



BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

The iSEARCH Randomised Controlled Trial Protocol – A pragmatic Phase 3 clinical trial of intrapartum sildenafil citrate to improve outcomes related to birth asphyxia.

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2023-082943 |
| Article Type: | Protocol |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 07-Dec-2023 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Kumar, Sailesh; Mater Medical Research Institute, Maternal & Fetal Medicine Tarnow-Mordi, William; University of Sydney, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre Mol, Ben; Monash Medical School, OB/GYN Flenady, Vicky ; Mater Medical Research Institute, NHMRC Stillbirth CRE Liley, Helen; The University of Queensland, Mater Research Institute; Neonatal Critical Care Unit Badawi, Nadia; Children's Hosital at Westmead, Neonatology Walker, Susan; University of Melbourne, Obstetrics and Gynaecology; Mercy Hospital for Women, Obstetrics and Gynaecology Hyett, Jonathan; Western Sydney University School of Medicine Seidler, Lene ; University of Sydney, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre Callander, Emily; University of Technology Sydney, School of Public Health O'Connell, R; The University of Sydney, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre |
| Keywords: | Clinical Trial, Fetal medicine < OBSTETRICS, PERINATOLOGY, Primary Prevention |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

The *i*SEARCH Randomised Controlled Trial Protocol – A pragmatic Phase 3 clinical trial of intrapartum sildenafil citrate to improve outcomes related to birth asphyxia.

Sailesh Kumar^{*1,2,3}, William Tarnow-Mordi^{*4}, Ben W. Mol ⁵, Vicki Flenady^{1,2}, Helen Liley¹, Nadia Badawi⁶, Susan Walker⁷, Jonathan Hyett⁸, Anna Lene Seidler⁴, Emily Callander⁹, Rachel O'Connell⁴

¹Mater Research Institute - University of Queensland, Queensland, Australia.

²NHMRC Centre for Research Excellence in Stillbirth.

³Faculty of Medicine, University of Queensland.

⁴NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

⁵Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Monash University, Victoria, Australia.

⁶Cerebral Palsy Alliance and University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

⁷Dept of Maternal and Fetal Medicine, Mercy Hospital, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

⁸Liverpool Hospital and the University of Western Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

⁹School of Public Health, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia.

*These authors contributed equally to this manuscript.

***iSEARCH* trial sponsor:**

The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

Australia. ABN: 15 211 513 464

***iSEARCH* coordinating centre:**

NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, 92-94 Parramatta Road, Camperdown, NSW 2050, Australia.

Corresponding author:-

Professor Sailesh Kumar

Mater Research Institute-University of Queensland

Level 1, Whitty Building,

Mater Campus, South Brisbane

Queensland 4101, Australia

Tel: +617 31638844

Email: sailash.kumar@mater.uq.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Introduction

We showed in a Phase 2 RCT that oral sildenafil citrate in term labour halved operative birth for fetal distress. We outline the protocol for a Phase 3 RCT (iSEARCH) of 3200 women in Australia to assess if sildenafil citrate reduces adverse perinatal outcomes related to birth asphyxia.

Methods and analysis

iSEARCH will enrol 3200 Australian women in term labour to determine whether up to three 50 mg oral doses of sildenafil citrate versus placebo reduce the relative risk of a primary composite endpoint of ten perinatal outcomes reflecting birth asphyxia by 35% (from 7% to 4.55%). Secondary aims are to evaluate reductions in the relative risk of emergency caesarean section or instrumental vaginal birth for fetal distress by 25% (from 20% to 15%) and in healthcare costs. To detect a 35% reduction in the primary outcome for an alpha of 0.05 and power of 80% with 10% dropout in each arm requires 3200 women (1600 in each arm). This sample size will also yield >90% power to detect a 25% reduction for the secondary outcome of any operative birth (caesarean section or instrumental vaginal birth) for fetal distress.

Ethics and dissemination

Ethical approval for the iSEARCH RCT was granted by the Hunter New England Human Research Ethics Committee (Ref No: 2020/ETH02791). Results will be disseminated through websites, peer-reviewed publications, scientific meetings and social media, news outlets, television and radio.

Trial Registration

iSEARCH is registered with the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12621000231842) and the Therapeutics Goods Administration of Australia (registered 27 August 2021) and the first participant was randomised on 6 September 2021.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ARTICLE SUMMARY (198 WORDS)

Strengths and limitations of this project

► iSEARCH is an AU\$3.42 million, Phase 3 RCT of 3200 women in term labour, funded by the Australian Medical Research Future Fund to determine if intrapartum sildenafil citrate reduces a ten-component primary composite endpoint reflective of birth asphyxia. In secondary analyses, this sample could demonstrate a 25% reduction in emergency operative birth for fetal distress with 90% power.

► Childhood neurodevelopmental outcomes at 2 years will be assessed and health economic analysis will be undertaken to determine cost effectiveness to the healthcare system.

► The sample of 3,200 women only has ~80% power to show a 35% reduction in the relative risk of the ten-component primary composite endpoint. This putative 35% relative risk reduction may reflect optimism bias and is an important limitation, dictated by financial constraints. A sample with over 90% power to show a more moderate and realistic 20% reduction in relative risk of this outcome would require over 12,000 women. To detect a similar reduction in perinatal death, defined as intrapartum stillbirth and/or 7-day neonatal mortality, will require tens of thousands of women.

► iSEARCH and the RidStress 2 RCT (whose protocol is published in this issue of BMJ Open) will contribute to aggregate meta-analyses and individual participant data prospective meta-analyses (IPD PMA) of highly streamlined RCTs worldwide, powered to show moderate, clinically relevant effects on adverse perinatal outcome.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
ErasmusHogeschool

INTRODUCTION

Fetal distress in labour usually reflects pre-existing placental impairment^{1,2} which reduces the capacity of the fetus to cope with the stress of uterine contractions.³ In many term pregnancies, uterine blood flow falls by 60% during contractions,⁴ provoking fetal distress. Fetal decompensation occurs⁵ if there is insufficient time for placental reperfusion between contractions.¹

Suspected fetal distress due to hypoxia in labour is a key factor in up to 23% of emergency caesarean births in Australia.⁶ Hypoxic fetal injury can lead to intrapartum stillbirth and severe neonatal morbidity including neonatal encephalopathy and cerebral palsy.^{7,8,9} Intrapartum hypoxia-ischemia causes 1 in 3 cases of neonatal encephalopathy in high-income countries with low perinatal mortality rates (PMRs) and almost 60% of cases in low-or middle-income countries with moderate or high PMRs.¹⁰ Other than emergency operative birth [caesarean section or instrumental (forceps or vacuum) vaginal birth], options are limited.

Sildenafil Citrate is a phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor that enhances the bioavailability of Nitric Oxide by inhibiting cyclic guanosine monophosphate degradation. Increased tissue Nitric Oxide levels improve vasodilatation and reduce vascular dysfunction secondary to vasoconstrictors and antiangiogenic factors.^{11,12} Sildenafil citrate preferentially dilates pelvic blood vessels and increases utero-placental blood flow.^{13,14} Our systematic review of 10 obstetric randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of 1,090 women found that phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor use in pregnancy was associated with a 42% relative reduction of operative birth for intrapartum fetal distress [Relative Risk (RR) 0.58, 95% CI 0.38-0.88] in two trials in a total of 400 women.¹⁵

Our Phase 2 RCT¹⁶ tested whether, compared to placebo, sildenafil citrate lowered rates of emergency operative birth for fetal distress in 300 women in term labour. Sildenafil reduced (i) the relative risk (RR) of operative birth for fetal distress by 51% [(RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.33 – 0.73), p=0.0004; Number Needed to Treat for Benefit = 5 (3-11)] and (ii) rates of pathological fetal heart rate (FHR) patterns (15% vs. 32%; RR 0.48, 95% CI 0.31 – 0.75, p=0.0009) by 52%. This trial, however, lacked power to

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

111 show a statistically significant improvement in neonatal outcomes to support the rationale for
112 sildenafil citrate treatment in labour. A subsequent cost effectiveness analysis concluded that oral
113 intrapartum sildenafil citrate may be cost effective compared with standard care, but that its effects
114 on neonatal outcomes should be evaluated in large RCTs.¹⁷

115 The Australian iSEARCH (can intrapartum Sildenafil safely Avert the Risks of Contraction-induced
116 Hypoxia in labour?) trial began on 6th September 2021. It is the world’s first Phase 3 RCT to re-purpose
117 sildenafil citrate by evaluating whether, compared to placebo, it improves perinatal outcome, by
118 reducing the risk of intrapartum fetal compromise. Its primary endpoint is a composite of ten adverse
119 fetal or neonatal outcomes (Table 1) very similar to that used in other large RCTs like the ARRIVE trial
120 in term pregnancies.¹⁸ Its target sample size of 3,200 women yields >80% power to detect a reduction
121 of 35% in the relative risk of its primary endpoint (from 7% to 4.55%, equivalent to a pooled event rate
122 of 5.78%). It also has 90% power to detect a 25% reduction in the secondary outcome of emergency
123 operative birth for fetal distress, from 20% to 15%. It is funded by the Australian Medical Research
124 Future Fund and will run until August 2024.

125 Together with RidStress 2 (protocol published in this issue of BMJ Open), iSEARCH will contribute to
126 an individual participant data prospective meta-analysis (IPD PMA) of similar trials in high- and low-
127 and middle-income countries which may provide evidence for a smaller but still clinically meaningful
128 reduction in adverse perinatal outcomes.^{19 20} This global evidence, in turn, may support the
129 introduction of sildenafil citrate as an option for routine intrapartum care for women planning a
130 vaginal birth at term.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
Erasmus Hogeschool

METHODS AND ANALYSIS:

Primary Research Question

Does maternal oral sildenafil citrate in labour, compared with placebo, reduce the primary composite endpoint of ten adverse neonatal outcomes? (Table 1)

Secondary Research Questions

(a) Does maternal oral sildenafil citrate in labour reduce the rate of the secondary outcomes of:

- a. Emergency operative birth for fetal distress
- b. Intrapartum stillbirth
- c. Death of baby before discharge from hospital
- d. Apgar score <4 at 5 minutes
- e. Cord artery pH <7.0
- f. Neonatal encephalopathy
- g. Neonatal seizures
- h. Neonatal respiratory support >4h
- i. Neonatal unit (Special Care or Intensive Care) admission lasting >48 h
- j. Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn
- k. Meconium aspiration syndrome

(b) Is maternal oral sildenafil citrate in labour more cost effective than placebo?

Table 1: Primary composite outcome

| Components of composite primary endpoint | Rate | Association with long term adverse outcome |
|--|-------------------|---|
| Intrapartum stillbirth ²¹ | 0.1%* | - |
| 28-day neonatal mortality | 0.24% | - |
| Apgar score <4 at 5 minutes | 0.5% | ↑ risk of cerebral palsy ²² |
| Umbilical Cord artery pH <7.0 | 2.2% [‡] | ↑ risk of HIE ²³ |
| Neonatal encephalopathy, Sarnat Grade 2 or 3 | 0.5% | ↑ risk of death or disability ²⁴ |
| Neonatal seizures [§] | 0.25% | ↑ risk of death or disability ²⁴ |
| Neonatal respiratory support for >4 h | 3.6% | ↑ risk of cerebral palsy ²⁵ |
| Neonatal unit admission for >48 h | 4.3% | ↑ asthma after term respiratory morbidity ²⁶ |
| Persistent pulmonary hypertension | 0.04% | ↑ risk of death or disability ²⁷ |
| Meconium aspiration | 0.75% | ↑ risk of death or disability ²⁸ |
| Total (corrected for overlap) | 7.0% | |

*based on data from Grobman et al¹⁸; [‡]based on data from Yeh et al²³

[§]although seizures include non-encephalopathic causes like stroke or metabolic disorders, in a randomised trial, those causes not influenced by Sildenafil will tend to be evenly balanced between study arms and therefore tend not to bias the comparison. In this pragmatic trial, seizures, persistent pulmonary hypertension and meconium aspiration are all defined using local protocols.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Study design: This is a two-arm parallel, randomised (1:1), placebo-controlled, double-blind multicentre superiority trial of sildenafil citrate vs. placebo for women in labour at term ($\geq 37^{+0}$ weeks).

Patient and public involvement: We involved clinician and consumer groups when designing this trial through the use of electronic media and face to face interviews. Of >400 women and clinicians in 68 sites in Australia and overseas, >90% endorsed iSEARCH and, if its hypotheses were confirmed, >85% would want sildenafil citrate to become an option in routine care.

Aim: This study aims to test the hypothesis that up to 3 doses of oral 50 mg sildenafil citrate (vs. placebo) are safe and will improve perinatal and maternal outcomes related to intrapartum hypoxia.

Primary objective:

To evaluate whether, compared with placebo, sildenafil citrate reduces the relative risk of the composite perinatal endpoint by 35%, from 7% to 4.55%. The composite endpoint comprises the ten components shown in Table 1.

Secondary objectives:

1. To evaluate whether sildenafil citrate results in a relative risk reduction of Caesarean section or instrumental vaginal birth for fetal distress by 25% (from 20% to 15%).
2. To evaluate whether sildenafil citrate results in a reduction of the relative risk of each individual component of the composite primary outcome, namely:
 - a. Intrapartum Stillbirth
 - b. Death of baby before hospital discharge
 - c. Apgar Score <4 at 5 minutes
 - d. Cord Artery pH <7.0
 - e. Neonatal Encephalopathy
 - f. Neonatal seizures
 - g. Neonatal respiratory support >4h
 - h. Neonatal unit (Special Care or Intensive Care) admission lasting >48h
 - i. Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn
 - j. Meconium aspiration
3. To evaluate whether Sildenafil is more cost-effective than placebo.

Study Period: Recruitment to iSEARCH began on 7th September 2021 and is expected to end by 31 August 2024.

Sample Size: The incidence of the composite adverse outcome is estimated at 7% based on data from several of the participating hospitals, the ARRIVE RCT,¹⁸ and the Australian and New Zealand Neonatal Network.²⁹ To detect a 35% reduction from 7% to 4.55% for an alpha of 0.05 and >80% power with 10% drop out in each arm, needs about 3,200 women. This sample size also yields >90% power to detect a 25% reduction for the secondary outcome of caesarean section or instrumental vaginal birth for fetal distress.

Study population

Inclusion criteria:

1. Women with singleton or dichorionic twin pregnancies, planning vaginal birth at term ($\geq 37^{+0}$ weeks gestation).
2. Age ≥ 18 years.
3. Willing and able to comply with all study requirements.
4. Signed, written informed consent.

Exclusion criteria:

1. A woman should not be enrolled if the responsible clinician or the woman are, for any medical or non-medical reasons, reasonably certain that sildenafil citrate would be inappropriate for her in comparison with no treatment or some other treatment that could be offered outside the trial.³⁰
2. Monochorionic twins, triplets or higher order multiple births, which are generally delivered electively before term.
3. Women who are taking any type of nitrate drug therapy or who utilize short-acting nitrate-containing medications during labour (such as sodium nitroprusside, bosentan, fosamprenavir and ritonavir combination, hepatic enzyme inhibitors CYP3A4 (including itraconazole,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ketoconazole, ritonavir, cimetidine, erythromycin, saquinavir, darunavir), or hepatic enzyme substrates (CYP3A4), medications used to treat pulmonary arterial hypertension, and other phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors, due to the risk of potentially life-threatening hypotension.³¹

4. Severe hepatic or renal impairment.³¹

Screening, registration and randomisation: All women attending antenatal clinics in participating hospitals from $\geq 34^{+0}$ weeks will be screened for eligibility by study midwives. Women who consent to participate will be registered in an online trial registration database. Once registered, each woman is assigned a unique study number and receives routine care until spontaneous labour or induction of labour, when randomisation is undertaken. Individuals may only be registered and randomised once. Randomisation is undertaken after the responsible midwife or obstetrician has again confirmed that the woman is eligible. If an eligible woman presents for the first time in labour, screening, registration and randomisation are done together. Once registration and randomisation are completed, the participant is assigned a treatment arm. Randomisation is web-based, using a computer-generated concealed allocation sequence, stratified by study site.

At registration, each woman will be invited to consent to allow data linkage with her child or children’s educational outcomes in the National Assessment Program – Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN);³² for data to be extracted from Medicare Benefits Schedule and Pharmaceutical Benefits Schemes claims records for herself and her infant and from the Australian cerebral palsy register. Such consent may be withdrawn at any time and without a reason. Each woman will also be invited to give consent to participate in childhood neurodevelopmental follow-up at 2-3 years corrected age. If she consents to this, contact details will be recorded and follow-up assessment will be performed as described below. Any decline for follow-up will be properly explored to ensure that withdrawal is not automatically interpreted to mean complete removal from the study as this may have possible implications for the reliability of trial findings. It may also be disadvantageous to these women because they could miss

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
Erasmus Hogeschool

237 out on some aspects of the RCT that may matter to them (such as being informed about progress and
238 results of the study).³³

239 **Study Treatments:** The study intervention is oral sildenafil citrate. The control intervention is placebo.
240 Study treatment only begins after transfer to the labour ward either in spontaneous labour or for
241 induction of labour (artificial rupture of membranes +/- oxytocin). Women are given the first dose by
242 the attending midwife in the labour ward. Women receive sildenafil citrate 50mg or identical placebo
243 orally every 8 hours to a maximum of three doses, from identical matched treatment packs supplied
244 by the trial pharmacy.

245 **Management of Labour and Puerperium:** Intrapartum FHR monitoring will be performed in all
246 women. Where possible, umbilical artery cord pH will be measured in all women after birth.
247 Classification of FHR abnormalities is based on the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of
248 Obstetricians and Gynaecologists guidelines.⁴⁶ In a subset of women, 20ml of blood will be collected
249 for assay of soluble Fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFlt-1) and Placental Growth Factor (PlGF) levels.
250 Where possible, these women will also have an ultrasound scan performed to assess the fetoplacental
251 circulation and liquor volume before and after treatment. The ultrasound data and maternal sFlt-1
252 and PlGF levels will allow post-hoc subgroup analysis to identify cohorts at risk of fetal distress that
253 might derive greater benefit from sildenafil citrate treatment. Otherwise, intrapartum management
254 will be in accordance with local hospital guidelines.

255 To detect possible persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn, all infants will also receive
256 routine oxygen saturation screening to detect hypoxemia, generally 24-48 hours after birth but, if
257 necessary, four hours after birth. Infants with oxygen saturations of >95% are very unlikely to have
258 major congenital heart or significant pulmonary disease, including persistent pulmonary hypertension
259 of the newborn.³⁴ Infants with oxygen saturations \leq 95% will receive further assessment by the
260 paediatric team which may include echocardiography.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

261 **Trial Outcomes:** In-hospital maternal and neonatal outcome events occurring from randomisation to
262 discharge home will be collected from medical records into electronic Case Report Forms (eCRFs).
263 Assessments of outcome will be blinded to treatment allocation. All primary outcome data will be
264 routinely available before discharge and collected electronically. There are no formal study
265 assessments visits. Research midwives will contact women 30 days after discharge to ascertain further
266 relevant issues. Childhood follow up will be conducted by linkage with educational databases and
267 cerebral palsy registers and using parent report questionnaires.³⁵⁻³⁷

268 **Data Analysis:** We will adopt recommended approaches³⁸⁻³⁹ to the analysis and reporting of composite
269 endpoints, as in previous multi-centre perinatal RCTs.⁴⁰⁻⁴⁵ A detailed Statistical Analysis Plan and health
270 economic protocol will be published before unblinding and data analysis begin.⁴⁶ The Trial
271 Management Committee (TMC) will base any modifications to the original objectives, such as a change
272 in the components of the composite endpoint, adjudication of events, or handling of missing data, on
273 a review of the pooled event rate, pooled adherence rates and pooled missing data while remaining
274 blinded to differences by allocated treatment and to the direction of any treatment effect.⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰ Primary
275 and secondary analyses will adhere to an intention-to-treat basis using generalised linear models
276 (binary or normal). Intervention effect will be presented as relative risk or mean difference, as
277 appropriate, with 95% confidence intervals. Primary analyses will be unadjusted. Numbers needed to
278 treat to prevent one adverse outcome will be calculated. Where there are differences in baseline
279 characteristics between the two treatment groups that might be associated with outcomes, secondary
280 analyses of the primary outcome will be carried out using multiple (log-binomial) regression. Reporting
281 will follow CONSORT⁵¹ and TRIPOD⁵² guidelines.

