

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

# **BMJ Open**

#### Understanding the implementation of interventions to improve the management of frailty in primary care: A rapid realist review

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-054780
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Jun-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Alharbi, Khulud; The University of Manchester Faculty of Medical and Human Sciences Blakeman, Thomas; University of Manchester, School of Community Based Medicine van Marwijk, Harm; University of Brighton, Division of Primary Care and Public Health; Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Reeves, David; University of Manchester, Institute of Population Health; Centre for Biostatistics Tsang, Jung Yin; University of Manchester, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences
Keywords:	PRIMARY CARE, GENERAL MEDICINE (see Internal Medicine), SOCIAL MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH, Organisation of health services < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT
	·





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

terez oni

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies



# Understanding the implementation of interventions to improve the management of frailty in primary care: A rapid realist review

Khulud Alharbi, PhD student, Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester

Thomas Blakeman, PhD, MRCGP, Clinical Senior Lecturer in Primary Care, National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research, Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester

Harm van Marwijk, MD, PhD, GP and Professor of General Practice, Brighton and Sussex Medical School

David Reeves, PhD, Professor, National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester

Jung Yin Tsang, GP and NIHR, In-Practice Fellow, National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research, Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester

Correspondence to Khulud Alharbi; Khulud.alharbi@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk

## Abstract

**Objective:** Identifying and managing the needs of frail people in the community is an increasing priority for policy makers. We sought to identify factors that enable or constrain the implementation of interventions for frail older persons in primary care.

**Design:** A rapid realist review.

Data sources: Cochrane Library, SCOPUS and EMBASE, and grey literature.

**Eligibility criteria for selecting studies:** We considered all types of empirical studies describing frailty interventions in primary care.

Analysis: We followed the realist and meta-narrative evidence syntheses: evolving standards (RAMESES) quality and publication criteria for our synthesis to systematically analyse and synthesize the existing literature and to identify (intervention-context-mechanism-outcome) configurations. We used normalization processes theory (NPT) to illuminate mechanisms surrounding implementation.

**Results:** Our primary research returned 1,735 articles, narrowed down to 29 relevant frailty intervention studies conducted in primary care. Our review identified two families of interventions. They comprised: 1) interventions aimed at the comprehensive assessment and management of frailty needs; and 2) interventions targeting specific frailty needs. Key factors that facilitate or inhibit the translation of frailty interventions into practice related to the distribution of resources; patient engagement and professional skill-sets to address identified need.

**Conclusion:** There remain challenges to achieving successful implementation of frailty interventions in primary care. Targeted allocation of resources to address specific needs, allows a greater alignment of skill-sets and reduces over-assessment of frail individuals. Earlier patient involvement may also improve intervention implementation and adherence. **Key words:** frailty, general practitioners, interventions, tools, older people.

# Strengths and limitations of this study:

- To our knowledge, this is the first realist review to explore factors supporting or inhibiting frailty interventions in primary care.
- The synthesis was constructed based on RAMESES standards entailing development and comparative analysis of ICMO configurations (intervention, context, mechanism, outcome).
- Normalisation process theory (NPT) constructs helped us to highlight factors surrounding the implementations of interventions.
- There was wide heterogeneity in the reporting of implementation processes, with more data for interventions that entailed qualitative evaluations.
- The analysis focused on a defined 'frail' populations within primary studies and excluded related elderly populations whom did not diagnosed with frailty.

# Introduction

Frailty is a promising but also somewhat contested multidimensional syndrome characterized by a reduction in resilience due to the accumulation of health deficits.<sup>1–3</sup> It tends to be progressive, leading to loss of independence, often triggered by a stressor event such as an episode of acute illness.<sup>3</sup> Frailty places individuals at risk of adverse health outcomes, including falls, unplanned hospitalisation and death.<sup>1</sup> It is highly prevalent among older people; increasing from 4% in people aged 65-69 years to greater than 16% in those aged 80 years and over.<sup>4–6</sup> The heterogeneity of frailty status also increased the challenges of understanding a frailty intervention, due to the differences between individuals capacity (e.g. pre-frail and frail).<sup>7</sup> Informed by emergent evidence, targeted support from health and care services is now advocated to improve the lives and outcomes for older people with frailty.<sup>1,8,9</sup>

In England, contractual requirements have been introduced for general practitioners (GPs) to routinely identify and manage frail patients aged 65 years and older using an appropriate tool like electronic frailty index (eFI).<sup>10</sup> This policy emphasises the role of primary care in providing a stratified person centred approach according to levels of severity.<sup>10,11</sup> For individuals with moderate or severe frailty, key contractual requirements include a focus on GP practices

#### **BMJ** Open

There is evidence that conducting a CGA in the hospital environment can lead to improved health outcomes in terms of reducing mortality; helping people remain in their own home; and in improving cognition.<sup>13,14</sup> The main elements usually include: systematic detection (versus conventional opportunistic case-finding), comprehensive assessment of needs, the development of a subsequent care plan and the delivery of the interventions within the care plan.<sup>15</sup> However, though a national policy priority, a recent systematic review highlighted limited and mixed evidence concerning the introduction of comprehensive geriatric assessments offered in the primary care setting to those perceived to be the most vulnerable older people.<sup>16</sup> Furthermore, the diversity of frailty interventions increases the challenge to define the best intervention that could be used to identify, assess and manage frailty in older people.<sup>7</sup> Our study sought to gain greater clarity of factors that impact the implementation of frailty interventions in primary care.

## Methods

## Objective

We conducted a rapid realist review of the literature to understand factors that support or inhibit implementation of frailty interventions in primary care.

## Patients and public involvement

No patients or public were involved in this study.

## Study design

This study has been informed by the principles underpinning rapid realist reviews (RRR)<sup>17</sup> in conjunction with normalization process theory (NPT).<sup>18</sup> The published protocol for the review is registered with PROSPERO (CRD42019161193).<sup>19</sup> The reporting of this review is consistent with the realist and meta-narrative evidence synthesis (RAMESES) publication standards.<sup>20</sup>

As stated by Saul et al, rapid realist review methodology focuses on identifying 'families of interventions' (I) and to then explain why they produce 'outcomes' of interest (O) through generating specific changes in 'context' (C) that trigger particular 'mechanisms' (M).<sup>21</sup> This approach to applying realist methodology is particularly useful when research findings need to be rapidly adapted and iteratively refined to take account of emerging evidence in intervention development.<sup>21</sup> We considered implementation of frailty interventions in primary care through analysis of intervention, context, mechanisms, outcomes (ICMO) configurations. Reflecting our primary objective, our main outcome of interest was evidence of implementation. Realist methodology was appropriate as it allowed an illumination of the interactions between these configurations, particularly within the context of complex interventions implemented in primary care.

NPT is a theory of implementation that focuses on the work people do surrounding the implementation of new sets of practices.<sup>22,23</sup> NPT proposes four constructs 'generative mechanisms', which characterise different types of work that 'people do as they work around a set of practices'.<sup>23</sup> The four NPT constructs comprise: coherence 'sense-making work', cognitive participation 'relational work to build and sustain a community of practice', collective action 'operational work to enact a set of practices' and reflexive monitoring 'formal and informal assessment of the new sets of practice'.<sup>23,24</sup> For the purposes of this study, NPT provided a sensitising framework to help consider mechanisms that enabled or constrained implementation of frailty interventions in primary care.

#### Search process

#### Literature search

To obtain the relevant papers for review, groups of medical subject headings (MeSH) and key words highlighted (Box 1) were used to screen for English language articles. The first reviewer KA conducted an initial scoping search to develop familiarity with the various kinds of frailty interventions relevant to primary care settings in March 2019. Subsequently, iterative and progressively more focused searches were used and re-run in September 2019. An electronic literature search was conducted using the following bibliographic databases: Cochrane Library, SCOPUS and EMBASE.

## Box 1: MeSH and key words used in the search processes

("frail\*" or "frail elderly" or "frailty") and ("general practitioners" or "general practitioner" or "family physician" or "primary care" or " primary medical care"), and ("interventions" or "intervention study" or "models" or "model" or "tool" or "tools" or "strategy" or "strategies" or "project" or "projects"). Basic Boolean operators (i.e. AND, OR) were used in the search strategy.

# Data selection

The data selection process was performed in two stages with no time period restrictions. All forms of study design were included in order to present a comprehensive exploration of factors surrounding implementation, with acknowledgment that there might be varying strengths of evidence. Using the primary and secondary exclusion criteria, KA screened the papers to ensure the eligibility to the study's aim (Table 1). If there was doubt, TB double checked the studies to ensure that inclusion criteria were met. During full text screening, we considered all of the systematic reviews that might open a pathway of additional targeted searches explaining our interventions.

The secondary search was an iterative process from the published interventions identified in the primary search. This entailed:

- Searches of relevant articles in the reference list.
- Searches of the author on PubMed and ResearchGate.
- Searches of the author and research group on Google to identify relevant grey literature.

Table 1: Primary and secondary exclusion criteria for the primary search

Primary exclusion criteria to screen (title and	Secondary exclusion criteria to screen (full			
abstract)	text)			
<ul> <li>Studies not written in English;</li> <li>Studies that include participants who are not human;</li> <li>Studies where the primary focus was not on the care of frail older people;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Studies where there was no description of any intervention or guidelines;</li> <li>Studies that did not report any outcome or results;</li> </ul>			

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

<ul> <li>Studies which focused on managing a specific condition in frail individuals;</li> <li>Studies which were letters, notes, or conference abstracts only.</li> <li>Studies which further information to make an assessment could not be</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Studies where there was no description or detail on how frail individuals were included in the study.</li> </ul>

## Participants in the interventions

To increase the clarity of our analysis and understanding of the intervention, the review examined the implementation of interventions that were designed to specifically recruit a frail (not- pre frail) population. We included studies adopting any type of screening and case finding method for frailty, such as physical function, professionals' opinion, Groningen frailty indicator (GFI) or Tilburg frailty indicator (TFI) tools.

### Data extraction

KA extracted the relevant data into a spreadsheet to prepare for analysis (Supplementary Table S1). Then, an extraction ICMO model was developed including use of NPT constructs. KA used this model to extract all of the relevant information, and created an ICMO model for each intervention in a separate file (Supplementary Table S2). Following NPT, KA also applied a series of questions to guide the evaluation of factors affecting the implementation of an intervention (Supplementary Table S3). On a weekly basis, KA shared the ICMO model and an original copy of each intervention study with TB and JT, which enhanced their discussion and supported the development of emergent themes. Between three and five interventions were typically reviewed at each meeting.

## Data analysis

Three reviewers (KA, TB and JT) independently extracted relevant themes from studies, and weekly data sessions were held to critically appraise, analyse and synthesise emergent themes. After each meeting, themes were summarized and their relationships elicited. Through an iterative process, ICMO models for each intervention study developed as the study progressed, with researchers gaining increasing familiarity with RRR methodology.

Specifically, types of frailty interventions in primary care (i.e. 'families of interventions') were identified according to their common features and proposed sets of practices.<sup>21</sup> Analysis of the studies examined what local changes in practice 'context' occurred following the introduction of the intervention. NPT provided a sensitising framework to consider 'mechanisms' triggered. Using constant comparative methods, we examined the relationships between intervention, contextual changes, mechanisms and outcomes, both for individual studies and across types of 'families of intervention'. Through this iterative process, we constructed an understanding of factors underpinning the implementation of frailty interventions in primary care. Forward and backward citation searches were conducted on each identified key study, leading to additional studies being added to the review list throughout the process.

#### Quality appraisal

In keeping with realist methodology, appraising whether the main focus of each study was 'frailty in primary care' was a key factor .<sup>25</sup> Since we included multiple study designs in this RRR, all included studies were evaluated for methodological rigour by KA using the mixed methods appraisal tool (MMAT),<sup>26</sup> and confirmed with TB and JT. A score was assigned to each intervention for each appraisal criteria met (out of five), to inform the confidence of findings obtained (Supplementary Table S4). This approach allowed a focus on more comprehensive papers without excluding weaker papers, which still contributed to the final evidence synthesis.<sup>27</sup>

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

## **Results**

Figure 1 illustrates the article selection process for the review. Of 1735 studies screened for relevance, 85 articles underwent full text review, leading to 29 intervention studies contributing to the analysis. Included studies were published between 2000 and 2019. Most were conducted in Netherlands (n=17) and Spain (n=3), with nine other countries represented by one study each: Japan, China, Australia, Austria, Canada, France, USA, Switzerland, and Mexico.

The iterative secondary search identified 38 records further that provided further insight into each of the 29 intervention studies (Figure 2). A descriptive overview of the interventions is presented in (Supplementary Table S5), and a list of the records identified by the secondary search is provided in (Supplementary file1).

## **Families of frailty interventions**

Through an iterative analysis of data from across the included studies, the frailty interventions were grouped into two 'families': 1) interventions aimed at comprehensive assessment and management; and 2) interventions targeting specific frailty needs. Comparative analysis of the ICMO configurations identified three key related factors underpinning the implementation of frailty interventions in primary care: distribution of resources, patient engagement and the skillset of the professionals involved. The studies used the term 'resources' in different ways and referred to the use of time, the presence of multidisciplinary team members, enabling technology, as well as access to secondary care and community resources.

## Family 1: Comprehensive assessment and management of frailty

Of the 29 included studies, 23 interventions related to this family. Interventions were mostly carried out in the Netherlands (n=17),<sup>28-44</sup> with the others conducted (n=1) in France,<sup>45</sup> Switzerland, <sup>46</sup> Spain, <sup>47</sup> Canada, <sup>48</sup> Mexico, <sup>49</sup> and the USA. <sup>50</sup>

Common design features across these interventions included a focus on developing a care plan and consideration of patients' preferences, with some aiming to improve collaboration between primary and secondary care organisations.<sup>28-50</sup> Participants in the intervention groups tended to receive an in-home multidimensional geriatric assessment by a nurse. These were generally

completed using assessment tools, which varied across the interventions: the Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA),<sup>28,48</sup> the Resident Assessment Instrument–Home Care version (RAI-HC),<sup>29,45</sup> the interRAI Community Health Assessment instrument,<sup>41–44</sup> or the Easy-Care instrument.<sup>32,34</sup> In conjunction with GPs or through extended team meetings, a preliminary care plan was formulated. The approach then tended to entail a second home visit conducted by the nurse to discuss and finalise the care plan with the patient. In the main, nurses were responsible for planning and coordinating care delivery, providing periodic evaluation and monitoring of care plans.<sup>28-50</sup> In only one intervention, participants were referred to a geriatrician or physical therapist who performed the CGA and then designed a tailored multifactorial interventions in the community.<sup>47</sup>

#### Key factors influencing implementation

#### A. Distribution of resources

Our comparative analysis of the intervention studies suggested that in the main, professionals invested considerable time in performing an assessment to identify patients' problems, with less time made available for managing the identified needs. For example, in the geriatric care model (GCM), nurses spent 50 to 90 minutes conducting the initial assessment, an average of 37 minutes writing care plans, and a further 40 minutes preparing and carrying out multidisciplinary team meetings,<sup>42</sup> but just over half an hour on 'discussing care plans' during follow up visits.<sup>42</sup> Subsequently, care plans and follow-up visits were not always carried out as intended depending on time pressure or on assessment outcomes, with some nurses not writing a care plan at all when there was limited time or when no health needs were identified.<sup>42</sup>

The [G]OLD preventive home visitation programme, invested on average 85 minutes per older person from preparation of the home visit to formulating the care plan.<sup>28,51</sup> Professionals considered home visiting helpful to gain an overview of a persons' living environment, which supported decision making (i.e., a possible transition to a nursing home).<sup>28,51</sup> However, in some cases, the time needed to complete an assessment and develop a care plan for frail older people proved considerably longer than anticipated.<sup>52,53</sup> For example, it took extra evaluation to clarify the urgency of the problem,<sup>52</sup> or it took time for elderly patients to become acquainted with the nurses and to share their stories.<sup>53</sup> In the disability prevention programme, some nurses

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

substituted second home visits by a telephone discussion of the care plan for patients with less complicated issues.<sup>37,54</sup> No data was available for time spent on executing the care plan or the suggested management for any of these studies. A key implementation barrier for proactive elderly care is that nurses spent most of the time doing the assessment to develop a care plan and then they struggle to implement the care plan for each individual.

In contrast, the '+AGIL Barcelona' intervention allocated resources for both a comprehensive assessment and the management of identified frailty needs. This entailed evaluating the needs through a CGA conducted by a geriatrician and physical therapist, and then providing exercise groups (also encouraging socialisation), promotion of a Mediterranean diet, health education, and medication reviews, along with ongoing primary care practitioner input. The patients and family also received the CGA results on the same day of the evaluation and agreed a tailored care plan together – there was no time lag to patient involvement. Adjusting the available resources and support of the geriatric team and community resources allowed the intervention to be adaptable and sustainable for primary care teams and for older people.<sup>47</sup>

#### B. Patient engagement

As the first home visit in most interventions tended to focus on assessment, with the care plan then being created in discussion between the nurse and the GPs with the patient more involved on the second visit,<sup>28,30,32,39,41,42,44,55</sup> this could create a mismatch between patients' and professionals' priorities. Some patients then lack motivation to implement the intervention or resisting changes.<sup>28</sup> For example, one patient indicated that proactive nurse visits tended to be 'meddling in other people's affairs', especially when there was no specific request for help.<sup>28</sup> In other interventions it became 'overwhelming' for older people when it did not match their needs or provided no further perceived benefits.<sup>56</sup> Implementing proactive care plans can thus create tensions around people's autonomy. Conversely, nurses indicated that in some cases it was important to gain trust before older people would want to share their problems, if they had these, and experiences with them.<sup>53</sup> Proactive visits by nurses in some interventions were well-received by older people; as they felt anything could be discussed with nurses, <sup>57</sup> including non-medical issues.<sup>36</sup> One intervention conducted in the Netherlands attempted to maintain patient and professional relationships through use of a web-based conference table. However, although

patients appreciated their concerns being delivered to their GPs, they were less comfortable using the computer and preferred face-to-face contact.<sup>31</sup> Only one study completed the assessment and a care plan on the same day.<sup>47</sup> Involving patients directly into the development of care plans, resulted in high adherence (90.2% attended > 75% exercise sessions) and significant improvements in physical function.<sup>47</sup> There was limited evidence on the degree to which patients were involved in developing and executing their care plan, although many projects saw this as an important aspect of intervention design.

