Our findings are relevant to the question of sustaining the benefits of pulmonary rehabilitation... A possible mechanism for singing group effectiveness is the promotion of physical activity which is considered to be a critical component of PR.[33] Our qualitative data showed that for many patients, attending the weekly singing group was a most enjoyable highlight of their week and worth the extra physical activity it took to get there.[21] Our finding of a reduction in RV after four months, but not 12 months, may reflect type I error rate inflation, and so be due to the play of chance. However, if this is a real result it could reflect a positive effect of singing on respiratory muscles and expiratory airways pressure that is subsequently overtaken by the natural history of the decline in lung function in COPD. In contrast to other studies[15–18] our singing group intervention focussed on fun group singing with no group singing wit specific breathing exercises. The impact of breathing exercises alone or in addition to singing remains uncertain [34] Our group met weekly with singing duration of 35 minutes, and no explicit practice at home. It is possible that there is a dose-response relationship, with increased benefit with more frequent singing group classes and singing practice at home. With the very high attendance rate we

1 2		
2 3 4	274	were not able to attempt an analysis for a dose-response relationship between attendance and
5 6	275	outcomes. From our experience, longer classes would not be well tolerated by COPD patients.
7 8	276	Our qualitative analysis showed singing group participation was associated with an increased
9 10	277	sense of social connection, purpose and meaningful participation which may explain the reduction in
11 12 13	278	anxiety.[21] Although there seems to be an association between anxiety in COPD patients, their health
14 15	279	status, and the frequency of AECOPD and hospitalisation, it is complex.[35] However, the reduction in
16 17	280	anxiety and increased exercise capacity observed in this study points to the potential for reducing
18 19	281	readmissions and supports the use of exercise capacity and readmission for AECOPD, as primary
20 21	282	outcome measures for a future randomised controlled trial (RCT).
22 23	283	We believe our results are generalisable because of our broad inclusion criteria, recruitment
24 25 26	284	from a typical hospital PR programme and the use of amateurs for singing group facilitation. We have
27 28	285	made available on-line our "10 practical top tips" document for setting up and running a community
29 30	286	singing group for people living with COPD (see online supplement). We have been able to sustain this
31 32	287	intervention long term, free to patients, as it was set up and financed by a charitable trust. The singing
33 34	288	group is still going strong after twenty-two months with high attendance including almost all of the
35 36 27	289	founding members, avoiding the ethical issue of withdrawing the intervention at study completion.
38 39	290	
40 41	291	CONCLUSIONS
42 43	292	Our findings support the feasibility of long-term participation in a community singing group for
44 45	293	breathless adults with COPD and provide evidence of improvement in exercise capacity and
46 47	294	reduction in anxiety. These results can inform sample size and selection of outcome measures
48 49	295	for a future RCT.
50 51 52	296	
52 53 54	207	Figure legend:
55 56	200	Figure 1: Study everyiew
57 58	230	I Igui e I. Study Over view
59 60		16

1 2		
3 4 5 6	299 300 301	COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, PR= pulmonary rehabilitation, AECOPD = acuteexacerbation of COPD, FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, GOLD =Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease
7 8 0	302	Acknowledgements We are grateful to Ruth Collingham and Jackie McAuliffe for running the Sing
9 10 11	303	Your Lungs Out Singing Group and to all the patients for their participation.
12 13	304	Contributors AM conceived the idea of the study, designed the protocol, wrote the first and final drafts
14 15	305	of the manuscript, was the senior investigator, and will act as guarantor. SA, HM, MWi, GW, MWe, RB
16 17	306	helped design and plan the study and helped write the first and final drafts of the manuscript. GW, AM
18 19	307	recruited patients to the study. MWi, AM collected data. MWe was responsible for the analysis.
20 21	308	Funding This study was supported by the Medical Research Institute of New Zealand. Sing Your
22 23 24	309	Lungs Out is run by the COPD Choir Trust, a volunteer-run registered charity, which received grants in
24 25 26	310	2015 from the Wellington City Council and Infinity Foundation Community Trust.
27 28	311	Competing interests None
29 30	312	Ethics approval This study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on
31 32	313	23 September 2014
33 34	314	Data sharing No additional data are available
35 36 37	315	nining
38 39	316	
40 41	317	REFERENCES
42 43 44	318 319	1 Mannino DM, Buist AS. Global burden of COPD: risk factors, prevalence, and future trends. <i>Lancet</i> 2007; 370 :765–73. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61380-4
45 46 47	320 321	2Rennard SI, Vestbo J. Natural histories of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. ProcInterpret of the sector of the sect
48 49 50	322 323	3 Yohannes AM, Alexopoulos GS. Depression and anxiety in patients with COPD. <i>Eur</i> <i>Respir Rev</i> 2014; 23 :345–9. doi:10.1183/09059180.00007813
51 52 53 54	324 325 326	4 Martinez Rivera C, Costan Galicia J, Alcázar Navarrete B, <i>et al.</i> Factors Associated with Depression in COPD: A Multicenter Study. <i>Lung</i> 2016; 194 :335–43. doi:10.1007/s00408- 016-9862-7
55 56 57 58	327 328 329	5 Kew KM, Dias S, Cates CJ. Long-acting inhaled therapy (beta-agonists, anticholinergics and steroids) for COPD: a network meta-analysis. In: Kew KM, ed. <i>Cochrane Database of</i> <i>Systematic Reviews</i> . Chichester, UK: : John Wiley & Sons, Ltd 2014.
59 60		17 1 7

1 2				
3 4	330		doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010844.pub2	
5 6 7 8	331 332 333	6	NICE. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in over 16s: diagnosis and management Guidance and guidelines NICE. 2010.https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG101 (accessed 21 Aug2016).	
9 10	334 335	7	Agusti A. The path to personalised medicine in COPD. <i>Thorax</i> 2014; 69 :857–64. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-205507	
12 13	336 337	8	Ernst P, Saad N, Suissa S. Inhaled corticosteroids in COPD: the clinical evidence. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 2014;:525–37. doi:10.1183/09031936.00128914	
14 15 16 17	338 339 340	9	McCarthy B, Casey D, Devane D, <i>et al.</i> Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev</i> 2015; 2 :CD003793. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003793.pub3	
18 19 20 21	341 342 343	10	Celli BR, Decramer M, Wedzicha J, <i>et al.</i> An official American Thoracic Society/Europea Respiratory Society statement: research questions in COPD. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 2015; 45 :879– 905. doi:10.1183/09031936.00009015	an -
22 23 24 25	344 345 346	11	Watson A. Breathing in Singing. In: Welch G, Howard D, Nix J, eds. <i>The Oxford Handbook of Singing</i> . Oxford University Press 2014. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199660773.013.10	
26 27 28 29	347 348 349	12	Clift S, Hancox G, Morrison I, <i>et al.</i> Choral singing and psychological wellbeing: Quantitative and qualitative findings from English choirs in a cross-national survey. <i>J Ap</i> <i>Arts Heal</i> 2010; 1 :19–34.	pl
30 31 32 33	350 351 352	13	Clark I, Harding K. Psychosocial outcomes of active singing interventions for therapeutic purposes: a systematic review of the literature. <i>Nord J Music Ther</i> 2012; 21 :80–98. doi:10.1080/08098131.2010.545136	2
34 35 36 37	353 354 355	14	Reagon C, Gale N, Enright S, <i>et al.</i> A mixed-method systematic review to investigate the effect of group singing on health related quality of life. <i>Complement Ther Med</i> 2016; 27 :1 11. doi:10.1016/j.ctim.2016.03.017	e _
38 39 40 41	356 357 358	15	Lord VM, Cave P, Hume VJ, <i>et al.</i> Singing teaching as a therapy for chronic respiratory diseasea randomised controlled trial and qualitative evaluation. <i>BMC Pulm Med</i> 2010; 10 :41. doi:10.1186/1471-2466-10-41	
42 43 44 45	359 360 361	16	Lord VM, Hume VJ, Kelly JL, <i>et al.</i> Singing classes for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. <i>BMC Pulm Med</i> 2012; 12 :69. doi:10.1186/1471-2466-12-69	
46 47 48 49	362 363 364	17	Goodridge D, Nicol JJ, Horvey KJ, <i>et al.</i> Therapeutic Singing as an Adjunct for Pulmona Rehabilitation Participants With COPD: Outcomes of a Feasibility Study. <i>Music Med</i> 2013; 5 :169–76. doi:10.1177/1943862113493012	ry
50 51 52	365 366	18	Bonilha AG, Onofre F, Vieira ML, <i>et al.</i> Effects of singing classes on pulmonary function and quality of life of COPD patients. <i>Int J COPD</i> 2009; 4 :1–8. doi:10.2147/COPD.S4077	
53 54 55 56	367 368 369	19	Pacheco C, Costa A, Amado J, <i>et al.</i> Singing in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: A pilot study in Portugal. <i>Rev Port Pneumol</i> 2014; 20 :225–8. doi:10.1016/j.rppneu.2014.02.009	
50 57	370	20	Morrison I, Clift SM, Page S et al. A UK feasibility study on the value of singing for people	le
59 60				18

Page 20 of 26

371 372	with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). UNESCO Observatory Multi- Disciplinary Journal in the Arts 2013:3;1-19
373 21 374 375	McNaughton A, Aldington S, Williams G, <i>et al.</i> Sing Your Lungs Out: A qualitative study of a community singing group for people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). <i>BMJ Open</i> 2016; 6 :e012521 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012521
376 22 377 378	Global Strategy for Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of COPD - 2016 - Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease - GOLD. http://goldcopd.org/global-strategy-diagnosis-management-prevention-copd-2016/
379 23 380	Bjelland I, Dahl A, Haug T, <i>et al.</i> The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale: an updated literature review. <i>J Psychosom Res</i> 2002;52:69-77
381 24 382 383	van der Molen T, Willemse BWM, Schokker S, <i>et al.</i> Development, validity and responsiveness of the Clinical COPD Questionnaire. <i>Health Qual Life Outcomes</i> 2003; 1 :13.
384 25 385	Clausen JL, Coates AL, Quanjer PH. Measurement of lung volumes in humans: review and recommendations from an ATS/ERS workshop. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 1997;10:1205–6
386 26 387	ATS Statement: Guidelines for the Six-Minute Walk Test. Am Thorac Soc Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002; 166 :111–7. doi:10.1164/rccm.166/1/111
388 27 389	Shirtcliffe P, Weatherall M, Marsh S, <i>et al</i> . COPD prevalence in a random population survey: a matter of definition. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 2007;30:232–9
390 28 391	Ministry of Health New Zealand. District health board Maori health plans profiles and needs assessments. 2015.http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/dhb-maori-health-profiles
392 29 393 394	Celli BR, Cote CG, Marin JM, <i>et al.</i> The body-mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnea, and exercise capacity index in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>NEJM</i> 2004;350:1005–12. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa021322
395 30 396 397	Puhan MA, Frey M, Büchi S, <i>et al.</i> The minimal important difference of the hospital anxiety and depression scale in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>Health Qual Life Outcomes</i> 2008; 6 :46. doi:10.1186/1477-7525-6-46
398 31 399 400	Holland AE, Nici L. The Return of the Minimum Clinically Important Difference for 6- Minute-Walk Distance in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. <i>Am J Respir Crit Care</i> <i>Med</i> 2013; 187 :335–6. doi:10.1164/rccm.201212-2191ED
401 32 402 403	Holland AE, Spruit MA, Troosters T, <i>et al.</i> An official European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society technical standard: field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 2014; 44 :1428–46. doi:10.1183/09031936.00150314
404 33 405 406	Spruit MA, Pitta F, McAuley E, <i>et al.</i> Pulmonary Rehabilitation and Physical Activity in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. <i>Am J Respir Crit Care Med</i> 2015; 192 :924–33. doi:10.1164/rccm.201505-0929CI
407 34 408 409	Holland AE, Hill CJ, Jones AY, <i>et al.</i> Breathing exercises for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev</i> Published Online First: 2012. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008250.pub2
410 35 411	Pooler A, Beech R. Examining the relationship between anxiety and depression and exacerbations of COPD which result in hospital admission: a systematic review. <i>Int J</i>
	19

1 2			
3	412	<i>Chron Obs Pulmon Dis</i> 2014; 9 :315–30.	
4 5	413		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	413		
60			20

Page 22 of 26

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.



Figure 1: Study overview.