282 There is only one primary outcome. Secondary outcomes include each of the ten individual
283 components of the primary composite outcome (Table 1). For the secondary outcomes, no formal
284 adjustments for multiplicity are planned. Because the secondary outcomes are interrelated and
285 causally attributable to birth asphyxia, we will follow the advice outlined by Schulz and Grimes⁵³ and

interpret the pattern and consistency of findings across related outcomes, rather than focusing on any single, statistically significant result. Subgroup analyses will be performed by testing for interactions and findings will be reported as exploratory. Results of other endpoint, subgroup and sensitivity analyses will be interpreted in proper context and with due consideration of the risk of Type I error. Interpretation of statistical evidence will also consider the recommendations of Pocock *et al.*^{54 55} We will undertake an aggregate meta-analysis of the effect of intrapartum sildenafil on Caesarean section or instrumental vaginal birth for fetal distress using pooled data from iSEARCH, RidStress and any other relevant published trials.

Safety of sildenafil citrate: In our systematic review¹⁵ of the efficacy and safety of phosphodiesterase inhibitors (sildenafil citrate or tadalafil) in 10 RCTs in 1090 women in pregnancy, we found that use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors in term labour was not associated with an increase in maternal side effects, including dizziness, flushing, dyspepsia, gastritis, palpitations, arthralgia, dyspnea, epistaxis, diarrhoea, tremor, headache, myalgia, paraesthesia, oedema, visual changes, vaginal itch, nausea and vomiting, intrapartum antiemetic use, post-partum haemorrhage of ≤ 1500 mL, anemia, bronchitis, skin rash, intrapartum pyrexia, or placental abruption. Use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors in labour may have been associated with a small increase in vaginal birth (RR 1.24, 95% CI 1.00–1.55; $p=0.05$) and a statistically significant reduction in risk of operative birth for intrapartum fetal compromise (RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.38–0.88; $p=0.01$). Use of sildenafil in labour was not associated with increased risk of perinatal death (RR 0.89, 95% CI 0.56–1.43; $p=0.64$), nor of maternal admissions to intensive care and no maternal deaths were reported.

Chronic use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors for the treatment of severe fetal growth restriction, as in the STRIDER trials,^{56 57} or treatment of pre-eclampsia⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ was associated with a statistically significant increase in the risks of flushing (RR 6.83, 95% CI 1.49–31.23; $p=0.01$) and nasal bleeding (RR 10.53, 95% CI 1.36–81.3; $p=0.02$); an increase in risk of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn (RR 2.52, 95% CI 1.00–6.32; $p=0.05$) and non-statistically significant increase in headaches (RR 1.41, 95% CI 0.97–2.05; $p=0.08$) and flushing (RR 2.59, 95% CI 0.69–9.90; $p=0.16$).¹⁵

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The international STRIDER RCTs used daily maternal Sildenafil doses of 75 mg in pregnant women with severe fetal growth restriction between 20 and 30 weeks gestation for up to 10 weeks, i.e. cumulative doses of up to 5,250 mg.^{56 57} In 2019, the Dutch STRIDER trial was stopped on the advice of the Data Safety Monitoring Board due to an increase in persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn with a non-significant increase in neonatal deaths in the sildenafil citrate group.⁶¹ Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn occurred in 16 neonates (18.8%) in the sildenafil citrate group vs. 4 neonates (5.1%) in the placebo group (relative risk, 3.67; 95% CI, 1.28-10.51; P = 0.008). A subsequent meta-analysis of 329 participating women in all available trials showed no difference in neonatal deaths.⁶² However it was recommended that sildenafil citrate not be prescribed outside of clinical trials.⁶³ The indication and cumulative dosage of sildenafil citrate in the iSEARCH trial are very different from the STRIDER trials as we will only use a maximum 150mg in women at term, which is about thirty five times lower. Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn will be monitored as one of ten components of the primary composite endpoint by the independent data and safety monitoring board, as outlined below.

Safety of sildenafil during breastfeeding: Limited data indicate that sildenafil citrate and its active metabolite in breastmilk are poorly excreted into breastmilk. Amounts ingested by the infant are far below doses given to treat infants and would not be expected to cause any adverse effects in breastfed infants.⁶⁴ Women taking sildenafil citrate for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension in the UK are advised to continue breastfeeding because sildenafil citrate only passes into breast milk in tiny amounts, is unlikely to cause any side effects in the baby and breastfeeding will benefit both mother and baby.⁶⁵ We will monitor concentrations of sildenafil citrate in breast milk and any potentially related adverse events in a subset of women in the trial.

Role of study sponsor and funders: The University of Sydney, as sponsor of iSEARCH, and the Medical Research Future Fund as funder of iSEARCH have had no role in study design, collection or

336 management of data. Both will also have no role in analysis or interpretation of data, writing of
337 manuscripts or decisions to publish.

338 **The iSEARCH Trial Management Committee:** The TMC will oversee study planning, monitoring,
339 progress, review of information from related research, and implementation of recommendations from
340 other study committees and external bodies (e.g., Human Research Ethics committees). It will consider
341 recommendations from the Independent Data Safety and Monitoring Committee (IDSMC) about
342 whether to continue the study as planned, modify, or stop, based on interim analyses or other
343 information. The TMC will consist of all Chief Investigators.

344 The iSEARCH Trial Coordinating Centre is the NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre (CTC). It will support the
345 TMC in the day-to-day conduct of the trial, and study processes, including data management, ensuring
346 compliance with regulatory requirements and confidentiality of data collection, liaising with ethics
347 committees, biostatistical team, IDSMC, and answering queries from participating sites including the
348 receipt and timely management of reports of Adverse Events. A list of participating sites is available
349 in the trial registration entry at the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry
350 (ACTRN12621000231842).

351 **Compliance:** Participant medication compliance will be monitored and documented in the medical
352 records and electronic Case Record Forms (eCRFs), which will be held on a secure server, ensuring
353 confidentiality. The iSEARCH trial may be subject to audit or inspection by representatives of the
354 NHMRC CTC or representatives of independent regulatory bodies (e.g., the Therapeutic Goods
355 Administration).

356 **Unblinding:** Unblinding is not generally necessary for the management of a patient with an adverse
357 event and, as it may have an impact on the study's validity, is discouraged. The need for unblinding
358 should be very uncommon as the study intervention is rarely associated with severe side effects and
359 it will not delay or prevent standard management of the participant. However, if required, it should

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

be done centrally after discussion with the Study Chair and NHMRC CTC representative using the emergency unblinding number in the Study Manual.

The Independent Data and Safety Monitoring Committee: The IDSMC will review interim data on the primary outcome, specified adverse events and other evidence after 50% of recruitment or whenever they deem appropriate, as recommended by Peto, Pocock and others.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸ There will be no adjustment to alpha for interim analyses.

Interim analyses of the primary composite outcome: The IDSMC will advise the TMC if in their view there is proof beyond reasonable doubt of net benefit or harm for the primary composite endpoint, for example employing a commonly used formal threshold of $P<0.001$ for nominal significance, as recommended by Geller and Pocock.⁶⁸

Interim analyses of mortality: The IDSMC will advise the TMC if in their view there is a difference in mortality due to intrapartum stillbirth and/or 28-day neonatal mortality identified as a deviation from the null indicated by a Haybittle-Peto boundary of 3 standard errors from the null, which is equivalent to $P<0.0027$,^{66 67} which would be needed to justify recommending early stopping.

Ethics and regulatory compliance: Conduct of the iSEARCH RCT will be informed by the International Conference on Harmonization Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice^{69 70} and World Health Organisation guidance.³³ It will comply with applicable laws and regulations and principles laid down by the World Medical Association in the Declaration of Helsinki 2013. It was registered with the Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry and ethical and regulatory approvals were obtained before it began. Changes and amendments to the protocol can only be made by the TMC. Approval by the Institutional Human Research Ethics Committee is required prior to the implementation of any amendments.

Discussion

iSEARCH is the world’s first Phase 3 trial to re-purpose sildenafil citrate, a widely available, well characterised, affordable, off-patent vasodilator, by evaluating whether, compared to placebo, it

improves perinatal and maternal outcomes by reducing operative birth for intrapartum fetal compromise. We will also seek consent for data linkage with national databases of educational outcomes and cerebral palsy registries and maintain contact with a subset of participating women to collect longer-term outcome data, in separately funded studies. This is a major area of unmet need,⁷¹ ⁷² to which *iSEARCH* will make an important initial contribution. However, the postulated 35% reduction in the relative risk of its primary composite endpoint is nearly twice as large as the 20% relative reduction in a ten-component composite outcome seen in the ARRIVE trial, which enrolled 6,106 women.¹⁸ The relative risk reduction in *iSEARCH* may therefore reflect 'optimism bias'⁷³⁻⁷⁵ and is an important limitation, dictated by financial constraints which precluded a larger study.

The ten components of the primary composite endpoint (Table 1) are all related to intrapartum hypoxia.^{18 76} However, a reduction in overall incidence of this endpoint could not be interpreted as indicating reductions in key individual components such as intrapartum stillbirth, neonatal mortality, Apgar score <4 at 5 minutes, neonatal encephalopathy or seizures, because the rates of these events vary between 0.1% and 0.5%, at which *iSEARCH* is greatly underpowered to detect any differences. The three individual components of the composite endpoint for which the chances of showing a reduction in incidence are greatest are (i) admission to neonatal unit for >48 h, (ii) respiratory support for >4 h and (iii) cord pH <7. Their estimated event rates are 3.6%, 4.3% and 2.2% respectively, (Table 1) for which our sample of 3200 gives ~80% power to show (albeit highly optimistic) reductions in relative risk of 50-60%.

The next, critically important step will be to undertake a large-scale randomised placebo-controlled study of oral intrapartum sildenafil addressing the primary outcome of perinatal death, adequately powered in high, low-and middle-income countries. Secondary outcomes would include low Apgar score; emergency operative birth by Caesarean Section, vacuum or forceps; maternal infant separation due to neonatal unit admission; neonatal encephalopathy; severe maternal morbidity (including post-partum haemorrhage) and maternal death.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Another equally important step in evaluating the impact of intrapartum sildenafil citrate will be to establish an IPD PMA of iSEARCH trials. It is essential that all trials contributing to an IPD PMA achieve close to 100% ascertainment of their primary outcome data, by streamlining data collection to minimise the rate of missing values.⁷⁷ This global collaborative approach will provide randomised evidence addressing realistically moderate, yet clinically important reductions in adverse perinatal outcome, and whether sildenafil citrate is similarly effective in subgroups of women in different healthcare settings. If routine intrapartum sildenafil citrate safely reduces adverse birth outcomes and/or emergency operative birth and their long-term sequelae,⁷⁸ it could provide an important option for women attempting vaginal birth worldwide⁶ and potentially reduce the global health burden attributable to birth asphyxia.

Ethics and dissemination

The Australian iSEARCH RCT has been approved by Hunter New England Ethic Committee (Ref Number 2020/ETH02791). Each component trial within the iSEARCH Collaboration will be approved by relevant local, regional or national Human Research Ethics Committee. We plan to disseminate the results of this trial via presentations at clinical, academic and scientific meetings as well as peer reviewed journals. We will adhere to all relevant reporting guidelines.

Authors' contributions

SK wrote the first draft. SK and WTM contributed equally to the manuscript. All authors and collaborators reviewed and approved the final version before submission.

Funding statement

This work was supported by the Australian Medical Research Future Fund, grant number APP1199329.

Competing interest statement

None of the authors have any competing interests to declare.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
Erasmus Hogeschool

433 **Data sharing:**

434 Individual de-identified participant data for findings reported in the iSEARCH Trial will be available for
435 5 years after publication. Researchers wishing to gain access to data should provide a methodologically
436 sound proposal to isearch.study@sydney.edu.au, which will be reviewed by the Trial Management
437 Committee.

For peer review only

References

1. Ayres-de-Campos D, Arulkumaran S, Panel FIFMEC. FIGO consensus guidelines on intrapartum fetal monitoring: Physiology of fetal oxygenation and the main goals of intrapartum fetal monitoring. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2015;131(1):5-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijgo.2015.06.018 [published Online First: 2015/10/05]

2. Turner JM, Mitchell MD, Kumar SS. The physiology of intrapartum fetal compromise at term. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2020;222(1):17-26. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2019.07.032 [published Online First: 2019/07/28]

3. Maltepe E, Fisher SJ. Placenta: the forgotten organ. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2015;31:523-52. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100814-125620

4. Janbu T, Nesheim BI. Uterine artery blood velocities during contractions in pregnancy and labour related to intrauterine pressure. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1987;94(12):1150-5. [published Online First: 1987/12/01]

5. Lear CA, Wassink G, Westgate JA, et al. The peripheral chemoreflex: indefatigable guardian of fetal physiological adaptation to labour. *J Physiol* 2018;596(23):5611-23. doi: 10.1113/JP274937

6. Hilder L, Zhichao Z, Parker M, et al. Australia's mothers and babies 2012. Perinatal statistics series no. 30. Cat. no. PER 69. *Canberra: AIHW* 2014

7. Badawi N, Keogh JM. Causal pathways in cerebral palsy. *J Paediatr Child Health* 2013;49(1):5-8. doi: 10.1111/jpc.12068

8. Graham EM, Ruis KA, Hartman AL, et al. A systematic review of the role of intrapartum hypoxia-ischemia in the causation of neonatal encephalopathy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2008;199(6):587-95. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2008.06.094

9. Blencowe H, Cousens S, Jassir FB, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2016;4(2):e98-e108. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00275-2 [published Online First: 2016/01/23]

10. Kurinczuk JJ, White-Koning M, Badawi N. Epidemiology of neonatal encephalopathy and hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy. *Early Hum Dev* 2010;86(6):329-38. doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2010.05.010 [published Online First: 2010/06/18]

11. Paauw ND, Terstappen F, Ganzevoort W, et al. Sildenafil During Pregnancy: A Preclinical Meta-Analysis on Fetal Growth and Maternal Blood Pressure. *Hypertension* 2017;70(5):998-1006. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.09690

12. Ramesar SV, Mackraj I, Gathiram P, et al. Sildenafil citrate decreases sFlt-1 and sEng in pregnant I-NAME treated Sprague-Dawley rats. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2011;157(2):136-40. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2011.03.005

13. Wareing M, Myers JE, O'Hara M, et al. Sildenafil citrate (Viagra) enhances vasodilatation in fetal growth restriction. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005;90(5):2550-5. doi: 10.1210/jc.2004-1831

14. Maharaj CH, O'Toole D, Lynch T, et al. Effects and mechanisms of action of sildenafil citrate in human chorionic arteries. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2009;7:34. doi: 10.1186/1477-7827-7-34 [published Online First: 2009/04/25]

15. Turner JM, Russo F, Deprest J, et al. Phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors in pregnancy: Systematic review and meta-analysis of maternal and perinatal safety and clinical outcomes. *BJOG* 2022;129(11):1817-31. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.17163

16. Turner J, Dunn L, Tarnow Mordt W, et al. Safety and efficacy of sildenafil citrate to reduce operative birth for intrapartum fetal compromise at term: A Phase 2 Randomized Controlled Trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2020 doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2020.01.025 [published Online First: Jan 2020]

17. Callander EJ, Tarnow-Mordt W, Morton R, et al. Intrapartum use of sildenafil citrate to prevent fetal compromise and emergency operative birth in term pregnancies in the United Kingdom and Australia: A preliminary cost-effectiveness analysis. *International journal of gynaecology*

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies. Erasmushogeschool

- and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics 2023 doi: 10.1002/ijgo.15135 [published Online First: 2023/09/19]
18. Grobman WA, Rice MM, Reddy UM, et al. Labor Induction versus Expectant Management in Low-Risk Nulliparous Women. *N Engl J Med* 2018;379(6):513-23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1800566
 19. Kumar S, Ghadge A. Can intrapartum Sildenafil Citrate safely avert the risks of contraction-induced hypoxia in labour? iSEARCH – a pragmatic multicentre Phase III randomised controlled trial. Australian Clinical Trials Registry ACTRN12621000231842. 4 March 2021.
 20. Kumar S, Tarnow-Mordi W, Mol B, Flenady V, Liley H, Badawi N, Colditz P, Walker, Hyett J, Seidler A, Callander E, Bora S, O'Connell R, for the iSEARCH Trials Collaborators (listed in Appendix). The iSEARCH Trials of oral Sildenafil in labour: Protocol for a randomised trial in 3,200 Australian women and Rationale for an Individual Participant Data Prospective Meta-Analysis of trials in 14,000 women in high-income countries and a mega-trial of 50,000 women in low or middle-income countries. Research Square preprint server. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1380362/v1> accessed 23 February 2022. .
 21. Flenady V, Wojcieszek AM, Middleton P, et al. Stillbirths: recall to action in high-income countries. *Lancet* 2016;387(10019):691-702. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01020-X
 22. Persson M, Razaz N, Tedroff K, et al. Five and 10 minute Apgar scores and risks of cerebral palsy and epilepsy: population based cohort study in Sweden. *BMJ* 2018;360:k207. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k207
 23. Yeh P, Emary K, Impey L. The relationship between umbilical cord arterial pH and serious adverse neonatal outcome: analysis of 51,519 consecutive validated samples. *BJOG* 2012;119(7):824-31. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2012.03335.x
 24. Ronen GM, Buckley D, Penney S, et al. Long-term prognosis in children with neonatal seizures: a population-based study. *Neurology* 2007;69(19):1816-22. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000279335.85797.2c
 25. Thygesen SK, Olsen M, Ostergaard JR, et al. Respiratory distress syndrome in moderately late and late preterm infants and risk of cerebral palsy: a population-based cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2016;6(10):e011643. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011643
 26. Smith GC, Wood AM, White IR, et al. Neonatal respiratory morbidity at term and the risk of childhood asthma. *Arch Dis Child* 2004;89(10):956-60. doi: 10.1136/adc.2003.045971
 27. Lipkin PH, Davidson D, Spivak L, et al. Neurodevelopmental and medical outcomes of persistent pulmonary hypertension in term newborns treated with nitric oxide. *The Journal of pediatrics* 2002;140(3):306-10.
 28. Belligere N, Rao R. Neurodevelopmental outcome of infants with meconium aspiration syndrome: report of a study and literature review. *J Perinatol* 2008;28 Suppl 3:S93-101. doi: 10.1038/jp.2008.154
 29. Chow, S.S.W., Creighton, P., Chambers, G.M., Lui, K. 2020. Report of the Australian and New Zealand Neonatal Network 2018. Sydney: ANZNN.
 30. Peto R, Baigent C. Trials: the next 50 years. Large scale randomised evidence of moderate benefits. *BMJ* 1998;317(7167):1170-1. doi: 10.1136/bmj.317.7167.1170 [published Online First: 1998/10/31]
 31. Von Dadelszen P, Dwinnell S, Magee L, et al. Sildenafil citrate therapy for severe early-onset intrauterine growth restriction. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2011;118(5):624-28.
 32. Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority 2022, NAPLAN National Report for 2022, ACARA, Sydney. <https://www.nap.edu.au/home> accessed 25 Dec 2022. [
 33. WHO guidance for best practices for clinical trials. Draft for public consultation. World Health Organisation, Geneva. July 2023. https://cdn-auth-cms.who.int/media/docs/default-source/research-for-health/2023-07_who-guidance-for-best-practices-for-clinical-trials_draft-for-public-consultation.pdf?sfvrsn=7a5c9fa5_3 accessed 22 July 2023.