#### C. Professional skill-set

Use of a multidisciplinary team was a key feature across this family of frailty interventions. However, in the main, there was limited evidence on how management of needs identified in a care plan was delegated across different disciplines, which limited the analysis to understand the translation of care plan into practice. Analysis indicated that professionals encountered a number of barriers to deliver the care for frail older persons based on the intervention and skillset. For example, nurses were responsible for the assessment and development of the care plan, and were reported to have good organization and communication skills.<sup>37</sup> However, at times, this was insufficient to implement a care plan with difficulties reported undertaking medication reviews,<sup>51</sup> or creating plans for patients with mental problems.<sup>28</sup> Alternatively, a successful feature was the enhanced role of geriatricians in fostering collaboration and sharing information between primary care and hospital settings, which enabled smoother transitions of care (i.e. more appropriate admissions) and allowed identified needs to be more swiftly met.<sup>45,46</sup>

#### Family 2: Targeting specific frailty needs

Out of the 29 intervention studies, 6 related to screening and targeting specific frailty needs. The interventions were conducted in Spain (n=2),<sup>58,59</sup> and in (n=1) Australia,<sup>60</sup> Austria,<sup>61</sup> China,<sup>62</sup> and Japan.<sup>63</sup>

In the main, these interventions aimed to address a specific need and produce observable outcomes such as mobility, functional, cognitive and emotional status, psychosocial status, hospitalization and level of pain.<sup>58–63</sup> These mostly entailed multifactorial interventions including physical activity, memory workshops, medication review,<sup>58</sup> a combined exercise programme,<sup>59</sup> nutritional supplementation, referral to a psychiatrist, encouraging social

engagement and home exercise programmes,<sup>60</sup> nutritional and physical programmes alongside social support,<sup>61</sup> acupressure treatment,<sup>62</sup> and resistance exercise, nutritional and psycho-social programmes.<sup>63</sup>

## Key factors influencing implementation

A. Distribution of resources and professionals skill-sets

Our analysis of this family of interventions suggested that compared to the more comprehensive (Family 1) interventions, there was clearer and more adaptable allocation of resources across both the assessment and management of specific needs. Likewise, the care plan appeared more straightforward to align professional skill sets to address specific needs. One example of a multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention conducted in Australia, older participants were recruited if they met three or more of phenotype criteria (i.e. weight loss, exhaustion, low physical activity, slowness, weakness) and then according to the needs participants were assigned either nutritional intervention, referral to psychiatrist, or home physical activity sessions. The intervention also entailed ongoing reassessment throughout the intervention phase.<sup>60</sup> The physiotherapist was able to coordinate the intervention in the community with 'well-prepared health and care services for older people', resulting in a high level of adherence to the intervention.<sup>60,64</sup> In another multifactorial intervention conducted in Barcelona, participants were screened for frailty using phenotype criteria and then they were aligned to the interventions according to their needs i.e. physical activity, nutritional intake, memory workshop and medication review. The monitoring was a priority: every 2 weeks there was an evaluation of progression, measuring intensity and number of repetitions of physical activity, which resulted in a sustained 'improvement in mobility and strength performance'.<sup>58,65</sup> GPs skills were successfully used to perform medication reviews, where patients were re-educated about unnecessary drugs and successfully reduced their use.58

## B. Patient and 'social' engagement

Analysis suggested that patients appreciated the intervention when it met their needs and capacity. Promoting the social life of participants was considered a key feature of some interventions. <sup>61–63</sup> For example, acupressure treatment was designed as a caregiver administered treatment, which could be carried out at home or community settings.<sup>62</sup> After training,

'caregivers were requested to spend two 20 minutes sessions per week with the elderly doing homework assigned by the activity group'.<sup>62</sup> Participants revealed that they were in a better mood after the intervention,<sup>62</sup> and they experienced a significantly higher satisfaction in their ability to perform daily living activities.<sup>62</sup> In another multifactorial intervention in Japan, a psychosocial programme was conducted alongside the exercise and nutritional programmes.<sup>63</sup> The psychosocial programme consisted of practical and group activities to discuss hobbies and interests. Participants also discussed how to continue the exercise after the intervention. Consequently, sessions were completed as planned with evidence that the participants continued the exercise programme even after the intervention.<sup>63</sup> In another home-based intervention performed in Austria, trained non-professional volunteers visited malnourished frail older persons twice a week for approximately one hour. The first group of older people performed a nutritional and physical activity intervention, with the control group receiving social support only.<sup>61</sup> Adherence to the visit was higher in the physical exercise group but both groups demonstrated improvement in nutritional and frailty scores. The study suggested that social support alone can have a significant impact on nutrition and frailty status in older persons.<sup>61</sup>

#### Sustainability of frailty interventions

Overall, there was no clear evidence to capture the long term sustainability of the interventions. In the interventions aimed at comprehensive assessment and developing care plan, an imbalance between time investment and the available resources in proportion to the problems detected might be a factor that constrained long-term implementation.<sup>28,35,42,55,57,66</sup> Further, our analysis suggested that older people's interests and perceptions needed to be considered earlier to understand how much they are willing to be part of the intervention.<sup>29,36</sup> It was evident from interventions targeting specific frailty needs that the enhancement of community networks and social interaction influenced the interventions being sustained for at least 3 months.<sup>58,63</sup>

#### Discussion

#### Statement of the principal findings

In this review, we identified two families of interventions and highlighted factors that enabled and constrained their implementation. These related to the distribution of resources, patients' engagement and the professional skill-set to target identified need. For interventions entailing a

comprehensive approach to frailty, our analysis suggested that time to form trusting relationships was important but that a disproportionate amount of resource may be consumed by assessment compared to the implementation of management plans. Furthermore, the development and resourcing of a professional skill-set to address a range of needs was not necessarily explicit from the outset. In contrast, interventions targeting specific frailty needs demonstrated greater clarity regarding the distribution of resources, with alignment of a professional skill-set to a specific need (and thus seem easier to implement). Our analysis further suggested that incorporating social factors into intervention design might support implementation and sustainability.

#### Strengths and limitations

A key strength of this study is that it provides an evidence-based map of interventions in primary care for managing the 'needs' of frail older people. Our focus was to evaluate factors underpinning successful implementation of frailty interventions, rather than drawing strong conclusions on effectiveness. In addition, we acknowledge that our review of intervention studies takes the concept of frailty at face value and does not take into account literature that critiques the 'power relations' surrounding the introduction of frailty into routine practice.<sup>67–69</sup> However, we acknowledge the heterogeneity of the frailty groups, with interventions highlighting a range of approaches to identifying frail populations, such as systematic screening and active case finding. We did not explore the frailty patients' characteristics; but we have included a summary of the screening criteria in (Supplementary Table S5). To enhance trustworthiness, our findings were constructed through constant comparative methods, iterative testing and retesting of ICMO configurations, which were regularly updated.<sup>21</sup> Lack of contextual details (e.g. what happened after introducing the intervention) in the published studies limited our analysis. However, our secondary search identified accompanying articles revealing further contextual data and evaluation for certain interventions. Rigour was maintained through three reviewers attending regular data meetings.

#### Comparison of our findings with other studies

Implementation of new classification codes such as frailty have the potential to both structure and constrain the delivery of primary care.<sup>70</sup> Our review of frailty interventions in primary care resonates with previous qualitative research exploring comprehensive geriatric assessments.<sup>13</sup>

Gardner et al <sup>13</sup> found that patients and carers 'wanted their knowledge and priorities to be included in the assessment and care plan and that, at times, the integration of social and personal care needs was unclear'. Findings from the wider literature, including our previous analysis of dialogue surrounding self-management support for people with long-term conditions, highlight the potential for assessment tools to reinforce a checklist approach to consultations, potentially disrupting (and delaying) patient and caregiver involvement in care planning discussions.<sup>71–73</sup> Furthermore, Macdonald et al <sup>7</sup> suggests that a CGA approach potentially works if the resources and professionals skill set (i.e. geriatrician) allocated to address the identified needs.<sup>7</sup> However, there are still limitations to outcome measurement of the interventions,<sup>7</sup> two studies demonstrated no significant differences between intervention and control groups in terms of frailty measures.<sup>74,75</sup> Our review also highlights clear potential challenges in implementing comprehensive assessment to develop a care plan in primary care.

#### Implications for policy and practice

Some older people want to maintain their privacy, and may be reluctant to reveal certain types of possibly stigmatizing needs, known as 'hidden needs', such as cognitive problems.<sup>76</sup> Our analysis suggested that comprehensive assessment and visiting older people at home enabled trusting relationships between patients and professionals to form as well as fostering multidisciplinary collaborations. Though important, this was insufficient to ensure effective implementation of care plans without adequate extra resourcing (e.g. time, workforce expansion). Our recent qualitative study highlighted widespread concern surrounding current capacity to address identified unmet needs of frail patients in primary care.<sup>77</sup> There is evidence to support the introduction of interventions targeting exercise training for people with different stages of frailty.<sup>7</sup> This RRR further suggests that incorporating social dimensions of care into interventions design may reduce the potential for loneliness and isolation and so enhance their implementation.<sup>28,47,63,62,78–80</sup>

## Conclusion

There remain challenges to achieving successful implementation of frailty management interventions in primary care to improve health outcomes for older people with frailty. Developing a specific care plan helps professionals to manage the identified needs, allowing a greater alignment of skill-sets and avoiding over-assessment of people living with frailty. Earlier involvement of patients is another key factor that may facilitate implementation and increase adherence to the intervention.

#### Author contributorship

The idea for this article originated from an ongoing PhD research programme around patient frailty in primary care (KA). DR, KA, TB, HvM and JT conceived of the article. TB, JT and KA developed the study design and KA extracted the themes and developed the final result under the supervision of TB and JT. KA wrote the manuscript with contributions and comments from DR, HVM, TB and JT. TB is guarantor of the article.

#### **Competing interests**

None declared

## Funding

This is a doctoral funded study through Umm Al-Qura University and the University of Manchester. This paper is linked to independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research. The views expressed in this document are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

## Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

#### **Ethic statement**

Ethics approval was not required.

#### References

- 1. Clegg A, Young J, Iliff S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. 2013;381.
- 2. Puts MTE, Toubasi S, Atkinson E, Ayala AP, Andrew M, Ashe MC, et al. Interventions to

## **BMJ** Open

1		
2		
3		prevent or reduce the level of frailty in community-dwelling older adults: a protocol for a
4 5		scoping review of the literature and international policies. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2016 Mar
5		1 [cited 2019 Mar 19];6(3):e010959. Available from:
7		https://bmiopen.bmi.com/content/6/3/e010959
7 8	3	Moody DNF I yndon HNF Dr. Stevens GNK Toolkit for general practice in supporting
9	5.	older noonla living with frailty 2017:
10	4	Sana V. Mitaitalai A. Daalaana d. K. Daanalamaa and 10 Maan anta ana a finaita in aldan
11	4.	Song X, Mitnitski A, Rockwood K. Prevalence and 10-Year outcomes of fraity in older
12		adults in relation to deficit accumulation. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2010;58(4):681–7.
12	5.	Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J. Frailty in older
14		adults: evidence for a phenotype. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci [Internet]. 2001;56.
15		Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gerona/56.3.M146
16	6	Gilardi F Capanna A Ferraro M Scarcella P Marazzi MC Palombi L et al Frailty
17	0.	screening and assessment tools: a review of characteristics and use in Public Health Ann
18		Server in g and assessment tools, a review of characteristics and use in r done reach. This $L_{\alpha}$ 2019-20-129 20
19	7	1g. 2010, $30.120-39$ . Stanlar H. E. Martin 1d. Labor Transm. Éidén Né Shé, Labo Dailan Daman Daman
20	1.	Stephen HF. Macdonald, John Travers, Eldin Ni She, Jade Balley, Roman Romero-
21		Ortuno, Michael Keyes, Diarmuid O'Shea MTC. Primary care interventions to address
22		physical frailty among community-dwelling adults aged 60 years or older : A meta-
23		analysis. 2020;69.
24	8.	Binder EF, Schechtman KB, Ehsani AA, Steger-May K, Brown M, Sinacore DR, et al.
25		Effects of exercise training on frailty in community-dwelling older adults. Results of a
26		randomized controlled trial I Am Geriatr Soc 2002:50(12):1921–8
27	0	Gill TM Baker DI Gottschalk M Peduzzi PN Allore H Byers A A program to prevent
28	9.	functional dealing in physically froit alderly generate who live at home. N Engl I Med
29		functional decline in physically frail, elderly persons who five at nome. N Engl J Med
30		[Internet]. 2002;347(14 CC-Incontinence CC-Bone, Joint and Muscle Trauma CC-SR-
31		REHAB CC-Stroke):1068-1074. Available from:
32		https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-00398268/full
33	10.	NHS Employers. Identification and management of patients with frailty Summary of
34		requirements. 2017;(July 2017):1–4. Available from:
35		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary.care
36		contracts/GMS/Summary of requirements for frailty ndf
37	11	No Title, https://www.angland.nhg.uk/ourwork/olinical.nolicy/older.noonle/froilty/living
38	11.	No The. https://www.england.https.uk/ourwork/chinical-policy/older-people/franty/fiving-
39		with-frailty/.
40	12.	Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K, Iliff S, et al. Frailty in elderly
41		people. 2013;381.
42	13.	Gardner M, Shepperd S, Godfrey M, Mäkelä P, Tsiachristas A, Singh-Mehta A, et al.
45		Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment in hospital and hospital-at-home settings: a mixed-
44		methods study. Heal Serv Deliv Res. 2019:7(10):1–206.
45	14	Ellis G Gardner M Tsiachristas A Langhorne P Burke O Harwood RH et al
40	1	Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older adults admitted to hospital Cochrane
48		Detabase Syst Day, 2017:2017(0)
49	1.5	Database Syst Rev. 2017,2017(9).
50	15.	Bruish Genaurics Society. Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment Toolkit for Primary Care
51		Practitioners. 2019;1–48.
52	16.	Garrard JW, Cox NJ, Dodds RM, Roberts HC, Sayer AA. Comprehensive geriatric
53		assessment in primary care: a systematic review. Aging Clin Exp Res [Internet].
54		2019;(0123456789). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-019-01183-w
55	17.	Rycroft-Malone J, McCormack B, Hutchinson AM. DeCorby K. Bucknall TK. Kent B. et
56		, , ,
57		
58		
59		
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	al. Realist synthesis: illustrating the method for implementation research. Implement Sci
	[Internet]. 2012;7(1):1. Available from: 7/1748-5908-7-33
18.	Murray E, Treweek S, Pope C, MacFarlane A, Ballini L, Dowrick C, et al. Normalisation
	process theory: A framework for developing, evaluating and implementing complex
	interventions. BMC Med [Internet]. 2010;8(1):63. Available from:
	http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7015/8/63
19.	Khulud Alharbi, Harm van Marwijk, David Reeves, Tom Blakeman JYT. Understanding
	the implementation of interventions to improve the management of frailty in primary care:
	a rapid realist review protocol. 2018;1–4.
20.	Westhorp G, Wong G, Greenhalgh T, Pawson R, Buckingham J. RAMESES publication
	standards: realist syntheses. J Adv Nurs. 2013;69(5):1005-22.
21.	Saul JE, Willis CD, Bitz J, Best A. A time-responsive tool for informing policy making:
	Rapid realist review. Implement Sci. 2013;8(1):1–15.
22.	May CR, Cummings A, Girling M, Bracher M, Mair FS, May CM, et al. Using
	Normalization Process Theory in feasibility studies and process evaluations of complex
	healthcare interventions: A systematic review. Implement Sci. 2018;13(1).
23.	May C, Murray E, Finch T etal. Normalization process theory on-line users' manual and
<b>.</b>	toolkit. NPT. 2010.
24.	May C, Finch T. Implementing, Embedding, and Integrating Practices: An Outline of
	Normalization Process Theory. Sociology [Internet]. 2009 Jun 1;43(3):535–54. Available
25	trom: https://doi.org/10.11///0038038509103208
25.	Pawson K, Greennaign I, Gill H, Kieran W. Realist Synthesis : An introduction Realist
26	Synthesis . an introduction. 2004, (April). Hong O. Dhiyo D. Egbrogues S. Portlatt C. Poordman F. Cargo M. et al. Mixed Methods
20.	Appraisal Tool (MMAT) Version 2018 User guide McGill [Internet] 2018:1-11
	Available from:
	http://mixedmethodsappraisaltoolpublic phworks com/w/file/fetch/127916259/MMAT_20
	18 criteria-manual 2018-08-
	01 ENG pdf%0Ahttp://mixedmethodsappraisaltoolpublic pbworks com/
27.	Pawson R. Digging for nuggets: How "bad" research can vield "good" evidence. Int J Soc
	Res Methodol Theory Pract. 2006;9(2):127–42.
28.	Stijnen MMN, Jansen MWJ, Duimel-peeters IGP, Vrijhoef HJM, Nurse-led home
	visitation programme to improve health-related quality of life and reduce disability among
	potentially frail community-dwelling older people in general practice : a theory-based
	process evaluation. 2014;1–14.
29.	Van Hout HPJ, Jansen APD, Van Marwijk HWJ, Pronk M, Frijters DF, Nijpels G.
	Prevention of adverse health trajectories in a vulnerable elderly population through nurse
	home visits: A randomized controlled trial [ISRCTN05358495]. Journals Gerontol - Ser A
	Biol Sci Med Sci. 2010;65 A(7):734–42.
30.	Vestjens L, Cramm JM, Nieboer AP. Quality of primary care delivery and productive
	interactions among community-living frail older persons and their general practitioners
	and practice nurses. BMC Health Serv Res [Internet]. 2019;19(1). Available from:
	https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
	85068817094&doi=10.1186%2Fs12913-019-4255-
	2&partnerID=40&md5=753c76884f111d2ae5b210475c731847
31.	Robben SHM, Perry M, Huisjes M, Nieuwenhuijzen L Van, Schers HJ, Weel C Van, et al.

1		
2		
3		Implementation of an innovative web-based conference table for community-dwelling
4		frail older people their informal caregivers and professionals a process evaluation
5		$2012 \cdot 1_{-12}$
6	22	Logmon WM Fahlmingtti DI Uniigmon D. The short term offects of an integrated some
7	32.	Looman www, Fabbricout IN, Huljsman K. The short-term effects of an integrated care
8		model for the frail elderly on health, quality of life, health care use and satisfaction with
9		care. Int J Integr Care [Internet]. 2014;14(4). Available from:
10		https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
11		84957863082&doi=105334%2Fijic 1010&nartnerID=40&md5=3a9b0921fe70d4784a9be
12		09001f55df
13		
14	33.	Melis RJF, Adang E, Teerenstra S, Van Eijken MIJ, Wimo A, Van Achterberg T, et al.
15		Cost-effectiveness of a multidisciplinary intervention model for community-dwelling frail
16		older people. Journals Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci [Internet]. 2008;63(3):275–82.
17		Available from https://www2 scopus com/inward/record uri?eid=2-s2 0-
18		4/240096967 function in the second second in ward record. at real 2 52.0
19	24	+4549080807 (wpartiterin) -40 km (u) -704110000219558a0474a55967201a02
20	34.	Ruikes FGH, Zuidema SU, Akkermans RP, Assendeift WJJ, Schers HJ, Koopmans
21		RTCM. Multicomponent Program to Reduce Functional Decline in Frail Elderly People:
22		A Cluster Controlled Trial. J Am Board Fam Med. 2016;29(2):209–17.
23	35.	Bleijenberg N. Drubbel I. Neslo RE, Schuurmans MJ, ten Dam VH, Numans ME, et al.
24		Cost-Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program for Frail Older People: A
25		Cluster Dendemized Controlled Trial I Am Med Dir Assee [Internet] 2017;19(12):1020
25		Cluster-Kandolnized Controlled That. J All Med Dir Assoc [Internet]. 2017,16(12).1029-
20		1036.e3. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2017.06.023
27	36.	Bleijenberg N, Boeije HR, Onderwater AT, Schuurmans MJ. Frail Older Adults'
20		Experiences With a Proactive, Nurse-Led Primary Care Program. J Gerontol Nurs
29		[Internet] 2015:41(9):20–9 Available from:
30 21		https://www/2 scopus com/inward/record uri?eid=2-s2 0-
ו כ כי		nups.//www2.scopus.com/mward/record.unf.cod=2-s2.0-
22 22		84948689333&d01=10.3928%2F00989134-20150814-
22		03&partnerID=40&md5=1f26/925c94840a0ab013dac0544dc3f
24 25	37.	Metzelthin SF, Daniëls R, van Rossum E, Cox K, Habets H, de Witte LP, et al. A nurse-
35		led interdisciplinary primary care approach to prevent disability among community-
30		dwelling frail older people. A large-scale process evaluation Int I Nurs Stud
37		2013·50(9)·118/_96
38	20	Lahma O. Sin and W. Kamman CHM. Effectiveness of interdisciplingers primary ages
39	38.	Hooma O, Sipers W, Kempen Olim. Effectiveness of interdisciplinary primary care
40		approach to reduce disability in community dwelling frail older people : cluster
41		randomised controlled trial. 2013;5264(September):1–12. Available from:
42		http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1136/bmj.f5264
43	39	Metzelthin SF van Rossum E Hendriks MRC De witte LP Hobma SO Sipers W et al
44	• • •	Reducing disability in community-dwelling frail older people: Cost-effectiveness study
45		alongoida a alustar randomized controlled trial. A go A going 2015:44(2):200. 6
46	10	alongside a cluster randomised controlled that. Age Ageing. 2015;44(5):390–6.
4/	40.	Bindels J, Cox K, Abma IA, van Schayck OCP, Widdershoven G. Implementing care
48		programmes for frail older people: A project management perspective. Health Policy
49		(New York) [Internet]. 2015;119(1):9–16. Available from:
50		http://dx doi org/10 1016/i healthpol 2014 09 008
51	41	Van Leeuwen KM Bosmans IE. Jansen APD Hoogendijk EO. Muntinga ME. Van Hout
52	71.	UDL at al Cost Effortivanass of a Chronia Caro Model for Erail Older Adults in Drimory
53		The jet al. Cost-Effectiveness of a Chionic Cafe Wodel for Fran Older Adults in Primary
54		Care: Economic Evaluation Alongside a Stepped-Wedge Cluster-Randomized Trial. J Am
55		Geriatr Soc [Internet]. 2015;63(12):2494–504. Available from:
56		
57		
58		
59		$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}}$
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