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, PR= pulmonary rehabilitation, AECOPD = acute exacerbation of COPD, FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, GOLD = Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease

> Figure 1 shows the study overv 338x190mm (300 x 300 DPI)

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Setting up a singing group like Sing Your Lungs Out

We provide links below to two short videos of this singing group and a "10 practical top tips" document for setting up a community singing group for people living with COPD which we hope will inform, motivate and encourage others to set up singing groups.

ttps://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fduau0jV09o	-no_oragenico_oz.mi en: first
ttp://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fduau0jV09o ttp://www.mrinz.ac.nz/pdfs/How_to_set_up_SYLO.pdf	: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 20 Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Pilot in study title.
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Yes
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Yes Introduction para 1-3, pg3-4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Yes Introduction para 4, pg 4. No hypotheses, this was a feasibility study.
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Methods, para 1, pg 5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Methods, para 1, pg 5 and under 'Singing Group Intervention' pg 6. Specific dates are in first line of Results, pg 7
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	Methods, para 1, pg 5
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	No matched control group
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Methods, 'data collection', pg 5
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Methods, 'Data collection', pg 5

Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Objective lung function tests measured by scientist blinded to previous results. Self reported questionnaires
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Convenience sample, twice the size of previous studies.
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were	Methods, 'Analysis', pg 6
variables		handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(<i>a</i>) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Methods, 'Analysis', pg 6
		(<i>b</i>) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	N/A
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	N/A
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study—If applicable, explain how	Results, para 1, pg 7 and Figure 1 Study overview
		matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling	
		strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Results, para 1, pg 7 and Study overview Figure 1
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Results, para 1 and figure 1
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 1
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Results, para 2, pg 7 and Table
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Table 1
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Result, para 1,pg 7
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Results, para 3, pg 9 and Table and Figure 2
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
		outcome events or summary measures	
		Succine events of summary measures	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

2
3
1
-
5
6
7
Q
0
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
20
21
Z I
22
23
24
25
20
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
22
33
34
35
36
27
31
38
39
40
 /1
+1
42
43
44
15
40
46
47
48
10
73 50
50
51
52
52
55
54
55
56
57
51
58
59

1

	applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and results unadjusted. Table 2		
	their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval).		
	Make clear which confounders were adjusted		
	for and why they were included		
	(b) Report category boundaries when	N/A	
	continuous variables were categorized		
	(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of		
	relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful		
	time period		
17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of	N/A feasibility study	
	subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity		
	analyses		
18	Summarise key results with reference to study	Discussion para1	
	objectives		
10	Discuss limitations of the study taking into	Discussion nara 3 ng 12	
19	Discuss minitations of the study, taking into	Discussion para 5, pg 12	
19	account sources of potential bias or	Discussion para 5, pg 12	
19	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and	Discussion para 5, pg 12	
19	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Discussion para 5, pg 12	
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13	
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13	
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and	Discussion para 3, pg 12 Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13	
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Discussion para 3, pg 12 Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13	
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity)	Discussion para 3, pg 12 Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13	
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13	
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion para 3, pg 12 Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13	
20 21 22	Discuss initiations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13 Funding statement pg 14	
20 21 22	Discuss infinations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable,	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13 Funding statement pg 14	
20 21 22	Discuss initiations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present	Discussion para 3, pg 12 Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13 Funding statement pg 14	
	17	18 Summarise key results with reference to study objectives 10 Discuss limitations of the study taking into	

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at <u>www.strobe-statement.org</u>.

BMJ Open

Sing Your Lungs Out- A community singing group for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a one-year pilot study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-014151.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Nov-2016
Complete List of Authors:	McNaughton, Amanda; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand, Respiratory Medicine; Wellington Hospital, Respiratory Medicine Weatherall, Mark; University of Otago Wellington, Williams, Mathew; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand, McNaughton, Harry; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand Aldington, Sarah; Wellington Hospital, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Emergency Medicine Williams, Gayle; Capital and Coast District Health Board, Community Health Services Beasley, Richard; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand,
Primary Subject Heading :	Respiratory medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Patient-centred medicine
Keywords:	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, Pulmonary Rehabilitation, Singing

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1 2		
3 4	1	Sing Your Lungs Out- A community singing group for chronic obstructive pulmonary
5 6	2	disease: a one-year pilot study
7 8 9	3	Amanda McNaughton ^{1,2} , Mark Weatherall ³ , Mathew Williams ¹ , Harry McNaughton ¹ , Sarah
10 11	4	Aldington ^{1,4} , Gayle Williams ⁵ , Richard Beasley ^{1,2}
12 13 14	5	¹ Medical Research Institute of New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand
15 16	6	² Department of Respiratory Medicine, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New
17 18 19	7	Zealand
20 21 22	8	³ Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Wellington, New Zealand
22 23 24	9	⁴ Department of Emergency Medicine, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New
25 26	10	Zealand
27 28 29	11	⁵ Department of Community Health, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New
30 31	12	Zealand
32 33 34	13	
35 36 37	14	Corresponding author:
38 39	15	Amanda McNaughton
40 41 42	16	Medical Research Institute of New Zealand,
43 44 45	17	Wellington Hospital
46 47	18	Private Bag 7902
48 49 50	19	Wellington 6021 New Zealand
50 51 52	20	0064 27 838 6925
53 54 55	21	amanda.mcnaughton@ccdhb.org.nz
56 57 58	22	
59 60		0

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

23 ABSTRACT

Objective Singing group participation may benefit patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary
disease (COPD). Previous studies are limited by small numbers of participants and short
duration of generally, hospital-based singing group intervention. This study examines the
feasibility of long-term participation in a community singing group for patients with COPD who
had completed pulmonary rehabilitation (PR).

Methods This was a feasibility cohort study. Patients with COPD who had completed PR and were enrolled in a weekly community exercise group, were recruited to a new community-based singing group which met weekly for over one year. Measurements at baseline, four months and one year comprised comprehensive pulmonary function tests including lung volumes, six minute walk tests (6MWT), Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), and hospital admission days for acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD) for one year before and after the first singing group session.

Findings There were 28 participants with chronic lung disease recruited from 140 people approached. Five withdrew in the first month. Twenty-one participants meeting GOLD criteria for COPD completed four-month, and 18 completed one-year assessments. The mean attendance was 85%. For the pre-specified primary outcome measure, total HADS score, difference between baseline and 12 months was -0.9, 95% CI -3.0 to 1.2, p = 0.37. Of the secondary measures, a significant reduction was observed for HADS Anxiety Score after one year of -0.9 (95% CI -1.8 to -0.1) points, P=0.038 and an increase in the 6MWT at one year, of 65 (95% CI 35 to 99) m compared to baseline P<0.001.

45 Conclusions Our findings support the feasibility of long-term participation in a community
 46 singing group for breathless adults with COPD who have completed PR and are enrolled in a

1 2					
- 3 4	47	weekly community exercise group and provide evidence of improved exercise capacity and a			
5 6 7	48	eduction in anxiety.			
8 9	49				
10 11	50				
12 13	51				
14 15	52	Strengths and limitations of this study:			
16	53	 High attendance and retention rates over one-year follow-up support the feasibility of this 			
17 18 19	54	intervention.			
20 21	55	 Recruitment from a typical hospital PR programme and low cost community setting of 			
22 23	56	the singing group supports the practicality and reproducibility of the intervention			
24 25	57	 This is the first report of serial lung volume measurements in COPD patients attending a 			
26 27	58	singing group over one year.			
28 29 20	59	 This is a relatively small cohort study. 			
30 31 32	60				
33 34	61				
35 36					
37 38					
39 40					
41 42					
43 44					
45 46					
47					
48 49					
50					
51 52					
53					
54 55					
56					
57 58					
59 60		2			
60		ζ			

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

INTRODUCTION

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), characterised by persistent airflow limitation that is usually progressive, is an increasingly common syndrome.[1] Common symptoms include usually progressive, is an increasingly common syndrome, in common symptome measures ssive breathlessness, cough and sputum production as well as anxiety and depression.[2,3] The c breathlessness in COPD is physically limiting and socially isolating, adding further to ision and anxiety.[4] Current pharmacological options have limited benefits and significant e effects driving the need for cost-effective, non-pharmacological, person-centred, community-interventions.[5–8] Pulmonary Rehabilitation (PR) is one of the most effective therapies for , improving quality of life, exercise tolerance, and breathlessness.[9] An important research on is how the benefits of PR can be sustained and augmented.[10] There is a growing interest in the therapeutic potential of singing for COPD. Singing involves itory expansion (using the diaphragm, external intercostal, and accessory muscles), active ition (incremental recruitment of abdominal and internal intercostal muscles) and optimal e.[11] Singing, therefore, has the potential to improve breathing control and posture, and tically to reduce residual volume (RV), which is a manifestation of lung hyperinflation. wement in psychological wellbeing has been reported in healthy subjects and people with a v of chronic conditions participating in singing groups.[12–14] Two randomised controlled trials of singing group interventions in COPD report improvements in v of life and reduction in anxiety, although not in lung function.[15,16], One study examining the progressive breathlessness, cough and sputum production as well as anxiety and depression. [2,3] The chronic breathlessness in COPD is physically limiting and socially isolating, adding further to depression and anxiety.[4] Current pharmacological options have limited benefits and significant adverse effects driving the need for cost-effective, non-pharmacological, person-centred, community-based interventions.[5–8] Pulmonary Rehabilitation (PR) is one of the most effective therapies for COPD, improving quality of life, exercise tolerance, and breathlessness.[9] An important research guestion is how the benefits of PR can be sustained and augmented.[10]

inspiratory expansion (using the diaphragm, external intercostal, and accessory muscles), active exhalation (incremental recruitment of abdominal and internal intercostal muscles) and optimal posture.[11] Singing, therefore, has the potential to improve breathing control and posture, and theoretically to reduce residual volume (RV), which is a manifestation of lung hyperinflation. Improvement in psychological wellbeing has been reported in healthy subjects and people with a variety of chronic conditions participating in singing groups.[12–14]

and similar technologies. guality of life and reduction in anxiety, although not in lung function.[15,16], One study examining the effects of adding therapeutic singing to PR programme showed no benefit in quality of life or exercise tolerance, [17] whilst two studies have reported improvement in maximal expiratory pressure. [18,19] The limitations of these studies include small sample sizes and short duration.[15–19] A nonexperimental feasibility study of singing group intervention for people with COPD in the United Kingdom recruited 106 people into 6 singing groups, and followed the group for ten months. [20] In that report it was unclear if the participants had participated in PR before the singing intervention. That study

reported a 34% attrition rate and small statistically significant differences in St Georges Respiratory

1 2		
2 3 4	88	Questionnaire as well as spirometry parameters measured by a portable spirometry device. We wished
5 6	89	to explore whether singing group participation as an intervention, is acceptable and sustainable for a
7 8	90	longer time period with adequate retention rates, to a broad group of COPD patients who had
9 10	91	completed PR and whether the benefits can be achieved in a community setting taking a low-cost,
11 12 13	92	pragmatic approach that might be easily reproducible in other centres. We are interested in the
13 14 15	93	potential of singing group participation for patients with COPD as a means to sustain the benefits of PR.
16 17	94	We are also interested in identifying measures sensitive to change during the intervention period and
18 19	95	the feasibility of this measurement. The effects of singing group participation on hyperinflation and lung
20 21	96	function in COPD patients remain unclear and we wished to measure both spirometry and lung
22 23	97	volumes over a significant time period in singing group participants, looking for any effect on residual
24 25 26	98	volume as well as airflow.
20 27 28	99	The purpose of this cohort study was to assess the feasibility of community singing group
29 30	100	participation for one year, for breathless patients with COPD who had completed PR. We report here
31 32	101	the quantitative results of the study, the qualitative results are reported separately.[21]
33 34	102	
35 36	103	
37 38 20		
40 41		
42 43		
44 45		
46		
47 48		
49		
50		
51 52		
53		
54		
55 56		
57		
58		
59 60		4

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded Erasmushogeschool .

from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA

METHODS

> This was a prospective feasibility study. Patients with COPD or another chronic lung disease, who had completed an eight-week, hospital-based PR programme, and were enrolled in a weekly community exercise class, were invited by the PR nurse to join a new community-based singing group for the purposes of this study. The group was based in Wellington, New Zealand. In our institution, patients are enrolled in PR programmes if they have chronic lung disease and a Modified Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale of at least grade 2. All patients completing a PR programme are encouraged to enrol in right, a weekly community exercise class. We report on those participants with physician-diagnosed COPD includi based on GOLD criteria.[22] There were no further recruitment restrictions based on age, severity of bО disease, cognition, singing ability, or co-morbidities. The study was approved by the Wellington Hospital uses Research Governance Group, and all patients gave written informed consent. The trial was registered related at www.anzctr.org.au, registry number ACTRN12615000736549.

Data collection

Patient demographics, pulmonary function tests, six-minute walk test (6MWT), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)[23] and the Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ)[24] were measured at baseline, four months and one year after enrolment. Spirometry and lung volumes including forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), total lung capacity (TLC), residual volume[≥] (RV), functional residual capacity (FRC) and inspiratory capacity (IC) were measured according to ATS/ERS standards (body volume constant plethysmography Masterlab, Erich-Jaeger, Wurzburg, Germany) using the reference values of the European Community for Coal and Steel (ECCS).[25] ■ Short-acting bronchodilator therapy was withheld for four hours prior to testing, and patients were? tested when clinically stable, and not within two weeks of an exacerbation. Six-minute walk test (6MWT) was performed according to international guidelines [26] except that only one test was performed at each measurement point, rather than the recommended two. All participants had performed at least one, and mostly two, 6MWT previously as part of their PR programme.