1
2
3 539 34. Mahle WT, Martin GR, Beekman RH, 3rd, et al. Endorsement of Health and Human Services
4 540 recommendation for pulse oximetry screening for critical congenital heart disease. *Pediatrics*
5 541 2012;129(1):190-2. doi: 10.1542/peds.2011-3211
6 542 35. Yu LM, Hey E, Doyle LW, et al. Evaluation of the Ages and Stages Questionnaires in identifying
7 543 children with neurosensory disability in the Magpie Trial follow-up study. *Acta Paediatr*
8 544 2007;96(12):1803-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2007.00517.x [published Online First:
9 545 2007/11/01]
10 546 36. Kenyon S, Pike K, Jones DR, et al. Childhood outcomes after prescription of antibiotics to
11 547 pregnant women with preterm rupture of the membranes: 7-year follow-up of the ORACLE I
12 548 trial. *Lancet* 2008;372(9646):1310-8. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61202-7
13 549 37. Kenyon S, Pike K, Jones DR, et al. Childhood outcomes after prescription of antibiotics to
14 550 pregnant women with spontaneous preterm labour: 7-year follow-up of the ORACLE II trial.
15 551 *Lancet* 2008;372(9646):1319-27. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61203-9
16 552 38. Cordoba G, Schwartz L, Woloshin S, et al. Definition, reporting, and interpretation of composite
17 553 outcomes in clinical trials: systematic review. *BMJ* 2010;341:c3920. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c3920
18 554 39. Ferreira-Gonzalez I, Permanyer-Miralda G, Busse JW, et al. Methodologic discussions for using
19 555 and interpreting composite endpoints are limited, but still identify major concerns. *J Clin*
20 556 *Epidemiol* 2007;60(7):651-7; discussion 58-62. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2006.10.020 [published
21 557 Online First: 2007/06/19]
22 558 40. Morley CJ, Davis PG, Doyle LW, et al. Nasal CPAP or intubation at birth for very preterm infants.
23 559 *N Engl J Med* 2008;358(7):700-8. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa072788 [published Online First:
24 560 2008/02/15]
25 561 41. Askie LM, Henderson-Smart DJ, Irwig L, et al. Oxygen-saturation targets and outcomes in
26 562 extremely preterm infants. *N Engl J Med* 2003;349(10):959-67. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa023080
27 563 [published Online First: 2003/09/05]
28 564 42. Brocklehurst P, Farrell B, King A, et al. Treatment of neonatal sepsis with intravenous immune
29 565 globulin. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365(13):1201-11. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1100441 [published
30 566 Online First: 2011/10/04]
31 567 43. Kenyon SL, Taylor DJ, Tarnow-Mordi W. Broad-spectrum antibiotics for preterm, prelabour
32 568 rupture of fetal membranes: the ORACLE I randomised trial. ORACLE Collaborative Group.
33 569 *Lancet* 2001;357(9261):979-88. [published Online First: 2001/04/11]
34 570 44. Tarnow-Mordi W, Morris J, Kirby A, et al. Delayed versus Immediate Cord Clamping in Preterm
35 571 Infants. *N Engl J Med* 2017;377(25):2445-55. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1711281
36 572 45. Tita ATN, Carlo WA, McClure EM, et al. Azithromycin to Prevent Sepsis or Death in Women
37 573 Planning a Vaginal Birth. *N Engl J Med* 2023;388(13):1161-70. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2212111
38 574 [published Online First: 2023/02/10]
39 575 46. Gamble C, Krishan A, Stocken D, et al. Guidelines for the Content of Statistical Analysis Plans in
40 576 Clinical Trials. *JAMA* 2017;318(23):2337-43. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.18556
41 577 47. Coskinas X, Simes J, Schou M, et al. Changes to aspects of ongoing randomised controlled trials
42 578 with fixed designs. *Trials* 2020;21(1):457. doi: 10.1186/s13063-020-04374-3
43 579 48. Coskinas X, Schou IM, Simes J, et al. Reacting to prognostic covariate imbalance in randomised
44 580 controlled trials. *Contemporary clinical trials* 2021;110:106544. doi:
45 581 10.1016/j.cct.2021.106544
46 582 49. Coskinas X, Simes RJ, Martin AJ. Changes to design and analysis elements of research plans
47 583 during randomised controlled trials in Australia. *Med J Aust* 2022 doi: 10.5694/mja.2.51715
48 584 50. Orkin AM, Gill PJ, Ghera D, et al. Guidelines for Reporting Trial Protocols and Completed Trials
49 585 Modified Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic and Other Extenuating Circumstances: The
50 586 CONSERVE 2021 Statement. *JAMA* 2021 doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.9941
51 587 51. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D, et al. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for
52 588 reporting parallel group randomised trials. *BMJ* 2010;340:c332. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c332
53 589 [published Online First: 2010/03/25]
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
ErasmusHogeschool

52. Collins GS, Reitsma JB, Altman DG, et al. Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD statement. *BMJ* 2015;350:g7594. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g7594 [published Online First: 2015/01/09]
53. Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Multiplicity in randomised trials I: endpoints and treatments. *Lancet* 2005;365(9470):1591-5. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66461-6
54. Pocock SJ, Stone GW. The Primary Outcome Fails - What Next? *N Engl J Med* 2016;375(9):861-70. doi: 10.1056/NEJMr1510064
55. Pocock SJ, Stone GW. The Primary Outcome Is Positive - Is That Good Enough? *N Engl J Med* 2016;375(10):971-9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMr1601511
56. Groom KM, McCowan LM, Mackay LK, et al. STRIDER NZAus: a multicentre randomised controlled trial of sildenafil therapy in early-onset fetal growth restriction. *BJOG* 2019 doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.15658
57. Sharp A, Cornforth C, Jackson R, et al. Maternal sildenafil for severe fetal growth restriction (STRIDER): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* 2018;2(2):93-102. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(17)30173-6
58. Furuhashi F, Tanaka H, Maki S, et al. Tadalafil treatment for preeclampsia (medication in preeclampsia; MIE): a multicenter phase II clinical trial. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2021;34(22):3709-15. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2019.1690447 [published Online First: 2019/11/19]
59. Samangaya RA, Mires G, Shennan A, et al. A randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study of the phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor sildenafil for the treatment of preeclampsia. *Hypertens Pregnancy* 2009;28(4):369-82. doi: 10.3109/10641950802601278
60. Trapani A, Jr., Goncalves LF, Trapani TF, et al. Perinatal and Hemodynamic Evaluation of Sildenafil Citrate for Preeclampsia Treatment: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2016;128(2):253-59. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000001518 [published Online First: 2016/07/12]
61. Pels A, Derks J, Elvan-Taspinar A, et al. Maternal Sildenafil vs Placebo in Pregnant Women With Severe Early-Onset Fetal Growth Restriction: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Netw Open* 2020;3(6):e205323. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.5323
62. Sharp A, Cornforth C, Jackson R, et al. Mortality in the UK STRIDER trial of sildenafil therapy for the treatment of severe early-onset fetal growth restriction. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* 2019;3(3):e2-e3. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(19)30020-3
63. Groom K, Ganzevoort W, Alfrevic Z, et al. Clinicians should stop prescribing sildenafil for fetal growth restriction (FGR): comment from the STRIDER Consortium. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology: the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2018;52(3):295.
64. Drugs and Lactation Database (LactMed) [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Institute of Child Health and Human Development; 2006-. Sildenafil. [Updated 2022 Jul 18]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK500617/> accessed 16 Dec 2022.
65. National Health Service. Pregnancy, breastfeeding and fertility while taking sildenafil <https://www.nhs.uk/medicines/sildenafil-viagra/pregnancy-breastfeeding-and-fertility-while-taking-sildenafil/> accessed 16 Dec 2022 [
66. Haybittle JL. Repeated assessment of results in clinical trials of cancer treatment. *Br J Radiol* 1971;44(526):793-7. doi: 10.1259/0007-1285-44-526-793
67. Peto R, Pike MC, Armitage P, et al. Design and analysis of randomized clinical trials requiring prolonged observation of each patient. I. Introduction and design. *British journal of cancer* 1976;34(6):585-612.
68. Geller NL, Pocock SJ. Interim analyses in randomized clinical trials: ramifications and guidelines for practitioners. *Biometrics* 1987;43(1):213-23.
69. ICH-E6 Good clinical practice. Geneva: International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use; 2016

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

(https://database.ich.org/sites/default/files/ICH_E6-R3_GCPPrinciples_Draft_2021_0419.pdf, accessed 5 October 2023).

70. ICH. General considerations for clinical studies E8(R1). Geneva: International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use; 2021 (https://database.ich.org/sites/default/files/ICH_E8-R1_Guideline_Step4_2021_1006.pdf, accessed 5 October 2023).

71. Liu L, Oza S, Hogan D, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality in 2000-13, with projections to inform post-2015 priorities: an updated systematic analysis. *Lancet* 2015;385(9966):430-40. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61698-6 [published Online First: 2014/10/05]

72. Stemming the global caesarean section epidemic. *Lancet* 2018;392(10155):1279. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32394-8

73. Djulbegovic B, Kumar A, Magazín A, et al. Optimism bias leads to inconclusive results-an empirical study. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011;64(6):583-93. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.09.007

74. Zakeri K, Noticewala S, Vitzthum L, et al. 'Optimism bias' in contemporary national clinical trial network phase III trials: are we improving? *Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology / ESMO* 2018;29(10):2135-39. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdy340

75. Chalmers I, Matthews R. What are the implications of optimism bias in clinical research? *Lancet* 2006;367(9509):449-50. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68153-1

76. Webbe JWH, Duffy JMN, Afonso E, et al. Core outcomes in neonatology: development of a core outcome set for neonatal research. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2020;105(4):425-31. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2019-317501

77. Tarnow-Mordi WO, Robledo K, Marschner I, et al. To guide future practice, perinatal trials should be much larger, simpler and less fragile with close to 100% ascertainment of mortality and other key outcomes. *Semin Perinatol* 2023;47(5):151789. doi: 10.1016/j.semperi.2023.151789 [published Online First: 2023/07/09]

78. Smith V, Gallagher L, Carroll M, et al. Antenatal and intrapartum interventions for reducing caesarean section, promoting vaginal birth, and reducing fear of childbirth: An overview of systematic reviews. *PloS one* 2019;14(10):e0224313. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0224313 [published Online First: 2019/10/28]

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
ErasmusHogeschool



SPIRIT 2013 Checklist: Recommended items to address in a clinical trial protocol and related documents*

| Section/item | Item No | Description | Addressed on page number |
|-----------------------------------|---------|--|--------------------------|
| Administrative information | | | |
| Title | 1 | Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym | 1 |
| Trial registration | 2a | Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry | 2 |
| | 2b | All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set | 2 |
| Protocol version | 3 | Date and version identifier | 1 |
| Funding | 4 | Sources and types of financial, material, and other support | 1, 17 |
| Roles and responsibilities | 5a | Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors | 1, 17 |
| | 5b | Name and contact information for the trial sponsor | 1 |
| | 5c | Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether they will have ultimate authority over any of these activities | 13, 14 |
| | 5d | Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint adjudication committee, data management team, and other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee) | 14 |

| | | | | |
|----|---|-----|---|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | Background and | 6a | Description of research question and justification for undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant | 4, 5, 6 |
| 4 | rationale | | studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits and harms for each intervention | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | 6b | Explanation for choice of comparators | 10 |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | Objectives | 7 | Specific objectives or hypotheses | 7 |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | Trial design | 8 | Description of trial design including type of trial (eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group), | |
| 11 | | | allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority, equivalence, noninferiority, exploratory) | 7 |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | Methods: Participants, interventions, and outcomes | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | Study setting | 9 | Description of study settings (eg, community clinic, academic hospital) and list of countries where data will | 14 |
| 17 | | | be collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be obtained | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | Eligibility criteria | 10 | Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and | 8, 9 |
| 20 | | | individuals who will perform the interventions (eg, surgeons, psychotherapists) | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | Interventions | 11a | Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow replication, including how and when they will be | 10 |
| 23 | | | administered | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | 11b | Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose | 9, 10 |
| 26 | | | change in response to harms, participant request, or improving/worsening diseases) | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | 11c | Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, and any procedures for monitoring adherence | 9, 10 |
| 29 | | | (eg, drug tablet return, laboratory tests) | |
| 30 | | | | |
| 31 | | 11d | Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are permitted or prohibited during the trial | 9, 10 |
| 32 | | | | |
| 33 | Outcomes | 12 | Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood | |
| 34 | | | pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, | 6 |
| 35 | | | median, proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical relevance of chosen | |
| 36 | | | efficacy and harm outcomes is strongly recommended | |
| 37 | | | | |
| 38 | Participant timeline | 13 | Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for | 9, 10, 11 |
| 39 | | | participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure) | |
| 40 | | | | |
| 41 | | | | |
| 42 | | | | |
| 43 | | | | |
| 44 | | | | |
| 45 | | | | |
| 46 | | | | |

| | | | | |
|----|---|-----|--|-----------|
| 1 | Sample size | 14 | Estimated number of participants needed to achieve study objectives and how it was determined, including clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample size calculations | 10 |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | Recruitment | 15 | Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to reach target sample size | 9, 10, 14 |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | Methods: Assignment of interventions (for controlled trials) | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | Allocation: | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | Sequence | 16a | Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer-generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions | 9 |
| 11 | generation | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | Allocation | 16b | Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes), describing any steps to conceal the sequence until interventions are assigned | 9 |
| 17 | concealment | | | |
| 18 | mechanism | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | Implementation | 16c | Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to interventions | 9 |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | Blinding (masking) | 17a | Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how | 9 |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | 17b | If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's allocated intervention during the trial | 14, 15 |
| 28 | | | | |
| 29 | | | | |
| 30 | | | | |
| 31 | Methods: Data collection, management, and analysis | | | |
| 32 | | | | |
| 33 | Data collection | 18a | Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol | 10, 11 |
| 34 | methods | | | |
| 35 | | | | |
| 36 | | | | |
| 37 | | | | |
| 38 | | | | |
| 39 | | 18b | Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols | 9, 10 |
| 40 | | | | |
| 41 | | | | |
| 42 | | | | |
| 43 | | | | |
| 44 | | | | |
| 45 | | | | |
| 46 | | | | |

| | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|-----|---|--------|
| 1 | Data management | 19 | Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol | 9, 10 |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | Statistical methods | 20a | Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol | 11, 12 |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | 20b | Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and adjusted analyses) | 11, 12 |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | 20c | Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple imputation) | 11, 12 |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | Methods: Monitoring | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | Data monitoring | 21a | Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC); summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of whether it is independent from the sponsor and competing interests; and reference to where further details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed | 15 |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | 21b | Description of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim results and make the final decision to terminate the trial | 15 |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | Harms | 22 | Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial conduct | 14 |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | Auditing | 23 | Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if any, and whether the process will be independent from investigators and the sponsor | 14 |
| 29 | | | | |
| 30 | | | | |
| 31 | | | | |
| 32 | Ethics and dissemination | | | |
| 33 | | | | |
| 34 | Research ethics approval | 24 | Plans for seeking research ethics committee/institutional review board (REC/IRB) approval | 2 |
| 35 | | | | |
| 36 | | | | |
| 37 | Protocol amendments | 25 | Plans for communicating important protocol modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC/IRBs, trial participants, trial registries, journals, regulators) | 13, 15 |
| 38 | | | | |
| 39 | | | | |
| 40 | | | | |
| 41 | | | | |
| 42 | | | | |
| 43 | | | | |
| 44 | | | | |
| 45 | | | | |
| 46 | | | | |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|--|------------|
| Consent or assent | 26a | Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see Item 32) | 9, 10 |
| | 26b | Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable | 9, 10 |
| Confidentiality | 27 | How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial | 9, 14 |
| Declaration of interests | 28 | Financial and other competing interests for principal investigators for the overall trial and each study site | 17 |
| Access to data | 29 | Statement of who will have access to the final trial dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that limit such access for investigators | 15 |
| Ancillary and post-trial care | 30 | Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation | N/A |
| Dissemination policy | 31a | Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, health care professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions | 17 |
| | 31b | Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of professional writers | 17 |
| | 31c | Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical code | 18 |
| Appendices | | | |
| Informed consent materials | 32 | Model consent form and other related documentation given to participants and authorised surrogates | Appendix 1 |
| Biological specimens | 33 | Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if applicable | 10 |

*It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the SPIRIT 2013 Explanation & Elaboration for important clarification on the items. Amendments to the protocol should be tracked and dated. The SPIRIT checklist is copyrighted by the SPIRIT Group under the Creative Commons “Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported” license.

BMJ Open

The iSEARCH Randomised Controlled Trial Protocol – A pragmatic Australian Phase 3 clinical trial of intrapartum sildenafil citrate to improve outcomes potentially related to intrapartum hypoxia.

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2023-082943.R1 |
| Article Type: | Protocol |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 27-Mar-2024 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Kumar, Sailesh; Mater Medical Research Institute, Maternal & Fetal Medicine Tarnow-Mordi, William; University of Sydney, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre Mol, Ben; Monash Medical School, OB/GYN Flenady, Vicki; Mater Research Institute-University of Queensland Liley, Helen; The University of Queensland, Mater Research Institute; Neonatal Critical Care Unit Badawi, Nadia; Children's Hospital at Westmead, Neonatology Walker, Susan; University of Melbourne, Obstetrics and Gynaecology; Mercy Hospital for Women, Obstetrics and Gynaecology Hyett, Jonathan; Western Sydney University School of Medicine Seidler, Lene ; University of Sydney, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre Callander, Emily; University of Technology Sydney, School of Public Health O'Connell, R; The University of Sydney, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre |
| Primary Subject Heading: | Obstetrics and gynaecology |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Obstetrics and gynaecology |
| Keywords: | Clinical Trial, Fetal medicine < OBSTETRICS, PERINATOLOGY, Primary Prevention |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

The iSEARCH Randomised Controlled Trial Protocol – A pragmatic Australian Phase 3 clinical trial of intrapartum sildenafil citrate to improve outcomes potentially related to intrapartum hypoxia.

Sailesh Kumar^{*1,2,3}, William Tarnow-Mordi^{*4}, Ben W. Mol ⁵, Vicki Flenady^{1,2}, Helen Liley¹, Nadia Badawi⁶, Susan Walker⁷, Jonathan Hyett⁸, Anna Lene Seidler⁴, Emily Callander⁹, Rachel O'Connell⁴

¹Mater Research Institute - University of Queensland, Queensland, Australia.

²NHMRC Centre for Research Excellence in Stillbirth.

³Faculty of Medicine, University of Queensland.

⁴NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

⁵Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Monash University, Victoria, Australia.

⁶Cerebral Palsy Alliance and University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

⁷Dept of Maternal and Fetal Medicine, Mercy Hospital, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

⁸Liverpool Hospital and the University of Western Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

⁹School of Public Health, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia.

*These authors contributed equally to this manuscript.

iSEARCH trial sponsor:

The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
Australia. ABN: 15 211 513 464

***iSEARCH* coordinating centre:**

NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, 92-94 Parramatta Road, Camperdown, NSW 2050, Australia.

Corresponding author:-

Professor Sailesh Kumar
Mater Research Institute-University of Queensland
Level 1, Whitty Building,
Mater Campus, South Brisbane
Queensland 4101, Australia
Tel: +617 31638844
Email: sailesh.kumar@mater.uq.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Introduction

We showed in a Phase 2 RCT that oral sildenafil citrate in term labour halved operative birth for fetal distress. We outline the protocol for a Phase 3 RCT (iSEARCH) of 3200 women in Australia to assess if sildenafil citrate reduces adverse perinatal outcomes related to intrapartum hypoxia.

Methods and analysis

iSEARCH will enrol 3200 Australian women in term labour to determine whether up to three 50 mg oral doses of sildenafil citrate versus placebo reduce the relative risk of a primary composite endpoint of ten perinatal outcomes potentially related to intrapartum hypoxia by 35% (from 7% to 4.55%). Secondary aims are to evaluate reductions in the relative risk of emergency caesarean section or instrumental vaginal birth for fetal distress by 25% (from 20% to 15%) and in healthcare costs. To detect a 35% reduction in the primary outcome for an alpha of 0.05 and power of 80% with 10% dropout in each arm requires 3200 women (1600 in each arm). This sample size will also yield >90% power to detect a 25% reduction for the secondary outcome of any operative birth (caesarean section or instrumental vaginal birth) for fetal distress.

Ethics and dissemination

Ethical approval for the iSEARCH RCT was granted by the Hunter New England Human Research Ethics Committee (Ref No: 2020/ETH02791). Results will be disseminated through websites, peer-reviewed publications, scientific meetings and social media, news outlets, television and radio.

Trial Registration

iSEARCH is registered with the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12621000231842) (registered 4 March 2021) and the Therapeutics Goods Administration of Australia and the first participant was randomised on 6 September 2021.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ARTICLE SUMMARY (198 WORDS)

Strengths and limitations of this project

- ▶ iSEARCH is a Phase 3 RCT of 3200 women in term labour to determine if maternal oral sildenafil citrate reduces adverse neonatal outcomes and operative birth rates potentially secondary to intrapartum hypoxia.
- ▶ Childhood neurodevelopmental outcomes at 2 years will be assessed and health economic analysis will be undertaken to determine cost effectiveness to the healthcare system.
- ▶ iSEARCH and other highly streamlined RCTs worldwide will contribute to individual participant data prospective meta-analyses (IPD PMA) powered to show moderate, clinically relevant effects on adverse perinatal outcome.
- ▶ The sample of 3,200 women only has ~80% power to show a 35% reduction in the relative risk of the primary endpoint rather than a more moderate and realistic, yet still clinically relevant 20% risk reduction, which would require more than 10,000 women.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
Erasmus Hogeschool

INTRODUCTION

Fetal distress in labour usually reflects pre-existing placental impairment[1, 2] which reduces the capacity of the fetus to cope with the stress of uterine contractions.[3] In many term pregnancies, uterine blood flow falls by 60% during contractions,[4] provoking fetal distress. Fetal decompensation occurs[5] if there is insufficient time for placental reperfusion between contractions.[1]

Suspected fetal distress due to hypoxia in labour is implicated in up to 23% of emergency caesarean births in Australia.[6] Hypoxic fetal injury can lead to intrapartum stillbirth and severe neonatal morbidity including neonatal encephalopathy and cerebral palsy.[7] [8] [9] Intrapartum hypoxia-ischemia is associated with 1 in 3 cases of neonatal encephalopathy in high-income countries with low perinatal mortality rates (PMRs) and almost 60% of cases in low-or middle-income countries with moderate or high PMRs.[10] Other than emergency operative birth [caesarean section or instrumental (forceps or vacuum) vaginal birth], options are limited.