2		
3		https://www.2.acom.vg.com/inward/magand.wi?aid=2.c2.0
4		1000000000000000000000000000000000000
5		849494/822 and $10.1111$ % 2Fjgs. 13834 & partner 1D=40 & md5=40535 / ear 95 / 4 devaue6
6		1318913/6439
7	42.	Muntinga ME, Van Leeuwen KM, Schellevis FG, Nijpels G, Jansen APD. From concept
8		to content: Assessing the implementation fidelity of a chronic care model for frail, older
9		people who live at home. BMC Health Serv Res [Internet]. 2015;15(1). Available from:
10		https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
11		84928017885&doi=10.1186%2Fs12913-014-0662-
12		6 % partner ID=40 % md5=5a6e6f1c711adc0d7bf94351ae7e7e07
13	13	Muntinga ME Jansen APD Schellevis EG Nijnels G Expanding access to pain care for
14	43.	frail alder people in primary earer A programming access to pain care for
15		iral, older people in primary care: A crosssectional study. BMC Nurs [Internet].
16		2016;15(1). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
1/		85008415039&do1=10.1186%2Fs12912-016-0147-
18		5&partnerID=40&md5=e0ba479f901cae81d207e593c90b3cc6
19	44.	Hoogendijk EO, Van Der Horst HE, Van De Ven PM, Twisk JWR, Deeg DJH, Frijters
20		DHM, et al. Effectiveness of a Geriatric Care Model for frail older adults in primary care:
21		Results from a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial Eur I Intern Med [Internet]
22		2016:28:43-51 Available from: http://dx doi.org/10.1016/j.eijm.2015.10.023
23	15	Stampa M De Vedel I Beland F. Ankri I Bergman H. Impact on hospital admissions of
24	45.	an integrated primary ages model for years freil alderly notionts 2014:59:250.5
26	10	an integrated primary care model for very fran enderry patients. 2014, 58.550–5.
20	46.	Di Pollina L, Guessous I, Petoud V, Combescure C, Buchs B, Schaller P, et al. Integrated
28		care at home reduces unnecessary hospitalizations of community-dwelling frail older
29		adults: a prospective controlled trial. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2017;17(1). Available from:
30		https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
31		85012245131&doi=10.1186%2Fs12877-017-0449-
32		9&partnerID=40&md5=8198c50e75c6a6d6978e4a1547462f04
33	47	Pérez Bazán LM Enfedaque-Montes MB Cesari M Soto-Bagaria L Gual N Burbano
34	.,.	MP et al A Community Program of Integrated Care for Frail Older Adults: +AGI
35		Pareolono, I Nutr Hoolth Aging [Internet] 2010:22(8):710.6 Available from:
36		batteres//dei ere/10/1007/s12602/010/1244/4
37	10	nttps://doi.org/10.100//s12603-019-1244-4
38	48.	Theou O, Park GH, Garm A, Song X, Clarke B, Rockwood K. Reversing frailty levels in
39		primary care using the CARES model. Can Geriatr J [Internet]. 2017;20(3):105–11.
40		Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
41		85030477908&doi=10.5770%2Fcgj.20.274&partnerID=40&md5=1aa11ad02afedb68480a
42		6559f4d469a6
43	49	Favela J Castro LA Franco-Marina F Sánchez-García S Juárez-Cedillo T Espinel
44		Bermudez C et al. Nurse home visits with or without alert buttons versus usual care in the
45		frail alderly: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Interv Aging [Internet] 2013:8 CC
46		Cost of Association for my
47		
40		https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-00861881/full
49 50	50.	Coleman EA, Grothaus LC, Sandhu N, Wagner EH. Chronic Care Clinics: A randomized
51		controlled trial of a model of primary care for frail older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc
52		[Internet]. 1999;47(7):775–83. Available from:
53		https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
54		0032801165&doi=10.1111%2Fi.1532-
55		$5415\ 1999\ tb03832\ x\&partnerID=40\&md5=3634bd1d67a35fa7a14a9d716f511a46$
56		
57		
58		
59		2

1					
2	- 1				
4	51.	Stijnen MMN, Jansen MWJ. Development of a home visitation programme for the early			
5		detection of health problems in potentially frail community-dwelling older people by			
6		general practices. 2013;49–60.			
7	52.	Melis RJF, Van Eijken MIJ, Boon ME, Olde Rikkert MGM, Van Achterberg T. Process			
8		evaluation of a trial evaluating a multidisciplinary nurse-led home visiting programme for			
9		vulnerable older people. Disabil Rehabil. 2010;32(11):937–46.			
10	53.	Bindels J, Cox K, Widdershoven G, van Schayck OCPP, Abma TA. Care for community-			
11		dwelling frail older people: a practice nurse perspective. J Clin Nurs [Internet]. 2014 Aug			
12		1;23(15–16):2313–22. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jocn.12513			
13	54.	Daniels R, van Rossum E, van den Heuvel W et al. Feasibility of an interdisciplinary			
15		programme to prevent disability in frail older persons. 2011;			
16	55.	Metzelthin SF, Van Rossum E, De Witte LP, Ambergen AW, Hobma SO, Sipers W, et al.			
17		Effectiveness of interdisciplinary primary care approach to reduce disability in community			
18		dwelling frail older people: Cluster randomised controlled trial, BMJ [Internet].			
19		2013·347(7926) Available from https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-			
20		84885044743&doi=10 1136%2Fbmi f5264&partnerID=40&md5=150ad73b1172203d737			
21		f4b44ea06314d			
22	56	Melis RIF Van Fijken MIL Teerenstra S. Van Achterberg T. Parker SG. Borm GF. et al			
23	50.	A randomized study of a multidisciplinary program to intervene on geniatric syndromes in			
25		vulnerable older people who live at home (Dutch EASV care Study) Journals Gerontol -			
26		Sar A Biol Sai Mad Sai [Internet] 2008:62(2):282, 00, Available from:			
27		https://www.2 scopus.com/inword/rocord.uri?cid=2.s2.0			
28		14240106067 kdoi=10 10020/2E garang0/2E62 2 282 knowthar ID=40 km d5=622 d8aadda1			
29		44549100907 (2001–10.1095762 r gerona 762 r 05.5.265 (2001–10.1095762 r gerona 762 r gerona 7			
30	57	72555000/501025014075			
31	57.	Daniels R, Rossum E Van, Metzeltnin S, Sipers W, Habets H, Hobma S, et al. A disability			
32	50	prevention programme for community-dwelling frail older persons. 2011;			
33	58.	Romera-Liebana L, Orfila F, Segura JM, Real J, Fabra ML, Moller M, et al. Effects of a			
35		primary care-based multifactorial intervention on physical and cognitive function in frail,			
36		elderly individuals: A randomized controlled trial. Journals Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Med			
37		Sci [Internet]. 2018;73(12):1668–74. Available from:			
38		https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-			
39		85056353269&doi=10.1093%2Fgerona%2Fglx259&partnerID=40&md5=bea05f9ec7b8d			
40		a063ac534fbce1f8e52			
41	59.	Tarazona-Santabalbina FJ, Gómez-Cabrera MC, Pérez-Ros P, Martínez-Arnau FM, Cabo			
42 13		H, Tsaparas K, et al. A Multicomponent Exercise Intervention that Reverses Frailty and			
44		Improves Cognition, Emotion, and Social Networking in the Community-Dwelling Frail			
45		Elderly: A Randomized Clinical Trial. J Am Med Dir Assoc [Internet]. 2016;17(5):426–			
46		33. Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-			
47		84959263119&doi=10.1016%2Fj.jamda.2016.01.019&partnerID=40&md5=4c22547decc			
48		1c19f4206307d8443fd22			
49	60.	Cameron ID, Fairhall N, Langron C, Lockwood K, Monaghan N, Aggar C, et al. A			
50		multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention reduces frailty in older people: Randomized			
51		trial. BMC Med. 2013;			
52 53	61	Luger E. Dorner TE. Haider S. Kapan A. Lackinger C. Schindler K Effects of a Home-			
55	•	Based and Volunteer-Administered Physical Training Nutritional and Social Support			
55		Program on Malnutrition and Frailty in Older Persons: a Randomized Controlled Trial I			
56		Togener on manufactured and Franky in Order Persons, a randomized Controlled That, y			
57					
58					
59		$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i$			
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml			

	Am Mad Din Assas [Internat] 2016.17(7):671 a0 671 a16 Available from
	Am Med Dir Assoc [Internet]. 2016;1/(/):6/1.e9-6/1.e16. Available from: https://www.acabranelibrary.com/control/doi/10.1002/control/CN_01426702/full
62	Chan CWC Chau PH Leung AVM Lo KC Shi H Vum TP et al A curressure for frail
02.	older people in community dwellings-a randomised controlled trial Age Ageing
	2017;46(6):957–64.
63.	Seino S, Nishi M, Murayama H, Narita M, Yokoyama Y, Nofuji Y, et al. Effects of a multifactorial intervention comprising resistance exercise, nutritional and psychosocial programs on frailty and functional health in community-dwelling older adults: a randomized, controlled, cross-over trial. Geriatr Gerontol Int [Internet]. 2017;17(11):2034-2045. Available from:
<i>с</i>	https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-01365404/full
64.	Fairhall N, Sherrington C, Kurrle SE, Lord SR, Lockwood K, Cameron ID. Effect of a multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention on mobility-related disability in frail older
65	people: randomised controlled that. BMC Med. 2012;10. Romara I. Orfila E. Sogura IM. Ramiraz A. Möllar M. Fabra MI. at al. Effectivoness of a
05.	primary care based multifactorial intervention to improve frailty parameters in the elderly.
	a randomised clinical trial: rationale and study design. BMC Geriatr [Internet].
	2014;14:125. Available from:
	https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-01112225/full
66.	Bleijenberg N, Drubbel I, Schuurmans MJ, Dam H ten, Zuithoff NPA, Numans ME, et al.
	Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program on Preserving Daily Functioning of
	Older People: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Geriatr Soc.
57	2010,04(9).1779-88. Grenier AM The conspicuous absence of the social emotional and political aspects of
57.	frailty: the example of the White Book on Frailty. Ageing Soc [Internet]. 2019/06/20. 2020:40(11):2338–54 Available from:
	https://www.cambridge.org/core/article/conspicuous-absence-of-the-social-emotional-and-
	political-aspects-of-frailty-the-example-of-the-white-book-on-
	frailty/3712DF95D17E4F316C33943251DB0675
58.	Tomkow L. The emergence and utilisation of frailty in the United Kingdom : a
59	Pickard S Cluley V Danely I Laceulle H Leon-Salas I Vanhoutte B et al New
	horizons in frailty: the contingent, the existential and the clinical. Age Ageing [Internet]. 2019 Jul 1;48(4):466–71. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afz032
70.	Armstrong D. Diagnosis and nosology in primary care. Soc Sci Med [Internet]. 2011 Sep 1 [cited 2019 Mar 29];73(6):801–7. Available from:
	https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0277953611002929
71.	Blakeman T, Chew-Graham C, Reeves D, Rogers A, Bower P. The Quality and Outcomes Framework and self-management dialogue in primary care consultations: A qualitative
70	study. Br J Gen Pract. 2011;61(591):666–73.
12.	clinical view: A qualitative study of long-term condition management in primary care
	consultations. Chronic Illn. 2010:6(2):136–50.
73.	Collins S, Britten N, Ruusuvuori J, Thompson A. Patient Participation in Health Care
	Consultations: Qualitative Perspectives: Qualitative Perspectives. 2007.
74.	Li C, Chen C, Li C, Wang W, Wu S. The effectiveness of a comprehensive geriatric
	For peer review only - http://bmionon.hmi.com/site/about/guidelines.yhtml
	For peer review only - http://binjopen.binj.com/site/about/guidelines.Xittini

1		
1		
2		
3		assessment intervention program for frailty in community-dwelling older people : a
4		randomized controlled trial DART*** [Internet] 2010:50:S39–42 Available from
5		http://dy.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4943(10)70011-X
6	75	Dahm I. Eklund V. Wilhalmson V. Zid I. Health Dromation Can Destrone Ersilty:
7	73.	Benni L, Ekiuna K, Winnennson K, Ziu L. Heann Promotion Can Posipone Francy.
8		Results from the RC1 Elderly Persons in the Risk Zone. 2015;33(4):303–15.
9	76.	Ivanoff SD, Duner A, Eklund K, Wilhelmson K, Lidén E, Holmgren E. Comprehensive
10		geriatric assessment of frail older people: ideals and reality. J Interprof Care [Internet].
11		2018:32(6):728–34. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2018.1508130
12	77	Albarbi K Marwijk H Van Reeves D Blakeman T Identification and management of
13	//.	frailty in English primary ages : a qualitative study of notional policy 2020:1-12
14	70	inality in English primary care . a quantative study of national policy. 2020,1–12.
15	/8.	Valtorta NK, Kanaan M, Gilbody S, Ronzi S, Hanratty B. Loneliness and social isolation
16		as risk factors for coronary heart disease and stroke: Systematic review and meta-analysis
17		of longitudinal observational studies. Heart. 2016;102(13):1009–16.
18	79	No Title [Internet] Available from https://socialprescribingacademy org uk/
19	80	Munford I A Wilding A Bower P Sutton M Effects of participating in community assets
20	00.	an quality of life and pasts of eare; Longitudinal schort study of older passels in England
21		on quanty of the and costs of care. Longitudinal conort study of order people in England.
22		BMJ Open. 2020;10(2).
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		

58 59

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies





	BMJ Open	cted by	Page
		copyrig	/bmiope
Table S1: First data extraction	tool	ht, includi	n-2021-05
		ing for	4780 c
Title		Sn.	ž
Authors		s r	
Primary outcomes		Era elat	
Sample size		tsm ed :	0
Intervention	4	io t	
Results		nog	
Major limitations/challenges		esc	
Facilitators		hoc	dee
Year		ta r	fre
Setting		nini	m
Study location		ng,	
Secondary outcomes		A	
Population		trai	
Other outcomes		ning	bbe
Define frailty		<u></u> , а	л Б
Theory/theories underpinning interventions		nd sim	nicom
	$\gamma_{L}$	ilar te	0
		chr	
			ỷ 7 7
		gie	202
		s, s	<u>හ</u>
		ç	
		, par	ban
			-tm
			Pht .
		( [	
		r r	
		5	ГА
For pee	r review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml		



2
- २
1
-
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
1/
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
25
20
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
20
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

1

# Table S3: NPT questions guidance

	BMJ Open State Sta
Table S3: NPT	' questions guidance
NPT component	Questions
Coherence	Was the intervention easy to describe and or implement?
(i.e., meaning and	Did participants understand what tasks/practice/action require of them?
sense-making by	Did it have a clear purpose for all relevant participants? Was it clear for frail elder by people?
participants)	Were the benefits of a particular practice/task (e.g. care planning frailty) valued by all participants? Did all participants see its potential value?
	What benefits did the intervention bring and to whom?
	Was there being an understanding of how to implement the new requirement?
	Did a particular task fit with the overall goals and activity of the practice?
Cognitive	Did professionals believe they included the correct people to drive forward the implementation?
participation	Did participants engage with other staff within or across organization to implement the interventions?
(i.e., commitment	Who was actively engage to plan/ prepare working with the interventions?
allu engagement by	Did they be prepared to invest time, energy and work in it?
participants)	Whether the participants can undertake their roles and tasks, whether any barries and facilitators were encountered to deliver care for frail patients based on the interventions?
	Did the practice team undertake work to arrange a shared contribution to implement interventions? If so, what was the work?
	r te J
Collective Action (i.e., the work	How did the intervention affect the work of participants? What did professional great to do to make the interventions work?
participants do to	How did the interventions affect the patient and professional consultation?
make the	What impact did the intervention have on the job responsibility? How did the interventions fit with other things that
intervention	professionals need to do in the same settings?
function)	Did the staff intake extensive training before they can use it? What did the profession als do to become skilled and resourced users?
	How was the intervention linked to organisational structure (e.g. practice meeting, using guidance, following existing
	model)?

Page 31 of 55		BMJ Open BMJ
1 2		v/bmjope
3 4 5		How was a particular task (e.g. visiting patient at home) resourced? What resources the financial, policy, staffing) were available to support interventions implementing or working?
6		din 54
7	Reflexive	How were participants likely to perceive the intervention once it had been in use for while?
8	Monitoring	Had implementing the intervention been adapted based on experiences? If so, how?9
9	(i.e., participants	Was it be clear what effects the intervention has had for patients or professional ?
10	reflect on or	Did participants share feedback about a particular practice with others? If so, what was discussed?
11	appraise the	Had the organisation developed strategies of keeping up to date with a approache hanaging a set of practices?
12	intervention)	Could the existing practices be changed to sustain interventions working?
14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         23         24         25         26         27         28		text and data mining, Al training, and simil
30 31 32 33		ar technolog
34		jies 202:
35		at at
36		
37		раг
38 39		
40		
41		3 EZ
42		
43		TA

Page 32 of 55

## Table S4: Quality assessment result

BMJ Open 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-6			
Title	Interventions	lin 51 Aut Gor 2	Digour
A community program of integrated care for frail older adults: Agil Barcelona	Designing a multidisciplinary intervention in the community, including a) multi-modal physical activity (PA) sessions, b) promotion of adherence to a Mediterranean diet c) health education and d) medication review.	L MPérez et al. (2019)	4
A multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention reduces frailty in older people: randomized trial	Multifactorial interdisciplinary interventions (including nutritional supplementation, referral to psychiatrist, encourage social engagement, physiotherapy sessions and performed a home exercise program)	Ian Bagereron et al. ( 2013) The Samueron et al. ( 2014) The Samueron et al. ( 2014) The Samueron et al. ( 2015) The Samueron et al. ( 2015) The Samueron et al. ( 2016) The Samueron et al. ( 2017) T	4
Effects of a primary care-based multifactorial intervention on physical and cognitive function in frail, elderly individuals: A randomized controlled trial	A multifactorial interventions including (a structure physical activity conducted by physiotherapists – intake of hyperproteic nutritional shake which was daily for 6 weeks, memory workshops and medication review).	Laure Boonera-Liebana et al. (2000 0 a for min m	4
A Multicomponent Exercise Intervention that Reverses Frailty and Improves Cognition, Emotion, and Social Networking in the Community-Dwelling Frail Elderly: A Randomized Clinical Trial	A combined program of endurance, strength, coordination, balance and flexibility exercise that have the potential to impact a variety of functional performance measure. Those in the intervention group performed 65 minutes of daily activities, 5 days per week for 24 weeks.	Frangisce José Tarazona- Santabalbina et al. (2016) traini openation g, en	3
Effects of a Home-Based and Volunteer- Administered Physical Training, Nutritional, and Social Support Program on Malnutrition and Frailty in Older Persons: A Randomized Controlled Trial	Physical training and nutrition intervention of the first group versus only social support intervention of the second group.	Evandug Et also (2016) milar te	3
A Study on Effects of Acupressure Among the Frail Elderly in the Community Dwellings	A 15 minutes structured acupressure protocol with specific acupoints and applications technique will be performed on the elderly participants twice a week by the research team in YCHSS centers. The caregiver of the elderly will be trained and perform the same acupressure protocol on the elderly at 2 additional occasions during the week.	Clar Clar 2010 G Chan et al. ( 2010 Chan et al. ( 2010 Clar Chan et al. ( 2010 Clar Cla	4
Effects of a multifactorial intervention comprising resistance exercise, nutritional and psychosocial programs on frailty and functional health in community-dwelling	Multifactorial intervention (resistance exercise, nutritional education and psychosocial programs).	Satoshi Stino et al (2017)	3

	вид Ореп	1136/bmjc d by copy	
older adults: a randomized, controlled,		rright, in	
cross-over trial Nurse-led home visitation programme to improve health-related quality of life and reduce disability among potentially frail community-dwelling older people in general practice: A theory-based process evaluation	GOLD home visitation program – home visit for conducting CGA and a tailored care and treatment, multidisciplinary care management, and targeted intervention and follow-up.	Manaly Non Stijnen et al. ( 2012) for uses r	5
Prevention of adverse health trajectories in a vulnerable elderly population through nurse home visits: A randomized controlled trial	Visiting program including a proactive home visits by trained nurse to do the assessment and then designed and executed a care plan.	Heingran Wan Hout et al. ( 2016 2022 to te to te	4
A nurse-led interdisciplinary primary care approach to prevent disability among community-dwelling frail older people: A large-scale process evaluation.	Nurse led interdisciplinary approach - frail older people and their informal caregiver, if available, receive a home visit by the practice nurse who does	Metzanan SF et al. (2013) nd choo data	5
Effectiveness of interdisciplinary primary care approach to reduce disability in community dwelling frail older people: Cluster randomised controlled trial.	a multidimensional assessment focusing on existing problems in performing daily activities and on risk factors for disability. After the home visit, the general practitioner and practice nurse discuss whether	Slike Modezelthin et al. ( 2013 – g. ftp://	4
Reducing disability in community- dwelling frail older people: Cost- effectiveness study alongside a cluster randomised controlled trial	additional assessments by other inpatient or outpatient healthcare professionals are needed. On the basis of the assessment phase, a preliminary treatment plan is formulated. During a second	Metælthan et al. (2015) ini op g, a	4
Implementing care programmes for frail older people: A project management perspective.	home visit by the practice nurse, a final treatment plan is formulated.	Jill Bandelsa et al. (2014)	3
Cost-Effectiveness of a Chronic Care Model for Frail Older Adults in Primary Care: Economic Evaluation Alongside a Stepped-Wedge Cluster-Randomized Trial.	Nurse led - Geriatirc Care model (GCM) – nurses conduct a multi-dimensional geriatric assessment, PN write a care plan after each assessment in consultation with the primary care professionals , later in a second visit nurses discuses care plan with the older	Karen Mavan Leeuwen et al. (2015) no 7, ologie 20	3
From concept to content: assessing the implementation fidelity of a chronic care model for frail, older people who live at home.	person. Second visit – nurses provide information on guideline concordant management and treatment options to be involved	Maa%ke lõnMuntinga et al. ( 2015)	3
Expanding access to pain care for frail, older people in primary care: A crosssectional study	in decision making – at all times; older person's wishes remained central. Review of actions listed on care plan with patient	Maaike BMuntinga et al. ( 2016) G	3