The respiratory scientist performing the pulmonary function tests was masked to the results of previous assessments. Questionnaires were self-completed. Each patient's electronic health record was searched for diagnosis, comorbidities and admissions where the primary discharge code was an acute exacerbation of COPD. Hospital admission data for acute exacerbation of COPD were recorded for the 12 months before enrolment and 12 months starting from the date of enrolment. PR programmers information, the date, sessions attended, and 6MWT results at entry and completion, were obtained copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies from the PR nurse who runs the programme.

Singing group intervention

The singing group (Sing Your Lungs Out) met for one hour, weekly, in an urban community hall, continuously throughout the study period. An amateur singing group facilitator (SGF) led the group and a PR nurse attended all sessions. Each rehearsal comprised a five minute warm up session, 35 minutes singing, a five minute warm down and 15 minutes social morning-tea time. It was run free of charge to patients. The SGF and the group chose the singing repertoire together, including a wide mix of genres (eg pop, musicals, traditional Māori songs, folk songs, rounds) with attention to the group's voice range and capacity for phrase lengths. The SGF also discussed breathing for singing techniques as the year progressed and as the group gained confidence. No music reading ability was required. We made recordings of songs to allow practice at home although this was optional. Collaboration with a local boys' high school developed from student piano accompaniment to working with the senior boys' chorale including some joint performances. Over the year, the participants delivered six public performances, supported by the senior chorale from the local school on four occasions. Singing group members remained enrolled in the community exercise classes, as well as singing group.

Analysis

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

asmushogeschool

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

Continuous variables were compared using a mixed linear model for most variables with an unstructured variance-covariance correlation structure. Hospital admission days were right skew and were compared with a paired Wilcoxon test and Hodges-Lehmann estimator of the difference. Although individual comparisons are presented with 95% confidence intervals a large number of statistical tests have been carried out and this will inflate the Type I error rate. SAS version 9.4 was used. were compared with a paired Wilcoxon test and Hodges-Lehmann estimator of the difference. Although

RESULTS Out of 140 people with chronic lung disease approached, 28 participants (20%) were enrolled in the singing group in five months: October 2014 to February 2015. The mean (SD) time from completion of past PR was 1.2 (1.2) years. Response to past PR by the change in 6MWT was available for 14 participants and for these participants the distance had improved from a mean (SD) of 316 (126) m to 356 (148) m. Five participants withdrew within one month of enrolment as they did not wish to continue (n=4) or were recruited into another study (n=1). At baseline assessment, twenty-one participants had COPD as defined by GOLD criteria[22] but two participants had normal FEV1/FVC ratio on spirometry with a clinical diagnosis of interstitial lung disease. They continued to attend singing group but were excluded from this analysis. The 21 participants with COPD attended the singing group for at least one year and had measurements at enrolment and after four months. Eighteen participants were reassessed after 12 months: one had undergone lung transplant and two had such frequent exacerbations that stable follow-up testing was not possible. Figure 1 shows the study overview. The participants with COPD had a wide range of disease severity and comorbidities. Mean (SD) FEV1% at baseline was 60.3 (21.1), median 57.1, range 14.6-110. The majority (66%) of participants had moderate COPD by GOLD criteria but 20% had severe or very severe COPD, similar to the proportions of COPD severity in the NZ community.[27] Six patients (29%) had an FEV1 at baseline of less than one litre. Common comorbidities were bronchiectasis, congestive cardiac failure, diabetes, and obesity. Six of 21 (29%) were Māori, consistent with the higher prevalence of COPD in Māori in New Zealand who constitute only 6% of the total population over 50 years.[28] The mean (SD) attendance rate for the 12 months was 85% (12.1). Table 1 shows characteristics of the study population at baseline.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

3	
4	
5	
5	
6	
7	
8	
ā	
3	~
1	υ
1	1
1	2
1	<u>г</u>
4	4
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
4	' 0
1	ð
1	9
2	0
2	1
~	- -
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	2
2	0
2	7
2	8
2	à
2	2
3	Ū
3	1
3	2
3	3
2	1
2	4
3	5
3	6
3	7
2	ò
5	0
3	9
4	0
4	1
1	ว
+	~
4	3
4	4
4	5
۵	6
-	7
4	1
4	8
4	9
5	Ó
5	4
5	1
5	2
5	3
5	۵
5	-T F
5	C
5	6
5	7

1 2

184 Table 1 Characteristics of the study population

N=21		
68.8 (9.8) [51 to	91]	
N/21 (%)	- .	
8 (38)		
13 (62)		
14 (67)		
6 (29)		
1 (5)		
. (0)		
1 (5)		
18 (86)		
2 (10)		
3 (14)		
14 (66)		
2 (10)		
2 (10)		
_ (,		
4 (19)		
8 (38)		
6 (29)		
3 (14)		
2 (10)		
3 (14)		
6 (29)		
7 (33)		
5 (24)		
8 (38)		
5 (24)		
, ,		
Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	Min to max
1.3(0.5)	1.3 (0.9 to 1.6)	0.6 to 2.6
60.3 (21.1)	57.1 (50 to 72.4)	14.6 to 110.3
2.85 (0.9)	2.75 (2.2 to 3.0)	1.8 to 4.9
103.5 (26.9)	102.5 (85.3 to 126.7)	53.4 to160.4
0.47 (0.14)	0.47 (0.36 to 0.56)	0.22 to 0.68
6.38 (1.99)	5.68 (5.2 to 7.0)	3.57 to 11.3
3.39 (1.52)	3.06 (2.6 to 3.4)	1.67 to 8.52
95.4 (2.3)	96 (93 to 97)	89 to 99
300 (110)	290 (212 to 349)	132 to 508
29.1 (7.6)	28.0 (23.9 to 32.5)	20.1 to 53.0
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
2.11 (0.83)	1.9 (1.7 to 2.8)	0.4 to 3.3
5.8 (2.8)	6 (4 to 7)	1 to 11
		1
4.1 (2.3)	3 (3 to 6)	1 to 10
	$\begin{array}{r} N=21\\ \hline 68.8 (9.8) [51 to\\ N/21 (\%)\\ \hline 8 (38)\\ \hline 13 (62)\\ \hline \\ 14 (67)\\ \hline 6 (29)\\ \hline 1 (5)\\ \hline \\ 2 (10)\\ \hline \\ 3 (14)\\ \hline \\ 14 (66)\\ \hline \\ 2 (10)\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 3 (14)\\ \hline \\ 14 (66)\\ \hline \\ 2 (10)\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 3 (14)\\ \hline \\ 6 (29)\\ \hline \\ 7 (33)\\ \hline \\ 5 (24)\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 8 (38)\\ \hline \\ 5 (24)\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 8 (38)\\ \hline \\ 5 (24)\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 8 (38)\\ \hline \\ 5 (24)\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 8 (38)\\ \hline \\ 5 (24)\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ 8 (38)\\ \hline \\ 5 (24)\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 8 (38)\\ \hline \\ 5 (24)\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 8 (38)\\ \hline \\ 5 (24)\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 8 (38)\\ \hline \\ 5 (24)\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 8 (38)\\ \hline \\ 5 (24)\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 2 (10)\\ \hline \\ \hline$	N=21 $68.8 (9.8) [51 to 91]$ N/21 (%) 8 (38) 13 (62) 14 (67) 6 (29) 1 (5) 1 (5) 18 (86) 2 (10) 3 (14) 14 (66) 2 (10) 4 (19) 8 (38) 6 (29) 3 (14) 4 (19) 8 (38) 6 (29) 3 (14) 2 (10) 9 3 (14) 6 (29) 7 (33) 5 (24) Mean (SD) Median (IQR) 1.3 (0.5) 1.3 (0.9 to 1.6) 60.3 (21.1) 57.1 (50 to 72.4) 2.85 (0.9) 2.75 (2.2 to 3.0) 103.5 (26.9) 102.5 (85.3 to 126.7) 0.47 (0.14) 0.47 (0.36 to 0.56) 6.38 (1.99) 5.68 (5.2 to 7.0) 3.39 (1.52) 3.06 (2.6 to 3.4) 95.4 (2.3) 96 (93 to 97) 300 (110) 290 (212 to 349) 29.1 (0.83) 1.9 (1.7 to 2.8)

a:BODE score- The body-mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnoea and exercise capacity index in
 COPD [29] b Some participants had multiple comorbidities.

58 59

1 2 3 4	187	FEV1- forced expiratory volume in one second, FVC- forced vital capacity, TLC- total lung capacity RV-
5 6	188 189 190	BMI- body mass index, CCQ- clinical COPD questionnaire, HADS- hospital anxiety and depression score.
7 8 9		
10 11 12		
12 13 14		
15 16 17		
18 19 20		
21 22		
23 24 25		
26 27 28		
29 30 31		
32 33		
35 36		
37 38 39		
40 41 42		
43 44 45		
46 47		
48 49 50		
51 52 53		
54 55		
56 57 58		
59 60		10

There was strong evidence for an increase in 6MWT after four months, with a mean increase of 28 (95%CI 5 to 52) m, p = 0.019, increasing further to 65 (95%CI 35 to 99) m, p < 0.001 at one year. HADS Anxiety scores were lower at one year with a mean change from baseline of -0.9 (95%CI -1.8 to -0.1) p = 0.038. Lung function tests showed a reduction in RV, mean decrease 130 (95%CI -250 to -3) ml, p =0.046 and total lung capacity, mean decrease 150 (95%CI -290 to -20) ml, p = 0.023 after four months, but no significant differences at 12 months compared to baseline. The questionnaire and , anu . clinical measurements, and their changes are shown in Table 2.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

		Mean (SD)		Difference from baseline (95% CI)	
Variable	Baseline	Four months	One year	Four months	One year
	N=21	N=21	N=18		
FEV1 (L)	1.32 (0.5)	1.34 (0.5)	1.44 (0.5)	0.02 (-0.01 to 0.06)	0.04 (-0.05 to 0.12
				P=0.21	P=0.44
FEV1%	60.3 (21.1)	61.9 (22.3)	64.5 (20.2)	1.6 (-0.1 to 3.4)	2.4 (-1.4 to 6.1)
				P=0.065	P=0.21
FVC (L)	2.85 (0.9)	2.81 (0.92)	2.91 (0.97)	-0.04 (-0.15 to 0.06)	-0.04 (-0.17 to 0.09
				P=0.38	P=0.50
FVC%	103.5 (26.9)	103.1 (30.4)	107.0 (24.8)	-0.5 (-4.5 to 3.6)	-0.02 (-4.5 to 4.4)
				P=0.81	P=0.99
FEV1/FVC	0.47 (0.14)	0.48 (0.13)	0.50 (0.12)	0.01 (-0.004 to 0.03)	0.02 (-0.002 to 0.03
				P=0.13	P=0.08
TLC (L)	6.38 (1.99)	6.22 (1.86)	6.23 (1.7)	-0.15 (-0.29 to -0.02)	-0.04 (-0.21 to 0.13
				P=0.023	P=0.61
TLC%	117.8 (20.9)	115.2 (20.2)	116.4 (20.8)	-2.6 (-4.8 to -0.4)	-0.7 (-3.7 to 2.3)
		•		P=0.021	P=0.61
RV (L)	3.39 (1.52)	3.27 (1.44)	3.22 (1.18)	-0.13 (-0.25 to -0.003)	0.06 (-0.16 to 0.27
				P=0.046	P=0.58
RV%	156.1 (59.9)	150.2 (57.1)	145.7 (44.1)	-5.9 (-11.5 to -0.3)	1.3 (-7.8 to 10.3)
				P=0.04	P=0.77
IC (L)	2.29 (0.86)	2.35 (0.83)	2.33 (0.87)	0.06 (-0.03 to 0.15)	-0.07 (-0.18 to 0.04
				P=0.18	P=0.21
IC%	107.2 (27.2)	110.1 (25.7)	108.6 (24.3)	3.0 (-1.8 to 7.7)	-2.9 (-9.3 to 3.5)
				P=0.21	P=0.35
IC/TLC	0.37 (0.09)	0.39 (0.09)	0.38 (0.09)	0.02 (0.001 to 0.03)	-0.01 (-0.03 to 0.02
				P=0.037	P=0.36
RV/TLC	0.52 (0.09)	0.52 (0.09)	0.52 (0.09)	-0.01 (-0.02 to 0.01)	0.01 (-0.01 to 0.03
				P=0.45	P=0.35
6MWT (m)	300 (110)	328 (118)	377 (104)	28 (5 to 52)	65 (35 to 99)
				P=0.019	P<0.001
CCQ	2.11 (0.83)	2.08 (0.86)	2.31 (1.04)	-0.03 (-0.31 to 0.26)	0.26 (-0.04 to 0.57
				P=0.84	P=0.08
HADS	5.8 (2.8)	5.81 (3.52)	4.67 (3.2)	0 (-1.2 to 1.2)	-0.9 (-1.8 to -0.1)
Anxiety				P=0.99	P=0.038
HADS	4.1 (2.3)	3.19 (2.56)	4.0 (3.91)	-0.9 (-2.1 to 0.2)	0.1 (-1.3 to 1.6)
Depression				P=0.11	P=0.85