Sildenafil Citrate is a phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor that enhances the bioavailability of Nitric Oxide by inhibiting cyclic guanosine monophosphate degradation. Increased tissue Nitric Oxide levels improve vasodilatation and reduce vascular dysfunction secondary to vasoconstrictors and antiangiogenic factors.[11, 12] Sildenafil citrate preferentially dilates pelvic blood vessels and increases utero-placental blood flow.[13, 14] Our systematic review of 10 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of 1,090 women found that phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor use in pregnancy was associated with a 42% relative reduction of operative birth for intrapartum fetal distress [Relative Risk (RR) 0.58, 95% CI 0.38-0.88]. [15] This effect was driven by two trials[16] [17] which reported rates of operative birth for this indication.

Our Phase 2 RCT[17] tested whether, compared to placebo, sildenafil citrate lowered rates of emergency operative birth for suspected fetal distress in 300 women in term labour. Sildenafil reduced (i) the relative risk (RR) of operative birth for fetal distress by 51% [(RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.33 – 0.73), $p=0.0004$; Number Needed to Treat for Benefit = 5 (3-11)] and (ii) rates of pathological fetal heart rate

1
2
3 105 (FHR) patterns (15% vs. 32%; RR 0.48, 95% CI 0.31 – 0.75, p=0.0009) by 52%. Concentrations of
4
5 106 sildenafil citrate or its metabolite were only 3.6% of maternal levels, consistent with low rates of
6
7 107 transplacental transfer to the fetus. Use of sildenafil citrate also attenuated the normal intrapartum
8
9 108 decline in placental growth factor (PlGF) levels suggesting that it had a role in preserving placental
10
11 109 function in labour.[18] This trial, however, lacked power to show a statistically significant
12
13 110 improvement in neonatal outcomes to support the rationale for sildenafil citrate treatment in labour.
14
15 111 A subsequent cost effectiveness analysis concluded that oral intrapartum sildenafil citrate may be cost
16
17 112 effective compared with standard care, but that its effects on neonatal outcomes should be evaluated
18
19 113 in large RCTs.[19]
20
21
22
23
24 114 The Australian iSEARCH (can intrapartum Sildenafil safely Avert the Risks of Contraction-induced
25
26 115 Hypoxia in labour?) trial began on 6th September 2021. It is the world’s first Phase 3 RCT to re-purpose
27
28 116 sildenafil citrate by evaluating whether, compared to placebo, it improves perinatal outcome, by
29
30 117 reducing the risk of intrapartum fetal compromise. Its primary endpoint is a composite of ten adverse
31
32 118 fetal or neonatal outcomes (Table 1) very similar to that used in other large RCTs like the ARRIVE trial
33
34 119 in term pregnancies.[20] Its target sample size of 3,200 women yields >80% power to detect a
35
36 120 reduction of 35% in the relative risk of its primary endpoint (from 7% to 4.55%, equivalent to a pooled
37
38 121 event rate of 5.78%). It also has 90% power to detect a 25% reduction in the secondary outcome of
39
40 122 emergency operative birth for fetal distress, from 20% to 15%. It is funded by the Australian Medical
41
42 123 Research Future Fund and will run until August 2024.
43
44
45
46
47 124 The next, critically important step will be to undertake large-scale randomised placebo-controlled
48
49 125 studies of oral intrapartum sildenafil addressing the primary outcome of perinatal death, adequately
50
51 126 powered in high, low-and middle-income countries. Secondary outcomes would include low Apgar
52
53 127 score; emergency operative birth by Caesarean Section, vacuum or forceps; maternal infant
54
55 128 separation due to nursery admission; neonatal encephalopathy; severe maternal morbidity (including
56
57 129 post-partum haemorrhage) and maternal death.
58
59
60

Another equally important step in evaluating the impact of intrapartum sildenafil citrate will be to establish an individual participant data prospective meta-analysis (IPD PMA) of iSEARCH trials which may provide evidence for a smaller but still clinically meaningful reduction in adverse perinatal outcomes.[21, 22] It is essential that all trials contributing to an IPD PMA achieve close to 100% ascertainment of their primary outcome data, by streamlining data collection to minimise the rate of missing values.[23] This global collaborative approach will provide randomised evidence addressing realistically moderate, yet clinically important reductions in adverse perinatal outcome, and whether sildenafil citrate is similarly effective in subgroups of women in different healthcare settings. If routine intrapartum sildenafil citrate safely reduces adverse birth outcomes and/or emergency operative birth and their long-term sequelae,[24] it could provide an important option for women attempting vaginal birth worldwide.[6]

METHODS AND ANALYSIS:

Primary Research Question

Does maternal oral sildenafil citrate in labour, compared with placebo, reduce the primary composite endpoint of ten adverse neonatal outcomes? (Table 1)

Secondary Research Questions

(a) Does maternal oral sildenafil citrate in labour reduce the rate of the secondary outcomes of:

- a. Emergency operative birth for fetal distress
- b. Intrapartum stillbirth
- c. Death of baby before discharge from hospital
- d. Apgar score <4 at 5 minutes
- e. Cord artery pH <7.0
- f. Neonatal encephalopathy
- g. Neonatal seizures
- h. Neonatal respiratory support >4h
- i. Neonatal unit (Special Care or Intensive Care) admission lasting >48 h
- j. Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn
- k. Meconium aspiration syndrome

(b) Is maternal oral sildenafil citrate in labour more cost effective than placebo?

Table 1: Primary composite outcome

| Components of composite primary endpoint | Rate | Association with long term adverse outcome |
|---|-------|---|
| Intrapartum stillbirth [25] | 0.1%* | - |
| 28-day neonatal mortality | 0.24% | - |
| Apgar score <4 at 5 minutes | 0.5% | ↑ risk of cerebral palsy[26] |
| Umbilical Cord artery pH <7.0 | 2.2%‡ | ↑ risk of HIE[27] |
| Neonatal encephalopathy Sarnat Grade 2 or 3[28] | 0.5% | ↑ risk of death or disability[29] |
| Neonatal seizures§[28] | 0.25% | ↑ risk of death or disability[29] |
| Neonatal respiratory support for >4 h | 3.6% | ↑ risk of cerebral palsy[30] |
| Neonatal unit admission for >48 h | 4.3% | ↑ asthma after term respiratory morbidity[31] |
| Persistent pulmonary hypertension[28] | 0.04% | ↑ risk of death or disability[32] |
| Meconium aspiration[28] | 0.75% | ↑ risk of death or disability[33] |
| Total (corrected for overlap) | 7.0% | |

*based on data from Grobman et al [20]; ‡based on data from Yeh et al [27]
§although seizures include non-encephalopathic causes like stroke or metabolic disorders, in a randomised trial, those causes not influenced by Sildenafil will tend to be evenly balanced between study arms and therefore tend not to bias the comparison. In this pragmatic trial, seizures, persistent pulmonary hypertension and meconium aspiration are all defined using local protocols.

Study design: This is a two-arm parallel, randomised (1:1), placebo-controlled, double-blind multicentre superiority trial of sildenafil citrate vs. placebo for women in labour at term (≥37⁺⁰ weeks).

Patient and public involvement: We involved clinician and consumer groups when designing this trial through the use of electronic media and face to face interviews. Of >400 women and clinicians in 68 sites in Australia and overseas, >90% endorsed iSEARCH and, if its hypotheses were confirmed, >85% would want sildenafil citrate to become an option in routine care.

Aim: This study aims to test the hypothesis that up to 3 doses of oral 50 mg sildenafil citrate (vs. placebo) are safe and will improve perinatal and maternal outcomes related to intrapartum hypoxia.

Primary objective:
To evaluate whether, compared with placebo, sildenafil citrate reduces the relative risk of the composite perinatal endpoint by 35%, from 7% to 4.55%. The composite endpoint comprises the ten components shown in Table 1.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
ErasmusHogeschool

180 **Secondary objectives:**

- 181 1. To evaluate whether sildenafil citrate results in a relative risk reduction of Caesarean section
- 182 or instrumental vaginal birth for fetal distress by 25% (from 20% to 15%).
- 183 2. To evaluate whether sildenafil citrate results in a reduction of the relative risk of each
- 184 individual component of the composite primary outcome, namely:
 - 185 a. Intrapartum Stillbirth
 - 186 b. Death of baby before hospital discharge
 - 187 c. Apgar Score <4 at 5 minutes
 - 188 d. Cord Artery pH <7.0
 - 189 e. Neonatal Encephalopathy
 - 190 f. Neonatal seizures
 - 191 g. Neonatal respiratory support >4h
 - 192 h. Neonatal unit (Special Care or Intensive Care) admission lasting >48h
 - 193 i. Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn
 - 194 j. Meconium aspiration
 - 195
- 196 3. To evaluate whether Sildenafil is more cost-effective than placebo.

197 **Study Period:** Recruitment to iSEARCH began on 7th September 2021 and is expected to end by 31
 198 August 2024.

199 **Sample Size:** The incidence of the composite adverse outcome is estimated at 7% based on data from
 200 several of the participating hospitals, the ARRIVE RCT [20] and the Australian and New Zealand
 201 Neonatal Network.[34] To detect a 35% reduction from 7% to 4.55% for an alpha of 0.05 and >80%
 202 power with 10% drop out in each arm, needs about 3,200 women. This sample size also yields >90%
 203 power to detect a 25% reduction for the secondary outcome of caesarean section or instrumental
 204 vaginal birth for fetal distress.

205 **Study population**

206 Inclusion criteria:

- 207 1. Women with singleton or dichorionic twin pregnancies, planning vaginal birth at term ($\geq 37^{+0}$
- 208 weeks gestation).
- 209 2. Age ≥ 18 years.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 210 3. Willing and able to comply with all study requirements.
- 211 4. Signed, written informed consent.
- 212 Exclusion criteria:
- 213 1. A woman should not be enrolled if the responsible clinician or the woman are, for any medical
- 214 or non-medical reasons, reasonably certain that sildenafil citrate would be inappropriate for
- 215 her in comparison with no treatment or some other treatment that could be offered outside
- 216 the trial.[35]
- 217 2. Monochorionic twins, triplets or higher order multiple births, which are generally delivered
- 218 electively before term.
- 219 3. Women who are taking any type of nitrate drug therapy or who utilize short-acting nitrate-
- 220 containing medications during labour (such as sodium nitroprusside, bosentan, fosamprenavir
- 221 and ritonavir combination, hepatic enzyme inhibitors CYP3A4 (including itraconazole,
- 222 ketoconazole, ritonavir, cimetidine, erythromycin, saquinavir, darunavir), or hepatic enzyme
- 223 substrates (CYP3A4), medications used to treat pulmonary arterial hypertension, and other
- 224 phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors, due to the risk of potentially life-threatening
- 225 hypotension.[36]
- 226 4. Severe hepatic or renal impairment.[36]
- 227 **Screening, registration and randomisation:** All women attending antenatal clinics in participating
- 228 hospitals from $\geq 34^{+0}$ weeks will be screened for eligibility by study midwives. Women who consent to
- 229 participate will be registered in an online trial registration database. Once registered, each woman is
- 230 assigned a unique study number and receives routine care until spontaneous labour or induction of
- 231 labour, when randomisation is undertaken. Individuals may only be registered and randomised once.
- 232 Randomisation is undertaken after the responsible midwife or obstetrician has again confirmed that
- 233 the woman is eligible. If an eligible woman presents for the first time in labour, screening, registration
- 234 and randomisation are done together. Once registration and randomisation are completed, the

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
Erasmus Hogeschool

participant is assigned a treatment arm. Randomisation is web-based, using a computer-generated concealed allocation sequence, stratified by study site.

At registration, each woman will be invited to consent to allow data linkage with her child or children's educational outcomes in the National Assessment Program – Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN) [37] for data to be extracted from Medicare Benefits Schedule and Pharmaceutical Benefits Schemes claims records for herself and her infant and from the Australian cerebral palsy register. Such consent may be withdrawn at any time and without a reason. Each woman will also be invited to give consent to participate in childhood neurodevelopmental follow-up at 2-3 years corrected age. If she consents to this, contact details will be recorded and follow-up assessment will be performed as described below. Any decline for follow-up will be properly explored to ensure that withdrawal is not automatically interpreted to mean complete removal from the study as this may have possible implications for the reliability of trial findings. It may also be disadvantageous to these women because they could miss out on some aspects of the RCT that may matter to them (such as being informed about progress and results of the study).[38]

Study Treatments: The study intervention is oral sildenafil citrate. The control intervention is placebo. Study treatment only begins after transfer to the labour ward either in spontaneous labour or for induction of labour (artificial rupture of membranes +/- oxytocin). Women are given the first dose by the attending midwife in the labour ward. Women receive sildenafil citrate 50mg or identical placebo orally every 8 hours to a maximum of three doses, from identical matched treatment packs supplied by the trial pharmacy.

Management of Labour and Puerperium: Intrapartum FHR monitoring will be performed in all women. Where possible, umbilical artery cord pH will be measured in all women after birth. Classification of FHR abnormalities is based on the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists guidelines. In a subset of women, 20ml of blood will be collected for assay of soluble Fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFlt-1) and Placental Growth Factor (PlGF) levels. Where

possible, these women will also have an ultrasound scan performed to assess the fetoplacental circulation and liquor volume before and after treatment. The ultrasound data and maternal sFlt-1 and PIGF levels will allow post-hoc subgroup analysis to identify cohorts at risk of fetal distress that might derive greater benefit from sildenafil citrate treatment. Otherwise, intrapartum management will be in accordance with local hospital guidelines.

To detect possible persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn, all infants will also receive routine oxygen saturation screening to detect hypoxemia, generally 24-48 hours after birth but, if necessary, four hours after birth. Infants with oxygen saturations of >95% are very unlikely to have major congenital heart or significant pulmonary disease, including persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn.[39] Infants with oxygen saturations \leq 95% will receive further assessment by the paediatric team which may include echocardiography.

Trial Outcomes: In-hospital maternal and neonatal outcome events occurring from randomisation to discharge home will be collected from medical records into electronic Case Report Forms (eCRFs). Assessments of outcome will be blinded to treatment allocation. All primary outcome data will be routinely available before discharge and collected electronically. There are no formal study assessments visits. Research midwives will contact women 30 days after discharge to ascertain further relevant issues. Childhood follow up will be conducted by linkage with educational databases and cerebral palsy registers and using parent report questionnaires.[40-42]

Data Analysis: We will adopt recommended approaches[43, 44] to the analysis and reporting of composite endpoints, as in previous multi-centre perinatal RCTs.[45-50] A detailed Statistical Analysis Plan and health economic protocol will be published before unblinding and data analysis begin.[51] The Trial Management Committee (TMC) will base any modifications to the original objectives, such as a change in the components of the composite endpoint, adjudication of events, or handling of missing data, on a review of the pooled event rate, pooled adherence rates and pooled missing data while remaining blinded to differences by allocated treatment and to the direction of any treatment

effect.[52-55] Primary and secondary analyses will adhere to an intention-to-treat basis using generalised linear models (binary or normal). Intervention effect will be presented as relative risk or mean difference, as appropriate, with 95% confidence intervals. Primary analyses will be unadjusted. Numbers needed to treat to prevent one adverse outcome will be calculated. Where there are differences in baseline characteristics between the two treatment groups that might be associated with outcomes, secondary analyses of the primary outcome will be carried out using multiple (log-binomial) regression. Reporting will follow CONSORT[56] and TRIPOD[57] guidelines.

There is only one primary outcome. Secondary outcomes include each of the ten individual components of the primary composite outcome (Table 1). For the secondary outcomes, no formal adjustments for multiplicity are planned. Because the secondary outcomes are interrelated and potentially causally attributable to hypoxic birth injury, we will follow the advice outlined by Schulz and Grimes[58] and interpret the pattern and consistency of findings across related outcomes, rather than focusing on any single, statistically significant result. Subgroup analyses will be performed by testing for interactions and findings will be reported as exploratory. Results of other endpoint, subgroup and sensitivity analyses will be interpreted in proper context and with due consideration of the risk of Type I error. Interpretation of statistical evidence will also consider the recommendations of Pocock *et al.*[59, 60]

Safety of sildenafil citrate: In our systematic review[15] of the efficacy and safety of phosphodiesterase inhibitors (sildenafil citrate or tadalafil) in 10 RCTs in 1090 women in pregnancy, we found that use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors in term labour was not associated with an increase in maternal side effects, including dizziness, flushing, dyspepsia, gastritis, palpitations, arthralgia, dyspnea, epistaxis, diarrhoea, tremor, headache, myalgia, paraesthesia, oedema, visual changes, vaginal itch, nausea and vomiting, intrapartum antiemetic use, post-partum haemorrhage of ≤ 1500 mL, anaemia, bronchitis, skin rash, intrapartum pyrexia, or placental abruption. Use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors in labour may have been associated with a small increase in vaginal birth (RR 1.24, 95% CI 1.00–1.55; $p=0.05$) and a statistically significant reduction in risk of operative birth

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

for intrapartum fetal compromise (RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.38–0.88; p=0.01). Use of sildenafil in labour was not associated with increased risk of perinatal death (RR 0.89, 95% CI 0.56–1.43; p=0.64), nor of maternal admissions to intensive care and no maternal deaths were reported.

Chronic use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors for the treatment of severe fetal growth restriction, as in the STRIDER trials,[61] [62] or treatment of pre-eclampsia[16, 63, 64] was associated with a statistically significant increase in the risks of flushing (RR 6.83, 95% CI 1.49–31.23; p=0.01) and nasal bleeding (RR 10.53, 95% CI 1.36–81.3; p=0.02); an increase in risk of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn (RR 2.52, 95% CI 1.00–6.32; p=0.05) and non-statistically significant increase in headaches (RR 1.41, 95% CI 0.97–2.05; p = 0.08) and flushing (RR 2.59, 95% CI 0.69–9.90; p=0.16).[15]

The international STRIDER RCTs used daily maternal Sildenafil doses of 75 mg in pregnant women with severe fetal growth restriction between 20 and 30 weeks gestation for up to 10 weeks, i.e. cumulative doses of up to 5,250 mg.[61] [62] In 2019, the Dutch STRIDER trial was stopped on the advice of the Data Safety Monitoring Board due to an increase in persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn with a non-significant increase in neonatal deaths in the sildenafil citrate group.[65]

Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn occurred in 16 neonates (18.8%) in the sildenafil citrate group vs. 4 neonates (5.1%) in the placebo group (relative risk, 3.67; 95% CI, 1.28-10.51; P = 0.008). A subsequent meta-analysis of 329 participating women in all available trials showed no difference in neonatal deaths.[66] However it was recommended that sildenafil citrate not be prescribed outside of clinical trials.[67] The indication and cumulative dosage of sildenafil citrate in the iSEARCH trial are very different from the STRIDER trials as we will only use a maximum 150mg in women at term, which is about thirty five times lower. Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn will be monitored as one of ten components of the primary composite endpoint by the independent data and safety monitoring board, as outlined below.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
Erasmus Hogeschool

Safety of sildenafil during breastfeeding: Limited data indicate that sildenafil citrate and its active metabolite in breastmilk are poorly excreted into breastmilk. Amounts ingested by the infant are far below doses given to treat infants and would not be expected to cause any adverse effects in breastfed infants.[68] Women taking sildenafil citrate for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension in the UK are advised to continue breastfeeding because sildenafil citrate only passes into breast milk in tiny amounts, is unlikely to cause any side effects in the baby and breastfeeding will benefit both mother and baby.[69] We will monitor concentrations of sildenafil citrate in breast milk and any potentially related adverse events in a subset of women in the trial.

Role of study sponsor and funders: The University of Sydney, as sponsor of iSEARCH, the University of Queensland as administering organisation and the Medical Research Future Fund as funder of iSEARCH have had no role in study design, collection or management of data. Both will also have no role in analysis or interpretation of data, writing of manuscripts or decisions to publish.