Effectiveness of a Geriatric Care Model for frail older adults in primary care: Results from a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial.       Imma Stepped wedge Unit of primary care delivery and productive interactions among community-inving frail older persons and dueir general practitioners and practice nurses       Older persons are screened for frailty by the geriatric nurse or practice nurse during a home visit, each frail older person is discussed in multidisciplinary consultation, the practice team discusses and agrees upon (self-management) interventions, the care plan is discussed in whilds practine trains that emphasized the reduction of disability: A session with the platent sivite care during a home visit, each plan dutidisciplinary team.       E.A. Gauge posen et al. (2019)       4         Chronic Care Clinics: A randomized for frail older adults.       Patients invited to the reduction of disability: A session with the platent's physician and team nurse decirated to developing a shared treatment plan that emphasized the reduction of disability: A session with the practice team at the time of the CCC visits.       E.A. Gauge point at al. (1999)       3         Implementation of an innovative web- based conference table for community- dwelling fail older person's near enter dial older person's near eragivers and professionals: a process evaluation.       Methods protected fails wolved in their are, and care-related goals formulated por origin the frail older person's near eraging system for communication between the frail older person and ene or more professionals or between the frail older person and eragivers and professionals: a process evaluation.       Sarati Hit Robben et al. (2011)       5         The short-term effects of an integrated care model for the frail older person and informal caregivere		BMJ Open	0.1136/bmjoper ted by copyrigh		Page 34 of
Quality of primary care delivery and productive interactions among community-living frail older persons are screened for frailly by the geriatire nurse or practice nurse during a home visit, each frail older person is discussed in multidisciplinary consultation, the practice team discusses and agrees upon (self-management) interventions, the rate plan is discussed with the frail older person was provided by a multidisciplinary team.Lottice Vestigenes et al. (2019)4Chronic Care Clinics: A randomized controlled trial of a model of primary care for frail older adults.Patients invited to, An extended (30 minutes) visit to the patient's physician and team nurse decidated to developing a shared treatment plan that emphasized the reduction of disability; A session with the patient's physician and team nurse decidated to developing a shared treatment plan that emphasized the reduction of disability; A session with the parametist (15 minutes), held in the primary care examination noom, : A patient self management group session (45 minutes), led by a team nurse of a social worker, and The provision of health status assessment information about the frail older person's health, functioning and social situation, contact information about the frail older person's health, functioning and social situation, contact information about professionals, and tailored educational materials for the frail older person and informal care-giver.Sarad HHz Robben et al. (2018) 9.4The short-term effects of an integrated care got with the frail older person's health, functioning and stafact of the frail older person and informal caregiver.Sarad HHZ Robben et al. (2018) 9.5The short-term effects of an integrated care professionals of the media of the frail older person and informal caregiv	Effectiveness of a Geriatric Care Model for frail older adults in primary care: Results from a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial.		Emi£I O Hoog 201 CH 201 201 CH 201 201 201 CH 201 201 201 CH 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	gendijk et al. (	4
Chronic Care Clinics: A randomized controlled trial of a model of primary care for frail older adults.       Patients invited to, An extended (30 minutes) visit to the patient's physician and team nurse decided to developing a shared treatment plan that emphasized the reduction of disability; A session with the pharmacist (15 minutes), held in the primary care examination room, ; A patient self management group session (45 minutes), led by a team nurse or social worker, and The provision of health status assessment information to the practice team at the time of the CCC visits.       E.A.gr Sham et al. (1999)       3         Implementation of an innovative webbased conference table for community-dwelling frail older person and professionals: a process evaluation.       The ZWIP consists of information about professionals involved in their care, and care-related goals formulated by or with the frail older person and uniformal caregiver.       Saraga HW Robben et al. (2013)       5         The short-term effects of an integrated care model for the frail older person and atilored educational materials for the frail older person and informal caregiver.       The general practitioners detected frailty, elderly patients were visited by their nurse who assessed their health, the assessment et al. (2014)       5         The short-term effects of an integrated care model for the frail older person and informal caregiver.       The general practitioners detected frailty, elderly patients were visited by their nurse who assessed their health, the assessment et al. (2014)       4         eare model for the frail elderly on health, quality of life, health care use and satisfaction with care       The general practitioners detected frailty, elderly patients were visited by their nurse who assess	Quality of primary care delivery and productive interactions among community-living frail older persons and their general practitioners and practice nurses	Older persons are screened for frailty by the geriatric nurse or practice nurse during a home visit, each frail older person is discussed in multidisciplinary consultation, the practice team discusses and agrees upon (self-management) interventions, the care plan is discussed with the frail older patient, finally. Finally, follow-up of the frail older person was provided by a multidisciplinary team.	Lottør uses related to	ns et al. (2019)	4
Implementation of an innovative web- based conference table for community- dwelling frail older people, their informal caregivers and professionals: a process evaluation.The ZWIP consists of information about the frail older person's health, functioning and social situation, contact information about professionals involved in their care, and care-related goals formulated by or with the frail older person, a secure messaging system for communication between the frail older person and one or more professionals or between professionals, and tailored educational materials for the frail older person and informal caregiver.Sarah Hie Robben et al. (2012)5The short-term effects of an integrated care model for the frail elderly on health, quality of life, health care use and satisfaction with careThe general practitioners detected frailty, elderly patients were visited by their nurse who assessed their health, the assessment was discussed in a multidisciplinary meeting, a multidisciplinary treatment plan was then formulated in consultation with the elderly person and his or her informal caregiver(s).WillelmWia Mijntje Looman et al. (2024)4	Chronic Care Clinics: A randomized controlled trial of a model of primary care for frail older adults.	Patients invited to, An extended (30 minutes) visit to the patient's physician and team nurse dedicated to developing a shared treatment plan that emphasized the reduction of disability; A session with the pharmacist (15 minutes), held in the primary care examination room, ; A patient self management group session (45 minutes), led by a team nurse or social worker, and The provision of health status assessment information to the practice team at the time of the CCC visits.	E.A. E.A. E.A. E.A. E.A. E.A. Moveschool . E.A. E.A. Moveschool . E.A. E.A. E.A. E.A. E.A. E.A. E.A. E.	et al. ( 1999)	3
The short-term effects of an integrated care model for the frail elderly on health, quality of life, health care use and satisfaction with careThe general practitioners detected frailty, elderly patients were visited by their nurse who assessed their health, the assessment was discussed in a multidisciplinary treatment plan was then formulated in consultation with the elderly person and his or her informal caregiver(s).Willy limbra Mijntje Looman et al. (2094)4Understand was discussed in a multidisciplinary treatment plan was then formulated in consultation with the elderly person and his or her informal caregiver(s).Understand was discussed in a multidisciplinary teratment plan was then formal caregiver(s).Understand teratment plan was then formal caregiver(s).Understand teratment plan was then formal caregiver(s).	Implementation of an innovative web- based conference table for community- dwelling frail older people, their informal caregivers and professionals: a process evaluation.	The ZWIP consists of information about the frail older person's health, functioning and social situation, contact information about professionals involved in their care, and care-related goals formulated by or with the frail older person, a secure messaging system for communication between the frail older person and one or more professionals or between professionals, and tailored educational materials for the frail older person and informal caregiver.	Sarah HM Ro (2012 similar technologic	bben et al.	5
	The short-term effects of an integrated care model for the frail elderly on health, quality of life, health care use and satisfaction with care	The general practitioners detected frailty, elderly patients were visited by their nurse who assessed their health, the assessment was discussed in a multidisciplinary meeting, a multidisciplinary treatment plan was then formulated in consultation with the elderly person and his or her informal caregiver(s).	Willwelm 37 a M et al. (20124) Departme	Iijntje Looman	4

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
	BMJ Open	1136/bmjop d by copyrig	
Cost-effectiveness of a multidisciplinary intervention model for community- dwelling frail older people	The model used problem based selection procedure performed by GPs rather than population screening to identify patients eligible. A geriatric specialist nurse visited the patient at home. Up to six visits for additional geriatric evaluation and management were planned within the next 3 months. Starting off from a wide multidimensional assessment, the intervention team developed an individualized, integrated treatment plan for each patient.	ght J Frontier Et ac (2008) Uding for uses r	4
Multicomponent program to reduce functional decline in frail elderly people: A cluster controlled trial.	CareWell primary care program - Proactive, individually tailored care plans were formulated for each participant; these plans were based on individual health-related goals and needs as assessed with the EASY-Care TOS. Care plans were revised during the team meetings at least every 6 months and stored in the information portal.	Fransity H. Ruikes et al. ( 2016) Transity 20 2012 Transmushoges to text and d to text and d	3
Cost-Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program for Frail Older People: A Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial	In first group, there was no trained registered nurse to deliver the additional steps of the proactive care program. In the second group, the frailty screening was followed by the	Nien <b>2017</b> al. ( <b>3</b> 017 nin nit	3
Frail Older Adults' Experiences With a Proactive, Nurse-Led Primary Care Program	nurse-led care intervention. Patients who were identified as frail received a home-based Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment, followed by evidence-based care planning, care coordination and follow-up.	Bleipenberg, N et al. (2015) fraining gg, a	5
Integrated care at home reduces unnecessary hospitalizations of community-dwelling frail older adults: a prospective controlled trial.	The intervention received an additional home geriatric assessment by community geriatrics unit (GCU)	Laura Di Pollona et al. (2019) Bia ar on	3
Nurse home visits with or without alert buttons versus usual care in the frail elderly: a randomized controlled trial	After screening, participants were allocated to the control NV + AB (nurse home visits including alert button) or NV alone ( nurse home visits alone). Participants in the intervention group received weekly visits from a nurse over a period of 9 months. This group of patients was also able to contact their nurses on whenever they felt the need by pressing the alert button, but the other group did not include emergency care or technological support via the alert button.	Jesug Fagela et al (2013) nologies. Jesug Fagela et al (2013) 7, 2025 at Departm	3
Reversing Frailty Levels in Primary Care Using the CARES Model	Providers teams were trained in using the comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA)	<u>Olga Thegu</u> et al. (2017)	3

	BMJ Open	0.1136/bm ted by cop	Page 36 of 55
	frailty levels among patients, the CGA was used to inform the creation of a wellness plan to identify goals most important to the patients, and patients were paired with a free-of-charge, telephone-based health coach for a period of up to six months.	open-2021-054780 on 1 yright, including for us	
Impact on hospital admissions of an integrated primary care model for very frail elderly patients	The nurse performed a home-based comprehensive geriatric assessment, developed an individualized care plan, coordinated all the required services during the follow-up. Nurses and primary care physician received support as needed from geriatricians participating.	de Stampa et al. (2014) Erasmus et al. (2014) Erasmus te construction te const	4
Total score in (%)	0	and c	73%
		m http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LT/ ining, Al training, and similar technologies.	

čte **i** 

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 3	37 of	55
--------	-------	----

# Table S5: An overview of the 29 frailty interventions for primary care

55 Table S5: A	n overview of the	e 29 frailty interve	BMJ Op entions for p	0.1136/bmjopen-202 ted by copyright, in			
Title	Author	Screening strategy	Final sample size	Setting	Intervention	clugar Fang	Themes of group discussion
Specific assessment and m	anagement frailty no	eeds				i o o	
A multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention reduces frailty in older people: randomized trial	Ian D Cameron et al. (2013)	Adults aged 70 years or older with three or more of the CHS frailty criteria; not usually living in a residential aged care facility, without moderate or severe cognitive impairment.	216/241	Sydney, Australi a	Multifactorial interdisciplinary interventions (including nutritional supplementation, referral to psychiatrist, encourage social engagement, physiotherapy sessions and performed a home exercise program).	The intervention reduced finality and improved mobility intervention people who met the first frailty criteria – The people who met the first frail the people who met the people who met	Early link between the identified need and healthcare services.
Effects of a primary care-based multifactorial intervention on physical and cognitive function in frail, elderly individuals: A randomized controlled trial	Laura Romera- Liebana et al. ( 2018)	Screening criteria set gait time between 10 and 30 seconds in the (TGUGT); scored (MEC-35 Lobo) ≥18 points (no severe cognitive impairment); and Fried modified crit eria.	267/352	Barcelo	A multifactorial interventions including (a structure physical activity conducted by physiotherapists – intake of hypercritic nutritional shake which was daily for 6 weeks, memory workshops and medication review).	Agter 2 and 18 months, agusted means difference between groups showed significant improvements for the intervention group all comparisons: Short Physical Performance Battery improved, handgrip strength, functional reach, and number of prescriptions decreased.	Significant improvement were still observed at 18 months. High level of adherence. Clarity on what the were trying to do.
A Multicomponent Exercise Intervention that Reverses Frailty and Improves Cognition, Emotion, and Social Networking in the	Francisco José Tarazona- Santabalbina et al. (2016)	Participants were randomized a volunteer who were sedentary, with a gait speed lower than 0.8	100 who were eligible – no more data available.	Valenci a, Spain	A combined program of endurance, strength, coordination, balance and flexibility exercise that have the potential to impact a variety of	The MEP was very effect to in improving the PPT (P<.001), SPPB 1/4.007), and in lowering of the frailty score Assessed by Linda	Limited paper – th was not clear enou- data on how the frailty interventior was implemented.

			ВМЈ Ор	en		l0.1136/br sted by co	Page 38 of
Community-Dwelling Frail Elderly: A Randomized Clinical Trial		meters per second and frail (met at least 3 of the frailty phenotype criteria).			functional performance measure. Those in the intervention group performed 65 minutes of daily activities, 5 days per week for 24 weeks.	Fied's criteria and Edmodion. The statistical analysis statistical analy	
Effects of a Home-Based and Volunteer- Administered Physical Training, Nutritional, and Social Support Program on Malnutrition and Frailty in Older Persons: A Randomized Controlled Trial	Eva Luger Et al. (2016)	The screening criteria for recruitment were persons at risk of malnutrition or malnourished persons, according to the (MNA-SF), rail, according to the Frailty Instrument for Primary Care of the (SHARE-FI).	66/80	Vienna, Austria	Physical training and nutrition intervention of the first group versus only social support intervention of the second group.	Interpretent Interpretent Sector 201 Sector	Social support alone improved patients' health.
A Study on Effects of Acupressure Among the Frail Elderly in the Community Dwellings	Clara W.C. Chan et al. (2017)	The screening procedure included participants were scored 5 or above in the (TFI). They were also physically fit to sit on a chair and cognitively competent to understand instructions from the practitioner and to sign the consent form.	79/108	Hong Kong	A 15 minutes structured acupressure protocol with specific acupoints and applications technique will be performed on the elderly participants twice a week by the research team in YCHSS centers. The caregiver of the elderly will be trained and perform the same acupressure protocol on the elderly at 2 additional occasions during the week.	The treatment group solution of the control geographic control geographic control geographic control geographic control geographic control geographic control geographic control geographic control geograp	Flexible as it could be implemented at home. Patients satisfaction. Caregiver involvement. Address and reduce the pain may encourage the patients to implemen the intervention.

Page 39 of 55			BMJ Op	en		10.1136 cted by	
1 2						/bmjopen copyrigh	
3Effects of a multifactorial5intervention comprising6resistance exercise,7nutritional and8psychosocial programs9on frailty and functional10health in community-11dwelling older adults: a12randomized, controlled,13cross-over trial14151617	Satoshi Seino et al ( 2017)	Screening criteria a score of 2 or higher on the (CL15).	67/77	Japan	Multifactorial intervention (resistance exercise, nutritional education and psychosocial programs).	The interventions had a significant reductions in Check List 15 score, fully revalence, Timed Up and Go test, and Goriatric Depression Shore, and improvements in the Dietary Variation Score, and possible and more boutrient intakes at 3 months, all of which, excondutrient intakes, personal at 6 months.	Social capital highly linked to health outcomes in the frail population. Included a clear purpose from the beginning on what they want to achieve. There was a design to align needs to care.
18Comprehensive assessme19Nurse-led home20visitation programme to21improve health-related22quality of life and23reduce disability among24community-dwelling25older people in general26practice: A theory-based27process evaluation	nt and management o Mandy M N Stijnen et al. ( 2014)	f frailty needs Aged 75 years or older from GPs system, practices were purposefully select older people who had not been in contact for consultation for more than 6 months before the start of the study.	24 General practices ( 14 GPs and 13 PNs)	Netherl	GOLD home visitation program – home visit for conducting CGA and a tailored care and treatment, multidisciplinary care management and targeted intervention and follow-up.	Ageceperable but there were barriers and classification of the proposed plan. Jop ng, and similar	Assessment was time consuming. Patients appreciated nurses visits and work.
29Prevention of adverse30health trajectories in a31vulnerable elderly32population through33nurse home visits: A34randomized controlled35trial363738	Hein P J van Hout et al. (2010)	A score in the lowest quartile on at least two of six self-reported functional health domains (COOP- WONCA charts), defined frail health.	617/658	Nertherl	Visiting program including a proactive home visits by trained nurse to do the assessment and then designed and executed a care plan.	No effects of home visits begnurges in vulnerable offer persons. ologies. 2025 at Departm	How did the professionals link between needs and care was not clear.
39A nurse-led40interdisciplinary41primary care approach4243	Metzelthin SF et al. (2013)	Older people ( $\geq$ 70 years) and (score $\geq$ 5 on	6 GP practices GPs = 12	Netherl ands	Nurse led interdisciplinary approach - frail older	Professionals and frail elderlöwere satisfied.	Time pressures was affecting the implementation

			ВМЈ Ор	en		0.1136/bmjoper ted by copyrigh	Page 40 o
to prevent disability among community- dwelling frail older people: A large-scale process evaluation. Effectiveness of interdisciplinary primary care approach to reduce disability in community dwelling frail older people: Cluster randomised controlled trial. Reducing disability in community-dwelling frail older people: Cost- effectiveness study alongside a cluster randomised controlled trial Implementing care programmes for frail older people: A project management perspective.	Slike Metzelthin et al. (2013) Metzelthin et al. (2015) Jill Bindelsa et al. (2014)	GFI).	Nurses = 7 OT= 6 PT= 20 Frail = 194 270/346 270/346 270/346 interview in 2009 (n=10) and in 2012 (n=13) and a focus group in 2012 (n=5)	Netherl ands Netherl ands	people and their informal caregiver, if available, receive a home visit by the practice nurse who does a multidimensional assessment focusing on existing problems in performing daily activities and on risk factors for disability. After the home visit, the general practitioner and practice nurse discuss whether additional assessments by other inpatient or outpatient healthcare professionals are needed. On the basis of the assessment phase, a preliminary treatment plan is formulated. During a second home visit by the practice nurse, a final treatment plan is formulated.	The second revention under study of the costs without participation of the collaboration between information of the collaboration of the collaboration between information of the collaboration of the collaboration of the collaboration of the collaboration between information of the collaboration of th	processes and the main elements of the interventions. The need was identified but then was not clear who has the skill to manage the needs. Building a trusting relationship with patients consumed time. Lack of clarity on having an early purpose on what they were trying to achieve.
Cost-Effectiveness of a Chronic Care Model for Frail Older Adults in Primary Care: Economic Evaluation Alongside a Stepped-	Karen M. van Leeuwen et al. ( 2015)	First, primary care physicians considered older people to be frail based on the loss of resources in the	782/1147	Netherl ands	Nurse led - Geriatirc Care model (GCM) – nurses conduct a multi- dimensional geriatric assessment,	No significant different in cosp partment	Adherence to the GCM was high for most elements of the intervention – but did not monitor the extent to which the

55			ВМЈ Ор	en		0.1136/bi sted by co	
Wedge Cluster- Randomized Trial. From concept to content: assessing the implementation fidelity of a chronic care model for frail, older people who live at home.	Maaike E Muntinga et al. ( 2015)	physical domain and/or the psychosocial domain, or polypharmacy then older adults aged 65 and over, who had a	1147	Netherl ands	nurses write a care plan after each assessment in consultation with the primary care professionals , later in a second visit nurses discuses care plan with the older person.	copyright, included adherence varied between professionals, which most lekely can be affibured to professional's individual	actions in the care plans were carried out as intended. It was not clear whether limited use of the care plans ma service as an
Expanding access to pain care for frail, older people in primary care: A crosssectional study	Maaike E Muntinga et al. ( 2016)	of 3 or more were eligible to participate.	781/ 1147	Netherl ands	Second visit – nurses provide information on guideline concordant management and treatment options to be involved in decision making – at all times;	ciccumstances. Acter set share of people's price mplaints had arcads been iccumstocd by a primary carcopaysician prior to the QGA.	explanation for the lack of effectivenes of the GCM
Effectiveness of a Geriatric Care Model for frail older adults in primary care: Results from a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial.	Emiel O.Hoogendijk et al. (2016)		782/1147	Netherl ands	older person's wishes remained central. Review of actions listed on care plan with patient	No significant deferences between the Ge M and usual care group better maintenance of ADL agiving but no significant And No significant effects of the infervention on total and agite Rospital admissions.	
Quality of primary care delivery and productive interactions among community-living frail older persons and their general practitioners and practice nurses	Lotte Vestigens et al. (2019)	Screening by suing a TFI score of 5 or higher (range 0–15) were identified as frail.	358/464	Netherl ands	Older persons are screened for frailty by the geriatric nurse or practice nurse during a home visit, each frail older person is discussed in multidisciplinary consultation, the practice team discusses and agrees upon (self- management)	No significant different between groups to oferal perceived quality offering ary care. at Department GE	Focus on screening but then there was r time to follow up.