H	ADS Total	9.9 (4.6)	9.0 (5.7	7) 8.7 (6.4)	-0.9 (-3.0 to 1.2)	-0.8 (-2.6 to 1.0)	
					P=0.37	P=0.35	
						-	
				12 months prior to enrolment	12 months after enrolment	HL estimator (95% CI)	
н	lospital adm	ission days for <i>I</i>	AECOPD	3.6 (7.9)	2.7 (7.3)	-1.0 (-8.5 to 3.0)	
	N=	20* Mean (SD)				P=0.40	
200 201 202	FEV1, Ford FRC, funct patient who	ced expiratory vol tional residual cap o had lung transp	ume in 1 s; F pacity; IC, ins lant between	vC, forced vital capacity; piratory capacity. AECOF 4 months and 1-year follo	PD, Acute exacerbation of ow-up assessments.	COPD. * Excluding one	
203 204							
205	DISCUS	SION					
206	Т	his study has	shown that	recruitment and rete	ention of patients with	COPD to a community	
207	singing g	group (SG) is f	easible. Th	e high attendance ra	ate over one year, mea	an 85%, supports the	
208	acceptab	oility and feasit	oility of this	intervention. In this	study, participation in	a weekly community-bas	
209	singing g	proup for one y	ear is asso	ciated with increase	d exercise capacity a	nd reduced anxiety in	
210	patients with COPD who have completed PR and are attending a community exercise group.						
211	V	We were surprised by the high long-term attendance rate, for this cohort of patients with					
212	significar	significant respiratory disease and comorbidities, including two participants with very severe COPD,					
213	one of w	hom had a lun	g transplar	it four months after ju	oining the singing grou	up. The qualitative data	
214	from this	project, repor	ted separat	tely, showed that mo	est participants had no	t been previously interes	
215	in singing	g and consider	red the sing	ging group a fun soci	al activity more than a	a musical endeavour.[21]	
216	I ne redu		anxiety so	ores confirms previo	bus research [15] but t	intervention. The mean	
217	statistica	illy and clinical	iy significal		NIV I WITH THIS TYPE OF		
218 219	difference	reduction in HADS anxiety score of 0.9 units at one year did not exceed the minimal clinically importa					
220		30m.[31] This	is the first	report of serial RV m	neasurement in COPF) patients attending a	
221	singing a	group over one	year. The	small (130ml) statist	ically significant reduc	ction in RV after four mor	
222	but not 1	2 months may	reflect typ	e I error rate inflatior	n, and so be due to the	e play of chance. A	
		-				13	

Page 15 of 26

BMJ Open

previous small COPD study showed a median fall in RV of 270ml in four patients after 10 weeks of singing classes.[19] On the other hand, Bonilha and colleagues did not find any significant change in RV in 15 participants after 24 weeks of singing classes. [18] They did report a small but statistically significant difference in RV two minutes after a short singing session in subjects from the singing group (where RV reduced) and a control group (where RV increased) but no difference between the groups at 30 minutes.[18] Although the reduction in hospital admission days for AECOPD per year was not statistically significant, the point estimate was almost one day less per participant in the year attending singing group, compared to the year before starting (excluding the patient who had a lung transplant during the year). The actual cost of running the singing group for 12 months was approximately \$NZ4,000 (GBP 2,363). Any significant reduction in hospital bed days, even one day per patient per year, as a result of the intervention would likely make this low cost intervention cost effective. significant difference in RV two minutes after a short singing session in subjects from the singing group

related to text Strengths of this study are the one year duration, high retention rates, comprehensive pulmonary function tests and low-cost community setting. Previously reported studies of singing and intervention for COPD have generally been of short duration, mostly 6-10 weeks.[15,16,18,19] In data contrast to studies using professional singing teachers and physiotherapists, we used amateur singing group facilitators, with musical experience and strong group facilitation skills. Our findings confirm those Al training, of Morrison and colleagues who showed medium-term (ten months) feasibility for community singing groups for people with COPD in the UK but they had a significantly higher attrition rate.[20] A and similar technologies significant component of our study was that participants had both completed a PR programme and were enrolled in a weekly maintenance exercise programme. These are likely to be people more motivated to manage their own health and who had already demonstrated an ability to attend weekly sessions. It is possible that some of the benefit in reduced hospital admissions could be explained by recent completion of PR.[32] These results can't be readily generalised to a population of people with COPD who have declined or not been offered PR. We believe there may be a role for SG participation for people with COPD who decline PR and have started a controlled study testing this hypothesis (www.anzctr.org.au registry number ACTRN12616000584437). Limitations of our study include that the

6MWT was only performed once at each visit, but participants had all done at least one 6MWT as part of their recent PR programmes so any learning effect is likely to have been minimised.[33] Singing group members remained enrolled in maintenance exercise classes after PR, as recommended by international guidelines [32]. There is conflicting evidence as to whether maintenance exercise classes Protected by copyright, including preserve the benefits on exercise capacity of PR, but no evidence that maintenance exercise classes improve exercise capacity in people who have completed PR [32]. On average, our participants had been attending exercise classes for approximately one year prior to singing group enrolment and mean 6MWT distance at commencement of singing group was substantially lower than the 6MWT distance at the end of the PR programme for the 14 participants with complete data from the preceding PR programme. This suggests a low likelihood that the substantial improvement in 6MWT distance during ₫ 12 months of SG participation could be explained by exercise class attendance alone. The presence of a PR nurse at the singing sessions may have been important. Her role was in 'meeting and greeting' participants, general encouragement to attend, supervising refreshments and organising transport for performances. There was no formal or informal exercise advice provided. In the interviews that formed data mining the gualitative component of this project [21], a key theme was being cared for in a safe environment and the PR nurse presence contributed to this. All participants received usual medical care so Al training, and similar technologies. therapeutic changes may have affected some, but not all, of the participants. This is a relatively small cohort study without a control group so both type I and type II errors are possible. This analysis also includes a large number of hypothesis tests which inflate the type 1 error rate.

Our findings are relevant to the question of sustaining the benefits of pulmonary rehabilitation. A possible mechanism for singing group effectiveness is the promotion of physical activity which is considered to be a critical component of PR.[34] Our qualitative data showed that for many patients, attending the weekly singing group was a most enjoyable highlight of their week and worth the extra physical activity it took to get there [21]. In contrast to other studies [15–18] our singing group intervention focussed on fun group singing with no specific breathing exercises. The impact of breathing exercises alone or in addition to singing remains uncertain.[35] Our group met weekly with

tex

Page 17 of 26

1 2			
3 4	275	singing duration of 35 minutes, and no explicit practice at home. It is possible that there is a dose-	
5 6	276	response relationship, with increased benefit with more frequent singing group classes and singing	
7 8	277	practice at home. With the very high attendance rate we were not able to attempt an analysis for a	
9 10	278	dose-response relationship between attendance and outcomes.	P
11 12 12	279	Our qualitative analysis showed singing group participation was associated with an increased	otecte
13 14 15	280	sense of social connection, purpose and meaningful participation which may explain the reduction in	∌d by
16 16 17	281	anxiety.[21] Although there seems to be an association between anxiety in COPD patients, their health	copyri
18 19	282	status, and the frequency of AECOPD and hospitalisation, it is complex.[36] However, the reduction in	iaht, ir
20 21	283	anxiety and increased exercise capacity observed in this study points to the potential for reducing	ncludii
22 23	284	readmissions and supports the use of exercise capacity and readmission for AECOPD, as primary	ng for
24 25	285	outcome measures for a future randomised controlled trial (RCT).	uses
20 27 28	286	We believe our results could be replicated by others given recruitment from a typical hospital PR	related
20 29 30	287	programme and the practical, low cost approach to running the singing group, including community	d to te
31 32	288	venue and the use of amateurs for singing group facilitation. We have made available on-line our "10	xtand
33 34	289	practical top tips" document for setting up and running a community singing group for people living with	data
35 36	290	COPD (see online supplement). We have been able to sustain this intervention long term, free to	mining
37 38 20	291	patients, as it was set up and financed by a charitable trust. The singing group is still going strong after	¤, Altr
39 40 41	292	twenty-four months with high attendance including almost all of the founding members, avoiding the	aining
42 43	293	ethical issue of withdrawing the intervention at study completion.	and
44 45	294		simila
46 47	295	CONCLUSIONS	r tech
48 49	296	Our findings support the feasibility of long-term participation in a community singing group for	nolog
50 51 52	297	breathless adults with COPD who have completed PR and are enrolled in a weekly community	ies.
52 53 54	298	exercise group and provide evidence of improvement in exercise capacity and reduction in	
55 56	299	anxiety. These results can inform sample size and selection of outcome measures for a future	
57 58	300	RCT.	
59 60		16	

1 2 2						
4	301					
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24 25 20	302	Figure legend:				
	303	Figure 1: Study overview				
	304 305 306	COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, PR= pulmonary rehabilitation, AECOPD = acute exacerbation of COPD, FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, GOLD = Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease				
	307	Acknowledgements We are grateful to Ruth Collingham and Jackie McAuliffe for running the Sing				
	308	Your Lungs Out Singing Group and to all the patients for their participation.				
	309	Contributors AM conceived the idea of the study, designed the protocol, wrote the first and final drafts				
	310	of the manuscript, was the senior investigator, and will act as guarantor. SA, HM, MWi, GW, MWe, RI				
	311	helped design and plan the study and helped write the first and final drafts of the manuscript. GW, AM				
	312	recruited patients to the study. MWi, AM collected data. MWe was responsible for the analysis.				
20 27 28	313	Funding This study was supported by the Medical Research Institute of New Zealand. Sing Your				
29 30	314	Lungs Out is run by the COPD Choir Trust, a volunteer-run registered charity, which received grants in				
31 32 33 34	315	2015 from the Wellington City Council and Infinity Foundation Community Trust.				
	316	Competing interests None				
35 36	317	Ethics approval This study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on				
37 38 20	318	23 September 2014				
39 40 41	319	Data sharing No additional data are available				
42 43	320					
44 45	321					
46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	322	REFERENCES				
	323 324	1 Mannino DM, Buist AS. Global burden of COPD: risk factors, prevalence, and future trends. <i>Lancet</i> 2007; 370 :765–73. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61380-4				
	325 326	2 Rennard SI, Vestbo J. Natural histories of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>Proc</i> <i>Am Thorac Soc</i> 2008; 5 :878–83. doi:10.1513/pats.200804-035QC				
	327 328	3 Yohannes AM, Alexopoulos GS. Depression and anxiety in patients with COPD. <i>Eur</i> <i>Respir Rev</i> 2014; 23 :345–9. doi:10.1183/09059180.00007813				
	329	4 Martinez Rivera C, Costan Galicia J, Alcázar Navarrete B, et al. Factors Associated with				
59 60		17				

1 2 3 4	330 331		Depression in COPD: A Multicenter Study. <i>Lung</i> 2016; 194 :335–43. doi:10.1007/s00408-016-9862-7	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	332 333 334 335	5	Kew KM, Dias S, Cates CJ. Long-acting inhaled therapy (beta-agonists, anticholinergics and steroids) for COPD: a network meta-analysis. In: Kew KM, ed. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> . Chichester, UK: : John Wiley & Sons, Ltd 2014. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010844.pub2	of
	336 337 338	6	NICE. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in over 16s: diagnosis and management Guidance and guidelines NICE. 2010.https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG101 (accessed 21 Aug2016).	
15 16 17	339 340	7	Agusti A. The path to personalised medicine in COPD. <i>Thorax</i> 2014; 69 :857–64. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-205507	
18 19 20	341 342	8	Ernst P, Saad N, Suissa S. Inhaled corticosteroids in COPD: the clinical evidence. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 2014;:525–37. doi:10.1183/09031936.00128914	
20 21 22 23	343 344 345	9	McCarthy B, Casey D, Devane D, <i>et al.</i> Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev</i> 2015; 2 :CD003793. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003793.pub3	
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	346 347 348	10	Celli BR, Decramer M, Wedzicha J, <i>et al.</i> An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: research questions in COPD. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 2015; 45 :879–905. doi:10.1183/09031936.00009015	n
	349 350 351	11	Watson A. Breathing in Singing. In: Welch G, Howard D, Nix J, eds. <i>The Oxford Handbook of Singing</i> . Oxford University Press 2014. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199660773.013.10	
	352 353 354	12	Clift S, Hancox G, Morrison I, <i>et al.</i> Choral singing and psychological wellbeing: Quantitative and qualitative findings from English choirs in a cross-national survey. <i>J App</i> <i>Arts Heal</i> 2010; 1 :19–34.	o/
36 37 38 39	355 356 357	13	Clark I, Harding K. Psychosocial outcomes of active singing interventions for therapeutic purposes: a systematic review of the literature. <i>Nord J Music Ther</i> 2012; 21 :80–98. doi:10.1080/08098131.2010.545136	
40 41 42 43	358 359 360	14	Reagon C, Gale N, Enright S, <i>et al.</i> A mixed-method systematic review to investigate the effect of group singing on health related quality of life. <i>Complement Ther Med</i> 2016; 27 :1-11. doi:10.1016/j.ctim.2016.03.017	-
44 45 46 47	361 362 363	15	Lord VM, Cave P, Hume VJ, <i>et al.</i> Singing teaching as a therapy for chronic respiratory diseasea randomised controlled trial and qualitative evaluation. <i>BMC Pulm Med</i> 2010; 10 :41. doi:10.1186/1471-2466-10-41	
48 49 50 51	364 365 366	16	Lord VM, Hume VJ, Kelly JL, <i>et al.</i> Singing classes for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. <i>BMC Pulm Med</i> 2012; 12 :69. doi:10.1186/1471-2466-12-69	
52 53 54 55	367 368 369	17	Goodridge D, Nicol JJ, Horvey KJ, <i>et al.</i> Therapeutic Singing as an Adjunct for Pulmonar Rehabilitation Participants With COPD: Outcomes of a Feasibility Study. <i>Music Med</i> 2013; 5 :169–76. doi:10.1177/1943862113493012	у
56 57 58	370 371	18	Bonilha AG, Onofre F, Vieira ML, <i>et al.</i> Effects of singing classes on pulmonary function and quality of life of COPD patients. <i>Int J COPD</i> 2009; 4 :1–8. doi:10.2147/COPD.S4077	
59 60			1	8