The iSEARCH Trial Management Committee: The TMC will oversee study planning, monitoring, progress, review of information from related research, and implementation of recommendations from other study committees and external bodies (e.g., Human Research Ethics committees). It will consider recommendations from the Independent Data Safety and Monitoring Committee (IDSMC) about whether to continue the study as planned, modify, or stop, based on interim analyses or other information. The TMC will consist of all Chief Investigators.

The iSEARCH Trial Coordinating Centre is the NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre (CTC). It will support the TMC in the day-to-day conduct of the trial, and study processes, including data management, ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements and confidentiality of data collection, liaising with ethics committees, biostatistical team, IDSMC, and answering queries from participating sites including the receipt and timely management of reports of Adverse Events. A list of participating sites is available in the trial registration entry at the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12615000319572).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Compliance: Participant medication compliance will be monitored and documented in the medical records and electronic Case Record Forms (eCRFs), which will be held on a secure server, ensuring confidentiality. The iSEARCH trial may be subject to audit or inspection by representatives of the NHMRC CTC or representatives of independent regulatory bodies (e.g., the Therapeutic Goods Administration).

Unblinding: Unblinding is not generally necessary for the management of a patient with an adverse event and, as it may have an impact on the study’s validity, is discouraged. The need for unblinding should be very uncommon as the study intervention is rarely associated with severe side effects and it will not delay or prevent standard management of the participant. However, if required, it should be done centrally after discussion with the Study Chair and NHMRC CTC representative using the emergency unblinding number in the Study Manual.

The Independent Data and Safety Monitoring Committee: The IDSMC will review interim data on the primary outcome, specified adverse events and other evidence after 50% of recruitment or whenever they deem appropriate, as recommended by Peto, Pocock and others.[70-72] There will be no adjustment to alpha for interim analyses.

Interim analyses of the primary composite outcome: The IDSMC will advise the TMC if in their view there is proof beyond reasonable doubt of net benefit or harm for the primary composite endpoint, for example employing a commonly used formal threshold of $P < 0.001$ for nominal significance, as recommended by Geller and Pocock.[72]

Interim analyses of mortality: The IDSMC will advise the TMC if in their view there is a difference in mortality due to intrapartum stillbirth and/or 28-day neonatal mortality identified as a deviation from the null indicated by a Haybittle-Peto boundary of 3 standard errors from the null, which is equivalent to $P < 0.0027$, [70, 71] which would be needed to justify recommending early stopping.

Limitations: The postulated 35% reduction in the relative risk of its primary composite endpoint is nearly twice as large as the 20% relative reduction in a ten-component composite outcome seen in the ARRIVE trial, which enrolled 6,106 women.[20] This putative 35% relative risk reduction in iSEARCH may therefore reflect 'optimism bias'[73-75] and is an important limitation, dictated by financial constraints which precluded a larger study.

The ten components of the primary composite endpoint (Table 1) are all potentially related to intrapartum hypoxia.[20] [76] However, a reduction in overall incidence of this endpoint could not be interpreted as indicating reductions in key individual components such as intrapartum stillbirth, neonatal mortality, Apgar score <4 at 5 minutes, neonatal encephalopathy or seizures, because the rates of these events vary between 0.1% and 0.5%, at which iSEARCH is greatly underpowered to detect any differences. The three individual components of the composite endpoint for which the chances of showing a reduction in incidence are greatest are (i) admission to neonatal unit for >48 h, (ii) respiratory support for >4 h and (iii) cord pH <7. Their estimated event rates are 3.6%, 4.3% and 2.2% respectively, (Table 1) for which our sample of 3200 gives ~80% power to show (albeit highly optimistic) reductions in relative risk of 50-60%. However, unlike some of the other components of the composite outcome (e.g., neonatal encephalopathy), these three components are significantly less likely to impact longer term health outcomes.

Regulatory compliance: Conduct of the iSEARCH trial will be informed by the International Conference on Harmonization Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice[77, 78] and World Health Organisation guidance.[38] The study will comply with all applicable laws and regulations and the principles laid down by the World Medical Association in the Declaration of Helsinki 2013. It was registered with the Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry and ethical and regulatory approvals were obtained before it began. Changes and amendments to the protocol can only be made by the TMC. Approval by the Institutional Human Research Ethics Committee is required prior to the implementation of any amendments.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Ethics and dissemination

The Australian iSEARCH RCT has been approved by Hunter New England Ethic Committee (Ref Number 2020/ETH02791). Each component trial within the iSEARCH Collaboration will be approved by relevant local, regional or national Human Research Ethics Committee. We plan to disseminate the results of this trial via presentations at clinical, academic and scientific meetings as well as peer reviewed journals. We will adhere to all relevant reporting guidelines.

Authors' contributions

SK wrote the first draft and made extensive revisions incorporating suggestions by the other authors. Other co-authors (WTM, BWM, VF, HL, NB, SW, JH, ALS, EC, ROC) collaboratively provided detailed comments regarding study design and choice of appropriate study outcomes. ROC provided advice and suggestion for the proposed statistical analysis plan. SK and WTM contributed equally to the manuscript. All authors and collaborators reviewed and approved the final version before submission.

Funding statement

This work was supported by the Australian Medical Research Future Fund, grant number APP1199329.

Competing interest statement

None of the authors have any competing interests to declare.

Data sharing:

Individual de-identified participant data for findings reported in the iSEARCH Trial will be available for 5 years after publication. Researchers wishing to gain access to data should provide a methodologically sound proposal to isearch.study@sydney.edu.au, which will be reviewed by the Trial Management Committee.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
Erasmus Hogeschool

References

1. Ayres-de-Campos, D., S. Arulkumaran, and F.I.F.M.E.C. Panel, *FIGO consensus guidelines on intrapartum fetal monitoring: Physiology of fetal oxygenation and the main goals of intrapartum fetal monitoring*. Int J Gynaecol Obstet, 2015. **131**(1): p. 5-8.
2. Turner, J.M., M.D. Mitchell, and S.S. Kumar, *The physiology of intrapartum fetal compromise at term*. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2020. **222**(1): p. 17-26.
3. Maltepe, E. and S.J. Fisher, *Placenta: the forgotten organ*. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol, 2015. **31**: p. 523-52.
4. Janbu, T. and B.I. Nesheim, *Uterine artery blood velocities during contractions in pregnancy and labour related to intrauterine pressure*. Br J Obstet Gynaecol, 1987. **94**(12): p. 1150-5.
5. Lear, C.A., et al., *The peripheral chemoreflex: indefatigable guardian of fetal physiological adaptation to labour*. J Physiol, 2018. **596**(23): p. 5611-5623.
6. Hilder, L., et al., *Australia's mothers and babies 2012. Perinatal statistics series no. 30. Cat. no. PER 69*. Canberra: AIHW., 2014.
7. Badawi, N. and J.M. Keogh, *Causal pathways in cerebral palsy*. J Paediatr Child Health, 2013. **49**(1): p. 5-8.
8. Graham, E.M., et al., *A systematic review of the role of intrapartum hypoxia-ischemia in the causation of neonatal encephalopathy*. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2008. **199**(6): p. 587-95.
9. Blencowe, H., et al., *National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis*. Lancet Glob Health, 2016. **4**(2): p. e98-e108.
10. Kurinczuk, J.J., M. White-Koning, and N. Badawi, *Epidemiology of neonatal encephalopathy and hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy*. Early Hum Dev, 2010. **86**(6): p. 329-38.
11. Paauw, N.D., et al., *Sildenafil During Pregnancy: A Preclinical Meta-Analysis on Fetal Growth and Maternal Blood Pressure*. Hypertension, 2017. **70**(5): p. 998-1006.
12. Ramesar, S.V., et al., *Sildenafil citrate decreases sFlt-1 and sEng in pregnant l-NAME treated Sprague-Dawley rats*. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 2011. **157**(2): p. 136-40.
13. Wareing, M., et al., *Sildenafil citrate (Viagra) enhances vasodilatation in fetal growth restriction*. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 2005. **90**(5): p. 2550-5.
14. Maharaj, C.H., et al., *Effects and mechanisms of action of sildenafil citrate in human chorionic arteries*. Reprod Biol Endocrinol, 2009. **7**: p. 34.
15. Turner, J.M., et al., *Phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors in pregnancy: Systematic review and meta-analysis of maternal and perinatal safety and clinical outcomes*. BJOG, 2022. **129**(11): p. 1817-1831.
16. Trapani, A., Jr., et al., *Perinatal and Hemodynamic Evaluation of Sildenafil Citrate for Preeclampsia Treatment: A Randomized Controlled Trial*. Obstet Gynecol, 2016. **128**(2): p. 253-259.
17. Turner, J., et al., *Safety and efficacy of sildenafil citrate to reduce operative birth for intrapartum fetal compromise at term: A Phase 2 Randomized Controlled Trial*. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2020.
18. Turner, J., L. Dunn, and S. Kumar, *Oral sildenafil citrate during labor mitigates the intrapartum decline in placental growth factor in term pregnancies*. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2020.
19. Callander, E.J., et al., *Intrapartum use of sildenafil citrate to prevent fetal compromise and emergency operative birth in term pregnancies in the United Kingdom and Australia: A preliminary cost-effectiveness analysis*. Int J Gynaecol Obstet, 2023.
20. Grobman, W.A., et al., *Labor Induction versus Expectant Management in Low-Risk Nulliparous Women*. N Engl J Med, 2018. **379**(6): p. 513-523.
21. Kumar S, Ghadge A. *Can intrapartum Sildenafil Citrate safely avert the risks of contraction-induced hypoxia in labour? iSEARCH – a pragmatic multicentre Phase III randomised controlled trial*. Australian Clinical Trials Registry ACTRN12621000231842. 4 March 2021.

1
2
3 480 22. Kumar S, Tarnow-Mordi W, Mol B, Flenady V, Liley H, Badawi N, Colditz P, Walker, Hyett J,
4 481 Seidler A, Callander E, Bora S, O'Connell R, for the iSEARCH Trials Collaborators (listed in
5 482 Appendix). The iSEARCH Trials of oral Sildenafil in labour: Protocol for a randomised trial in
6 483 3,200 Australian women and Rationale for an Individual Participant Data Prospective Meta-
7 484 Analysis of trials in 14,000 women in high-income countries and a mega-trial of 50,000
8 485 women in low or middle-income countries. Research Square preprint server.
9 486 <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1380362/v1> accessed 23 February 2022. .
10 487 23. Tarnow-Mordi, W.O., et al., To guide future practice, perinatal trials should be much larger,
11 488 simpler and less fragile with close to 100% ascertainment of mortality and other key
12 489 outcomes. *Semin Perinatol*, 2023. **47**(5): p. 151789.
13 490 24. Smith, V., et al., Antenatal and intrapartum interventions for reducing caesarean section,
14 491 promoting vaginal birth, and reducing fear of childbirth: An overview of systematic reviews.
15 492 *PLoS One*, 2019. **14**(10): p. e0224313.
16 493 25. Flenady, V., et al., Stillbirths: recall to action in high-income countries. *Lancet*, 2016.
17 494 **387**(10019): p. 691-702.
18 495 26. Persson, M., et al., Five and 10 minute Apgar scores and risks of cerebral palsy and epilepsy:
19 496 population based cohort study in Sweden. *BMJ*, 2018. **360**: p. k207.
20 497 27. Yeh, P., K. Emary, and L. Impey, The relationship between umbilical cord arterial pH and
21 498 serious adverse neonatal outcome: analysis of 51,519 consecutive validated samples. *BJOG*,
22 499 2012. **119**(7): p. 824-31.
23 500 28. Australian and New Zealand Neonatal Network. ANZNN 2023 Data Dictionary.
24 501 Sydney, Australia. 2023.
25 502 29. Ronen, G.M., et al., Long-term prognosis in children with neonatal seizures: a population-
26 503 based study. *Neurology*, 2007. **69**(19): p. 1816-22.
27 504 30. Thygesen, S.K., et al., Respiratory distress syndrome in moderately late and late preterm
28 505 infants and risk of cerebral palsy: a population-based cohort study. *BMJ Open*, 2016. **6**(10):
29 506 p. e011643.
30 507 31. Smith, G.C., et al., Neonatal respiratory morbidity at term and the risk of childhood asthma.
31 508 *Arch Dis Child*, 2004. **89**(10): p. 956-60.
32 509 32. Lipkin, P.H., et al., Neurodevelopmental and medical outcomes of persistent pulmonary
33 510 hypertension in term newborns treated with nitric oxide. *J Pediatr*, 2002. **140**(3): p. 306-10.
34 511 33. Beligere, N. and R. Rao, Neurodevelopmental outcome of infants with meconium aspiration
35 512 syndrome: report of a study and literature review. *J Perinatol*, 2008. **28 Suppl 3**: p. S93-101.
36 513 34. Chow, S.S.W., Creighton, P., Chambers, G.M., Lui, K. 2020. Report of the Australian and New
37 514 Zealand Neonatal Network 2018. Sydney: ANZNN.
38 515 35. Peto, R. and C. Baigent, *Trials: the next 50 years. Large scale randomised evidence of*
39 516 *moderate benefits*. *BMJ*, 1998. **317**(7167): p. 1170-1.
40 517 36. Von Dadelszen, P., et al., Sildenafil citrate therapy for severe early-onset intrauterine growth
41 518 restriction. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, 2011. **118**(5): p.
42 519 624-628.
43 520 37. Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority 2022, NAPLAN National Report
44 521 for 2022, ACARA, Sydney. <https://www.nap.edu.au/home> accessed 25 Dec 2022.
45 522 38. WHO guidance for best practices for clinical trials. Draft for public consultation. World Health
46 523 Organisation, Geneva. July 2023. [https://cdn-auth-cms.who.int/media/docs/default-](https://cdn-auth-cms.who.int/media/docs/default-source/research-for-health/2023-07_who-guidance-for-best-practices-for-clinical-trials_draft-for-public-consultation.pdf?sfvrsn=7a5c9fa5_3)
47 524 [source/research-for-health/2023-07_who-guidance-for-best-practices-for-clinical-](https://cdn-auth-cms.who.int/media/docs/default-source/research-for-health/2023-07_who-guidance-for-best-practices-for-clinical-trials_draft-for-public-consultation.pdf?sfvrsn=7a5c9fa5_3)
48 525 [trials_draft-for-public-consultation.pdf?sfvrsn=7a5c9fa5_3](https://cdn-auth-cms.who.int/media/docs/default-source/research-for-health/2023-07_who-guidance-for-best-practices-for-clinical-trials_draft-for-public-consultation.pdf?sfvrsn=7a5c9fa5_3) accessed 22 July 2023.
49 526 39. Mahle, W.T., et al., Endorsement of Health and Human Services recommendation for pulse
50 527 oximetry screening for critical congenital heart disease. *Pediatrics*, 2012. **129**(1): p. 190-2.
51 528 40. Yu, L.M., et al., Evaluation of the Ages and Stages Questionnaires in identifying children with
52 529 neurosensory disability in the Magpie Trial follow-up study. *Acta Paediatr*, 2007. **96**(12): p.
53 530 1803-8.

- Kenyon, S., et al., *Childhood outcomes after prescription of antibiotics to pregnant women with preterm rupture of the membranes: 7-year follow-up of the ORACLE I trial*. Lancet, 2008. **372**(9646): p. 1310-8.
- Kenyon, S., et al., *Childhood outcomes after prescription of antibiotics to pregnant women with spontaneous preterm labour: 7-year follow-up of the ORACLE II trial*. Lancet, 2008. **372**(9646): p. 1319-27.
- Cordoba, G., et al., *Definition, reporting, and interpretation of composite outcomes in clinical trials: systematic review*. BMJ, 2010. **341**: p. c3920.
- Ferreira-Gonzalez, I., et al., *Methodologic discussions for using and interpreting composite endpoints are limited, but still identify major concerns*. J Clin Epidemiol, 2007. **60**(7): p. 651-7; discussion 658-62.
- Morley, C.J., et al., *Nasal CPAP or intubation at birth for very preterm infants*. N Engl J Med, 2008. **358**(7): p. 700-8.
- Askie, L.M., et al., *Oxygen-saturation targets and outcomes in extremely preterm infants*. N Engl J Med, 2003. **349**(10): p. 959-67.
- Brocklehurst, P., et al., *Treatment of neonatal sepsis with intravenous immune globulin*. N Engl J Med, 2011. **365**(13): p. 1201-11.
- Kenyon, S.L., D.J. Taylor, and W. Tarnow-Mordi, *Broad-spectrum antibiotics for preterm, prelabour rupture of fetal membranes: the ORACLE I randomised trial*. ORACLE Collaborative Group. Lancet, 2001. **357**(9261): p. 979-88.
- Tarnow-Mordi, W., et al., *Delayed versus Immediate Cord Clamping in Preterm Infants*. N Engl J Med, 2017. **377**(25): p. 2445-2455.
- Tita, A.T.N., et al., *Azithromycin to Prevent Sepsis or Death in Women Planning a Vaginal Birth*. N Engl J Med, 2023. **388**(13): p. 1161-1170.
- Gamble, C., et al., *Guidelines for the Content of Statistical Analysis Plans in Clinical Trials*. JAMA, 2017. **318**(23): p. 2337-2343.
- Coskinas, X., et al., *Changes to aspects of ongoing randomised controlled trials with fixed designs*. Trials, 2020. **21**(1): p. 457.
- Coskinas, X., et al., *Reacting to prognostic covariate imbalance in randomised controlled trials*. Contemp Clin Trials, 2021. **110**: p. 106544.
- Coskinas, X., R.J. Simes, and A.J. Martin, *Changes to design and analysis elements of research plans during randomised controlled trials in Australia*. Med J Aust, 2022.
- Orkin, A.M., et al., *Guidelines for Reporting Trial Protocols and Completed Trials Modified Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic and Other Extenuating Circumstances: The CONSERVE 2021 Statement*. JAMA, 2021.
- Schulz, K.F., et al., *CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials*. BMJ, 2010. **340**: p. c332.
- Collins, G.S., et al., *Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD statement*. BMJ, 2015. **350**: p. g7594.
- Schulz, K.F. and D.A. Grimes, *Multiplicity in randomised trials I: endpoints and treatments*. Lancet, 2005. **365**(9470): p. 1591-5.
- Pocock, S.J. and G.W. Stone, *The Primary Outcome Fails - What Next?* N Engl J Med, 2016. **375**(9): p. 861-70.
- Pocock, S.J. and G.W. Stone, *The Primary Outcome Is Positive - Is That Good Enough?* N Engl J Med, 2016. **375**(10): p. 971-9.
- Groom, K.M., et al., *STRIDER NZAus: a multicentre randomised controlled trial of sildenafil therapy in early-onset fetal growth restriction*. BJOG, 2019.
- Sharp, A., et al., *Maternal sildenafil for severe fetal growth restriction (STRIDER): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial*. Lancet Child Adolesc Health, 2018. **2**(2): p. 93-102.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

63. Furuhashi, F., et al., *Tadalafil treatment for preeclampsia (medication in preeclampsia; MIE): a multicenter phase II clinical trial*. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med, 2021. **34**(22): p. 3709-3715.

64. Samangaya, R.A., et al., *A randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study of the phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor sildenafil for the treatment of preeclampsia*. Hypertens Pregnancy, 2009. **28**(4): p. 369-82.

65. Pels, A., et al., *Maternal Sildenafil vs Placebo in Pregnant Women With Severe Early-Onset Fetal Growth Restriction: A Randomized Clinical Trial*. JAMA Netw Open, 2020. **3**(6): p. e205323.

66. Sharp, A., et al., *Mortality in the UK STRIDER trial of sildenafil therapy for the treatment of severe early-onset fetal growth restriction*. Lancet Child Adolesc Health, 2019. **3**(3): p. e2-e3.

67. Groom, K., et al., *Clinicians should stop prescribing sildenafil for fetal growth restriction (FGR): comment from the STRIDER Consortium*. Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology: the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2018. **52**(3): p. 295.

68. *Drugs and Lactation Database (LactMed) [Internet]*. Bethesda (MD): National Institute of Child Health and Human Development; 2006-. Sildenafil. [Updated 2022 Jul 18]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK500617/> accessed 16 Dec 2022.

69. National Health Service. *Pregnancy, breastfeeding and fertility while taking sildenafil* <https://www.nhs.uk/medicines/sildenafil-viagra/pregnancy-breastfeeding-and-fertility-while-taking-sildenafil/> accessed 16 Dec 2022.

70. Haybittle, J.L., *Repeated assessment of results in clinical trials of cancer treatment*. Br J Radiol, 1971. **44**(526): p. 793-7.

71. Peto, R., et al., *Design and analysis of randomized clinical trials requiring prolonged observation of each patient. I. Introduction and design*. Br J Cancer, 1976. **34**(6): p. 585-612.