BMJ	Open
-----	------

			ВМЈ Ор	en		l0.1136/bmjopen sted by copyrigh	Page 42 o
Chronic Care Clinics: A randomized controlled trial of a model of primary care for frail older adults.	E.A. Coleman et al. ( 1999)	The chronic Disease Score used to identify frail participants, then physicians were using their experience to select the participants .	127/169	Seattle	interventions, the care plan is discussed with the frail older patient, finally. Finally, follow- up of the frail older person was provided by a multidisciplinary team. Patients invited to, An extended (30 minutes) visit to the patient's physician and team nurse dedicated to developing a shared treatment plan that emphasized the reduction of disability; A session with the pharmacist (15 minutes), held in the primary care examination room, ; A patient self management group session (45 minutes), led by a team nurse or social worker, and The provision of health status assessment information to the practice team at the time	r, including for uses and improvements in the antimprovements in the antimprovement of the antimprovement of the antipation of the antip	Uncertainty in using the time, the professionals were creating time and recourses but they were not sure for what purpose.
Implementation of an innovative web-based conference table for community-dwelling frail older people, their informal caregivers and professionals: a process evaluation.	Sarah HM Robben et al. (2012)	Participants of the study were community- dwelling frail older people, who were patients of participating general practices	290 frail older people, 169 professional s participated in the ZWIP	Netherl ands	visits. The ZWIP consists of information about the frail older person's health, functioning and social situation, contact information about professionals	Or erall positive but included several limitations mainly frail older population are likely to face some level of difficulties in engaging with e- health intervention.	Technology might not be a type of intervention used by frail older people.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

of 55			ВМЈ Ор	en		10.1136/ cted by	
The short-term effects of an integrated care model for the frail elderly on health, quality of life, health care use and satisfaction with care	Wilhelmina Mijntje Looman et al. (2014)	in the province of Gelderland or Noord-Brabant, the Netherlands; their informal care- givers; and healthcare and welfare professionals involved in their care. Frailty was screened with the (GFI)- The score ranges from 0 to 15. Elderly with a score of 4 or more were considered	BMJ Op 417/446	en Netherl nads	involved in their care, and care-related goals formulated by or with the frail older person, a secure messaging system for communication between the frail older person and one or more professionals or between professionals, and tailored educational materials for the frail older person and informal caregiver. The general practitioners detected frailty, elderly patients were visited by their nurse who assessed their health, the assessment was discussed in a	ted by copyright, including for uses related to text and data Erasmushogeschool Hitting for uses related to text and data set is faction with care in the frail elderly. The oray semificant effect was found for one digneration of the	Social and non healthcare factors resulted a big effec on outcomes. Lack of evidence about active
Cost-effectiveness of a	René J F Melis	as being frail.	131/151	Netherl	multidisciplinary meeting, a multidisciplinary treatment plan was then formulated in consultation with the elderly person and his or her informal caregiver(s).	ICECAP. The frail enderline in the experimental group felt that they were better able to receive the love and friendship they desired than the frail enderly in the control group N The new interventions is	involvement of patients.
multidisciplinary intervention model for community-dwelling frail older people	Et al. (2008)	screened for frailty and referral older patients to the interventions. They had one or more limitations in cognition,		ands	based selection procedure performed by GPs rather than population screening to identify patients eligible. A geriatric specialist nurse visited the patient	cost-effective at reason ble costs	consuming – but might make sense understand proble and then set the recommendations

			ВМЈ Ор	en		0.1136/bmjopei ted by copyrigi	Page 44 c
Multicomponent program to reduce functional decline in frail elderly people: A cluster controlled trial.	Franca G.H. Ruikes et al. ( 2016)	(instrumental) activities of daily living, or mental well-being. Community- dwelling frail elderly people aged ≥70 years were identified with the EASY- Care two-step older persons screening instrument.	369/536	Netherl ands	at home. Up to six visits for additional geriatric evaluation and management were planned within the next 3 months. Starting off from a wide multidimensional assessment, the intervention team developed an individualized, integrated treatment plan for each patient. CareWell primary care program - Proactive, individually tailored care plans were formulated for each participant; these plans were based on individual health- related goals and needs as assessed with the EASY-Care TOS. Care plans were revised during the team meetings at least every 6 months and stored in the information portal.	n-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloadeficial effects of Erasmushogescheeteram among frail Erasmushogescheeteram among frail environ http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June Naate from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June	Patient engaged on clear plan and when they understand the purpose. Better adherence of GPs in medical problems. It was not clear how professionals engag with each other – who was actively engage in the plan.
Cost-Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program for Frail Older People: A Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial	Nienke Bleijenberg RN et al. (2017)	First, a software application identified patients at risk for frailty by screening routine (EMR) data from general practices. Patients aged 60 years and older were	2489/ 3092	Netherl ands	In first group, there was no trained registered nurse to deliver the additional steps of the proactive care program. In the second group, the frailty screening was followed by the nurse-led care intervention. Patients	1 ge probability of cost effectiveness of supering plus nurse care versus GP care was 55% , frailto screening followed by the nurse led care is tess cost effective than for ity screening followed by GP care. Adding the nurse led to	Early involvement of patient was not clean Nurses did not address some of the clinical needs e.g. social care.

age 45 of 55	ВМЈ Ор	en		10.1136/ cted by			
						bmjopei copyrigl	
Frail Older Adults' Experiences With a Proactive, Nurse-Led Primary Care Program	Bleijenberg, N et al. (2015)	included in a quarterly report when they met at least 1 of the following criteria: a frailty index ≥0.20, polypharmacy of ≥5 medications in chronic use, or a consultation gap. 2. After the frailty screening based on EMR data, patients at risk received Groningen Frailty Indicator to measure the level of frailty	11 interviews of participants who received nurse led approach.	Netherl	who were identified as frail received a home- based Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment, followed by evidence- based care planning, care coordination and follow-up.	frailty acreening had a low pubbability to cost effect of min 47 The results regarding the perception and agree tation of this type of care showed a some acression of this type acression of the showed a some acression of this type acression of the showed a some acression of the sh	Resources of collaboration was always an issues.
Integrated care at home reduces unnecessary hospitalizations of community-dwelling frail older adults: a prospective controlled trial.	Laura Di Pollona et al. (2017)	Screened for frailty by one of four alarms or risk factors (impaired cognition, falls, social isolation, or frailty of the informal caregiver support) detected by the RAI-HC.	153/301	Geneva	The intervention received an additional home geriatric assessment by community geriatrics unit (GCU).	The intervention reduced the rate of hespitalizations after the first year, decreased unnecessary hespitalizations due to social problem, lowered the rag of emergency room visits after the first year, and increased the peoportion of patients doing at home.	Better linkage between geriatric ar primary care – linkage with geriatrician may hel to direct the patients on how to use the resources.
Nurse home visits with or without alert buttons versus usual care in the frail elderly: a randomized controlled trial	Jesus Favela et al (2013)	Patients were aged over 60 years with a frailty index score higher than 0.14.	115/133	Mexico	After screening , participants were allocated to the control NV + AB ( nurse home visits including alert button) or NV alone ( nurse home visits alone). Participants in the	The NY+AB group reported improvement in almostal components of frailty thenotype and even when these changes were stight, a visiting nurse combined with technology that produces	Unclear how the technology helped t have a positive effect on frailty scores.
						∵LTA	

			ВМЈ Ор	en		0.1136/bmjop ted by copyri	Page 46
					intervention group received weekly visits from a nurse over a period of 9 months. This group of patients was also able to contact their nurses on whenever they felt the need by pressing the alert button, but the other group did not include emergency care or technological support via the alert button.	en a sensoof security in the patiend-could diminish the level of risk. ing for uses related to text and c	
Reversing Frailty Levels in Primary Care Using the CARES Model	Olga Theou et al. ( 2017)	Older people were screened for frailty by using both CFS and FI.	26/51	Canada	Providers teams were trained in using the comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) frailty levels among patients, the CGA was used to inform the creation of a wellness plan to identify goals most important to the patients, and patients were paired with a free- of-charge, telephone-based health coach for a period of up to six months.	Care in frailty scores between baseline and following after six nonther Al training, and similar technologies.	There was emphasis between patients an processionals defining the plan together but it was not clear when intervention was implemented Concern was emphasized regarding the length of CGA especially the paper format.
Impact on hospital admissions of an integrated primary care model for very frail elderly patients	de Stampa et al. ( 2014)	Using the Contact Assessment (CA) tool- Persons with a score of 6 or more were defined	219/428	Paris	The nurse performed a home-based comprehensive geriatric assessment, developed an individualized care	The right of having at least one unplanned hospited admission decreased at one year and the planned hospital	Hospital geriatrician can direct the transition, and provided more care coordination.

 Page 46 of 55

Page 47 of	47 of 55 BMJ Open						10.1136 .cted by	
1 2							s/bmjope , copyrig	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10			as having complex needs with a mix of medical, psychological, social conditions and functional impairments.			plan, coordinated all the required services during the follow-up. Nurses and primary care physician received support as needed from geriatricians participating.	admissions rate intereased, without a significant change in togal hospital admissions for uses 1 Ju	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A community program of integrated care for frail older adults: Agil Barcelona	L M Pérez et al. (2019)	Individuals aged ≥80 years presenting at least one sign of frailty (i.e. slow gait speed, weakness, memory complaints, involuntary weight loss, poor social support). GFI was used to support the identification processes.	112/134 (The total number who completed the intervention out of the total who recruited)	Spain	Designing a multidisciplinary intervention in the community, including a) multi-modal physical activity (PA) sessions, b) promotion of adherence to a Mediterranean diet c) health education and d) medication review.	The ported in th	Clarity in the alignment between the assessment and management the needs, socialization was also encouraged with exercise.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	(CHS) Cardiovascular Health Study         (CL15) Check-List 15         (GFI) Groningen Frailty indicator         (TGUGT) Get-up-and-Go test         (MEC-35 Lobo) Mini-Examination Cognitive of Lobo         (MNA-SF) Mini Nutritional Assessment short form         (PRISMA) Program of Research to Integrate Services for the Maintenance of Autonomy         COOP_WONCA         (RAI-HC) Resident Assessment Instrument Home Care         (SHARE-FI) Survey of Health, Ageing, and Retirement in Europe							
<ol> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> </ol>		For p	eer review only - htt	p://bmjopen.br	nj.com/site	e/about/guidelines.xhtml	partment GEZ-LTA	

# Supplementary file 1: A list of additional studies

- 1. Bindels J, Cox K, Widdershoven G, van Schayck OCPP, Abma TA. Care for communitydwelling frail older people: a practice nurse perspective. J Clin Nurs [Internet]. 2014 Aug 1;23(15–16):2313–22. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jocn.12513
- 2. Fairhall N, Aggar C, Kurrle SE, Sherrington C, Lord S, Lockwood K, et al. Frailty intervention trial (FIT). BMC Geriatr. 2008;8:1–10.
- 3. Fairhall N, Sherrington C, Kurrle SE, Lord SR, Lockwood K, Howard K, et al. Economic Evaluation of a Multifactorial, Interdisciplinary Intervention Versus Usual Care to Reduce Frailty in Frail Older People. J Am Med Dir Assoc [Internet]. 2015;16(1):41–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2014.07.006
- 4. Fairhall N, Sherrington C, Kurrle SE, Lord SR, Lockwood K, Cameron ID. Effect of a multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention on mobility-related disability in frail older people: randomised controlled trial. BMC Med. 2012;10.
- Murayama H, Nishi M, Shimizu Y, Kim MJ, Yoshida H, Amano H, et al. The hatoyama cohort study: Design and profile of participants at baseline. J Epidemiol. 2012;22(6):551–8.
- 6. Makai P, Perry M, Robben SHM, Schers HJ, Heinen MM, Rikkert MGMO, et al. Evaluation of an ehealth intervention in chronic care for frail older people: Why adherence is the first target. J Med Internet Res. 2014;16(6):1–8.
- 7. Robben SHM, Huisjes M, Van Achterberg T, Zuidema SU, Olde Rikkert MGM, Schers HJ, et al. Filling the gaps in a fragmented health care system: Development of the health and welfare information portal (ZWIP). J Med Internet Res. 2012;14(5).
- Makai P, Perry M, Robben SHM, Schers H, Heinen M, Rikkert MGMO, et al. Which frail older patients use online health communities and why? A mixed methods process evaluation of use of the health and welfare portal. J Med Internet Res [Internet]. 2014;16(12). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84965191679&doi=10.2196%2Fjmir.3609&partnerID=40&md5=6bfea3c8c1cd78892127 8b5582dc0e4c
- 9. Looman WM, Fabbricotti IN, De Kuyper R, Huijsman R. The effects of a pro-active integrated care intervention for frail community-dwelling older people: A quasi-experimental study with the GP-practice as single entry point Health services research. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2016;16(1):1–10. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12877-016-0214-5
- 10. Looman WM, Huijsman R, Bouwmans-Frijters CAM, Stolk EA, Fabbricotti IN. Costeffectiveness of the "Walcheren Integrated Care Model" intervention for communitydwelling frail elderly. Fam Pract. 2016;33(2):154–60.
- 11. Fabbricotti IN, Janse B, Looman WM, De Kuijper R, Van Wijngaarden JDH, Reiffers A. Integrated care for frail elderly compared to usual care: A study protocol of a quasiexperiment on the effects on the frail elderly, their caregivers, health professionals and health care costs. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2013;13(1). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84877349757&doi=10.1186%2F1471-2318-13-
  - 31&partnerID=40&md5=147e190382d7698bbb9c0e134e64db1e

#### BMJ Open

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.	Erasmushogeschool .	MJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA
--	---------------------	---

12.	Ruikes FGH, Meys ARM, Van De Wetering G, Akkermans RP, Van Gaal BGI, Zuidema
	SU, et al. The CareWell-primary care program: Design of a cluster controlled trial and
	process evaluation of a complex intervention targeting community-dwelling frail elderly.
	https://www? scopus com/inward/record uri?eid=2-s? 0-
	84870384686&doi=10.1186%2F1471-2296-13-
	115&partnerID=40&md5=2888a546cbe5057a14327dd6d4836d5b
13.	Ruikes FGH, Adang EM, Assendelft WJJ, Schers HJ, Koopmans RTCM, Zuidema SU.
	Cost-effectiveness of a multicomponent primary care program targeting frail elderly
	people. BMC Fam Pract [Internet]. 2018;19(1). Available from:
	https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
	85047112855&doi=10.1186%2Fs12875-018-0735-
	4&partnerID=40&md5=e50291f32112cc003c020dea9416e4a9
14.	Melis RJF, Van Eijken MIJ, Borm GF, Wensing M, Adang E, Van De Lisdonk EH, et al.
	The design of the Dutch EASY care study: A randomised controlled trial on the
	effectiveness of a problem-based community intervention model for Irail elderly people
	https://www.2 scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
	28744455568&doi=10.1186%2F1472-6963-5-
	65&partnerID=40&md5=a26b1ad4d0bccd11f7526b66a312eabb
15.	Melis RJF, Van Eijken MIJ, Boon ME, Olde Rikkert MGM, Van Achterberg T. Process
	evaluation of a trial evaluating a multidisciplinary nurse-led home visiting programme for
	vulnerable older people. Disabil Rehabil. 2010;32(11):937–46.
16.	Romera L, Orfila F, Segura JM, Ramirez A, Möller M, Fabra ML, et al. Effectiveness of a
	primary care based multifactorial intervention to improve frailty parameters in the elderly:
	a randomised clinical trial: rationale and study design. BMC Geriatr [Internet].
	2014;14:125. Available from:
17	https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-01112225/tull
1/.	Dorner TE, Lackinger C, Haider S, Luger E, Kapan A, Luger M, et al. Nutritional
	nersons carried out by trained lay "buddies": Study protocol of a randomized controlled
	trial BMC Public Health 2013:13(1):1–11
18.	Van Hout HPJ, Nijpels G, Van Marwijk HWJ, Jansen APD, Van't Veer PJ, Tybout W, et
10.	al. Design and pilot results of a single blind randomized controlled trial of systematic
	demand-led home visits by nurses to frail elderly persons in primary care
	[ISRCTN05358495]. BMC Geriatr. 2005;5:1–9.
19.	Stijnen MMN, Duimel-Peeters IGP, Jansen MWJ, Vrijhoef HJM. Early detection of health
	problems in potentially frail community-dwelling older people by general practices -
	Project [G]OLD: Design of a longitudinal, quasi-experimental study. BMC Geriatr
	[Internet]. 2013;13(1). Available from:
	https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
	84872308127&doi=10.1186%2F1471-2318-13-
20	7&partnerID=40&md5=29389120044784fc9035e4639bc382f6
20.	Vedel I, De Stampa M, Bergman H, Ankri J, Cassou B, Blanchard F, et al. Healthcare
	intervention study in the alderly are context. Implement Sci. 2000:4(1):1, 11

21. Vestjens L, Cramm JM, Birnie E, Nieboer AP. Evaluating an integrated primary care approach to improve well-being among frail community-living older people: A theory-guided study protocol. BMC Geriatr. 2018;18(1):1–17.

- 22. Vestjens L, Cramm JM, Nieboer AP. An integrated primary care approach for frail community-dwelling older persons: a step forward in improving the quality of care. BMC Health Serv Res [Internet]. 2018;18(1):28. Available from: https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12913-017-2827-6
- Muntinga ME, Hoogendijk EO, Leeuwen KM Van, Hout HPJ Van, Twisk JWR, Horst HE Van Der, et al. Implementing the chronic care model for frail older adults in the Netherlands : study protocol of ACT (frail older adults : care in transition ). 2012;
- 24. Melis RJF, Van Eijken MIJ, Teerenstra S, Van Achterberg T, Parker SG, Borm GF, et al. A randomized study of a multidisciplinary program to intervene on geriatric syndromes in vulnerable older people who live at home (Dutch EASYcare Study). Journals Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci [Internet]. 2008;63(3):283–90. Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-44349106967&doi=10.1093%2Fgerona%2F63.3.283&partnerID=40&md5=633d8aeddc1 72333dda73b1b256f4073
- 25. Bleijenberg N, Drubbel I, Dam VH, Numans ME, Schuurmans MJ, Wit NJ De. Proactive and integrated primary care for frail older people : design and methodological challenges of the Utrecht primary care PROactive frailty intervention trial (U-PROFIT). 2012;
- 26. Bleijenberg N, Drubbel I, Schuurmans MJ, Dam H ten, Zuithoff NPAA, Numans ME, et al. Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program on Preserving Daily Functioning of Older People: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Geriatr Soc [Internet]. 2016 Sep 1 [cited 2017 Oct 27];64(9):1779–88. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jgs.14325
- 27. Bleijenberg N, Dam VH, Drubbel I, Numans ME, Wit NJ De, Schuurmans MJ. Development of a Proactive Care Program (U-CARE) to Preserve Physical Functioning of Frail Older People in Primary Care. 2013;230–7.
- 28. Stijnen MMN, Jansen MWJ. Development of a home visitation programme for the early detection of health problems in potentially frail community-dwelling older people by general practices. 2013;49–60.
- 29. Bindels J, Cox K, De La Haye J, Mevissen G, Heijing S, van Schayck OCP, et al. Losing connections and receiving support to reconnect: experiences of frail older people within care programmes implemented in primary care settings. Int J Older People Nurs. 2015;10(3):179–89.
- 30. Haider S, Dorner TE, Luger E, Kapan A, Titze S, Lackinger C, et al. Impact of a homebased physical and nutritional intervention program conducted by lay-volunteers on handgrip strength in prefrail and frail older adults: A randomized control trial. PLoS One. 2017;12(1):1–15.
- 31. Grabovac I, Haider S, Winzer E, Kapan A, Schindler KE, Lackinger C, et al. Changes in health parameters in older lay volunteers who delivered a lifestyle-based program to frail older people at home. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2018;130(21–22):637–44.
- 32. Kapan A, Winzer E, Haider S, Titze S, Schindler K, Lackinger C, et al. Impact of a lay-led home-based intervention programme on quality of life in community-dwelling pre-frail and frail older adults: A randomized controlled trial. BMC Geriatr. 2017;17(1):1–11.
- 33. Haider S, Grabovac I, Winzer E, Kapan A, Schindler KE, Lackinger C, et al. Change in

#### BMJ Open

inflammatory parameters in prefrail and frail persons obtaining physical training and nutritional support provided by lay volunteers: A randomized controlled trial. PLoS One. 2017;12(10):8–10.