1 2 3 4	372 373	19	Pacheco C, Costa A, Amado J, <i>et al.</i> Singing in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: A pilot study in Portugal. <i>Rev Port Pneumol</i> 2014; 20 :225–8.	
5 6	374		doi:10.1016/j.rppneu.2014.02.009	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	375 376 377	20	Morrison I, Clift SM, Page S et al. A UK feasibility study on the value of singing for people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). UNESCO Observatory Multi-Disciplinary Journal in the Arts 2013:3;1-19	
	378 379 380	21	McNaughton A, Aldington S, Williams G, <i>et al.</i> Sing Your Lungs Out: A qualitative study of a community singing group for people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). <i>BMJ Open</i> 2016; 6 :e012521 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012521	
	381 382 383	22	Global Strategy for Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of COPD - 2016 - Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease - GOLD. http://goldcopd.org/global-strategy-diagnosis-management-prevention-copd-2016/	
19 20 21	384 385	23	Bjelland I, Dahl A, Haug T, <i>et al.</i> The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale: an updated literature review. <i>J Psychosom Res</i> 2002;52:69-77	
22 23 24 25	386 387 388	24	van der Molen T, Willemse BWM, Schokker S, <i>et al.</i> Development, validity and responsiveness of the Clinical COPD Questionnaire. <i>Health Qual Life Outcomes</i> 2003; 1 :13.	
25 26 27 28 29 30	389 390	25	Clausen JL, Coates AL, Quanjer PH. Measurement of lung volumes in humans: review and recommendations from an ATS/ERS workshop. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 1997;10:1205–6	
	391 392	26	ATS Statement: Guidelines for the Six-Minute Walk Test. <i>Am Thorac Soc Am J Respir</i> <i>Crit Care Med</i> 2002; 166 :111–7. doi:10.1164/rccm.166/1/111	
31 32 33	393 394	27	Shirtcliffe P, Weatherall M, Marsh S, <i>et al</i> . COPD prevalence in a random population survey: a matter of definition. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 2007;30:232–9	
34 35 36	395 396	28	Ministry of Health New Zealand. District health board Maori health plans profiles and needs assessments. 2015.http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/dhb-maori-health-profiles	
37 38 39 40	397 398 399	29	Celli BR, Cote CG, Marin JM, <i>et al.</i> The body-mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnea, and exercise capacity index in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>NEJM</i> 2004;350:1005–12. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa021322	
41 42 43 44	400 401 402	30	Puhan MA, Frey M, Büchi S, <i>et al.</i> The minimal important difference of the hospital anxiety and depression scale in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>Health Qual Life Outcomes</i> 2008; 6 :46. doi:10.1186/1477-7525-6-46	
44 45 46 47	403 404 405	31	Holland AE, Nici L. The Return of the Minimum Clinically Important Difference for 6- Minute-Walk Distance in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;187:335–6. doi:10.1164/rccm.201212-2191ED	
49 50 51	406 407	32	Bolton CE, Bevan-Smith EF, Blakey JD, et al. British Thoracic Society guideline on pulmonary rehabilitation in adults. <i>Thorax</i> 2013;68:ii1–ii30.	
51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	408 409 410	33	Holland AE, Spruit MA, Troosters T, <i>et al.</i> An official European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society technical standard: field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 2014; 44 :1428–46. doi:10.1183/09031936.00150314	
	411 412	34	Spruit MA, Pitta F, McAuley E, <i>et al.</i> Pulmonary Rehabilitation and Physical Activity in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. <i>Am J Respir Crit Care Med</i>	
59 60			19)
1 2				
---	-------------------	----	---	
_ 3 ⊿	413		2015;192:924-33. doi:10.1164/rccm.201505-0929CI	
5 6 7 8	414 415 416	35	Holland AE, Hill CJ, Jones AY, <i>et al.</i> Breathing exercises for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev</i> Published Online First: 2012. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008250.pub2	
9 10 11 12	417 418 419	36	Pooler A, Beech R. Examining the relationship between anxiety and depression and exacerbations of COPD which result in hospital admission: a systematic review. <i>Int J Chron Obs Pulmon Dis</i> 2014; 9 :315–30.	
12 13 14 15 16 7 18 9 02 12 23 24 25 67 28 9 03 12 33 45 67 89 04 12 34 45 67 89 01 22 34 25 67 89 03 12 34 56 78 90 12 34 55 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57	420			

Page 22 of 26

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.



Figure 1: Study overview.

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, PR= pulmonary rehabilitation, AECOPD = acute exacerbation of COPD, FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, GOLD = Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease

> Figure 1 shows the study overv 338x190mm (300 x 300 DPI)

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Setting up a singing group like Sing Your Lungs Out

We provide links below to two short videos of this singing group and a "10 practical top tips" document for setting up a community singing group for people living with COPD which we hope will inform, motivate and encourage others to set up singing groups.

ttps://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fduau0jV09o	-no_oragenico_oz.mi en: first
ttp://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fduau0jV09o ttp://www.mrinz.ac.nz/pdfs/How_to_set_up_SYLO.pdf	: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 20 Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Pilot in study title.
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Yes
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Yes Introduction para 1-3, pg3-4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Yes Introduction para 4, pg 4. No hypotheses, this was a feasibility study.
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Methods, para 1, pg 5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Methods, para 1, pg 5 and under 'Singing Group Intervention' pg 6. Specific dates are in first line of Results, pg 7
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	Methods, para 1, pg 5
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	No matched control group
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Methods, 'data collection', pg 5
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Methods, 'Data collection', pg 5

Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Objective lung function tests measured by scientist blinded to previous results. Self reported questionnaires
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Convenience sample, twice the size of previous studies.
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were	Methods, 'Analysis', pg 6
variables		handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(<i>a</i>) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Methods, 'Analysis', pg 6
		(<i>b</i>) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	N/A
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	N/A
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study—If applicable, explain how	Results, para 1, pg 7 and Figure 1 Study overview
		matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling	
		strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Results, para 1, pg 7 and Study overview Figure 1
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Results, para 1 and figure 1
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 1
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Results, para 2, pg 7 and Table
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Table 1
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Result, para 1,pg 7
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Results, para 3, pg 9 and Table and Figure 2
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
		outcome events or summary measures	
		Succine events of summary measures	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

2
3
1
-
5
6
7
Q
0
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
20
21
Z I
22
23
24
25
20
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
22
33
34
35
36
27
31
38
39
40
 /1
+1
42
43
44
15
40
46
47
48
10
73 50
50
51
52
52
55
54
55
56
57
51
58
59

1

	applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and	results unadjusted. Table 2
	their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval).	
	Make clear which confounders were adjusted	
	for and why they were included	
	(b) Report category boundaries when	N/A
	continuous variables were categorized	
	(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of	
	relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful	
	time period	
17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of	N/A feasibility study
	subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity	
	analyses	
18	Summarise key results with reference to study	Discussion para1
	objectives	
10	Discuss limitations of the study taking into	Discussion nara 3 ng 12
19	Discuss minitations of the study, taking into	Discussion para 5, pg 12
19	account sources of potential bias or	Discussion para 5, pg 12
19	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and	Discussion para 5, pg 12
19	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Discussion para 5, pg 12
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and	Discussion para 3, pg 12 Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Discussion para 3, pg 12 Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity)	Discussion para 3, pg 12 Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion para 3, pg 12 Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13
20 21 22	Discuss initiations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13 Funding statement pg 14
20 21 22	Discuss infinations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable,	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13 Funding statement pg 14
20 21 22	Discuss initiations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present	Discussion para 3, pg 12 Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13 Funding statement pg 14
	17	18 Summarise key results with reference to study objectives 10 Discuss limitations of the study taking into

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at <u>www.strobe-statement.org</u>.

BMJ Open

Sing Your Lungs Out- A community singing group for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a one-year pilot study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-014151.R3
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Nov-2016
Complete List of Authors:	McNaughton, Amanda; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand, Respiratory Medicine; Wellington Hospital, Respiratory Medicine Weatherall, Mark; University of Otago Wellington, Williams, Mathew; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand, McNaughton, Harry; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand Aldington, Sarah; Wellington Hospital, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Emergency Medicine Williams, Gayle; Capital and Coast District Health Board, Community Health Services Beasley, Richard; Medical Research Institute of New Zealand,
Primary Subject Heading :	Respiratory medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Patient-centred medicine
Keywords:	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, Pulmonary Rehabilitation, Singing

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1 2		
3 4	1	Sing Your Lungs Out- A community singing group for chronic obstructive pulmonary
5 6	2	disease: a one-year pilot study
7 8 9	3	Amanda McNaughton ^{1,2} , Mark Weatherall ³ , Mathew Williams ¹ , Harry McNaughton ¹ , Sarah
10 11	4	Aldington ^{1,4} , Gayle Williams ⁵ , Richard Beasley ^{1,2}
12 13 14	5	¹ Medical Research Institute of New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand
15 16	6	² Department of Respiratory Medicine, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New
17 18 19	7	Zealand
20 21 22	8	³ Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Wellington, New Zealand
22 23 24	9	⁴ Department of Emergency Medicine, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New
25 26	10	Zealand
27 28 29	11	⁵ Department of Community Health, Capital and Coast District Health Board, Wellington, New
30 31	12	Zealand
32 33 34	13	
35 36 37	14	Corresponding author:
38 39	15	Amanda McNaughton
40 41 42	16	Medical Research Institute of New Zealand,
43 44 45	17	Wellington Hospital
46 47	18	Private Bag 7902
48 49 50	19	Wellington 6021 New Zealand
50 51 52	20	0064 27 838 6925
53 54 55	21	amanda.mcnaughton@ccdhb.org.nz
56 57 58	22	
59 60		0

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

23 ABSTRACT

Objective Singing group participation may benefit patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary
disease (COPD). Previous studies are limited by small numbers of participants and short
duration of generally, hospital-based singing group intervention. This study examines the
feasibility of long-term participation in a community singing group for patients with COPD who
had completed pulmonary rehabilitation (PR).

Methods This was a feasibility cohort study. Patients with COPD who had completed PR and were enrolled in a weekly community exercise group, were recruited to a new community-based singing group which met weekly for over one year. Measurements at baseline, four months and one year comprised comprehensive pulmonary function tests including lung volumes, six minute walk test (6MWT), Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), and hospital admission days for acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD) for one year before and after the first singing group session.

Findings There were 28 participants with chronic lung disease recruited from 140 people approached. Five withdrew in the first month. Twenty-one participants meeting GOLD criteria for COPD completed four-month, and 18 completed one-year assessments. The mean attendance was 85%. For the pre-specified primary outcome measure, total HADS score, difference between baseline and 12 months was -0.9, 95% CI -3.0 to 1.2, p = 0.37. Of the secondary measures, a significant reduction was observed for HADS Anxiety Score after one year of -0.9 (95% CI -1.8 to -0.1) points, P=0.038 and an increase in the 6MWT at one year, of 65 (95% CI 35 to 99) m compared to baseline P<0.001.