72. Geller, N.L. and S.J. Pocock, *Interim analyses in randomized clinical trials: ramifications and guidelines for practitioners*. Biometrics, 1987. **43**(1): p. 213-23.

73. Djulbegovic, B., et al., *Optimism bias leads to inconclusive results-an empirical study*. J Clin Epidemiol, 2011. **64**(6): p. 583-93.

74. Zakeri, K., et al., *'Optimism bias' in contemporary national clinical trial network phase III trials: are we improving?* Ann Oncol, 2018. **29**(10): p. 2135-2139.

75. Chalmers, I. and R. Matthews, *What are the implications of optimism bias in clinical research?* Lancet, 2006. **367**(9509): p. 449-50.

76. Webbe, J.W.H., et al., *Core outcomes in neonatology: development of a core outcome set for neonatal research*. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed, 2020. **105**(4): p. 425-431.

77. *ICH-E6 Good clinical practice*. Geneva: International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use; 2016 (https://database.ich.org/sites/default/files/ICH_E6-R3_GCPPrinciples_Draft_2021_0419.pdf, accessed 5 October 2023).

78. *ICH. General considerations for clinical studies E8(R1)*. Geneva: International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use; 2021 (https://database.ich.org/sites/default/files/ICH_E8-R1_Guideline_Step4_2021_1006.pdf, accessed 5 October 2023).

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
Erasmus Hogeschool



SPIRIT 2013 Checklist: Recommended items to address in a clinical trial protocol and related documents*

| Section/item | Item No | Description | Addressed on page number |
|-----------------------------------|---------|--|--------------------------|
| Administrative information | | | |
| Title | 1 | Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym | 1 |
| Trial registration | 2a | Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry | 2 |
| | 2b | All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set | 2 |
| Protocol version | 3 | Date and version identifier | 1 |
| Funding | 4 | Sources and types of financial, material, and other support | 1, 17 |
| Roles and responsibilities | 5a | Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors | 1, 17 |
| | 5b | Name and contact information for the trial sponsor | 1 |
| | 5c | Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether they will have ultimate authority over any of these activities | 13, 14 |
| | 5d | Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint adjudication committee, data management team, and other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee) | 14 |

| | | | | |
|----|---|-----|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | Background and | 6a | Description of research question and justification for undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant | 4, 5, 6 |
| 4 | rationale | | studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits and harms for each intervention | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | 6b | Explanation for choice of comparators | 10 |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | Objectives | 7 | Specific objectives or hypotheses | 7 |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | Trial design | 8 | Description of trial design including type of trial (eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, or single group), | |
| 11 | | | allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority, equivalence, noninferiority, exploratory) | 7 |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | Methods: Participants, interventions, and outcomes | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | Study setting | 9 | Description of study settings (eg, community clinic, academic hospital) and list of countries where data will | 14 |
| 17 | | | be collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be obtained | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | Eligibility criteria | 10 | Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and | 8, 9 |
| 20 | | | individuals who will perform the interventions (eg, surgeons, psychotherapists) | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | Interventions | 11a | Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow replication, including how and when they will be | 10 |
| 23 | | | administered | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | 11b | Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose | 9, 10 |
| 26 | | | change in response to harms, participant request, or improving/worsening diseases) | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | 11c | Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, and any procedures for monitoring adherence | 9, 10 |
| 29 | | | (eg, drug tablet return, laboratory tests) | |
| 30 | | | | |
| 31 | | 11d | Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are permitted or prohibited during the trial | 9, 10 |
| 32 | | | | |
| 33 | Outcomes | 12 | Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood | |
| 34 | | | pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, | 6 |
| 35 | | | median, proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical relevance of chosen | |
| 36 | | | efficacy and harm outcomes is strongly recommended | |
| 37 | | | | |
| 38 | Participant timeline | 13 | Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for | 9, 10, 11 |
| 39 | | | participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure) | |
| 40 | | | | |
| 41 | | | | |
| 42 | | | | |
| 43 | | | | |
| 44 | | | | |
| 45 | | | | |
| 46 | | | | |

| | | | | |
|----|---|-----|--|-----------|
| 1 | Sample size | 14 | Estimated number of participants needed to achieve study objectives and how it was determined, including clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample size calculations | 10 |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | Recruitment | 15 | Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to reach target sample size | 9, 10, 14 |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | Methods: Assignment of interventions (for controlled trials) | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | Allocation: | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | Sequence | 16a | Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer-generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions | 9 |
| 11 | generation | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | Allocation | 16b | Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes), describing any steps to conceal the sequence until interventions are assigned | 9 |
| 17 | concealment | | | |
| 18 | mechanism | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | Implementation | 16c | Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to interventions | 9 |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | Blinding (masking) | 17a | Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how | 9 |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | 17b | If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's allocated intervention during the trial | 14, 15 |
| 28 | | | | |
| 29 | | | | |
| 30 | | | | |
| 31 | Methods: Data collection, management, and analysis | | | |
| 32 | | | | |
| 33 | Data collection | 18a | Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol | 10, 11 |
| 34 | methods | | | |
| 35 | | | | |
| 36 | | | | |
| 37 | | | | |
| 38 | | | | |
| 39 | | 18b | Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols | 9, 10 |
| 40 | | | | |
| 41 | | | | |
| 42 | | | | |
| 43 | | | | |
| 44 | | | | |
| 45 | | | | |
| 46 | | | | |

| | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|-----|---|--------|
| 1 | Data management | 19 | Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol | 9, 10 |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | Statistical methods | 20a | Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol | 11, 12 |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | 20b | Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and adjusted analyses) | 11, 12 |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | 20c | Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple imputation) | 11, 12 |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | Methods: Monitoring | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | Data monitoring | 21a | Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC); summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of whether it is independent from the sponsor and competing interests; and reference to where further details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed | 15 |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | 21b | Description of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim results and make the final decision to terminate the trial | 15 |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | Harms | 22 | Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial conduct | 14 |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | Auditing | 23 | Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if any, and whether the process will be independent from investigators and the sponsor | 14 |
| 29 | | | | |
| 30 | | | | |
| 31 | | | | |
| 32 | Ethics and dissemination | | | |
| 33 | | | | |
| 34 | Research ethics approval | 24 | Plans for seeking research ethics committee/institutional review board (REC/IRB) approval | 2 |
| 35 | | | | |
| 36 | | | | |
| 37 | Protocol amendments | 25 | Plans for communicating important protocol modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC/IRBs, trial participants, trial registries, journals, regulators) | 13, 15 |
| 38 | | | | |
| 39 | | | | |
| 40 | | | | |
| 41 | | | | |
| 42 | | | | |
| 43 | | | | |
| 44 | | | | |
| 45 | | | | |
| 46 | | | | |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|---|------------|
| Consent or assent | 26a | Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see Item 32) | 9, 10 |
| | 26b | Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable | 9, 10 |
| Confidentiality | 27 | How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial | 9, 14 |
| Declaration of interests | 28 | Financial and other competing interests for principal investigators for the overall trial and each study site | 17 |
| Access to data | 29 | Statement of who will have access to the final trial dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that limit such access for investigators | 15 |
| Ancillary and post-trial care | 30 | Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation | N/A |
| Dissemination policy | 31a | Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions | 17 |
| | 31b | Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of professional writers | 17 |
| | 31c | Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical code | 18 |
| Appendices | | | |
| Informed consent materials | 32 | Model consent form and other related documentation given to participants and authorised surrogates | Appendix 1 |
| Biological specimens | 33 | Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if applicable | 10 |

*It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the SPIRIT 2013 Explanation & Elaboration for important clarification on the items. Amendments to the protocol should be tracked and dated. The SPIRIT checklist is copyrighted by the SPIRIT Group under the Creative Commons “Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported” license.

BMJ Open

The iSEARCH Randomised Controlled Trial Protocol – A pragmatic Australian Phase 3 clinical trial of intrapartum sildenafil citrate to improve outcomes potentially related to intrapartum hypoxia.

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2023-082943.R2 |
| Article Type: | Protocol |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 03-May-2024 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Kumar, Sailesh; Mater Medical Research Institute, Maternal & Fetal Medicine Tarnow-Mordi, William; University of Sydney, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre Mol, Ben; Monash Medical School, OB/GYN Flenady, Vicki; Mater Research Institute-University of Queensland Liley, Helen; The University of Queensland, Mater Research Institute; Neonatal Critical Care Unit Badawi, Nadia; Children's Hospital at Westmead, Neonatology Walker, Susan; University of Melbourne, Obstetrics and Gynaecology; Mercy Hospital for Women, Obstetrics and Gynaecology Hyett, Jonathan; Western Sydney University School of Medicine Seidler, Lene ; University of Sydney, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre Callander, Emily; University of Technology Sydney, School of Public Health O'Connell, R; The University of Sydney, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre |
| Primary Subject Heading: | Obstetrics and gynaecology |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Obstetrics and gynaecology |
| Keywords: | Clinical Trial, Fetal medicine < OBSTETRICS, PERINATOLOGY, Primary Prevention |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The iSEARCH Randomised Controlled Trial Protocol – A pragmatic Australian Phase 3 clinical trial of intrapartum sildenafil citrate to improve outcomes potentially related to intrapartum hypoxia.

Sailesh Kumar^{*1,2,3}, William Tarnow-Mordi^{*4}, Ben W. Mol⁵, Vicki Flenady^{1,2}, Helen Liley¹, Nadia Badawi⁶, Susan Walker⁷, Jonathan Hyett⁸, Anna Lene Seidler⁴, Emily Callander⁹, Rachel O’Connell⁴

- ¹Mater Research Institute - University of Queensland, Queensland, Australia.
- ²NHMRC Centre for Research Excellence in Stillbirth.
- ³Faculty of Medicine, University of Queensland.
- ⁴NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.
- ⁵Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Monash University, Victoria, Australia.
- ⁶Cerebral Palsy Alliance and University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.
- ⁷Dept of Maternal and Fetal Medicine, Mercy Hospital, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.
- ⁸Liverpool Hospital and the University of Western Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.
- ⁹School of Public Health, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia.

***These authors contributed equally to this manuscript.**

iSEARCH trial sponsor:

The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
Australia. ABN: 15 211 513 464

iSEARCH coordinating centre:

NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, 92-94 Parramatta Road, Camperdown, NSW 2050, Australia.

Corresponding author:-

Professor Sailesh Kumar
Mater Research Institute-University of Queensland
Level 1, Whitty Building,
Mater Campus, South Brisbane
Queensland 4101, Australia
Tel: +617 31638844
Email: sailesh.kumar@mater.uq.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Introduction

We showed in a Phase 2 RCT that oral sildenafil citrate in term labour halved operative birth for fetal distress. We outline the protocol for a Phase 3 RCT (iSEARCH) of 3200 women in Australia to assess if sildenafil citrate reduces adverse perinatal outcomes related to intrapartum hypoxia.

Methods and analysis

iSEARCH will enrol 3200 Australian women in term labour to determine whether up to three 50 mg oral doses of sildenafil citrate versus placebo reduce the relative risk of a primary composite endpoint of ten perinatal outcomes potentially related to intrapartum hypoxia by 35% (from 7% to 4.55%). Secondary aims are to evaluate reductions in the relative risk of emergency caesarean section or instrumental vaginal birth for fetal distress by 25% (from 20% to 15%) and in healthcare costs. To detect a 35% reduction in the primary outcome for an alpha of 0.05 and power of 80% with 10% dropout in each arm requires 3200 women (1600 in each arm). This sample size will also yield >90% power to detect a 25% reduction for the secondary outcome of any operative birth (caesarean section or instrumental vaginal birth) for fetal distress.

Ethics and dissemination

Ethical approval for the iSEARCH RCT was granted by the Hunter New England Human Research Ethics Committee (Ref No: 2020/ETH02791). Results will be disseminated through websites, peer-reviewed publications, scientific meetings and social media, news outlets, television and radio.

Trial Registration

iSEARCH is registered with the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12621000231842) (registered 4 March 2021) and the Therapeutics Goods Administration of Australia and the first participant was randomised on 6 September 2021.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ARTICLE SUMMARY (198 WORDS)

Strengths and limitations of this project

- ▶ iSEARCH is a Phase 3 RCT of 3200 women in term labour to determine if maternal oral sildenafil citrate reduces adverse neonatal outcomes and operative birth rates potentially secondary to intrapartum hypoxia.
- ▶ Childhood neurodevelopmental outcomes at 2 years will be assessed and health economic analysis will be undertaken to determine cost effectiveness to the healthcare system.
- ▶ iSEARCH and other highly streamlined RCTs worldwide will contribute to individual participant data prospective meta-analyses (IPD PMA) of similar trials.
- ▶ The sample of 3,200 women only has ~80% power to show a 35% reduction in the relative risk of the primary endpoint rather than a more moderate and realistic, yet still clinically relevant 20% risk reduction, which would require more than 10,000 women.

INTRODUCTION

Fetal distress in labour usually reflects pre-existing placental impairment[1, 2] which reduces the capacity of the fetus to cope with the stress of uterine contractions.[3] In many term pregnancies, uterine blood flow falls by 60% during contractions,[4] provoking fetal distress. Fetal decompensation occurs[5] if there is insufficient time for placental reperfusion between contractions.[1]

Suspected fetal distress due to hypoxia in labour is implicated in up to 23% of emergency caesarean births in Australia.[6] Hypoxic fetal injury can lead to intrapartum stillbirth and severe neonatal morbidity including neonatal encephalopathy and cerebral palsy.[7] [8] [9] Intrapartum hypoxia-ischemia is associated with 1 in 3 cases of neonatal encephalopathy in high-income countries with low perinatal mortality rates (PMRs) and almost 60% of cases in low-or middle-income countries with moderate or high PMRs.[10] Other than emergency operative birth [caesarean section or instrumental (forceps or vacuum) vaginal birth], options are limited.

Sildenafil Citrate is a phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor that enhances the bioavailability of Nitric Oxide by inhibiting cyclic guanosine monophosphate degradation. Increased tissue Nitric Oxide levels improve vasodilatation and reduce vascular dysfunction secondary to vasoconstrictors and antiangiogenic factors.[11, 12] Sildenafil citrate preferentially dilates pelvic blood vessels and increases utero-placental blood flow.[13, 14] Our systematic review of 10 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of 1,090 women found that phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor use in pregnancy was associated with a 42% relative reduction of operative birth for intrapartum fetal distress [Relative Risk (RR) 0.58, 95% CI 0.38-0.88]. [15] This effect was driven by two trials[16] [17] which reported rates of operative birth for this indication.

Our Phase 2 RCT[17] tested whether, compared to placebo, sildenafil citrate lowered rates of emergency operative birth for suspected fetal distress in 300 women in term labour. Sildenafil reduced (i) the relative risk (RR) of operative birth for fetal distress by 51% [(RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.33 – 0.73), $p=0.0004$; Number Needed to Treat for Benefit = 5 (3-11)] and (ii) rates of pathological fetal heart rate

1
2
3 104 (FHR) patterns (15% vs. 32%; RR 0.48, 95% CI 0.31 – 0.75, p=0.0009) by 52%. Concentrations of
4
5 105 sildenafil citrate or its metabolite were only 3.6% of maternal levels, consistent with low rates of
6
7 106 transplacental transfer to the fetus. Use of sildenafil citrate also attenuated the normal intrapartum
8
9
10 107 decline in placental growth factor (PlGF) levels suggesting that it had a role in preserving placental
11
12 108 function in labour.[18] This trial, however, lacked power to show a statistically significant
13
14 109 improvement in neonatal outcomes to support the rationale for sildenafil citrate treatment in labour.
15
16 110 A subsequent cost effectiveness analysis concluded that oral intrapartum sildenafil citrate may be cost
17
18 111 effective compared with standard care, but that its effects on neonatal outcomes should be evaluated
19
20 112 in large RCTs.[19]
21
22
23
24 113 The Australian iSEARCH (can intrapartum Sildenafil safely Avert the Risks of Contraction-induced
25
26 114 Hypoxia in labour?) trial began on 6th September 2021. It is the world’s first Phase 3 RCT to re-purpose
27
28 115 sildenafil citrate by evaluating whether, compared to placebo, it improves perinatal outcome, by
29
30 116 reducing the risk of intrapartum fetal compromise. Its primary endpoint is a composite of ten adverse
31
32 117 fetal or neonatal outcomes (Table 1) very similar to that used in other large RCTs like the ARRIVE trial
33
34 118 in term pregnancies.[20] Its target sample size of 3,200 women yields >80% power to detect a
35
36 119 reduction of 35% in the relative risk of its primary endpoint (from 7% to 4.55%, equivalent to a pooled
37
38 120 event rate of 5.78%). It also has 90% power to detect a 25% reduction in the secondary outcome of
39
40 121 emergency operative birth for fetal distress, from 20% to 15%. It is funded by the Australian Medical
41
42 122 Research Future Fund and will run until August 2024.
43
44
45
46
47 123 The next, critically important step will be to undertake large-scale randomised placebo-controlled
48
49 124 studies of oral intrapartum sildenafil addressing the primary outcome of perinatal death, adequately
50
51 125 powered in high, low-and middle-income countries. Secondary outcomes would include low Apgar
52
53 126 score; emergency operative birth by Caesarean Section, vacuum or forceps; maternal infant
54
55 127 separation due to nursery admission; neonatal encephalopathy; severe maternal morbidity (including
56
57 128 post-partum haemorrhage) and maternal death.
58
59
60

Another equally important step in evaluating the impact of intrapartum sildenafil citrate will be to establish an individual participant data prospective meta-analysis (IPD PMA) of iSEARCH trials which may provide evidence for a smaller but still clinically meaningful reduction in adverse perinatal outcomes.[21, 22] It is essential that all trials contributing to an IPD PMA achieve close to 100% ascertainment of their primary outcome data, by streamlining data collection to minimise the rate of missing values.[23] This global collaborative approach will provide randomised evidence addressing realistically moderate, yet clinically important reductions in adverse perinatal outcome, and whether sildenafil citrate is similarly effective in subgroups of women in different healthcare settings. If routine intrapartum sildenafil citrate safely reduces adverse birth outcomes and/or emergency operative birth and their long-term sequelae,[24] it could provide an important option for women attempting vaginal birth worldwide.[6]

METHODS AND ANALYSIS:

Primary Research Question

Does maternal oral sildenafil citrate in labour, compared with placebo, reduce the primary composite endpoint of ten adverse neonatal outcomes? (Table 1)

Secondary Research Questions

(a) Does maternal oral sildenafil citrate in labour reduce the rate of the secondary outcomes of:

- a. Emergency operative birth for fetal distress
- b. Intrapartum stillbirth
- c. Death of baby before discharge from hospital
- d. Apgar score <4 at 5 minutes
- e. Cord artery pH <7.0
- f. Neonatal encephalopathy
- g. Neonatal seizures
- h. Neonatal respiratory support >4h
- i. Neonatal unit (Special Care or Intensive Care) admission lasting >48 h
- j. Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn
- k. Meconium aspiration syndrome

(b) Is maternal oral sildenafil citrate in labour more cost effective than placebo?

Table 1: Primary composite outcome

| Components of composite primary endpoint | Rate | Association with long term adverse outcome |
|---|-------|---|
| Intrapartum stillbirth [25] | 0.1%* | - |
| 28-day neonatal mortality | 0.24% | - |
| Apgar score <4 at 5 minutes | 0.5% | ↑ risk of cerebral palsy[26] |
| Umbilical Cord artery pH <7.0 | 2.2%‡ | ↑ risk of HIE[27] |
| Neonatal encephalopathy Sarnat Grade 2 or 3[28] | 0.5% | ↑ risk of death or disability[29] |
| Neonatal seizures§[28] | 0.25% | ↑ risk of death or disability[29] |
| Neonatal respiratory support for >4 h | 3.6% | ↑ risk of cerebral palsy[30] |
| Neonatal unit admission for >48 h | 4.3% | ↑ asthma after term respiratory morbidity[31] |
| Persistent pulmonary hypertension[28] | 0.04% | ↑ risk of death or disability[32] |
| Meconium aspiration[28] | 0.75% | ↑ risk of death or disability[33] |
| Total (corrected for overlap) | 7.0% | |

*based on data from Grobman et al [20]; ‡based on data from Yeh et al [27]
§although seizures include non-encephalopathic causes like stroke or metabolic disorders, in a randomised trial, those causes not influenced by Sildenafil will tend to be evenly balanced between study arms and therefore tend not to bias the comparison. In this pragmatic trial, seizures, persistent pulmonary hypertension and meconium aspiration are all defined using local protocols.

Study design: This is a two-arm parallel, randomised (1:1), placebo-controlled, double-blind multicentre superiority trial of sildenafil citrate vs. placebo for women in labour at term (≥37⁺⁰ weeks).