- 34. Kapan A, Luger E, Haider S, Titze S, Schindler K, Lackinger C, et al. Fear of falling reduced by a lay led home-based program in frail community-dwelling older adults: A randomised controlled trial. Arch Gerontol Geriatr [Internet]. 2017;68:25–32. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2016.08.009
- 35. Bleijenberg N, Ten Dam VH, Steunenberg B, Drubbel I, Numans ME, De Wit NJ, et al. Exploring the expectations, needs and experiences of general practitioners and nurses towards a proactive and structured care programme for frail older patients: A mixed-methods study. J Adv Nurs. 2013;69(10):2262–73.
- 36. Daniels R, Van Rossum E, Metzelthin S, Sipers W, Habets H, Hobma S, et al. A disability prevention programme for community-dwelling frail older persons. Clin Rehabil. 2011;25(11):963–74.
- 37. Metzelthin SF, Van Rossum E, De Witte LP, Hendriks MR, Kempen GI. The reduction of disability in community-dwelling frail older people: Design of a two-arm cluster randomized controlled trial. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2010;10. Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-77956530473&doi=10.1186%2F1471-2458-10-511&partnerID=40&md5=49688a13d7608b46c4a25ef018375f3f
- Inzitari, M., Pérez, L. M., Enfedaque, M. B., Soto, L., Díaz, F., Gual, N., Martín, E., Orfila, F., Mulero, P., Ruiz, R., & Cesari, M. (2018). Integrated primary and geriatric care for frail older adults in the community: Implementation of a complex intervention into real life. *European journal of internal medicine*, 56, 57–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2018.07.022.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

# Reporting checklist for systematic review (with or without a meta-analysis).

Based on the PRISMA guidelines.

# **Instructions to authors**

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the PRISMAreporting guidelines, and cite them as:

Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S, McGuinness LA, Stewart LA, Thomas J, Tricco AC, Welch VA, Whiting P, Moher D. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews

			Page
		Reporting Item	Number
Title			
Title	<u>#1</u>	Identify the report as a systematic review	1 9
Abstract			
Abstract	<u>#2</u>	Report an abstract addressing each item in the PRISMA 2020 for	2
		Abstracts checklist	
Introduction			(
Background/rationale	<u>#3</u>	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing	3-4
		knowledge	
Objectives	<u>#4</u>	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the	4
		review addresses	
Methods			
F	or peer r	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1 2 3	Eligibility criteria	<u>#5</u>	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses	6
4 5 6 7 8	Information sources	<u>#6</u>	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists, and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted	5
9 10 11 12	Search strategy	<u>#7</u>	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers, and websites, including any filters and limits used	7
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Selection process	<u>#8</u>	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and, if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process	6
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Data collection process	<u>#9</u>	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and, if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process	8
<ol> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> </ol>	Data items	<u>#10a</u>	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (for example, for all measures, time points, analyses), and, if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect	6
38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Study risk of bias assessment	<u>#11</u>	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and, if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process	8
45 46 47 48	Effect measures	<u>#12</u>	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (such as risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results	NA
49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	Synthesis methods	<u>#13a</u>	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (such as tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5))	7
58 59 60	Fo	or peer re	view only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

Page 54 of 55

7

NA

4-5

7

7

8

7

7

9-10

10

11-16

8

# BMJ Open

1 2 3 4 5	Synthesis methods	<u>#13b</u>	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics or data conversions
6 7 8 9	Synthesis methods	<u>#13c</u>	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses
10 11 12 13 14 15	Synthesis methods	<u>#13d</u>	Describe any methods used to synthesise results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used
16 17 18 19 20 21	Synthesis methods	<u>#13e</u>	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (such as subgroup analysis, meta-regression)
22 23 24 25	Synthesis methods	<u>#13f</u>	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesised results
26 27 28 29	Reporting bias assessment	<u>#14</u>	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases)
30 31 32	Certainty assessment	<u>#15</u>	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome
33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Data items	<u>#10b</u>	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (such as participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information
40 41 42	Results		
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	Study selection	<u>#16a</u>	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram (http://www.prisma- statement.org/PRISMAStatement/FlowDiagram)
50 51 52 53	Study selection	<u>#16b</u>	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded
54 55 56	Study characteristics	<u>#17</u>	Cite each included study and present its characteristics
57 58	Risk of bias in studies	<u>#18</u>	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study
59 60	Fo	or peer re	view only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Results of individual studies	<u>#19</u>	For all outcomes, present for each study (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (such as confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots	NA	
Results of syntheses	<u>#20a</u>	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies	NA	P
Results of syntheses	<u>#20b</u>	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta- analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (such as confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect	NA	rotected by copyright, in
Results of syntheses	<u>#20c</u>	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results	NA	ncluding fo
Results of syntheses	<u>#20d</u>	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesised results	NA	r uses rela
Risk of reporting biases in syntheses	<u>#21</u>	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed	NA	ted to text
Certainty of evidence	<u>#22</u>	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed	NA	and data m
Discussion				nining, <i>"</i>
Results in context	<u>#23a</u>	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence	16	Al training,
Limitations of included studies	<u>#23b</u>	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review	17	and simila
Limitations of the review methods	<u>#23c</u>	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used	17	r technolo
Implications	<u>#23d</u>	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research	18	gies.
Other information				
Registration and protocol	<u>#24a</u> For peer re	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered view only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	4	
	Results of individual studies Results of synthesess Results of synthesess Results of synthesess Results of synthesess Results of reporting biases in synthesess Certainty of evidence Certainty of evidence Certaints in context Results in context Limitations of included studies Limitations of the review methods Implications	Results of individual #19 studies #20a Results of syntheses #20b Results of syntheses #20c Results of syntheses #20c Results of syntheses #20d Results of syntheses #20d Risk of reporting #21 Certainty of evidence #22 <b>Discussion</b> #22 <b>Discussion</b> #22 <b>Discussion</b> #22 <b>Li</b> mitations of ine #23a Limitations of the review methods #23b included studies #23b included studies #23a <b>Discussion</b> #23a	Results of individual       #19       For all outcomes, present for each study (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (such as confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots         Results of syntheses       #20a       For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies         Results of syntheses       #20b       Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (such as confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect         Results of syntheses       #20b       Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results         Results of syntheses       #20c       Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesised results         Results of syntheses       #20d       Present assessments of cach synthesis assessed         Certainty of evidence       #21       Present assessments of cach synthesis in the context of other evidence for each outcome assessed         Discussion       #23a       Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence         Limitations of the revidence       #23b       Discuss any limitations of the review processes used         Limitations of the revidence       #23c       Discuss any limitations of the review, including register n	Results of individual       #19       For all outcomes, present for each study (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (such as confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots       NA         Results of syntheses       #20a       For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies       NA         Results of syntheses       #20b       Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (such as confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect       NA         Results of syntheses       #20c       Present results of all statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect       NA         Results of syntheses       #20c       Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results       NA         Results of syntheses       #20c       Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesised results       NA         Results of reporting       #21       Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed       NA         Discussion       #22a       Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence of each outcome assessed       Di         Limitations of the #23a

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

1 2 3	Registration and protocol	<u>#24b</u>	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared	NA
4 5 6 7	Registration and protocol	<u>#24c</u>	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol	NA
8 9 10 11	Support	<u>#25</u>	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review	19
12 13	Competing interests	<u>#26</u>	Declare any competing interests of review authors	19
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Availability of data, code, and other materials	<u>#27</u>	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review	27

The PRISMA checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY. This checklist was completed on 22. June 2021 using https://www.goodreports.org/, a tool made by the EQUATOR Network in collaboration with Penelope.ai

# **BMJ Open**

#### Understanding the implementation of interventions to improve the management of frailty in primary care: A rapid realist review

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-054780.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Nov-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Alharbi, Khulud; The University of Manchester Faculty of Medical and Human Sciences Blakeman, Thomas; University of Manchester, School of Community Based Medicine van Marwijk, Harm; University of Brighton, Division of Primary Care and Public Health; Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Reeves, David; University of Manchester, Institute of Population Health; Centre for Biostatistics Tsang, Jung Yin; University of Manchester, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	General practice / Family practice
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	PRIMARY CARE, GENERAL MEDICINE (see Internal Medicine), SOCIAL MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH, Organisation of health services < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT
	·

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

terez oni

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies



# Understanding the implementation of interventions to improve the management of frailty in primary care: A rapid realist review

Khulud Alharbi, PhD student, Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester

Thomas Blakeman, PhD, MRCGP, Clinical Senior Lecturer in Primary Care, National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research, Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester

Harm van Marwijk, MD, PhD, GP and Professor of General Practice, Brighton and Sussex Medical School

David Reeves, PhD, Professor, National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester

Jung Yin Tsang, GP and NIHR, In-Practice Fellow, National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research, Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester

Correspondence to Khulud Alharbi; Khulud.alharbi@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk

#### Abstract

**Objective:** Identifying and managing the needs of frail people in the community is an increasing priority for policy makers. We sought to identify factors that enable or constrain the implementation of interventions for frail older persons in primary care.

**Design:** A rapid realist review.

Data sources: Cochrane Library, SCOPUS and EMBASE, and grey literature.

**Eligibility criteria for selecting studies:** We considered all types of empirical studies describing interventions targeting frailty in primary care.

Analysis: We followed the realist and meta-narrative evidence syntheses: evolving standards (RAMESES) quality and publication criteria for our synthesis to systematically analyse and synthesize the existing literature and to identify (intervention-context-mechanism-outcome) configurations. We used normalization processes theory (NPT) to illuminate mechanisms surrounding implementation.

**Results:** Our primary research returned 1,735 articles, narrowed down to 29 relevant frailty intervention studies conducted in primary care. Our review identified two families of interventions. They comprised: 1) interventions aimed at the comprehensive assessment and management of frailty needs; and 2) interventions targeting specific frailty needs. Key factors that facilitate or inhibit the translation of frailty interventions into practice related to the distribution of resources; patient engagement and professional skill-sets to address identified need.

**Conclusion:** There remain challenges to achieving successful implementation of frailty interventions in primary care. There were a key learning points under each family. First, targeted allocation of resources to address specific needs, allows a greater alignment of skill-sets and reduces over-assessment of frail individuals. Second, earlier patient involvement may also improve intervention implementation and adherence.

Key words: frailty, general practitioners, interventions, tools, older people.

# Strengths and limitations of this study:

- To our knowledge, this is the first realist review to explore factors supporting or inhibiting frailty interventions in primary care.
- The synthesis was constructed based on RAMESES standards entailing development and comparative analysis of ICMO configurations (intervention, context, mechanism, outcome).
- Normalisation process theory (NPT) constructs helped us to highlight factors surrounding the implementations of interventions.
- There was wide heterogeneity in the reporting of implementation processes, with more data for interventions that entailed qualitative evaluations.
- The analysis focused on a defined 'frail' populations within primary studies and excluded related elderly populations whom did not diagnosed with frailty.

# Introduction

Frailty is a promising but also somewhat contested multidimensional syndrome characterized by a reduction in resilience due to the accumulation of health deficits.<sup>1–3</sup> It tends to be progressive, leading to loss of independence, often triggered by a stressor event such as an episode of acute illness.<sup>3</sup> Frailty places individuals at risk of adverse health outcomes, including falls, unplanned hospitalisation and death.<sup>1</sup> It is highly prevalent among older people; increasing from 4% in people aged 65-69 years to greater than 16% in those aged 80 years and over.<sup>4–6</sup> The heterogeneity of frailty status also increased the challenges of understanding a frailty intervention, due to the differences between individuals capacity (e.g. pre-frail and frail).<sup>7</sup> Informed by emergent evidence, targeted support from health and care services is now advocated to improve the lives and outcomes for older people with frailty.<sup>1, 8,9</sup>

Interventions using exercise, nutritional supplementation and comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) appear to be effective in improving frailty among older people in a hospital setting.<sup>10,11</sup> The NHS Long Term Plan, issued a new CGA guidelines to support primary care providers working with older people.<sup>12</sup> However, a recent systematic review highlighted limited and mixed evidence concerning the introduction of comprehensive geriatric assessments offered

Page 5 of 57

#### **BMJ** Open

in the primary care setting to those perceived to be the most vulnerable older people.<sup>13</sup> There is a need to ensure that frailty interventions are adaptable because of the mixed evidence e.g. the interventions improved adherence to medications but show no improvement in functional outcome.<sup>13</sup> Furthermore, the diversity of interventions targeting frailty increases the challenge to define the best intervention that could be used to identify, assess and manage frailty in older people.<sup>7</sup> Fisterra guideline in Spain updated in 2020 "Frail elderly people: detection and management in primary care" highlighted the most effective interventions in frailty are physical exercise, and medication.<sup>14</sup>

However, there is no clear definition or tool for identifying frailty, and the lack of evidence regarding the usefulness of its detection, is still considered to be significant barrier to identifying and managing frailty in primary care.<sup>15</sup> Accordingly, screening for frailty in primary care are unlikely to translate into improved clinical outcomes in the absence of a clear evidence for clinical decision-making.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, without an active involvement of older patients in the study design and development of care plan related to frailty, it might negatively affect the impact of the intervention outcomes and its implementation.<sup>16</sup>

Therefore, recognising and acknowledging frailty in professional daily practice might help to enhance a better understanding of a persons' frailty, which might help to overcome the challenges of providing good care for an expanding aging population. Our study sought to gain greater clarity of factors that impact the implementation of frailty interventions in primary care.

#### Methods Objective



We conducted a rapid realist review of the literature to understand factors that support or inhibit implementation of frailty interventions in primary care.

#### Patients and public involvement

No patients or public were involved in this study.

#### Study design

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

This study has been informed by the principles underpinning rapid realist reviews (RRR)<sup>17</sup> in conjunction with normalization process theory (NPT).<sup>18</sup> The published protocol for the review is registered with PROSPERO (CRD42019161193).<sup>19</sup> The reporting of this review is consistent with the realist and meta-narrative evidence synthesis (RAMESES) publication standards.<sup>20</sup>

As stated by Saul et al, rapid realist review methodology focuses on identifying 'families of interventions' (I) and to then explain why they produce 'outcomes' of interest (O) through generating specific changes in 'context' (C) that trigger particular 'mechanisms' (M).<sup>21</sup> This approach to applying realist methodology is particularly useful when research findings need to be rapidly adapted and iteratively refined to take account of emerging evidence in intervention development.<sup>21</sup> We considered implementation of frailty interventions in primary care through analysis of intervention, context, mechanisms, outcomes (ICMO) configurations. Reflecting our primary objective, our main outcome of interest was evidence of implementation. Realist methodology was appropriate as it allowed an illumination of the interactions between these configurations, particularly within the context of complex interventions implemented in primary care.

NPT is a theory of implementation that focuses on the work people do surrounding the implementation of new sets of practices.<sup>22,23</sup> NPT proposes four constructs, 'generative mechanisms', which characterise different types of work that 'people do as they work around a set of practices'.<sup>23</sup> The four NPT constructs comprise: coherence 'sense-making work', cognitive participation 'relational work to build and sustain a community of practice', collective action 'operational work to enact a set of practices' and reflexive monitoring 'formal and informal assessment of the new sets of practice'.<sup>23,24</sup> For the purposes of this study, NPT provided a sensitising framework to help consider mechanisms that enabled or constrained implementation of frailty interventions in primary care.

#### Search process

#### Literature search

To obtain the relevant papers for review, groups of medical subject headings (MeSH) and key words highlighted (Box 1) were used to screen for English language articles. The first reviewer

#### **BMJ** Open

KA conducted an initial scoping search to develop familiarity with the various kinds of frailty interventions relevant to primary care settings in March 2019. Subsequently, iterative and progressively more focused searches were used and re-run in September 2019. An electronic literature search was conducted using the following bibliographic databases: Cochrane Library, SCOPUS and EMBASE.

#### Box 1: MeSH and key words used in the search processes

("frail\*" or "frail elderly" or "frailty") and ("general practitioners" or " general practitioner" or "family physician" or "primary care" or " primary medical care"), and ("interventions" or "intervention study" or "models" or "model" or "tool" or "tools" or "strategy" or "strategies" or "project" or "projects"). Basic Boolean operators (i.e. AND, OR) were used in the search strategy.

#### Data selection

The data selection process was performed in two stages with no time period restrictions. All forms of study design were included in order to present a comprehensive exploration of factors surrounding implementation, with acknowledgment that there might be varying strengths of evidence. Using the primary exclusion criteria KA screened the papers to ensure the eligibility to the study's aim (Table 1). On a weekly meeting, TB checked all of included studies. Then, following the secondary exclusion criteria, KA scanned and included studies, if there was doubt, TB double checked the studies to ensure that inclusion criteria were met. During full text screening, we considered all of the systematic reviews that might open a pathway of additional targeted searches explaining our interventions. Forward and backward citation searches were conducted on each identified key study, leading to additional studies being added to the review list throughout the process.

The secondary search was an iterative process from the published interventions identified in the primary search. This entailed:

- Searches of relevant articles in the reference list.
- Searches of the author on PubMed and ResearchGate.
- Searches of the author and research group on Google to identify relevant grey literature.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
21
21
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
10
 ∕/1
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
51
52 52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1

Primary exclusion criteria to screen (title and	Secondary exclusion criteria to screen (full
abstract)	text)
<ul> <li>Studies not written in English;</li> <li>Studies that include participants who are not human;</li> <li>Studies where the primary focus was not on the care of frail older people e.g. studies only focussed on the prefrail population;</li> <li>Studies which focused on managing a specific condition in frail individuals;</li> <li>Studies which were letters, notes, or conference abstracts only.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Studies where there was no description of any intervention or guidelines;</li> <li>Studies that did not report any outcome or results;</li> <li>Studies where there were no primary care elements;</li> <li>Studies in which further information to make an assessment could not be obtained;</li> <li>Studies where there was no description or detail on how frail individuals were included in the study.</li> </ul>

Table 1: Primary and secondary exclusion criteria for the primary search

## Participants in the interventions

To increase the clarity of our analysis and understanding of the intervention, the review examined the implementation of interventions that were primarily focussed on recruiting a frail population (i.e. we only excluded studies where the sole focus was pre-frail populations). We included studies adopting any type of screening and case finding method for frailty, such as physical function, professionals' opinion, Groningen frailty indicator (GFI) or Tilburg frailty indicator (TFI) tools.

#### Data extraction

KA extracted the relevant data into a spreadsheet to prepare for analysis (Supplementary Table S1). Then, an initial ICMO model was developed including use of NPT constructs. KA used this model to extract all of the relevant information, and created an ICMO model for each intervention in a separate file (Supplementary Table S2). Following NPT, KA also applied a series of questions to guide the evaluation of factors affecting the implementation of an intervention (Supplementary Table S3). On a weekly basis, KA shared the ICMO model and an original copy of each intervention study with TB and JT, which enhanced their discussion and supported the development of themes. The ICMO model was helpful to address how, when, why

#### **BMJ** Open

#### Data analysis

Three reviewers (KA, TB and JT) independently extracted relevant themes from studies, and weekly data sessions were held to critically appraise, analyse and synthesise developing themes. After each meeting, themes were summarized and their relationships elicited. Through an iterative process, ICMO models for each intervention study developed as the study progressed, with researchers gaining increasing familiarity with RRR methodology.