Conclusions Our findings support the feasibility of long-term participation in a community 46 singing group for adults with COPD who have completed PR and are enrolled in a weekly

2		
3 4	47	community exercise group and provide evidence of improved exercise capacity and a reduction
5 6 7	48	in anxiety.
, 8 9	49	
10 11	50	
12 13	51	
14	52	Strengths and limitations of this study:
15 16 17	53	 High attendance and retention rates over one-year follow-up support the feasibility of this
17 18 19	54	intervention.
20 21	55	 Recruitment from a typical hospital PR programme and low cost community setting of
22 23	56	the singing group supports the practicality and reproducibility of the intervention
24 25	57	 This is the first report of serial lung volume measurements in COPD patients attending a
26 27 28	58	singing group over one year.
28 29 30	59	This is a relatively small cohort study.
31 32	60	
33 34	61	
35 36		
37 38		
39 40		
41 42		
43 44		
44 45		
46		
47 48		
49		
50 51		
52		
53		
54		
ວວ 56		
57		
58		
วษ 60		2

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

INTRODUCTION

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), characterised by persistent airflow limitation that is usually progressive, is an increasingly common syndrome.[1] Common symptoms include usually progressive, is an increasingly common syndrome, in common symptome measures ssive breathlessness, cough and sputum production as well as anxiety and depression.[2,3] The c breathlessness in COPD is physically limiting and socially isolating, adding further to ision and anxiety.[4] Current pharmacological options have limited benefits and significant e effects driving the need for cost-effective, non-pharmacological, person-centred, community-interventions.[5–8] Pulmonary Rehabilitation (PR) is one of the most effective therapies for , improving quality of life, exercise tolerance, and breathlessness.[9] An important research on is how the benefits of PR can be sustained and augmented.[10] There is a growing interest in the therapeutic potential of singing for COPD. Singing involves itory expansion (using the diaphragm, external intercostal, and accessory muscles), active ition (incremental recruitment of abdominal and internal intercostal muscles) and optimal e.[11] Singing, therefore, has the potential to improve breathing control and posture, and tically to reduce residual volume (RV), which is a manifestation of lung hyperinflation. wement in psychological wellbeing has been reported in healthy subjects and people with a v of chronic conditions participating in singing groups.[12–14] Two randomised controlled trials of singing group interventions in COPD report improvements in v of life and reduction in anxiety, although not in lung function.[15,16], One study examining the progressive breathlessness, cough and sputum production as well as anxiety and depression. [2,3] The chronic breathlessness in COPD is physically limiting and socially isolating, adding further to depression and anxiety.[4] Current pharmacological options have limited benefits and significant adverse effects driving the need for cost-effective, non-pharmacological, person-centred, community-based interventions.[5–8] Pulmonary Rehabilitation (PR) is one of the most effective therapies for COPD, improving quality of life, exercise tolerance, and breathlessness.[9] An important research guestion is how the benefits of PR can be sustained and augmented.[10]

inspiratory expansion (using the diaphragm, external intercostal, and accessory muscles), active exhalation (incremental recruitment of abdominal and internal intercostal muscles) and optimal posture.[11] Singing, therefore, has the potential to improve breathing control and posture, and theoretically to reduce residual volume (RV), which is a manifestation of lung hyperinflation. Improvement in psychological wellbeing has been reported in healthy subjects and people with a variety of chronic conditions participating in singing groups.[12–14]

and similar technologies. guality of life and reduction in anxiety, although not in lung function.[15,16], One study examining the effects of adding therapeutic singing to PR programme showed no benefit in quality of life or exercise tolerance, [17] whilst two studies have reported improvement in maximal expiratory pressure. [18,19] The limitations of these studies include small sample sizes and short duration.[15–19] A nonexperimental feasibility study of singing group intervention for people with COPD in the United Kingdom recruited 106 people into 6 singing groups, and followed the group for ten months. [20] In that report it was unclear if the participants had participated in PR before the singing intervention. That study

reported a 34% attrition rate and small statistically significant differences in St Georges Respiratory

1 2		
2 3 4	88	Questionnaire as well as spirometry parameters measured by a portable spirometry device. We wished
5 6	89	to explore whether singing group participation as an intervention, is acceptable and sustainable for a
7 8	90	longer time period with adequate retention rates, to a broad group of COPD patients who had
9 10	91	completed PR and whether the benefits can be achieved in a community setting taking a low-cost,
11 12 13	92	pragmatic approach that might be easily reproducible in other centres. We are interested in the
13 14 15	93	potential of singing group participation for patients with COPD as a means to sustain the benefits of PR.
16 17	94	We are also interested in identifying measures sensitive to change during the intervention period and
18 19	95	the feasibility of this measurement. The effects of singing group participation on hyperinflation and lung
20 21	96	function in COPD patients remain unclear and we wished to measure both spirometry and lung
22 23	97	volumes over a significant time period in singing group participants, looking for any effect on residual
24 25 26	98	volume as well as airflow.
20 27 28	99	The purpose of this cohort study was to assess the feasibility of community singing group
29 30	100	participation for one year, for breathless patients with COPD who had completed PR. We report here
31 32	101	the quantitative results of the study, the qualitative results are reported separately.[21]
33 34	102	
35 36	103	
37 38 20		
40 41		
42 43		
44 45		
46		
47 48		
49		
50		
51 52		
53		
54		
55 56		
57		
58		
59 60		4

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded . Erasmushogeschool .

related

to text

from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA

METHODS

> This was a prospective feasibility study. Patients with COPD or another chronic lung disease, who had completed an eight-week, hospital-based PR programme, and were enrolled in a weekly community exercise class, were invited by the PR nurse to join a new community-based singing group for the Protected by copyright, including for uses purposes of this study. The invitation was to the group as a whole and no individual approach was made. The group was based in Wellington, New Zealand. In our institution, patients are enrolled in PR programmes if they have chronic lung disease and a Modified Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale of at least grade 2. All patients completing a PR programme are encouraged to enrol in a weekly community exercise class. We report on those participants with physician-diagnosed COPD based on GOLD criteria.[22] There were no further recruitment restrictions based on age, severity of disease, cognition, singing ability, or co-morbidities. The study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group, and all patients gave written informed consent. The trial was registered at www.anzctr.org.au, registry number ACTRN12615000736549.

Data collection

Patient demographics, pulmonary function tests, six-minute walk test (6MWT), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)[23] and the Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ)[24] were measured at baseline, four months and one year after enrolment. Spirometry and lung volumes including forced≥ expiratory volume in 1s (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), total lung capacity (TLC), residual volume (RV), functional residual capacity (FRC) and inspiratory capacity (IC) were measured according to ATS/ERS standards (body volume constant plethysmography Masterlab, Erich-Jaeger, Wurzburg Germany) using the reference values of the European Community for Coal and Steel (ECCS).[25] Short-acting bronchodilator therapy was withheld for four hours prior to testing, and patients were tested when clinically stable, and not within two weeks of an exacerbation. Six-minute walk test" (6MWT) was performed according to international guidelines [26] except that only one test was performed at each measurement point, rather than the recommended two. All participants had performed at least one, and mostly two, 6MWT previously as part of their PR programme.

The respiratory scientist performing the pulmonary function tests was masked to the results of previous assessments. Questionnaires were self-completed. Each patient's electronic health record was searched for diagnosis, comorbidities and admissions where the primary discharge code was an acute exacerbation of COPD. Hospital admission data for acute exacerbation of COPD were recorded for the 12 months before enrolment and 12 months starting from the date of enrolment. PR programmers information, the date, sessions attended, and 6MWT results at entry and completion, were obtained copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies from the PR nurse who runs the programme.

Singing group intervention

The singing group (Sing Your Lungs Out) met for one hour, weekly, in an urban community hall, continuously throughout the study period. An amateur singing group facilitator (SGF) led the group and a PR nurse attended all sessions. Each rehearsal comprised a five minute warm up session, 35 minutes singing, a five minute warm down and 15 minutes social morning-tea time. It was run free of charge to patients. The SGF and the group chose the singing repertoire together, including a wide mix of genres (eg pop, musicals, traditional Māori songs, folk songs, rounds) with attention to the group's voice range and capacity for phrase lengths. The SGF also discussed breathing for singing techniques as the year progressed and as the group gained confidence. No music reading ability was required. We made recordings of songs to allow practice at home although this was optional. Collaboration with a local boys' high school developed from student piano accompaniment to working with the senior boys' chorale including some joint performances. Over the year, the participants delivered six public performances, supported by the senior chorale from the local school on four occasions. Singing group members remained enrolled in the community exercise classes, as well as singing group.

Analysis BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

asmushogeschool

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

Continuous variables were compared using a mixed linear model for most variables with an unstructured variance-covariance correlation structure. Hospital admission days were right skew and were compared with a paired Wilcoxon test and Hodges-Lehmann estimator of the difference. Although individual comparisons are presented with 95% confidence intervals a large number of statistical tests have been carried out and this will inflate the Type I error rate. SAS version 9.4 was used. were compared with a paired Wilcoxon test and Hodges-Lehmann estimator of the difference. Although

	161	RESULTS
	162	Out of 140 people with chronic lung disease approached, 28 participants (20%) were enrolled in the
	163	singing group in five months: October 2014 to February 2015. The mean (SD) time from completion of
)	164	past PR was 1.2 (1.2) years. Response to past PR by the change in 6MWT was available for 14
	165	participants and for these participants the distance had improved from a mean (SD) of 316 (126) m to
	166	356 (148) m. Five participants withdrew within one month of enrolment as they did not wish to continue
	167	(n=4) or were recruited into another study (n=1). At baseline assessment, twenty-one participants had
;	168	COPD as defined by GOLD criteria[22] but two participants had normal FEV1/FVC ratio on spirometry
)	169	with a clinical diagnosis of interstitial lung disease. They continued to attend singing group but were
	170	excluded from this analysis. The 21 participants with COPD attended the singing group for at least one
	171	year and had measurements at enrolment and after four months. Eighteen participants were
	172	reassessed after 12 months: one had undergone lung transplant and two had such frequent
)	173	exacerbations that stable follow-up testing was not possible. Figure 1 shows the study overview.
2	174	
	175	The participants with COPD had a wide range of disease severity and comorbidities. Mean (SD)
) ; ,	176	FEV1% at baseline was 60.3 (21.1), median 57.1, range 14.6-110. The majority (66%) of participants
5	177	had moderate COPD by GOLD criteria but 20% had severe or very severe COPD, similar to the
)	178	proportions of COPD severity in the NZ community.[27] Six patients (29%) had an FEV1 at baseline of
	179	less than one litre. Common comorbidities were bronchiectasis, congestive cardiac failure, diabetes,
	180	and obesity. Six of 21 (29%) were Māori, consistent with the higher prevalence of COPD in Māori in
	181	New Zealand who constitute only 6% of the total population over 50 years.[28] The mean (SD)
;)	182	attendance rate for the 12 months was 85% (12.1). Table 1 shows characteristics of the study
,	183	population at baseline.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

3	
4	
5	
ں م	
0	
7	
8	
9	
1	^
1	U
1	1
1	2
1	3
4	7
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
4	0
1	0
1	9
2	0
2	1
5	່າ
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
~	2
2	1
2	8
2	9
2	0
3	v
3	1
3	2
3	3
2	1
2	4
3	5
3	6
3	7
2	ò
5	0
3	9
4	0
4	1
۵	2
-+	<u>د</u>
4	3
4	4
4	5
Δ	6
1	7
4	1
4	8
4	9
5	0
5	1
0	1
5	2
5	3
5	4
5	F
5	5
5	0
5	7

185 Table 1 Characteristics of the study population

	N=21				
Age (years) mean (SD) [range]	68.8 (9.8) [51 to 91]				
Sex	N/21 (%)				
Men	8 (38)				
Women	13 (62)				
Ethnicity					
European	14 (67)				
Maori	6 (29)				
Asian	1 (5)				
Smoking history					
Current smoker	1 (5)				
Ex-smoker	18 (86)				
Never smoker	2 (10)				
COPD severity (FEV1% predicted)					
≥80% (mild)	3 (14)				
50-79% (moderate)	14 (66)				
30-49% (severe)	2 (10)				
<30% (very severe)	2 (10)				
COPD mortality risk ^a					
BODE score: 0-2	4 (19)				
3-4	8 (38)				
5-6	6 (29)				
7-10	3 (14)				
Continuous long term domiciliary	2 (10)				
oxygen therapy					
Comorbidities ^b					
Bronchiectasis	3 (14)				
Heart failure	6 (29)				
Diabetes	7 (33)				
Anxiety on treatment	5 (24)				
Atrial fibrillation	8 (38)				
Ischaemic Heart Disease	5 (24)				
Clinical characteristics	Mean (SD)	Median (IOR)	Min to max		
FEV1 (L)	1 3(0 5)	1 3 (0 9 to 1 6)	0.6 to 2.6		
EEV1 (% predicted)	60 3 (21 1)	57 1 (50 to 72 4)	14.6 to 110.3		
EVC (L)	2 85 (0 9)	2.75(2.2 to 3.0)	1 8 to 4 9		
EVC (%predicted)	103 5 (26 9)	102 5 (85 3 to 126 7)	53 4 to 160 4		
FEV1/EVC	0.47 (0.14)	0.47 (0.36 to 0.56)	0 22 to 0 68		
	6 38 (1 99)	5 68 (5 2 to 7 0)	3 57 to 11 3		
BV (L)	3 39 (1 52)	3 06 (2 6 to 3 4)	1 67 to 8 52		
SpO2 at rest (%)	95.4 (2.3)	96 (93 to 97)	89 to 99		
6MWT (m)	300 (110)	290 (212 to 349)	132 to 508		
BMI (kg/m ²)	29 1 (7 6)	28.0 (23.9 to 32.5)	20 1 to 53 0		
Questionnaires	20.1 (1.0)		20.1 10 00.0		
CCO	2 11 (0.83)	19(17 to 28)	0.4 to 3.3		
HADS anxiety	58(28)	6 (4 to 7)	1 to 11		
HADS depression	4 1 (2 3)	3 (3 to 6)	1 to 10		
HADS total	99(46)	9 (7 to 12)	2 to 21		
	J.J (4.0)	3 (1 10 12)	21021		

1 2 3	188	FEV1- forced expiratory volume in one second, FVC- forced vital capacity, TLC- total lung capacity RV-
4 5 6	189 190 191	residual volume, SpO2-oxygen saturation measured by a pulse oximeter, 6MW I- six-minute walk test, BMI- body mass index, CCQ- clinical COPD questionnaire, HADS- hospital anxiety and depression score.
7 8	191	
9 10 11		
12 13		
14 15 16		
17 18 19		
20 21		
22 23 24		
25 26		
27 28 29		
30 31 32		
33 34		
35 36 37		
38 39		
40 41 42		
43 44 45		
46 47		
48 49 50		
51 52		
54 55		
56 57		
59 60		10

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

asmushogesci

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

There was strong evidence for an increase in 6MWT after four months, with a mean increase of 28 (95%CI 5 to 52) m, p = 0.019, increasing further to 65 (95%CI 35 to 99) m, p < 0.001 at one year. HADS Anxiety scores were lower at one year with a mean change from baseline of -0.9 (95%CI -1.8 to -0.1) p = 0.038. Lung function tests showed a reduction in RV, mean decrease 130 (95%CI -250 to -3) ml, p =0.046 and total lung capacity, mean decrease 150 (95%CI -290 to -20) ml, p = 0.023 after four months, but no significant differences at 12 months compared to baseline. The questionnaire and , anu . clinical measurements, and their changes are shown in Table 2.