Patient and public involvement: We involved clinician and consumer groups when designing this trial through the use of electronic media and face to face interviews. Of >400 women and clinicians in 68 sites in Australia and overseas, >90% endorsed iSEARCH and, if its hypotheses were confirmed, >85% would want sildenafil citrate to become an option in routine care.

Aim: This study aims to test the hypothesis that up to 3 doses of oral 50 mg sildenafil citrate (vs. placebo) are safe and will improve perinatal and maternal outcomes related to intrapartum hypoxia.

Primary objective:
To evaluate whether, compared with placebo, sildenafil citrate reduces the relative risk of the composite perinatal endpoint by 35%, from 7% to 4.55%. The composite endpoint comprises the ten components shown in Table 1.

Secondary objectives:

1. To evaluate whether sildenafil citrate results in a relative risk reduction of Caesarean section or instrumental vaginal birth for fetal distress by 25% (from 20% to 15%).
2. To evaluate whether sildenafil citrate results in a reduction of the relative risk of each individual component of the composite primary outcome, namely:
 - a. Intrapartum Stillbirth
 - b. Death of baby before hospital discharge
 - c. Apgar Score <4 at 5 minutes
 - d. Cord Artery pH <7.0
 - e. Neonatal Encephalopathy
 - f. Neonatal seizures
 - g. Neonatal respiratory support >4h
 - h. Neonatal unit (Special Care or Intensive Care) admission lasting >48h
 - i. Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn
 - j. Meconium aspiration
3. To evaluate whether Sildenafil is more cost-effective than placebo.

Study Period: Recruitment to iSEARCH began on 7th September 2021 and is expected to end by 31 August 2024.

Sample Size: The incidence of the composite adverse outcome is estimated at 7% based on data from several of the participating hospitals, the ARRIVE RCT [20] and the Australian and New Zealand Neonatal Network.[34] To detect a 35% reduction from 7% to 4.55% for an alpha of 0.05 and >80% power with 10% drop out in each arm, needs about 3,200 women. This sample size also yields >90% power to detect a 25% reduction for the secondary outcome of caesarean section or instrumental vaginal birth for fetal distress.

Study population

Inclusion criteria:

1. Women with singleton or dichorionic twin pregnancies, planning vaginal birth at term ($\geq 37^{+0}$ weeks gestation).
2. Age ≥ 18 years.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 209 3. Willing and able to comply with all study requirements.
- 210 4. Signed, written informed consent.
- 211 Exclusion criteria:
 - 212 1. A woman should not be enrolled if the responsible clinician or the woman are, for any medical
 - 213 or non-medical reasons, reasonably certain that sildenafil citrate would be inappropriate for
 - 214 her in comparison with no treatment or some other treatment that could be offered outside
 - 215 the trial.[35]
 - 216 2. Triplets or higher order multiple births, which are generally delivered electively before term.
 - 217 3. Contraindications to the investigational product (sildenafil citrate).
 - 218 4. Women who are taking any type of nitrate drug therapy or who utilize short-acting nitrate-
 - 219 containing medications during labour (such as sodium nitroprusside, bosentan, fosamprenavir
 - 220 and ritonavir combination, hepatic enzyme inhibitors CYP3A4 (including itraconazole,
 - 221 ketoconazole, ritonavir, cimetidine, erythromycin, saquinavir, darunavir), or hepatic enzyme
 - 222 substrates (CYP3A4), other medications used to treat pulmonary arterial hypertension such
 - 223 as riociguat, and other phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors, due to the risk of potentially life-
 - 224 threatening hypotension.[36]
 - 225 5. Severe hepatic or renal impairment.[36]
- 226 **Screening, registration and randomisation:** All women attending antenatal clinics in participating
- 227 hospitals from $\geq 34^{+0}$ weeks will be screened for eligibility by study midwives. Women who consent to
- 228 participate will be registered in an online trial registration database. Once registered, each woman is
- 229 assigned a unique study number and receives routine care until spontaneous labour or induction of
- 230 labour, when randomisation is undertaken. Individuals may only be registered and randomised once.
- 231 Randomisation is undertaken after the responsible midwife or obstetrician has again confirmed that
- 232 the woman is eligible. If an eligible woman presents for the first time in labour, screening, registration
- 233 and randomisation are done together. Once registration and randomisation are completed, the

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
Erasmus Hogeschool

participant is assigned a treatment arm. Randomisation is web-based, using a computer-generated concealed allocation sequence, stratified by study site.

At registration, each woman will be invited to consent to allow data linkage with her child or children's educational outcomes in the National Assessment Program – Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN) [37] for data to be extracted from Medicare Benefits Schedule and Pharmaceutical Benefits Schemes claims records for herself and her infant and from the Australian cerebral palsy register. Such consent may be withdrawn at any time and without a reason. Each woman will also be invited to give consent to participate in childhood neurodevelopmental follow-up at 2-3 years corrected age. If she consents to this, contact details will be recorded and follow-up assessment will be performed as described below. Any decline for follow-up will be properly explored to ensure that withdrawal is not automatically interpreted to mean complete removal from the study as this may have possible implications for the reliability of trial findings. It may also be disadvantageous to these women because they could miss out on some aspects of the RCT that may matter to them (such as being informed about progress and results of the study).[38]

Study Treatments: The study intervention is oral sildenafil citrate. The control intervention is placebo. Study treatment only begins after transfer to the labour ward either in spontaneous labour or for induction of labour (artificial rupture of membranes +/- oxytocin). Women are given the first dose by the attending midwife in the labour ward. Women receive sildenafil citrate 50mg or identical placebo orally every 8 hours to a maximum of three doses, from identical matched treatment packs supplied by the trial pharmacy.

Management of Labour and Puerperium: Intrapartum FHR monitoring will be performed in all women. Where possible, umbilical artery cord pH will be measured in all women after birth. Classification of FHR abnormalities is based on the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists guidelines. In a subset of women, 20ml of blood will be collected for assay of soluble Fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFlt-1) and Placental Growth Factor (PlGF) levels. Where

possible, these women will also have an ultrasound scan performed to assess the fetoplacental circulation and liquor volume before and after treatment. The ultrasound data and maternal sFlt-1 and PlGF levels will allow post-hoc subgroup analysis to identify cohorts at risk of fetal distress that might derive greater benefit from sildenafil citrate treatment. Otherwise, intrapartum management will be in accordance with local hospital guidelines.

To detect possible persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn, all infants will also receive routine oxygen saturation screening to detect hypoxemia, generally 24-48 hours after birth but, if necessary, four hours after birth. Infants with oxygen saturations of >95% are very unlikely to have major congenital heart or significant pulmonary disease, including persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn.[39] Infants with oxygen saturations \leq 95% will receive further assessment by the paediatric team which may include echocardiography.

Trial Outcomes: In-hospital maternal and neonatal outcome events occurring from randomisation to discharge home will be collected from medical records into electronic Case Report Forms (eCRFs). Assessments of outcome will be blinded to treatment allocation. All primary outcome data will be routinely available before discharge and collected electronically. There are no formal study assessments visits. Research midwives will contact women 30 days after discharge to ascertain further relevant issues. Childhood follow up will be conducted by linkage with educational databases and cerebral palsy registers and using parent report questionnaires.[40-42]

Data Analysis: We will adopt recommended approaches[43, 44] to the analysis and reporting of composite endpoints, as in previous multi-centre perinatal RCTs.[45-50] A detailed Statistical Analysis Plan and health economic protocol will be published before unblinding and data analysis begin.[51] The Trial Management Committee (TMC) will base any modifications to the original objectives, such as a change in the components of the composite endpoint, adjudication of events, or handling of missing data, on a review of the pooled event rate, pooled adherence rates and pooled missing data while remaining blinded to differences by allocated treatment and to the direction of any treatment

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
ErasmusHogeschool

effect.[52-55] Primary and secondary analyses will adhere to an intention-to-treat basis using generalised linear models (binary or normal). Intervention effect will be presented as relative risk or mean difference, as appropriate, with 95% confidence intervals. Primary analyses will be unadjusted. Numbers needed to treat to prevent one adverse outcome will be calculated. Where there are differences in baseline characteristics between the two treatment groups that might be associated with outcomes, secondary analyses of the primary outcome will be carried out using multiple (log-binomial) regression. Reporting will follow CONSORT[56] and TRIPOD[57] guidelines.

There is only one primary outcome. Secondary outcomes include each of the ten individual components of the primary composite outcome (Table 1). For the secondary outcomes, no formal adjustments for multiplicity are planned. Because the secondary outcomes are interrelated and potentially causally attributable to hypoxic birth injury, we will follow the advice outlined by Schulz and Grimes[58] and interpret the pattern and consistency of findings across related outcomes, rather than focusing on any single, statistically significant result. Subgroup analyses will be performed by testing for interactions and findings will be reported as exploratory. Results of other endpoint, subgroup and sensitivity analyses will be interpreted in proper context and with due consideration of the risk of Type I error. Interpretation of statistical evidence will also consider the recommendations of Pocock *et al.*[59, 60]

Safety of sildenafil citrate: In our systematic review[15] of the efficacy and safety of phosphodiesterase inhibitors (sildenafil citrate or tadalafil) in 10 RCTs in 1090 women in pregnancy, we found that use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors in term labour was not associated with an increase in maternal side effects, including dizziness, flushing, dyspepsia, gastritis, palpitations, arthralgia, dyspnea, epistaxis, diarrhoea, tremor, headache, myalgia, paraesthesia, oedema, visual changes, vaginal itch, nausea and vomiting, intrapartum antiemetic use, post-partum haemorrhage of ≤ 1500 mL, anaemia, bronchitis, skin rash, intrapartum pyrexia, or placental abruption. Use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors in labour may have been associated with a small increase in vaginal birth (RR 1.24, 95% CI 1.00–1.55; $p=0.05$) and a statistically significant reduction in risk of operative birth

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

for intrapartum fetal compromise (RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.38–0.88; p=0.01). Use of sildenafil in labour was not associated with increased risk of perinatal death (RR 0.89, 95% CI 0.56–1.43; p=0.64), nor of maternal admissions to intensive care and no maternal deaths were reported.

Chronic use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors for the treatment of severe fetal growth restriction, as in the STRIDER trials,[61] [62] or treatment of pre-eclampsia[16, 63, 64] was associated with a statistically significant increase in the risks of flushing (RR 6.83, 95% CI 1.49–31.23; p=0.01) and nasal bleeding (RR 10.53, 95% CI 1.36–81.3; p=0.02); an increase in risk of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn (RR 2.52, 95% CI 1.00–6.32; p=0.05) and non-statistically significant increase in headaches (RR 1.41, 95% CI 0.97–2.05; p = 0.08) and flushing (RR 2.59, 95% CI 0.69–9.90; p=0.16).[15]

The international STRIDER RCTs used daily maternal Sildenafil doses of 75 mg in pregnant women with severe fetal growth restriction between 20 and 30 weeks gestation for up to 10 weeks, i.e. cumulative doses of up to 5,250 mg.[61] [62] In 2019, the Dutch STRIDER trial was stopped on the advice of the Data Safety Monitoring Board due to an increase in persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn with a non-significant increase in neonatal deaths in the sildenafil citrate group.[65] Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn occurred in 16 neonates (18.8%) in the sildenafil citrate group vs. 4 neonates (5.1%) in the placebo group (relative risk, 3.67; 95% CI, 1.28-10.51; P = 0.008). A subsequent meta-analysis of 329 participating women in all available trials showed no difference in neonatal deaths.[66] However it was recommended that sildenafil citrate not be prescribed outside of clinical trials.[67] The indication and cumulative dosage of sildenafil citrate in the iSEARCH trial are very different from the STRIDER trials as we will only use a maximum 150mg in women at term, which is about thirty five times lower. Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn will be monitored as one of ten components of the primary composite endpoint by the independent data and safety monitoring board, as outlined below.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
Erasmus Hogeschool

Safety of sildenafil during breastfeeding: Limited data indicate that sildenafil citrate and its active metabolite in breastmilk are poorly excreted into breastmilk. Amounts ingested by the infant are far below doses given to treat infants and would not be expected to cause any adverse effects in breastfed infants.[68] Women taking sildenafil citrate for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension in the UK are advised to continue breastfeeding because sildenafil citrate only passes into breast milk in tiny amounts, is unlikely to cause any side effects in the baby and breastfeeding will benefit both mother and baby.[69] We will monitor concentrations of sildenafil citrate in breast milk and any potentially related adverse events in a subset of women in the trial.

Role of study sponsor and funders: The University of Sydney, as sponsor of iSEARCH, the University of Queensland as administering organisation and the Medical Research Future Fund as funder of iSEARCH have had no role in study design, collection or management of data. Both will also have no role in analysis or interpretation of data, writing of manuscripts or decisions to publish.

The iSEARCH Trial Management Committee: The TMC will oversee study planning, monitoring, progress, review of information from related research, and implementation of recommendations from other study committees and external bodies (e.g., Human Research Ethics committees). It will consider recommendations from the Independent Data Safety and Monitoring Committee (IDSMC) about whether to continue the study as planned, modify, or stop, based on interim analyses or other information. The TMC will consist of all Chief Investigators.

The iSEARCH Trial Coordinating Centre is the NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre (CTC). It will support the TMC in the day-to-day conduct of the trial, and study processes, including data management, ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements and confidentiality of data collection, liaising with ethics committees, biostatistical team, IDSMC, and answering queries from participating sites including the receipt and timely management of reports of Adverse Events. A list of participating sites is available in the trial registration entry at the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12615000319572).

359 **Compliance:** Participant medication compliance will be monitored and documented in the medical
360 records and electronic Case Record Forms (eCRFs), which will be held on a secure server, ensuring
361 confidentiality. The iSEARCH trial may be subject to audit or inspection by representatives of the
362 NHMRC CTC or representatives of independent regulatory bodies (e.g., the Therapeutic Goods
363 Administration).

364 **Unblinding:** Unblinding is not generally necessary for the management of a patient with an adverse
365 event and, as it may have an impact on the study's validity, is discouraged. The need for unblinding
366 should be very uncommon as the study intervention is rarely associated with severe side effects and
367 it will not delay or prevent standard management of the participant. However, if required, it should
368 be done centrally after discussion with the Study Chair and NHMRC CTC representative using the
369 emergency unblinding number in the Study Manual.

370 **The Independent Data and Safety Monitoring Committee:** The IDSMC will review interim data on the
371 primary outcome, specified adverse events and other evidence after 50% of recruitment or whenever
372 they deem appropriate, as recommended by Peto, Pocock and others.[70-72] There will be no
373 adjustment to alpha for interim analyses.

374 **Interim analyses of the primary composite outcome:** The IDSMC will advise the TMC if in their view
375 there is proof beyond reasonable doubt of net benefit or harm for the primary composite endpoint,
376 for example employing a commonly used formal threshold of $P < 0.001$ for nominal significance, as
377 recommended by Geller and Pocock.[72]

378 **Interim analyses of mortality:** The IDSMC will advise the TMC if in their view there is a difference in
379 mortality due to intrapartum stillbirth and/or 28-day neonatal mortality identified as a deviation from
380 the null indicated by a Haybittle-Peto boundary of 3 standard errors from the null, which is equivalent
381 to $P < 0.0027$, [70, 71] which would be needed to justify recommending early stopping.

Limitations: The postulated 35% reduction in the relative risk of its primary composite endpoint is nearly twice as large as the 20% relative reduction in a ten-component composite outcome seen in the ARRIVE trial, which enrolled 6,106 women.[20] This putative 35% relative risk reduction in iSEARCH may therefore reflect 'optimism bias'[73-75] and is an important limitation, dictated by financial constraints which precluded a larger study.

The ten components of the primary composite endpoint (Table 1) are all potentially related to intrapartum hypoxia.[20] [76] However, a reduction in overall incidence of this endpoint could not be interpreted as indicating reductions in key individual components such as intrapartum stillbirth, neonatal mortality, Apgar score <4 at 5 minutes, neonatal encephalopathy or seizures, because the rates of these events vary between 0.1% and 0.5%, at which iSEARCH is greatly underpowered to detect any differences. The three individual components of the composite endpoint for which the chances of showing a reduction in incidence are greatest are (i) admission to neonatal unit for >48 h, (ii) respiratory support for >4 h and (iii) cord pH <7. Their estimated event rates are 3.6%, 4.3% and 2.2% respectively, (Table 1) for which our sample of 3200 gives ~80% power to show (albeit highly optimistic) reductions in relative risk of 50-60%. However, unlike some of the other components of the composite outcome (e.g., neonatal encephalopathy), these three components are significantly less likely to impact longer term health outcomes.

Regulatory compliance: Conduct of the iSEARCH trial will be informed by the International Conference on Harmonization Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice[77, 78] and World Health Organisation guidance.[38] The study will comply with all applicable laws and regulations and the principles laid down by the World Medical Association in the Declaration of Helsinki 2013. It was registered with the Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry and ethical and regulatory approvals were obtained before it began. Changes and amendments to the protocol can only be made by the TMC. Approval by the Institutional Human Research Ethics Committee is required prior to the implementation of any amendments.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Ethics and dissemination

The Australian iSEARCH RCT has been approved by Hunter New England Ethic Committee (Ref Number 2020/ETH02791). Each component trial within the iSEARCH Collaboration will be approved by relevant local, regional or national Human Research Ethics Committee. We plan to disseminate the results of this trial via presentations at clinical, academic and scientific meetings as well as peer reviewed journals. We will adhere to all relevant reporting guidelines.

Authors' contributions

SK wrote the first draft and made extensive revisions incorporating suggestions by the other authors. Other co-authors (WTM, BWM, VF, HL, NB, SW, JH, ALS, EC, ROC) collaboratively provided detailed comments regarding study design and choice of appropriate study outcomes. ROC provided advice and suggestion for the proposed statistical analysis plan. SK and WTM contributed equally to the manuscript. All authors and collaborators reviewed and approved the final version before submission.

Funding statement

This work was supported by the Australian Medical Research Future Fund, grant number APP1199329.

Competing interest statement

None of the authors have any competing interests to declare.

Data sharing:

Individual de-identified participant data for findings reported in the iSEARCH Trial will be available for 5 years after publication. Researchers wishing to gain access to data should provide a methodologically sound proposal to isearch.study@sydney.edu.au, which will be reviewed by the Trial Management Committee.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
Erasmus Hogeschool

References

1. Ayres-de-Campos, D., S. Arulkumaran, and F.I.F.M.E.C. Panel, *FIGO consensus guidelines on intrapartum fetal monitoring: Physiology of fetal oxygenation and the main goals of intrapartum fetal monitoring*. Int J Gynaecol Obstet, 2015. **131**(1): p. 5-8.
2. Turner, J.M., M.D. Mitchell, and S.S. Kumar, *The physiology of intrapartum fetal compromise at term*. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2020. **222**(1): p. 17-26.
3. Maltepe, E. and S.J. Fisher, *Placenta: the forgotten organ*. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol, 2015. **31**: p. 523-52.
4. Janbu, T. and B.I. Nesheim, *Uterine artery blood velocities during contractions in pregnancy and labour related to intrauterine pressure*. Br J Obstet Gynaecol, 1987. **94**(12): p. 1150-5.
5. Lear, C.A., et al., *The peripheral chemoreflex: indefatigable guardian of fetal physiological adaptation to labour*. J Physiol, 2018. **596**(23): p. 5611-5623.
6. Hilder, L., et al., *Australia's mothers and babies 2012. Perinatal statistics series no. 30. Cat. no. PER 69*. Canberra: AIHW., 2014.
7. Badawi, N. and J.M. Keogh, *Causal pathways in cerebral palsy*. J Paediatr Child Health, 2013. **49**(1): p. 5-8.
8. Graham, E.M., et al., *A systematic review of the role of intrapartum hypoxia-ischemia in the causation of neonatal encephalopathy*. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2008. **199**(6): p. 587-95.
9. Blencowe, H., et al., *National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis*. Lancet Glob Health, 2016. **4**(2): p. e98-e108.
10. Kurinczuk, J.J., M. White-Koning, and N. Badawi, *Epidemiology of neonatal encephalopathy and hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy*. Early Hum Dev, 2010. **86**(6): p. 329-38.
11. Paauw, N.D., et al., *Sildenafil During Pregnancy: A Preclinical Meta-Analysis on Fetal Growth and Maternal Blood Pressure*. Hypertension, 2017. **70**(5): p. 998-1006.
12. Ramesar, S.V., et al., *Sildenafil citrate decreases sFlt-1 and sEng in pregnant l-NAME treated Sprague-Dawley rats*. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 2011. **157**(2): p. 136-40.
13. Wareing, M., et al., *Sildenafil citrate (Viagra) enhances vasodilatation in fetal growth restriction*. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 2005. **90**(5): p. 2550-5.
14. Maharaj, C.H., et al., *Effects and mechanisms of action of sildenafil citrate in human chorionic arteries*. Reprod Biol Endocrinol, 2009. **7**: p. 34.
15. Turner, J.M., et al., *Phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors in pregnancy: Systematic review and meta-analysis of maternal and perinatal safety and clinical outcomes*. BJOG, 2022. **129**(11): p. 1817-1831.
16. Trapani, A., Jr., et al., *Perinatal and Hemodynamic Evaluation of Sildenafil Citrate for Preeclampsia Treatment: A Randomized Controlled Trial*. Obstet Gynecol, 2016. **128**(2): p. 253-259.
17. Turner, J., et al., *Safety and efficacy of sildenafil citrate to reduce operative birth for intrapartum fetal compromise at term: A Phase 2 Randomized Controlled Trial*. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2020.
18. Turner, J., L. Dunn, and S. Kumar, *Oral sildenafil citrate during labor mitigates the intrapartum decline in placental growth factor in term pregnancies*. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2020.
19. Callander, E.J., et al., *Intrapartum use of sildenafil citrate to prevent fetal compromise and emergency operative birth in term pregnancies in the United Kingdom and Australia: A preliminary cost-effectiveness analysis*. Int J Gynaecol Obstet, 2023.
20. Grobman, W.A., et al., *Labor Induction versus Expectant Management in Low-Risk Nulliparous Women*. N Engl J Med, 2018. **379**(6): p. 513-523.
21. Kumar S, Ghadge A. *Can intrapartum Sildenafil Citrate safely avert the risks of contraction-induced hypoxia in labour? iSEARCH – a pragmatic multicentre Phase III randomised controlled trial*. Australian Clinical Trials Registry ACTRN12621000231842. 4 March 2021.