Specifically, types of interventions targeting frailty in primary care (i.e. 'families of interventions') were identified according to their common features and proposed sets of practices.<sup>21</sup> Analysis of the studies examined what local changes in practice 'context' occurred following the introduction of the intervention. NPT provided a sensitising framework to consider 'mechanisms' triggered. Using constant comparative methods, we examined the relationships between intervention, contextual changes, mechanisms and outcomes, both for individual studies and across types of 'families of intervention'. Through this iterative process, we constructed an understanding of factors underpinning the implementation of frailty interventions in primary care.

#### Quality appraisal

In keeping with realist methodology, appraising whether the main focus of each study was 'frailty in primary care' was a key factor .<sup>25</sup> Since we included multiple study designs in this RRR, all included studies were evaluated for methodological rigour by KA using the mixed methods appraisal tool (MMAT),<sup>26</sup> and confirmed with TB and JT. A score was assigned to each intervention for each appraisal criteria met (out of five), to inform the confidence of findings obtained (Supplementary Table S4). This approach allowed a focus on more comprehensive papers without excluding weaker papers, because all of the included studies has a good evidence that we excluded throughout the study analysis processes.<sup>27</sup>

## Results

Figure 1 illustrates the article selection process for the review. Of 1735 studies screened for relevance, 85 articles underwent full text review, leading to 29 intervention studies contributing to the analysis. Included studies were published between 2000 and 2019. Most were conducted in Netherlands (n=17) and Spain (n=3), with nine other countries represented by one study each: Japan, China, Australia, Austria, Canada, France, USA, Switzerland, and Mexico.

The iterative secondary search identified 38 records further that provided further insight into each of the 29 intervention studies (Figure 2). A descriptive overview of the interventions is presented in (Supplementary Table S5), and a list of the records identified by the secondary search is provided in (Supplementary file1).

#### **Families of frailty interventions**

Through an iterative analysis of data from across the included studies, the interventions targeting frailty were grouped into two 'families': 1) interventions aimed at comprehensive assessment and management; and 2) interventions targeting specific frailty needs. Comparative analysis of the ICMO configurations identified three key related factors underpinning the implementation of frailty interventions in primary care: distribution of resources, patient engagement and the skill-set of the professionals involved. The studies used the term 'resources' in different ways and referred to the use of time, the presence of multidisciplinary team members, enabling technology, as well as access to secondary care and community resources.

## Family 1: Comprehensive assessment and management of frailty

Of the 29 included studies, 23 interventions related to this family. Interventions were mostly carried out in the Netherlands (n=17),<sup>28-44</sup> with the others conducted (n=1) in France,<sup>45</sup> Switzerland,<sup>46</sup> Spain,<sup>47</sup> Canada,<sup>48</sup> Mexico,<sup>49</sup> and the USA.<sup>50</sup>

Common design features across these interventions included a focus on developing a care plan and consideration of patients' preferences, with some aiming to improve collaboration between primary and secondary care organisations.<sup>28-50</sup> Participants in the intervention groups tended to receive an in-home multidimensional geriatric assessment by a nurse. These were generally

completed using assessment tools, which varied across the interventions: the Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA),<sup>28,48</sup> the Resident Assessment Instrument–Home Care version (RAI-HC),<sup>29,45</sup> the interRAI Community Health Assessment instrument,<sup>41–44</sup> or the Easy-Care instrument.<sup>32,34</sup> In conjunction with GPs or through extended team meetings, a preliminary care plan was formulated. The approach then tended to entail a second home visit conducted by the nurse to discuss and finalise the care plan with the patient. In the main, nurses were responsible for planning and coordinating care delivery, providing periodic evaluation and monitoring of care plans.<sup>28-50</sup> In only one intervention, participants were referred to a geriatrician or physical therapist who performed the CGA and then designed a tailored multifactorial interventions in the community.<sup>47</sup>

#### Key factors influencing implementation (Figure 3)

A. Distribution of resources

Our comparative analysis of the intervention studies suggested that in the main, professionals invested considerable time in performing an assessment to identify patients' problems, with less time made available for managing the identified needs. For example, in the geriatric care model (GCM), nurses spent 50 to 90 minutes conducting the initial assessment, an average of 37 minutes writing care plans, and a further 40 minutes preparing and carrying out multidisciplinary team meetings,<sup>42</sup> but just over half an hour on 'discussing care plans' during follow up visits.<sup>42</sup> Subsequently, care plans and follow-up visits were not always carried out as intended depending on time pressure or on assessment outcomes, with some nurses not writing a care plan at all when there was limited time or when no health needs were identified.<sup>42</sup>

The [G]OLD preventive home visitation programme, invested on average 85 minutes per older person from preparation of the home visit to formulating the care plan.<sup>28,51</sup> Professionals considered home visiting helpful to gain an overview of a persons' living environment, which supported decision making (i.e., a possible transition to a nursing home).<sup>28,51</sup> However, in some cases, the time needed to complete an assessment and develop a care plan for frail older people proved considerably longer than anticipated.<sup>52,53</sup> For example, it took extra evaluation to clarify the urgency of the problem,<sup>52</sup> or it took time for elderly patients to become acquainted with the nurses and to share their stories.<sup>53</sup> In the disability prevention programme, some nurses

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

substituted second home visits by a telephone discussion of the care plan for patients with less complicated issues.<sup>37,54</sup> No data was available for time spent on executing the care plan or the suggested management for any of these studies. A key implementation barrier for proactive elderly care is that nurses spent most of the time doing the assessment to develop a care plan and then they struggle to implement the care plan for each individual.

In contrast, the '+AGIL Barcelona' intervention allocated resources for both a comprehensive assessment and the management of identified frailty needs. This entailed evaluating the needs through a CGA conducted by a geriatrician and physical therapist, and then providing exercise groups (also encouraging socialisation), promotion of a Mediterranean diet, health education, and medication reviews, along with ongoing primary care practitioner input. The patients and family also received the CGA results on the same day of the evaluation and agreed a tailored care plan together – there was no time lag to patient involvement. Adjusting the available resources and support of the geriatric team and community resources were a facilitators that allowed the intervention to be adaptable and sustainable for primary care teams and for older people.<sup>47</sup>

#### B. Patient engagement

As the first home visit in most interventions tended to focus on assessment, with the care plan then being created in discussion between the nurse and the GPs with the patient more involved on the second visit,<sup>28,30,32,39,41,42,44,55</sup> this could create a mismatch between patients' and professionals' priorities. Some patients then lack motivation to implement the intervention or resisting changes.<sup>28</sup> For example, one patient indicated that proactive nurse visits tended to be 'meddling in other people's affairs', especially when there was no specific request for help.<sup>28</sup> In other interventions it became 'overwhelming' for older people when it did not match their needs or provided no further perceived benefits.<sup>56</sup> Implementing proactive care plans can thus create tensions around people's autonomy. Conversely, nurses indicated that in some cases it was important to gain trust before older people would want to share their problems, if they had these, and experiences with them.<sup>53</sup> Proactive visits by nurses in some interventions were well-received by older people; as they felt anything could be discussed with nurses, <sup>57</sup> including non-medical issues.<sup>36</sup> One intervention conducted in the Netherlands attempted to maintain patient and professional relationships through use of a web-based conference table. However, although Page 13 of 57

#### **BMJ** Open

patients appreciated their concerns being delivered to their GPs, they were less comfortable using the computer and preferred face-to-face contact.<sup>31</sup> Only one study completed the assessment and a care plan on the same day.<sup>47</sup> Involving patients directly into the development of care plans, resulted in high adherence (90.2% attended > 75% exercise sessions) and significant improvements in physical function.<sup>47</sup> There was limited evidence on the degree to which patients were involved in developing and executing their care plan. Although many projects saw the importance of involving older people when designing the intervention, there was evidence to suggest that older people priorities and preferences were not considered during implementation.

#### C. Professional skill-set

Use of a multidisciplinary team was a key feature across this family of frailty interventions. However, in the main, there was limited evidence on how management of needs identified in a care plan was delegated across different disciplines, which limited the analysis to understand the translation of care plan into practice. Analysis indicated that professionals encountered a number of barriers to deliver the care for frail older persons based on the intervention and skillset. For example, nurses were responsible for the assessment and development of the care plan, and were reported to have good organization and communication skills.<sup>37</sup> However, at times, this was insufficient to implement a care plan with difficulties reported undertaking medication reviews,<sup>51</sup> or creating plans for patients with mental problems.<sup>28</sup> Alternatively, a successful feature was the enhanced role of geriatricians in fostering collaboration and sharing information between primary care and hospital settings, which enabled smoother transitions of care (i.e. more appropriate admissions) and allowed identified needs to be more swiftly met.<sup>45,46</sup>

#### Family 2: Targeting specific frailty needs

Out of the 29 intervention studies, 6 related to screening and targeting specific frailty needs. The interventions were conducted in Spain (n=2),<sup>58,59</sup> and in (n=1) Australia,<sup>60</sup> Austria,<sup>61</sup> China,<sup>62</sup> and Japan.<sup>63</sup>

In the main, these interventions aimed to address a specific need and produce observable outcomes such as mobility, functional, cognitive and emotional status, psychosocial status, hospitalization and level of pain.<sup>58–63</sup> These mostly entailed multifactorial interventions including physical activity, memory workshops, medication review,<sup>58</sup> a combined exercise
programme,<sup>59</sup> nutritional supplementation, referral to a psychiatrist, encouraging social engagement and home exercise programmes,<sup>60</sup> nutritional and physical programmes alongside social support,<sup>61</sup> acupressure treatment,<sup>62</sup> and resistance exercise, nutritional and psycho-social programmes.<sup>63</sup>

### Key factors influencing implementation (Figure 4)

A. Distribution of resources and professionals skill-sets Our analysis of this family of interventions suggested that compared to the more comprehensive (Family 1) interventions, there was clearer and more adaptable allocation of resources across both the assessment and management of specific needs. Likewise, the care plan appeared more straightforward to align professional skill sets to address specific needs. One example of a multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention conducted in Australia, older participants were recruited if they met three or more of phenotype criteria (i.e. weight loss, exhaustion, low physical activity, slowness, weakness) and then according to the needs participants were assigned either nutritional intervention, referral to psychiatrist, or home physical activity sessions. The intervention also entailed ongoing reassessment throughout the intervention phase.<sup>60</sup> The physiotherapist was able to coordinate the intervention in the community with 'well-prepared health and care services for older people', resulting in a high level of adherence to the intervention.<sup>60,64</sup> In another multifactorial intervention conducted in Barcelona, participants were screened for frailty using phenotype criteria and then they were aligned to the interventions according to their needs i.e. physical activity, nutritional intake, memory workshop and medication review. The monitoring was a priority: every 2 weeks there was an evaluation of progression, measuring intensity and number of repetitions of physical activity, which resulted in a sustained 'improvement in mobility and strength performance'.<sup>58,65</sup> GPs skills were successfully used to perform medication reviews, where patients were re-educated about unnecessary drugs and successfully reduced their use.58

## B. Patient and 'social' engagement

Analysis suggested that patients appreciated the intervention when it met their needs and capacity. Promoting the social life of participants was considered a key feature of some interventions that facilitated implementation. <sup>61–63</sup> For example, acupressure treatment was

designed as a caregiver administered treatment, which could be carried out at home or community settings.<sup>62</sup> After training, 'caregivers were requested to spend two 20 minutes sessions per week with the elderly doing homework assigned by the activity group'.<sup>62</sup> Participants revealed that they were in a better mood after the intervention,<sup>62</sup> and they experienced a significantly higher satisfaction in their ability to perform daily living activities.<sup>62</sup> In another multifactorial intervention in Japan, a psychosocial programme was conducted alongside the exercise and nutritional programmes.<sup>63</sup> The psychosocial programme consisted of practical and group activities to discuss hobbies and interests. Participants also discussed how to continue the exercise after the intervention. Consequently, sessions were completed as planned with evidence that the participants continued the exercise programme even after the intervention.<sup>63</sup> In another home-based intervention performed in Austria, trained nonprofessional volunteers visited malnourished frail older persons twice a week for approximately one hour. The first group of older people performed a nutritional and physical activity intervention, with the control group receiving social support only.<sup>61</sup> Adherence to the visit was higher in the physical exercise group but both groups demonstrated improvement in nutritional and frailty scores. The study suggested that social support alone can have a significant impact on nutrition and frailty status in older persons.<sup>61</sup>

### Sustainability of frailty interventions

Overall, there was no clear evidence to capture the long term sustainability of the interventions. In the interventions aimed at comprehensive assessment and developing care plan, an imbalance between time investment and the available resources in proportion to the problems detected might be a factor that constrained long-term implementation.<sup>28,35,42,55,57,66</sup> Further, our analysis suggested that older people's interests and perceptions needed to be considered earlier to understand how much they are willing to be part of the intervention.<sup>29,36</sup> It was evident from interventions targeting specific frailty needs that the enhancement of community networks and social interaction influenced the interventions being sustained for at least 3 months.<sup>58,63</sup>

## Discussion

## Statement of the principal findings

In this review, we identified two families of interventions and highlighted factors that enabled and constrained their implementation. These related to the distribution of resources, patients' engagement and the professional skill-set to target identified need. For interventions entailing a comprehensive approach to frailty, our analysis suggested that time to form trusting relationships was important but that a disproportionate amount of resource may be consumed by assessment compared to the implementation of management plans. Furthermore, the development and resourcing of a professional skill-set to address a range of needs was not necessarily explicit from the outset. In contrast, interventions targeting specific frailty needs demonstrated greater clarity regarding the distribution of resources, with alignment of a professional skill-set to a specific need (and thus seem easier to implement). Our analysis further suggested that incorporating social factors into intervention design might support implementation and sustainability.

### Strengths and limitations

A key strength of this study is that it provides an evidence-based map of interventions in primary care for managing the 'needs' of frail older people. Our focus was to evaluate factors underpinning successful implementation of interventions targeting frailty, rather than drawing strong conclusions on effectiveness. In addition, we acknowledge that our review of intervention studies takes the concept of frailty at face value and does not take into account literature that critiques the 'power relations' surrounding the introduction of frailty into routine practice.<sup>67–69</sup> However, we acknowledge the heterogeneity of the frailty groups, with interventions highlighting a range of frailty approaches to identifying frail populations, such as eFi and phenotype. We did not explore how each approach has been used; but we have included a summary of the screening criteria in (Supplementary Table S5). We included only studies that focused mainly on a frail population, but acknowledge that targeting older people with pre-frailty might be more effective in implementing strategies and interventions for vulnerable older adults than for those who are actually frail as there may be less 'residual capacity' for improving the care of older people.

Several limitations to examining implementation exist from available evidence. First, there was no data on time taken to execute care plans, nor for whether identified needs were fully

addressed. Furthermore, few studies provided evidence around the sustainability of interventions. Lack of contextual details (e.g. what happened after introducing the intervention) in the published studies, also limited our analysis. However, to enhance trustworthiness, our findings were constructed through constant comparative methods, iterative testing and retesting of ICMO configurations, which were regularly updated.<sup>21</sup> Additionally, our secondary search identified accompanying articles revealing further contextual data and evaluation for certain interventions. Rigour was maintained through three reviewers attending regular data meetings.

## Comparison of our findings with other studies

Our review of frailty interventions in primary care resonates with previous qualitative research exploring comprehensive geriatric assessments.<sup>13</sup> Gardner et al <sup>13</sup> found that patients and carers 'wanted their knowledge and priorities to be included in the assessment and care plan and that, at times, the integration of social and personal care needs was unclear'. One method may be to involve older people in co-designing interventions, with a randomized control trial aiming to reverse frailty and build resilience awaiting definitive evaluation.<sup>70</sup> Findings from the wider literature, including our previous analysis of dialogue surrounding self-management support for people with long-term conditions, highlight the potential for assessment tools to reinforce a checklist approach to consultations, potentially disrupting (and delaying) patient and caregiver involvement in care planning discussions.<sup>71–73</sup> Furthermore, Macdonald et al <sup>7</sup> suggests that a CGA approach potentially works if the resources and professionals skill set (i.e. geriatrician) allocated to address the identified needs.<sup>7</sup> However, there are still limitations to outcome measurement of the interventions,<sup>7</sup> two studies demonstrated no significant differences between intervention and control groups in terms of frailty measures.<sup>74,75</sup> Our review also highlights clear potential challenges in implementing comprehensive assessment to develop a care plan in primary care.

### Implications for policy and practice

Some older people want to maintain their privacy, and may be reluctant to reveal certain types of possibly stigmatizing needs, known as 'hidden needs', such as cognitive problems.<sup>76</sup> This RRR further suggests that incorporating social dimensions of care into interventions design may reduce the potential for loneliness and isolation and so enhance their implementation.<sup>28,47,63,62,77–</sup>

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

<sup>79</sup> Our analysis suggested that comprehensive assessment and visiting older people at home enabled trusting relationships between patients and professionals to form as well as fostering multidisciplinary collaborations. Though important, this was insufficient to ensure effective implementation of care plans without adequate extra resourcing (e.g. time, workforce expansion). There is also evidence to support the introduction of interventions targeting exercise training for people with different stages of frailty.<sup>7</sup> Our recent qualitative study highlighted widespread concern surrounding current capacity to address identified unmet needs of frail patients in primary care.<sup>80</sup> There appears to be a role for both families of 'comprehensive' and 'specific' approaches to frailty in primary care, matching the approach to identified need by involving older people early or through co-design.

## Conclusion

There remain challenges to achieving successful implementation of frailty management interventions in primary care to improve health outcomes for older people with frailty. Developing a specific care plan helps professionals to manage the identified needs, allowing a greater alignment of skill-sets and avoiding over-assessment of people living with frailty. Earlier involvement of patients is another key factor that may facilitate implementation and increase adherence to the intervention.

# Author contributorship

The idea for this article originated from an ongoing PhD research programme around patient frailty in primary care (KA). DR, KA, TB, HvM and JT conceived of the article. TB, JT and KA developed the study design and KA extracted the themes and developed the final result under the supervision of TB and JT. KA wrote the manuscript with contributions and comments from DR, HVM, TB and JT. TB is guarantor of the article.

## **Competing interests**

None declared

# Funding

This is a doctoral funded study through Umm Al-Qura University and the University of Manchester. This paper is linked to independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research. The views expressed in this document are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

# Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

## **Ethic statement**

Ethics approval was not required.

## References

- 1. Clegg A, Young J, Iliff S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. 2013;381.
- Puts MTE, Toubasi S, Atkinson E, Ayala AP, Andrew M, Ashe MC, et al. Interventions to prevent or reduce the level of frailty in community-dwelling older adults: a protocol for a scoping review of the literature and international policies. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2016 Mar 1 [cited 2019 Mar 19];6(3):e010959. Available from: https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/6/3/e010959
- 3. Moody DNE, Lyndon HNE, Dr. Stevens GNK. Toolkit for general practice in supporting older people living with frailty. 2017;
- 4. Song X, Mitnitski A, Rockwood K. Prevalence and 10-Year outcomes of frailty in older adults in relation to deficit accumulation. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2010;58(4):681–7.
- 5. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci [Internet]. 2001;56. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gerona/56.3.M146
- 6. Gilardi F, Capanna A, Ferraro M, Scarcella P, Marazzi MC, Palombi L, et al. Frailty screening and assessment tools: a review of characteristics and use in Public Health. Ann Ig. 2018;30:128–39.
- 7. Macdonald SH-F, Travers J, Shé EN, Bailey J, Romero-Ortuno R, Keyes M, et al. Primary care interventions to address physical frailty among community-dwelling adults aged 60 years or older : A meta-analysis. 2020;69.
- 8. Binder EF, Schechtman KB, Ehsani AA, Steger-May K, Brown M, Sinacore DR, et al. Effects of exercise training on frailty in community-dwelling older adults: Results of a randomized, controlled trial. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2002;50(12):1921–8.
- 9. Gill TM, Baker DI, Gottschalk M, Peduzzi PN, Allore H, Byers A. A program to prevent functional decline in physically frail, elderly persons who live at home. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 2002;347(14 CC-Incontinence CC-Bone, Joint and Muscle Trauma CC-SR-REHAB CC-Stroke):1068-1074. Available from:

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

60

https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-00398268/full 10. Gardner M, Shepperd S, Godfrey M, Mäkelä P, Tsiachristas A, Singh-Mehta A, et al. Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment in hospital and hospital-at-home settings: a mixedmethods study. Heal Serv Deliv Res. 2019;7(10):1-206. Ellis G, Gardner M, Tsiachristas A, Langhorne P, Burke O, Harwood RH, et al. 11. Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older adults admitted to hospital. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017;2017(9). 12. British Geriatrics Society. Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment Toolkit for Primary Care Practitioners. 2019:1–48. 13. Garrard JW, Cox NJ, Dodds RM, Roberts HC, Sayer AA. Comprehensive geriatric assessment in primary care: a systematic review. Aging clinical and experimental research. 2020 Feb;32(2):197-205. 14. Lesende IM, Itrube AG, Soler PA, and Gil SJ. Update of the Spanish Fisterra guideline "Frail elderly people: detection and management in Primary Health Care". What contribute and higlight.2021:5:1-5. 15. Abyad, A. Is primary health care capable of addressing frailty?. Eur Geriatr Med 12, 899– 902 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s41999-021-00518-z. Giving voice to older adults living with frailty and their family caregivers: engagement of 16. older adults living with frailty in research, health care decision making, and in health policy. Res Involv Engagem 2:23 Rycroft-Malone J, McCormack B, Hutchinson AM, DeCorby K, Bucknall TK, Kent B, et 17. al. Realist synthesis: illustrating the method for implementation research. Implement Sci [Internet]. 2012;7(1):1. Available from: 7/1748-5908-7-33 Murray E, Treweek S, Pope C, MacFarlane A, Ballini L, Dowrick C, et al. Normalisation 18. process theory: A framework for developing, evaluating and implementing complex interventions. BMC Med [Internet]. 2010;8(1):63. Available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7015/8/63 Khulud Alharbi, Harm van Marwijk, David Reeves, Tom Blakeman JYT. Understanding 19. the implementation of interventions to improve the management of frailty in primary care: a rapid realist review protocol. 2018;1–4. Westhorp G, Wong G, Greenhalgh T, Pawson R, Buckingham J. RAMESES publication 20. standards: realist syntheses. J Adv Nurs. 2013;69(5):1005-22. Saul JE, Willis CD, Bitz J, Best A. A time-responsive tool for informing policy making: 21. Rapid realist review. Implement Sci. 2013;8(1):1–15. 22. May CR, Cummings A, Girling M, Bracher M, Mair FS, May CM, et al. Using Normalization Process Theory in feasibility studies and process evaluations of complex healthcare interventions: A systematic review. Implement Sci. 2018;13(1). 23. May C, Murray E, Finch T etal. Normalization process theory on-line users' manual and toolkit. NPT. 2010. May C, Finch T. Implementing, Embedding, and Integrating Practices: An Outline of 24. Normalization Process Theory. Sociology [Internet]. 2009 Jun 1;43(3):535–54. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038509103208 Pawson R, Greenhalgh T, Gill H, Kieran W. Realist Synthesis : An Introduction Realist 25. synthesis : an introduction. 2004; (April). Hong Q, Pluye P, Fàbregues S, Bartlett G, Boardman F, Cargo M, et al. Mixed Methods 26. Appraisal Tool (MMAT), Version 2018. User guide. McGill [Internet]. 2018;1–11.