	Mean (SD)		Difference from baseline (95% CI)		
Variable	Baseline	Four months	One year	Four months	One year
	N=21	N=21	N=18		
FEV1 (L)	1.32 (0.5)	1.34 (0.5)	1.44 (0.5)	0.02 (-0.01 to 0.06)	0.04 (-0.05 to 0.1
				P=0.21	P=0.44
FEV1%	60.3 (21.1)	61.9 (22.3)	64.5 (20.2)	1.6 (-0.1 to 3.4)	2.4 (-1.4 to 6.1)
				P=0.065	P=0.21
FVC (L)	2.85 (0.9)	2.81 (0.92)	2.91 (0.97)	-0.04 (-0.15 to 0.06)	-0.04 (-0.17 to 0.0
				P=0.38	P=0.50
FVC%	103.5 (26.9)	103.1 (30.4)	107.0 (24.8)	-0.5 (-4.5 to 3.6)	-0.02 (-4.5 to 4.4
				P=0.81	P=0.99
FEV1/FVC	0.47 (0.14)	0.48 (0.13)	0.50 (0.12)	0.01 (-0.004 to 0.03)	0.02 (-0.002 to 0.0
				P=0.13	P=0.08
TLC (L)	6.38 (1.99)	6.22 (1.86)	6.23 (1.7)	-0.15 (-0.29 to -0.02)	-0.04 (-0.21 to 0.1
				P=0.023	P=0.61
TLC%	117.8 (20.9)	115.2 (20.2)	116.4 (20.8)	-2.6 (-4.8 to -0.4)	-0.7 (-3.7 to 2.3
		•		P=0.021	P=0.61
RV (L)	3.39 (1.52)	3.27 (1.44)	3.22 (1.18)	-0.13 (-0.25 to -0.003)	0.06 (-0.16 to 0.2
				P=0.046	P=0.58
RV%	156.1 (59.9)	150.2 (57.1)	145.7 (44.1)	-5.9 (-11.5 to -0.3)	1.3 (-7.8 to 10.3
				P=0.04	P=0.77
IC (L)	2.29 (0.86)	2.35 (0.83)	2.33 (0.87)	0.06 (-0.03 to 0.15)	-0.07 (-0.18 to 0.0
				P=0.18	P=0.21
IC%	107.2 (27.2)	110.1 (25.7)	108.6 (24.3)	3.0 (-1.8 to 7.7)	-2.9 (-9.3 to 3.5
				P=0.21	P=0.35
IC/TLC	0.37 (0.09)	0.39 (0.09)	0.38 (0.09)	0.02 (0.001 to 0.03)	-0.01 (-0.03 to 0.0
				P=0.037	P=0.36
RV/TLC	0.52 (0.09)	0.52 (0.09)	0.52 (0.09)	-0.01 (-0.02 to 0.01)	0.01 (-0.01 to 0.0
				P=0.45	P=0.35
6MWT (m)	300 (110)	328 (118)	377 (104)	28 (5 to 52)	65 (35 to 99)
				P=0.019	P<0.001
CCQ	2.11 (0.83)	2.08 (0.86)	2.31 (1.04)	-0.03 (-0.31 to 0.26)	0.26 (-0.04 to 0.5
				P=0.84	P=0.08
HADS	5.8 (2.8)	5.81 (3.52)	4.67 (3.2)	0 (-1.2 to 1.2)	-0.9 (-1.8 to -0.1
Anxiety				P=0.99	P=0.04
HADS	4.1 (2.3)	3.19 (2.56)	4.0 (3.91)	-0.9 (-2.1 to 0.2)	0.1 (-1.3 to 1.6)
Depression				P=0.11	P=0.85

HADS Total 9.9 (4.6) 9.0 (5		5.7) 8.7 (6.4)		-0.9 (-3.0 to 1.2)	-0.8 (-2.6 to 1.0)	
					P=0.37	P=0.35
		12 months prior to 12 months enrolment enrolment		12 months after	LIL potimeter (05% CI)	
				enrolment		
Hospital admission days for AECOPD				0.0 (7.0)		-1.0 (-8.5 to 3.0)
N=20* Mean (SD)				3.6 (7.9)	2.7 (7.3)	P=0.40

depression score. AECOPD, Acute exacerbation of COPD. * Excluding one patient who had lung transplant between 4 months and 1-year follow-up assessments.

DISCUSSION

This study has shown that recruitment and retention of patients with COPD to a community singing group (SG) is feasible. Approximately 20% of people attending a maintenance exercise class following PR accepted the invitation to participate in a new singing group. Recruitment could be increased by individual approaches, repeat approaches and feedback by current participants in the singing group which now has a significant profile in the community. The high attendance rate over one year, mean 85%, supports the acceptability and feasibility of this intervention. In this study, participation in a weekly community-based singing group for one year was associated with increased exercise

in a weekly community-based singing group for one year was associated with increased exercise capacity and reduced anxiety in patients with COPD who have completed PR and were attending a community exercise group. We were surprised by the high long-term attendance rate, for this cohort of patients with significant respiratory disease and comorbidities, including two participants with very severe COPD, one of whom had a lung transplant four months after joining the singing group. The qualitative data from this project, reported separately, showed that most participants had not been previously interested in the singing arous a fun social activity more than a musical endeayour.[21] in singing and considered the singing group a fun social activity more than a musical endeavour.[21] The reduction in HADS anxiety scores confirms previous research [15] but this is the first report of statistically and clinically significant improvement in 6MWT with this type of intervention. The mean

and

Page 15 of 26

BMJ Open

reduction in HADS anxiety score of 0.9 units at one year did not exceed the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) of 1.32.[30] The mean increase in 6MWT of 65m at one year was greater than the MCID of 30m.[31] This is the first report of serial RV measurement in COPD patients attending a singing group over one year. The small (130ml) statistically significant reduction in RV after four months Protected by but not 12 months may reflect type I error rate inflation, and so be due to the play of chance. A previous small COPD study showed a median fall in RV of 270ml in the four patients able to be tested copyright, including out of eight patients originally recruited, after 10 weeks of singing classes.[19] On the other hand, Bonilha and colleagues did not find any significant change in RV in 15 participants after 24 weeks of singing classes.[18] They did report a small but statistically significant difference in RV two minutes after a short singing session in subjects from the singing group (where RV reduced) and a control group uses (where RV increased) but no difference between the groups at 30 minutes.[18] The reduction in related to text hospital admission days for AECOPD per year was not statistically significant, with a point estimate of approximately one day less per participant in the year attending singing group, compared to the year t and before starting (excluding the patient who had a lung transplant during the year). The actual cost of data mining running the singing group for 12 months was approximately \$NZ4,000 (GBP 2,363). A future study of singing group intervention could include a health economic analysis to assess cost-effectiveness. Al training, Strengths of this study are the one year duration, comprehensive pulmonary function tests and low-cost community setting. Previously reported studies of singing intervention for COPD have , and generally been of short duration, mostly 6-10 weeks. [15-19] In contrast to studies using professional Isimil singing teachers and physiotherapists, we used amateur singing group facilitators, with musical ar · tech experience and strong group facilitation skills. Limitations of our study include that the 6MWT was only performed once at each visit, but participants had all done at least one 6MWT as part of their recent PR lies programmes so any learning effect is likely to have been minimised.[32] This is a relatively small cohort study without a control group so both type I and type II errors are possible. This analysis also includes a large number of hypothesis tests which inflate the type 1 error rate.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

rasmushogesc

Our findings confirm those of Morrison and colleagues who showed medium-term (ten months) feasibility for community singing groups for people with COPD in the UK but they had a significantly higher attrition rate.[20] A significant component of our study was that participants had both completed a PR programme and were enrolled in a weekly maintenance exercise programme. These are likely to rotected be people more motivated to manage their own health and who had already demonstrated an ability to attend weekly sessions. It is possible that some of the benefit in reduced hospital admissions could be copyright, including for uses explained by recent completion of PR.[33] These results can't be readily generalised to a population of people with COPD who have declined or not been offered PR. We believe there may be a role for SG participation for people with COPD who decline PR and have started a controlled study testing this hypothesis (www.anzctr.org.au registry number ACTRN12616000584437). Singing group members remained enrolled in maintenance exercise classes after PR, as recommended by international related to text guidelines.[33] There is conflicting evidence as to whether maintenance exercise classes preserve the benefits on exercise capacity of PR, but no evidence that maintenance exercise classes improve exercise capacity in people who have completed PR.[33] On average, our participants had been data mining, attending exercise classes for approximately one year prior to singing group enrolment and mean 6MWT distance at commencement of singing group was substantially lower than the 6MWT distance at Al training the end of the PR programme for the 14 participants with complete data from the preceding PR programme. This suggests a low likelihood that the substantial improvement in 6MWT distance during 12 months of SG participation could be explained by exercise class attendance alone. The presence of a a PR nurse at the singing sessions may have been important. Her role was in 'meeting and greeting' participants, general encouragement to attend, supervising refreshments and organising transport for performances. There was no formal or informal exercise advice provided. In the interviews that formed the gualitative component of this project [21], a key theme was being cared for in a safe environment and the PR nurse presence contributed to this. All participants received usual medical care so therapeutic changes may have affected some of the participants.

Page 17 of 26

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

1 2 3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22 23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30 31

32 33

34 35

36 37 38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47 48

49 50 51

52 53

54 55

56 57

58 59

60

BMJ Open

Our findings are relevant to the question of sustaining the
possible mechanism for singing group effectiveness is the promo
considered to be a critical component of PR.[34] Our qualitative of
attending the weekly singing group was a most enjoyable highlig
physical activity it took to get there.[21]. In contrast to other studi
intervention focussed on fun group singing with no specific breat
breathing exercises alone or in addition to singing remains uncer
singing duration of 35 minutes, and no explicit practice at home.
response relationship, with increased benefit with more frequent
practice at home. With the very high attendance rate we were no
dose-response relationship between attendance and outcomes.
Our qualitative analysis showed singing group participation
sense of social connection, purpose and meaningful participation
anxiety.[21] Although there seems to be an association between
status, and the frequency of AECOPD and hospitalisation, it is co
anxiety and increased exercise capacity observed in this study p
readmissions and supports the use of exercise capacity and read
outcome measures for a future randomised controlled trial (RCT)
We believe our results could be replicated by others giver
programme and the practical, low cost approach to running the s
venue and the use of amateurs for singing group facilitation. We
practical top tips" document for setting up and running a commur
COPD (see online supplement). We have been able to sustain the
patients, as it was set up and financed by a charitable trust. The
twenty-four months with high attendance including almost all of the
ethical issue of withdrawing the intervention at study completion.
For neer review only - http://hmionen.hmi.com/site/ab

ant to the guestion of sustaining the benefits of pulmonary rehabilitation. A ing group effectiveness is the promotion of physical activity which is mponent of PR.[34] Our gualitative data showed that for many patients, group was a most enjoyable highlight of their week and worth the extra Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text there.[21]. In contrast to other studies[15–18] our singing group group singing with no specific breathing exercises. The impact of in addition to singing remains uncertain.[35] Our group met weekly with es, and no explicit practice at home. It is possible that there is a dosencreased benefit with more frequent singing group classes and singing ery high attendance rate we were not able to attempt an analysis for a etween attendance and outcomes. sis showed singing group participation was associated with an increased purpose and meaningful participation which may explain the reduction in seems to be an association between anxiety in COPD patients, their health data mining, Al training, AECOPD and hospitalisation, it is complex.[36] However, the reduction in se capacity observed in this study points to the potential for reducing ne use of exercise capacity and readmission for AECOPD, as primary re randomised controlled trial (RCT). s could be replicated by others given recruitment from a typical hospital PR similar technologies. , low cost approach to running the singing group, including community urs for singing group facilitation. We have made available on-line our "10 for setting up and running a community singing group for people living with nt). We have been able to sustain this intervention long term, free to d financed by a charitable trust. The singing group is still going strong after attendance including almost all of the founding members, avoiding the