1
2
3 479 22. Kumar S, Tarnow-Mordi W, Mol B, Flenady V, Liley H, Badawi N, Colditz P, Walker, Hyett J,
4 480 Seidler A, Callander E, Bora S, O'Connell R, for the iSEARCH Trials Collaborators (listed in
5 481 Appendix). The iSEARCH Trials of oral Sildenafil in labour: Protocol for a randomised trial in
6 482 3,200 Australian women and Rationale for an Individual Participant Data Prospective Meta-
7 483 Analysis of trials in 14,000 women in high-income countries and a mega-trial of 50,000
8 484 women in low or middle-income countries. Research Square preprint server.
9 485 <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1380362/v1> accessed 23 February 2022. .
10 486 23. Tarnow-Mordi, W.O., et al., To guide future practice, perinatal trials should be much larger,
11 487 simpler and less fragile with close to 100% ascertainment of mortality and other key
12 488 outcomes. *Semin Perinatol*, 2023. **47**(5): p. 151789.
13 489 24. Smith, V., et al., Antenatal and intrapartum interventions for reducing caesarean section,
14 490 promoting vaginal birth, and reducing fear of childbirth: An overview of systematic reviews.
15 491 *PLoS One*, 2019. **14**(10): p. e0224313.
16 492 25. Flenady, V., et al., Stillbirths: recall to action in high-income countries. *Lancet*, 2016.
17 493 **387**(10019): p. 691-702.
18 494 26. Persson, M., et al., Five and 10 minute Apgar scores and risks of cerebral palsy and epilepsy:
19 495 population based cohort study in Sweden. *BMJ*, 2018. **360**: p. k207.
20 496 27. Yeh, P., K. Emary, and L. Impey, The relationship between umbilical cord arterial pH and
21 497 serious adverse neonatal outcome: analysis of 51,519 consecutive validated samples. *BJOG*,
22 498 2012. **119**(7): p. 824-31.
23 499 28. Australian and New Zealand Neonatal Network. ANZNN 2023 Data Dictionary.
24 500 Sydney, Australia. 2023.
25 501 29. Ronen, G.M., et al., Long-term prognosis in children with neonatal seizures: a population-
26 502 based study. *Neurology*, 2007. **69**(19): p. 1816-22.
27 503 30. Thygesen, S.K., et al., Respiratory distress syndrome in moderately late and late preterm
28 504 infants and risk of cerebral palsy: a population-based cohort study. *BMJ Open*, 2016. **6**(10):
29 505 p. e011643.
30 506 31. Smith, G.C., et al., Neonatal respiratory morbidity at term and the risk of childhood asthma.
31 507 *Arch Dis Child*, 2004. **89**(10): p. 956-60.
32 508 32. Lipkin, P.H., et al., Neurodevelopmental and medical outcomes of persistent pulmonary
33 509 hypertension in term newborns treated with nitric oxide. *J Pediatr*, 2002. **140**(3): p. 306-10.
34 510 33. Beligere, N. and R. Rao, Neurodevelopmental outcome of infants with meconium aspiration
35 511 syndrome: report of a study and literature review. *J Perinatol*, 2008. **28 Suppl 3**: p. S93-101.
36 512 34. Chow, S.S.W., Creighton, P., Chambers, G.M., Lui, K. 2020. Report of the Australian and New
37 513 Zealand Neonatal Network 2018. Sydney: ANZNN.
38 514 35. Peto, R. and C. Baigent, *Trials: the next 50 years. Large scale randomised evidence of*
39 515 *moderate benefits*. *BMJ*, 1998. **317**(7167): p. 1170-1.
40 516 36. Von Dadelszen, P., et al., Sildenafil citrate therapy for severe early-onset intrauterine growth
41 517 restriction. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, 2011. **118**(5): p.
42 518 624-628.
43 519 37. Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority 2022, NAPLAN National Report
44 520 for 2022, ACARA, Sydney. <https://www.nap.edu.au/home> accessed 25 Dec 2022.
45 521 38. WHO guidance for best practices for clinical trials. Draft for public consultation. World Health
46 522 Organisation, Geneva. July 2023. [https://cdn-auth-cms.who.int/media/docs/default-](https://cdn-auth-cms.who.int/media/docs/default-source/research-for-health/2023-07_who-guidance-for-best-practices-for-clinical-trials_draft-for-public-consultation.pdf?sfvrsn=7a5c9fa5_3)
47 523 [source/research-for-health/2023-07_who-guidance-for-best-practices-for-clinical-](https://cdn-auth-cms.who.int/media/docs/default-source/research-for-health/2023-07_who-guidance-for-best-practices-for-clinical-trials_draft-for-public-consultation.pdf?sfvrsn=7a5c9fa5_3)
48 524 [trials_draft-for-public-consultation.pdf?sfvrsn=7a5c9fa5_3](https://cdn-auth-cms.who.int/media/docs/default-source/research-for-health/2023-07_who-guidance-for-best-practices-for-clinical-trials_draft-for-public-consultation.pdf?sfvrsn=7a5c9fa5_3) accessed 22 July 2023.
49 525 39. Mahle, W.T., et al., Endorsement of Health and Human Services recommendation for pulse
50 526 oximetry screening for critical congenital heart disease. *Pediatrics*, 2012. **129**(1): p. 190-2.
51 527 40. Yu, L.M., et al., Evaluation of the Ages and Stages Questionnaires in identifying children with
52 528 neurosensory disability in the Magpie Trial follow-up study. *Acta Paediatr*, 2007. **96**(12): p.
53 529 1803-8.

41. Kenyon, S., et al., *Childhood outcomes after prescription of antibiotics to pregnant women with preterm rupture of the membranes: 7-year follow-up of the ORACLE I trial*. Lancet, 2008. **372**(9646): p. 1310-8.
42. Kenyon, S., et al., *Childhood outcomes after prescription of antibiotics to pregnant women with spontaneous preterm labour: 7-year follow-up of the ORACLE II trial*. Lancet, 2008. **372**(9646): p. 1319-27.
43. Cordoba, G., et al., *Definition, reporting, and interpretation of composite outcomes in clinical trials: systematic review*. BMJ, 2010. **341**: p. c3920.
44. Ferreira-Gonzalez, I., et al., *Methodologic discussions for using and interpreting composite endpoints are limited, but still identify major concerns*. J Clin Epidemiol, 2007. **60**(7): p. 651-7; discussion 658-62.
45. Morley, C.J., et al., *Nasal CPAP or intubation at birth for very preterm infants*. N Engl J Med, 2008. **358**(7): p. 700-8.
46. Askie, L.M., et al., *Oxygen-saturation targets and outcomes in extremely preterm infants*. N Engl J Med, 2003. **349**(10): p. 959-67.
47. Brocklehurst, P., et al., *Treatment of neonatal sepsis with intravenous immune globulin*. N Engl J Med, 2011. **365**(13): p. 1201-11.
48. Kenyon, S.L., D.J. Taylor, and W. Tarnow-Mordi, *Broad-spectrum antibiotics for preterm, prelabour rupture of fetal membranes: the ORACLE I randomised trial*. ORACLE Collaborative Group. Lancet, 2001. **357**(9261): p. 979-88.
49. Tarnow-Mordi, W., et al., *Delayed versus Immediate Cord Clamping in Preterm Infants*. N Engl J Med, 2017. **377**(25): p. 2445-2455.
50. Tita, A.T.N., et al., *Azithromycin to Prevent Sepsis or Death in Women Planning a Vaginal Birth*. N Engl J Med, 2023. **388**(13): p. 1161-1170.
51. Gamble, C., et al., *Guidelines for the Content of Statistical Analysis Plans in Clinical Trials*. JAMA, 2017. **318**(23): p. 2337-2343.
52. Coskinas, X., et al., *Changes to aspects of ongoing randomised controlled trials with fixed designs*. Trials, 2020. **21**(1): p. 457.
53. Coskinas, X., et al., *Reacting to prognostic covariate imbalance in randomised controlled trials*. Contemp Clin Trials, 2021. **110**: p. 106544.
54. Coskinas, X., R.J. Simes, and A.J. Martin, *Changes to design and analysis elements of research plans during randomised controlled trials in Australia*. Med J Aust, 2022.
55. Orkin, A.M., et al., *Guidelines for Reporting Trial Protocols and Completed Trials Modified Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic and Other Extenuating Circumstances: The CONSERVE 2021 Statement*. JAMA, 2021.
56. Schulz, K.F., et al., *CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials*. BMJ, 2010. **340**: p. c332.
57. Collins, G.S., et al., *Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD statement*. BMJ, 2015. **350**: p. g7594.
58. Schulz, K.F. and D.A. Grimes, *Multiplicity in randomised trials I: endpoints and treatments*. Lancet, 2005. **365**(9470): p. 1591-5.
59. Pocock, S.J. and G.W. Stone, *The Primary Outcome Fails - What Next?* N Engl J Med, 2016. **375**(9): p. 861-70.
60. Pocock, S.J. and G.W. Stone, *The Primary Outcome Is Positive - Is That Good Enough?* N Engl J Med, 2016. **375**(10): p. 971-9.
61. Groom, K.M., et al., *STRIDER NZAus: a multicentre randomised controlled trial of sildenafil therapy in early-onset fetal growth restriction*. BJOG, 2019.
62. Sharp, A., et al., *Maternal sildenafil for severe fetal growth restriction (STRIDER): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial*. Lancet Child Adolesc Health, 2018. **2**(2): p. 93-102.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

63. Furuhashi, F., et al., *Tadalafil treatment for preeclampsia (medication in preeclampsia; MIE): a multicenter phase II clinical trial*. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med, 2021. **34**(22): p. 3709-3715.

64. Samangaya, R.A., et al., *A randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study of the phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor sildenafil for the treatment of preeclampsia*. Hypertens Pregnancy, 2009. **28**(4): p. 369-82.

65. Pels, A., et al., *Maternal Sildenafil vs Placebo in Pregnant Women With Severe Early-Onset Fetal Growth Restriction: A Randomized Clinical Trial*. JAMA Netw Open, 2020. **3**(6): p. e205323.

66. Sharp, A., et al., *Mortality in the UK STRIDER trial of sildenafil therapy for the treatment of severe early-onset fetal growth restriction*. Lancet Child Adolesc Health, 2019. **3**(3): p. e2-e3.

67. Groom, K., et al., *Clinicians should stop prescribing sildenafil for fetal growth restriction (FGR): comment from the STRIDER Consortium*. Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology: the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2018. **52**(3): p. 295.

68. *Drugs and Lactation Database (LactMed) [Internet]*. Bethesda (MD): National Institute of Child Health and Human Development; 2006-. Sildenafil. [Updated 2022 Jul 18]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK500617/> accessed 16 Dec 2022.

69. National Health Service. *Pregnancy, breastfeeding and fertility while taking sildenafil* <https://www.nhs.uk/medicines/sildenafil-viaagra/pregnancy-breastfeeding-and-fertility-while-taking-sildenafil/> accessed 16 Dec 2022.

70. Haybittle, J.L., *Repeated assessment of results in clinical trials of cancer treatment*. Br J Radiol, 1971. **44**(526): p. 793-7.

71. Peto, R., et al., *Design and analysis of randomized clinical trials requiring prolonged observation of each patient. I. Introduction and design*. Br J Cancer, 1976. **34**(6): p. 585-612.

72. Geller, N.L. and S.J. Pocock, *Interim analyses in randomized clinical trials: ramifications and guidelines for practitioners*. Biometrics, 1987. **43**(1): p. 213-23.

73. Djulbegovic, B., et al., *Optimism bias leads to inconclusive results-an empirical study*. J Clin Epidemiol, 2011. **64**(6): p. 583-93.

74. Zakeri, K., et al., *'Optimism bias' in contemporary national clinical trial network phase III trials: are we improving?* Ann Oncol, 2018. **29**(10): p. 2135-2139.

75. Chalmers, I. and R. Matthews, *What are the implications of optimism bias in clinical research?* Lancet, 2006. **367**(9509): p. 449-50.

76. Webbe, J.W.H., et al., *Core outcomes in neonatology: development of a core outcome set for neonatal research*. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed, 2020. **105**(4): p. 425-431.

77. ICH-E6 Good clinical practice. Geneva: International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use; 2016 (https://database.ich.org/sites/default/files/ICH_E6-R3_GCPPrinciples_Draft_2021_0419.pdf, accessed 5 October 2023).

78. ICH. *General considerations for clinical studies E8(R1)*. Geneva: International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use; 2021 (https://database.ich.org/sites/default/files/ICH_E8-R1_Guideline_Step4_2021_1006.pdf, accessed 5 October 2023).



SPIRIT 2013 Checklist: Recommended items to address in a clinical trial protocol and related documents*

| Section/item | Item No | Description | Addressed on page number |
|-----------------------------------|---------|--|--------------------------|
| Administrative information | | | |
| Title | 1 | Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym | 1 |
| Trial registration | 2a | Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry | 2 |
| | 2b | All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set | 2 |
| Protocol version | 3 | Date and version identifier | 1 |
| Funding | 4 | Sources and types of financial, material, and other support | 1, 17 |
| Roles and responsibilities | 5a | Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors | 1, 17 |
| | 5b | Name and contact information for the trial sponsor | 1 |
| | 5c | Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether they will have ultimate authority over any of these activities | 13, 14 |
| | 5d | Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint adjudication committee, data management team, and other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee) | 14 |

| | | | | |
|----|---|-----|---|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | Background and | 6a | Description of research question and justification for undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant | 4, 5, 6 |
| 4 | rationale | | studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits and harms for each intervention | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | 6b | Explanation for choice of comparators | 10 |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | Objectives | 7 | Specific objectives or hypotheses | 7 |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | Trial design | 8 | Description of trial design including type of trial (eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group), | |
| 11 | | | allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority, equivalence, noninferiority, exploratory) | 7 |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | Methods: Participants, interventions, and outcomes | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | Study setting | 9 | Description of study settings (eg, community clinic, academic hospital) and list of countries where data will | 14 |
| 17 | | | be collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be obtained | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | Eligibility criteria | 10 | Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and | 8, 9 |
| 20 | | | individuals who will perform the interventions (eg, surgeons, psychotherapists) | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | Interventions | 11a | Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow replication, including how and when they will be | 10 |
| 23 | | | administered | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | 11b | Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose | 9, 10 |
| 26 | | | change in response to harms, participant request, or improving/worsening disease) | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | 11c | Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, and any procedures for monitoring adherence | 9, 10 |
| 29 | | | (eg, drug tablet return, laboratory tests) | |
| 30 | | | | |
| 31 | | 11d | Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are permitted or prohibited during the trial | 9, 10 |
| 32 | | | | |
| 33 | Outcomes | 12 | Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood | |
| 34 | | | pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, | 6 |
| 35 | | | median, proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical relevance of chosen | |
| 36 | | | efficacy and harm outcomes is strongly recommended | |
| 37 | | | | |
| 38 | Participant timeline | 13 | Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for | 9, 10, 11 |
| 39 | | | participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure) | |
| 40 | | | | |
| 41 | | | | |
| 42 | | | | |
| 43 | | | | |
| 44 | | | | |
| 45 | | | | |
| 46 | | | | |

| | | | | |
|----|---|-----|--|-----------|
| 1 | Sample size | 14 | Estimated number of participants needed to achieve study objectives and how it was determined, including clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample size calculations | 10 |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | Recruitment | 15 | Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to reach target sample size | 9, 10, 14 |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | Methods: Assignment of interventions (for controlled trials) | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | Allocation: | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | Sequence | 16a | Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer-generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions | 9 |
| 11 | generation | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | Allocation | 16b | Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes), describing any steps to conceal the sequence until interventions are assigned | 9 |
| 17 | concealment | | | |
| 18 | mechanism | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | Implementation | 16c | Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to interventions | 9 |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | Blinding (masking) | 17a | Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how | 9 |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | 17b | If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's allocated intervention during the trial | 14, 15 |
| 28 | | | | |
| 29 | | | | |
| 30 | | | | |
| 31 | Methods: Data collection, management, and analysis | | | |
| 32 | | | | |
| 33 | Data collection | 18a | Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol | 10, 11 |
| 34 | methods | | | |
| 35 | | | | |
| 36 | | | | |
| 37 | | | | |
| 38 | | | | |
| 39 | | 18b | Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols | 9, 10 |
| 40 | | | | |
| 41 | | | | |
| 42 | | | | |
| 43 | | | | |
| 44 | | | | |
| 45 | | | | |
| 46 | | | | |

| | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|-----|---|--------|
| 1 | Data management | 19 | Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol | 9, 10 |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | Statistical methods | 20a | Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol | 11, 12 |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | 20b | Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and adjusted analyses) | 11, 12 |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | 20c | Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple imputation) | 11, 12 |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | Methods: Monitoring | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | Data monitoring | 21a | Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC); summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of whether it is independent from the sponsor and competing interests; and reference to where further details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed | 15 |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | 21b | Description of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim results and make the final decision to terminate the trial | 15 |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | Harms | 22 | Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial conduct | 14 |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | Auditing | 23 | Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if any, and whether the process will be independent from investigators and the sponsor | 14 |
| 29 | | | | |
| 30 | | | | |
| 31 | | | | |
| 32 | Ethics and dissemination | | | |
| 33 | | | | |
| 34 | Research ethics approval | 24 | Plans for seeking research ethics committee/institutional review board (REC/IRB) approval | 2 |
| 35 | | | | |
| 36 | | | | |
| 37 | Protocol amendments | 25 | Plans for communicating important protocol modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC/IRBs, trial participants, trial registries, journals, regulators) | 13, 15 |
| 38 | | | | |
| 39 | | | | |
| 40 | | | | |
| 41 | | | | |
| 42 | | | | |
| 43 | | | | |
| 44 | | | | |
| 45 | | | | |
| 46 | | | | |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|--|------------|
| Consent or assent | 26a | Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see Item 32) | 9, 10 |
| | 26b | Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable | 9, 10 |
| Confidentiality | 27 | How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial | 9, 14 |
| Declaration of interests | 28 | Financial and other competing interests for principal investigators for the overall trial and each study site | 17 |
| Access to data | 29 | Statement of who will have access to the final trial dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that limit such access for investigators | 15 |
| Ancillary and post-trial care | 30 | Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation | N/A |
| Dissemination policy | 31a | Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, health care professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions | 17 |
| | 31b | Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of professional writers | 17 |
| | 31c | Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical code | 18 |
| Appendices | | | |
| Informed consent materials | 32 | Model consent form and other related documentation given to participants and authorised surrogates | Appendix 1 |
| Biological specimens | 33 | Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if applicable | 10 |

*It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the SPIRIT 2013 Explanation & Elaboration for important clarification on the items. Amendments to the protocol should be tracked and dated. The SPIRIT checklist is copyrighted by the SPIRIT Group under the Creative Commons “Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported” license.