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool .

and

iining,

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text

CONCLUSIONS Our findings support the feasibility of long-term participation in a community singing group for adults with COPD who have completed PR and are enrolled in a weekly community exercise group and provide evidence of improvement in exercise capacity and reduction in anxiety. These results can inform sample size and selection of outcome measures for a future RCT. Figure legend: Figure 1: Study overview COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, PR= pulmonary rehabilitation, AECOPD = acute exacerbation of COPD, FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, GOLD = Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease Acknowledgements We are grateful to Ruth Collingham and Jackie McAuliffe for running the Sing Your Lungs Out Singing Group and to all the patients for their participation. **Contributors** AM conceived the idea of the study, designed the protocol, wrote the first and final drafts of the manuscript, was the senior investigator, and will act as guarantor. SA, HM, MWi, GW, MWe, RB helped design and plan the study and helped write the first and final drafts of the manuscript. GW, AM recruited patients to the study. MWi, AM collected data. MWe was responsible for the analysis.
Funding This study was supported by the Medical Research Institute of New Zealand. Sing Your
Lungs Out is run by the COPD Choir Trust, a volunteer-run registered charity, which received grants in
2015 from the Wellington City Council and Infinity Foundation Community Trust.
Competing interests None
Ethics approval This study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the Wellington Hospital Research Governance Group on the study was approved by the s helped design and plan the study and helped write the first and final drafts of the manuscript. GW, AM 23 September 2014 **Data sharing** No additional data are available

1 2								
- 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	326							
	327	REFERENCES						
	328 329	1	Mannino DM, Buist AS. Global burden of COPD: risk factors, prevalence, and future trends. <i>Lancet</i> 2007; 370 :765–73. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61380-4					
10 11 12	330 331	2	Rennard SI, Vestbo J. Natural histories of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>Proc Am Thorac Soc</i> 2008; 5 :878–83. doi:10.1513/pats.200804-035QC					
13 14 15	332 333	3	Yohannes AM, Alexopoulos GS. Depression and anxiety in patients with COPD. <i>Eur Respir Rev</i> 2014; 23 :345–9. doi:10.1183/09059180.00007813					
16 17 18 19	334 335 336	4	Martinez Rivera C, Costan Galicia J, Alcázar Navarrete B, <i>et al.</i> Factors Associated with Depression in COPD: A Multicenter Study. <i>Lung</i> 2016; 194 :335–43. doi:10.1007/s00408-016-9862-7					
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 50 51 52 53	337 338 339 340	5	Kew KM, Dias S, Cates CJ. Long-acting inhaled therapy (beta-agonists, anticholinergics and steroids) for COPD: a network meta-analysis. In: Kew KM, ed. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> . Chichester, UK: : John Wiley & Sons, Ltd 2014. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010844.pub2					
	341 342 343	6	NICE. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in over 16s: diagnosis and managemen Guidance and guidelines NICE. 2010.https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG101 (accessed 21 Aug2016).					
	344 345	7	Agusti A. The path to personalised medicine in COPD. <i>Thorax</i> 2014; 69 :857–64. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-205507					
	346 347	8	Ernst P, Saad N, Suissa S. Inhaled corticosteroids in COPD: the clinical evidence. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 2014;:525–37. doi:10.1183/09031936.00128914					
	348 349 350	9	McCarthy B, Casey D, Devane D, <i>et al.</i> Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev</i> 2015; 2 :CD003793. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003793.pub3					
	351 352 353	10	Celli BR, Decramer M, Wedzicha J, <i>et al.</i> An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: research questions in COPD. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 2015; 45 :879–905. doi:10.1183/09031936.00009015					
	354 355 356	11	Watson A. Breathing in Singing. In: Welch G, Howard D, Nix J, eds. <i>The Oxford Handbook of Singing</i> . Oxford University Press 2014. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199660773.013.10					
	357 358 359	12	Clift S, Hancox G, Morrison I, <i>et al.</i> Choral singing and psychological wellbeing: Quantitative and qualitative findings from English choirs in a cross-national survey. <i>J Appl Arts Heal</i> 2010; 1 :19–34.					
	360 361 362	13	Clark I, Harding K. Psychosocial outcomes of active singing interventions for therapeutic purposes: a systematic review of the literature. <i>Nord J Music Ther</i> 2012; 21 :80–98. doi:10.1080/08098131.2010.545136					
54 55 56 57 58	363 364 365	14	Reagon C, Gale N, Enright S, <i>et al.</i> A mixed-method systematic review to investigate the effect of group singing on health related quality of life. <i>Complement Ther Med</i> 2016; 27 :1–11. doi:10.1016/j.ctim.2016.03.017					
59 60			18					

- **BMJ Open** Lord VM, Cave P, Hume VJ, et al. Singing teaching as a therapy for chronic respiratory disease--a randomised controlled trial and qualitative evaluation. BMC Pulm Med 2010;10:41. doi:10.1186/1471-2466-10-41 Lord VM, Hume VJ, Kelly JL, et al. Singing classes for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Pulm Med 2012;12:69. doi:10.1186/1471-2466-12-69 Goodridge D, Nicol JJ, Horvey KJ, et al. Therapeutic Singing as an Adjunct for Pulmonary Rehabilitation Participants With COPD: Outcomes of a Feasibility Study. Music Med 2013:**5**:169–76. doi:10.1177/1943862113493012 Bonilha AG, Onofre F, Vieira ML, et al. Effects of singing classes on pulmonary function and quality of life of COPD patients. Int J COPD 2009;4:1-8. doi:10.2147/COPD.S4077 Pacheco C, Costa A, Amado J, et al. Singing in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: A pilot study in Portugal. Rev Port Pneumol 2014;20:225-8. doi:10.1016/j.rppneu.2014.02.009 Morrison I, Clift SM, Page S et al. A UK feasibility study on the value of singing for people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). UNESCO Observatory Multi-Disciplinary Journal in the Arts 2013:3;1-19 McNaughton A, Aldington S, Williams G, et al. Sing Your Lungs Out: A qualitative study of a community singing group for people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). BMJ Open 2016;6:e012521 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012521 Global Strategy for Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of COPD - 2016 - Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease - GOLD. http://goldcopd.org/global-strategy-diagnosis-management-prevention-copd-2016/ Bjelland I, Dahl A, Haug T, et al. The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale: an updated literature review. J Psychosom Res 2002;52:69-77 van der Molen T, Willemse BWM, Schokker S, et al. Development, validity and responsiveness of the Clinical COPD Questionnaire. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2003:1:13. Clausen JL, Coates AL, Quanier PH. Measurement of lung volumes in humans: review and recommendations from an ATS/ERS workshop. Eur Respir J 1997;10:1205-6 ATS Statement: Guidelines for the Six-Minute Walk Test. Am Thorac Soc Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002;166:111-7. doi:10.1164/rccm.166/1/111 Shirtcliffe P, Weatherall M, Marsh S, et al. COPD prevalence in a random population survey: a matter of definition. Eur Respir J 2007;30:232-9 Ministry of Health New Zealand. District health board Maori health plans profiles and needs assessments. 2015.http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/dhb-maori-health-profiles
- 51
 52 402 29
 53 403
 54 404
 55
 55
 600 Celli BR, Cote CG, Marin JM, *et al.* The body-mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnea, and exercise capacity index in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *NEJM* 5004;350:1005–12. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa021322
- 405 30 Puhan MA, Frey M, Büchi S, *et al.* The minimal important difference of the hospital
 406 anxiety and depression scale in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
 58

60

1 2			
3	407		Health Qual Life Outcomes 2008; 6 :46. doi:10.1186/1477-7525-6-46
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	408 409 410	31	Holland AE, Nici L. The Return of the Minimum Clinically Important Difference for 6- Minute-Walk Distance in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;187:335–6. doi:10.1164/rccm.201212-2191ED
	411 412 413	32	Holland AE, Spruit MA, Troosters T, <i>et al.</i> An official European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society technical standard: field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. <i>Eur Respir J</i> 2014; 44 :1428–46. doi:10.1183/09031936.00150314
12 13 14	414 415	33	Bolton CE, Bevan-Smith EF, Blakey JD, et al. British Thoracic Society guideline on pulmonary rehabilitation in adults. <i>Thorax</i> 2013;68:ii1–ii30.
15 16 17 18	416 417 418	34	Spruit MA, Pitta F, McAuley E, <i>et al.</i> Pulmonary Rehabilitation and Physical Activity in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. <i>Am J Respir Crit Care Med</i> 2015; 192 :924–33. doi:10.1164/rccm.201505-0929Cl
19 20 21 22	419 420 421	35	Holland AE, Hill CJ, Jones AY, <i>et al.</i> Breathing exercises for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev</i> Published Online First: 2012. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008250.pub2
23 24 25 26	422 423 424	36	Pooler A, Beech R. Examining the relationship between anxiety and depression and exacerbations of COPD which result in hospital admission: a systematic review. <i>Int J Chron Obs Pulmon Dis</i> 2014; 9 :315–30.
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 37 39 40 41 42 44 45 46 7 48 951 52 34 556 57 58	425		

Page 22 of 26

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.



Figure 1: Study overview.

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, PR= pulmonary rehabilitation, AECOPD = acute exacerbation of COPD, FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, GOLD = Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease

> Figure 1 shows the study overv 338x190mm (300 x 300 DPI)

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Setting up a singing group like Sing Your Lungs Out

We provide links below to two short videos of this singing group and a "10 practical top tips" document for setting up a community singing group for people living with COPD which we hope will inform, motivate and encourage others to set up singing groups.

ttps://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fduau0jV09o	-no_oragenico_oz.mi en: first
ttp://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fduau0jV09o ttp://www.mrinz.ac.nz/pdfs/How_to_set_up_SYLO.pdf	: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 20 Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Pilot in study title.
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Yes
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Yes Introduction para 1-3, pg3-4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Yes Introduction para 4, pg 4. No hypotheses, this was a feasibility study.
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Methods, para 1, pg 5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Methods, para 1, pg 5 and under 'Singing Group Intervention' pg 6. Specific dates are in first line of Results, pg 7
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	Methods, para 1, pg 5
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	No matched control group
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Methods, 'data collection', pg 5
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Methods, 'Data collection', pg 5

Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Objective lung function tests measured by scientist blinded to previous results. Self reported questionnaires
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Convenience sample, twice the size of previous studies.
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were	Methods, 'Analysis', pg 6
variables		handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(<i>a</i>) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Methods, 'Analysis', pg 6
		(<i>b</i>) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	N/A
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	N/A
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study—If applicable, explain how	Results, para 1, pg 7 and Figure 1 Study overview
		matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling	
		strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Results, para 1, pg 7 and Study overview Figure 1
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Results, para 1 and figure 1
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 1
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Results, para 2, pg 7 and Table
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Table 1
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Result, para 1,pg 7
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Results, para 3, pg 9 and Table and Figure 2
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
		outcome events or summary measures	
		Succine events of summary measures	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014151 on 24 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 1, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

2
3
1
-
5
6
7
2 Q
0
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
20
21
Z I
22
23
24
25
20
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
22
33
34
35
36
27
31
38
39
40
 /1
+1
42
43
44
15
40
46
47
48
10
73 50
50
51
52
52
55
54
55
56
57
51
58
59

1

	applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and	results unadjusted. Table 2
	their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval).	
	Make clear which confounders were adjusted	
	for and why they were included	
	(b) Report category boundaries when	N/A
	continuous variables were categorized	
	(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of	
	relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful	
	time period	
17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of	N/A feasibility study
	subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity	
	analyses	
18	Summarise key results with reference to study	Discussion para1
	objectives	
10	Discuss limitations of the study taking into	Discussion para 3 pg 12
19	Discuss initiations of the study, taking into	Discussion puta 5, pg 12
19	account sources of potential bias or	Discussion para 3, pg 12
19	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and	Discussion para 5, pg 12
19	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Discussion para 5, pg 12
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity)	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13
20	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13
20 21 22	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13 Funding statement pg 14
20 21 22	account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable,	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13 Funding statement pg 14
20 21 22	Discuss initiations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present	Discussion para 4 and 5, pg 12-13 Discussion para 6, pg 13 Funding statement pg 14
	17	 their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period 17 Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses 18 Summarise key results with reference to study objectives 19 Discuss limitations of the study, taking into

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at <u>www.strobe-statement.org</u>.