1		
2		
4		Available from:
5		http://mixedmethodsappraisaltoolpublic.pbworks.com/w/file/fetch/127916259/MMAT_20
6		18_criteria-manual_2018-08-
7		01_ENG.pdf%0Ahttp://mixedmethodsappraisaltoolpublic.pbworks.com/
8	27.	Pawson R. Digging for nuggets: How "bad" research can yield "good" evidence. Int J Soc
9		Res Methodol Theory Pract. 2006;9(2):127–42.
10	28.	Stijnen MMN, Jansen MWJ, Duimel-peeters IGP, Vrijhoef HJM. Nurse-led home
11		visitation programme to improve health-related quality of life and reduce disability among
12		potentially frail community-dwelling older people in general practice : a theory-based
13		process evaluation 2014.1–14
14 15	29	Van Hout HPI Jansen APD Van Marwijk HWI Pronk M Frijters DF Nijnels G
15	27.	Prevention of adverse health trajectories in a vulnerable elderly nonulation through nurse
10		home visite: A randomized controlled trial [ISPCTN05358405] Journals Gerontol Ser A
18		Piol Soi Mod Soi 2010:65 A (7):724 42
19	20	Dioi Sci Med Sci. 2010,03 A(7).734–42.
20	30.	vestiens L, Cramm JM, Nieboer AP. Quanty of primary care derivery and productive
21		interactions among community-living frail older persons and their general practitioners
22		and practice nurses. BMC Health Serv Res [Internet]. 2019;19(1). Available from:
23		https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
24		85068817094&doi=10.1186%2Fs12913-019-4255-
25		2&partnerID=40&md5=753c76884f111d2ae5b210475c731847
26	31.	Robben SHM, Perry M, Huisjes M, Nieuwenhuijzen L Van, Schers HJ, Weel C Van, et al.
27		Implementation of an innovative web-based conference table for community-dwelling
20		frail older people, their informal caregivers and professionals : a process evaluation.
30		2012;1–12.
31	32.	Looman WM, Fabbricotti IN, Huijsman R. The short-term effects of an integrated care
32		model for the frail elderly on health, quality of life, health care use and satisfaction with
33		care Int J Integr Care [Internet] 2014.14(4) Available from
34		https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
35		84957863082% doi=10 5334%2Fijic 1010% partner ID=40% md5=3a9b0921 fe70d4784a9be
36		c88e1f55df3
37	22	Malis PIE Adang E Taaranstra S. Van Eijkan MIL Wime A. Van Aahtarbarg T. at al
38	55.	Cost effectiveness of a multidiscipline intervention model for community dwelling freil
39 40		cost-effectiveness of a multidisciplinary intervention model for community-dwelling fram
40 41		order people. Journals Geronioi - Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci [Internet]. $2008,05(5):275-82$ .
42		Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri/eid=2-s2.0-
43		4434908686 / & partner ID=40 & md5=/041fb0c0219338ab4 / 4a339e / 2c1a62
44	34.	Ruikes FGH, Zuidema SU, Akkermans RP, Assendelft WJJ, Schers HJ, Koopmans
45		RTCM. Multicomponent Program to Reduce Functional Decline in Frail Elderly People:
46		A Cluster Controlled Trial. J Am Board Fam Med. 2016;29(2):209–17.
47	35.	Bleijenberg N, Drubbel I, Neslo RE, Schuurmans MJ, ten Dam VH, Numans ME, et al.
48		Cost-Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program for Frail Older People: A
49		Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Med Dir Assoc [Internet]. 2017;18(12):1029-
50 F 1		1036.e3. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2017.06.023
51 52	36.	Bleijenberg N, Boeije HR, Onderwater AT, Schuurmans MJ. Frail Older Adults'
52		Experiences With a Proactive, Nurse-Led Primary Care Program, J Gerontol Nurs
54		[Internet], 2015:41(9):20–9. Available from:
55		https://www2 scopus com/inward/record uri?eid=2-s2.0-
56		
57		
58		
59		Ear poor review only http://http://http://brianca.http://sta/ahavi/aha
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	84948689333 # doi=10 3928%2F00989134-20150814-
	03 martner ID=40 kmd5=1f267925c94840a0ab013dac0544dc3f
37.	Metzelthin SF, Daniëls R, van Rossum E, Cox K, Habets H, de Witte LP, et al. A nurse-
	led interdisciplinary primary care approach to prevent disability among community-
	dwelling frail older people: A large-scale process evaluation. Int J Nurs Stud.
	2013;50(9):1184–96.
38.	Hobma O, Sipers W, Kempen GIJM. Effectiveness of interdisciplinary primary care
	approach to reduce disability in community dwelling frail older people : cluster
	randomised controlled trial. 2013;5264(September):1-12. Available from:
	http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1136/bmj.f5264
39.	Metzelthin SF, van Rossum E, Hendriks MRC, De witte LP, Hobma SO, Sipers W, et al.
	Reducing disability in community-dwelling frail older people: Cost-effectiveness study
10	alongside a cluster randomised controlled trial. Age Ageing. 2015;44(3):390–6.
40.	Bindels J, Cox K, Abma IA, van Schayck OCP, Widdershoven G. Implementing care
	(New York) [Internet] 2015:110(1):0.16 Available from:
	(New FOIR) [Internet]. 2013,119(1).9–10. Available from. http://dv.doj.org/10.1016/j.hoolthpol.2014.00.008
41	Van Leeuwen KM Bosmans IF Jansen APD Hoogendijk FO Muntinga MF Van Hout
11.	HPL et al Cost-Effectiveness of a Chronic Care Model for Frail Older Adults in Primary
	Care: Economic Evaluation Alongside a Stepped-Wedge Cluster-Randomized Trial. J Am
	Geriatr Soc [Internet]. 2015;63(12):2494–504. Available from:
	https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
	84949947822&doi=10.1111%2Fjgs.13834&partnerID=40&md5=4b5357eaf9574de0a0e6
	f3f89f376439
42.	Muntinga ME, Van Leeuwen KM, Schellevis FG, Nijpels G, Jansen APD. From concept
	to content: Assessing the implementation fidelity of a chronic care model for frail, older
	people who live at home. BMC Health Serv Res [Internet]. 2015;15(1). Available from:
	nttps://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.url/eid=2-s2.0-
	6492801/8838001-10.1180%2F812913-014-0002-
43	Muntinga ME Jansen APD Schellevis EG Nijpels G Expanding access to pain care for
чЭ.	frail older people in primary care: A crosssectional study BMC Nurs [Internet]
	2016:15(1). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
	85008415039&doi=10.1186%2Fs12912-016-0147-
	5&partnerID=40&md5=e0ba479f901cae81d207e593c90b3cc6
44.	Hoogendijk EO, Van Der Horst HE, Van De Ven PM, Twisk JWR, Deeg DJH, Frijters
	DHM, et al. Effectiveness of a Geriatric Care Model for frail older adults in primary care:
	Results from a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial. Eur J Intern Med [Internet].
	2016;28:43–51. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2015.10.023
45.	Stampa M De, Vedel I, Beland F, Ankri J, Bergman H. Impact on hospital admissions of
16	an integrated primary care model for very frail elderly patients. 2014;58:350–5.
46.	Di Pollina L, Guessous I, Petoud V, Combescure C, Buchs B, Schaller P, et al. Integrated
	eard at nome required unnergenery norminalizations of community awalling trail older
	adulta: a progradive controlled trial DMC Carietr [Internet] 2017:17(1) Available from:
	adults: a prospective controlled trial. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2017;17(1). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0
	adults: a prospective controlled trial. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2017;17(1). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0- 85012245131&doi=10.1186%2Fs12877-017-0449-
	adults: a prospective controlled trial. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2017;17(1). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0- 85012245131&doi=10.1186%2Fs12877-017-0449-
	adults: a prospective controlled trial. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2017;17(1). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85012245131&doi=10.1186%2Fs12877-017-0449-

# BMJ Open

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.	Erasmushogeschool .	MJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA
--	---------------------	---

47.	Pérez Bazán LM, Enfedaque-Montes MB, Cesari M, Soto-Bagaria L, Gual N, Burban
	MP, et al. A Community Program of Integrated Care for Frail Older Adults: +AGIL
	Barcelona. J Nutr Health Aging [Internet]. 2019;23(8):710–6. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12603-019-1244-4
48.	Theou O, Park GH, Garm A, Song X, Clarke B, Rockwood K. Reversing frailty levels
	primary care using the CARES model. Can Geriatr J [Internet]. 2017;20(3):105–11.
	Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0- 85030477908&doi=10.5770%2Fcgi 20.274&partnerID=40&md5=1aa11ad02afedb68
	6559f4d469a6
49.	Favela J, Castro LA, Franco-Marina F, Sánchez-García S, Juárez-Cedillo T, Espinel
	Bermudez C, et al. Nurse home visits with or without alert buttons versus usual care in
	C.85-95 Available from:
	https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-00861881/full
50.	Coleman EA, Grothaus LC, Sandhu N, Wagner EH. Chronic Care Clinics: A randomi
	controlled trial of a model of primary care for frail older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc
	https://www2 scopus com/inward/record uri?eid=2-s2 0-
	0032801165&doi=10.1111%2Fj.1532-
	5415.1999.tb03832.x&partnerID=40&md5=3634bd1d67a35fa7a14a9d716f511a46
51.	Stijnen MMN, Jansen MWJ. Development of a home visitation programme for the ear detection of health problems in potentially frail community dwelling older people by
	general practices. 2013;49–60.
52.	Melis RJF, Van Eijken MIJ, Boon ME, Olde Rikkert MGM, Van Achterberg T. Proce
	evaluation of a trial evaluating a multidisciplinary nurse-led home visiting programme
53	vulnerable older people. Disabil Rehabil. 2010;32(11):937–46. Bindels I Cox K Widdershoven G van Schavek OCPP Abma TA Care for commun
55.	dwelling frail older people: a practice nurse perspective. J Clin Nurs [Internet]. 2014 A
	1;23(15–16):2313–22. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jocn.12513
54.	Daniels R, van Rossum E, van den Heuvel W et al. Feasibility of an interdisciplinary
55	Metzelthin SF Van Rossum E. De Witte LP. Ambergen AW. Hobma SO. Sipers W. e
	Effectiveness of interdisciplinary primary care approach to reduce disability in commu
	dwelling frail older people: Cluster randomised controlled trial. BMJ [Internet].
	2013;347(7926). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-84885044743&doi=10.1136%2Ebmi f5264&partnarID=40&md5=150ad73b11722024
	f4b44ea06314d
56.	Melis RJF, Van Eijken MIJ, Teerenstra S, Van Achterberg T, Parker SG, Borm GF, et
	A randomized study of a multidisciplinary program to intervene on geriatric syndrome
	Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci [Internet] 2008.63(3):283–90 Available from:
	https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
	44349106967&doi=10.1093%2Fgerona%2F63.3.283&partnerID=40&md5=633d8aec
57	72333dda73b1b256f4073 Daniels R. Rossum F. Van. Metzelthin S. Siners W. Habata H. Hohma S. at al. A disch
57.	Dameis K, Kossum E van, Meizennin S, Sipers W, Hadels H, Hodina S, et al. A disat

58.	Romera-Liebana L, Orfila F, Segura JM, Real J, Fabra ML, Möller M, et al. Effects of primary care-based multifactorial intervention on physical and cognitive function in fr elderly individuals: A randomized controlled trial. Journals Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Sci [Internet]. 2018;73(12):1668–74. Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
	85056353269&doi=10.1093%2Fgerona%2FgIx259&partner1D=40&md5=bea05f9ec/ a063ac534fbce1f8e52
59.	Tarazona-Santabalbina FJ, Gómez-Cabrera MC, Pérez-Ros P, Martínez-Arnau FM, Ca H, Tsaparas K, et al. A Multicomponent Exercise Intervention that Reverses Frailty an Improves Cognition, Emotion, and Social Networking in the Community-Dwelling Fr Elderly: A Randomized Clinical Trial. J Am Med Dir Assoc [Internet]. 2016;17(5):420 33. Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0- 84959263119&doi=10.1016%2Fj.jamda.2016.01.019&partnerID=40&md5=4c225476
60	Cameron ID Fairhall N Langron C Lockwood K Monaghan N Aggar C et al A
00.	multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention reduces frailty in older people: Randomize trial BMC Med 2013:
61.	Luger E, Dorner TE, Haider S, Kapan A, Lackinger C, Schindler K. Effects of a Home Based and Volunteer-Administered Physical Training, Nutritional, and Social Support Program on Malnutrition and Frailty in Older Persons: a Randomized Controlled Trial Am Med Dir Assoc [Internet]. 2016;17(7):671.e9-671.e16. Available from: https://www.acabranelibrary.acm/control/doi/10.1002/control/CN.01426702/full
62.	Chan CWC, Chau PH, Leung AYM, Lo KC, Shi H, Yum TP, et al. A cupressure for fi older people in community dwellings-a randomised controlled trial. Age Ageing. 2017:46(6):957–64
63.	Seino S, Nishi M, Murayama H, Narita M, Yokoyama Y, Nofuji Y, et al. Effects of a multifactorial intervention comprising resistance exercise, nutritional and psychosocia programs on frailty and functional health in community-dwelling older adults: a randomized, controlled, cross-over trial. Geriatr Gerontol Int [Internet]. 2017;17(11):2034-2045. Available from: https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-01365404/full
64.	Fairhall N, Sherrington C, Kurrle SE, Lord SR, Lockwood K, Cameron ID. Effect of a multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention on mobility-related disability in frail older people: randomised controlled trial. BMC Med. 2012;10.
65.	Romera L, Orfila F, Segura JM, Ramirez A, Möller M, Fabra ML, et al. Effectiveness primary care based multifactorial intervention to improve frailty parameters in the elde a randomised clinical trial: rationale and study design. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2014;14:125. Available from: https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-01112225/full
66.	Bleijenberg N, Drubbel I, Schuurmans MJ, Dam H ten, Zuithoff NPA, Numans ME, e Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program on Preserving Daily Functioning of Older People: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2016;64(9):1779–88.
67.	Grenier AM. The conspicuous absence of the social, emotional and political aspects of finite the group of the White Back on Emilter Assists for Hutematel 2010/06/20

## BMJ Open

	2020;40(11):2338–54. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/article/conspicuous-absence-of-the-social-emotional-and-
	political-aspects-of-frailty-the-example-of-the-white-book-on- frailty/3712DF95D17E4F316C33943251DB0675
68.	Tomkow L. The emergence and utilisation of frailty in the United Kingdom : a contemporary biopolitical practice. 2020;695–712.
69.	Pickard S, Cluley V, Danely J, Laceulle H, Leon-Salas J, Vanhoutte B, et al. New horizons in frailty: the contingent, the existential and the clinical. Age Ageing [Internet]. 2019 Jul 1:48(4):466–71. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afz032
70.	John Travers, Roman Romero-Ortuno, Éidin Ní Shé, Marie-Therese Cooney, Involving older people in co-designing an intervention to reverse frailty and build resilience, Family Practice 2021: cmab084 https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmab084
71.	Blakeman T, Chew-Graham C, Reeves D, Rogers A, Bower P. The Quality and Outcomes Framework and self-management dialogue in primary care consultations: A qualitative study Br J Gen Pract 2011;61(591);666–73
72.	Blakeman T, Bower P, Reeves D, Chew-Graham C. Bringing self-management into clinical view: A qualitative study of long-term condition management in primary care consultations. Chronic Illn. 2010;6(2):136–50.
73.	Collins S, Britten N, Ruusuvuori J, Thompson A. Patient Participation in Health Care Consultations: Qualitative Perspectives: Qualitative Perspectives. 2007.
74.	Li C, Chen C, Li C, Wang W, Wu S. The effectiveness of a comprehensive geriatric assessment intervention program for frailty in community-dwelling older people : a randomized , controlled trial. DART*** [Internet]. 2010;50:S39–42. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4943(10)70011-X
75.	Behm L, Eklund K, Wilhelmson K, Zid L. Health Promotion Can Postpone Frailty : Results from the RCT Elderly Persons in the Risk Zone. 2015;33(4):303–15.
76.	Ivanoff SD, Duner A, Eklund K, Wilhelmson K, Lidén E, Holmgren E. Comprehensive geriatric assessment of frail older people: ideals and reality. J Interprof Care [Internet]. 2018;32(6):728–34. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2018.1508130
77.	Valtorta NK, Kanaan M, Gilbody S, Ronzi S, Hanratty B. Loneliness and social isolation as risk factors for coronary heart disease and stroke: Systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal observational studies. Heart 2016;102(13):1009–16
78.	National Academy for Social Prescribing. Accessed 11 December 2019, https://socialprescribingacademy.org.uk/
79.	Munford LA, Wilding A, Bower P, Sutton M. Effects of participating in community assets on quality of life and costs of care: Longitudinal cohort study of older people in England. BMJ Open. 2020;10(2).
80.	Alharbi K, Marwijk H Van, Reeves D, Blakeman T. Identification and management of frailty in English primary care : a qualitative study of national policy. 2020;1–12.





BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool.



# <u>Figure 3: Summary of identified context, mechanisms and outcomes for</u> <u>family 1 – comprehensive assessment and management of frailty</u>





# Figure 4: Summary of identified context, mechanisms and outcomes for family 2 – Targeting specific frailty needs

**Resource allocation:** 

equal to management of needs

**Patient and social** 

engagement:

Meeting patient capacity and enhancing older people's social lifves

But

	BMJ Open	10.1136 cted by	Page
		/bmjope copyrig	
Table S1: First data extraction	tool	n-2021-05478 ht, including	
Title		0 on 1 for use	
Authors		Ju	
Primary outcomes		ela ne	
Sample size		asn ted	
Intervention		to to to	
Results		ext	
Major limitations/challenges		ang	
Facilitators		d cho	
Year		ta fr	
Setting		nin om	
Study location		htt ing,	
Secondary outcomes	N .	≥ ¥	
Population		mj	
Other outcomes		nin	
Define frailty		g, a	
Theory/theories underpinning		nj.o	
interventions		sim	
		/ on June 7 llar technol	
		, 2025 ; ogies.	
		at D	
		ера	
		rtm	
		ent	
		GE	
		Ϋ́Ε	
		ТА	
For pee	r review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/quidelines.xhtml		



For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

# Table S3: NPT questions guidance

guestions guidance
Auestions guidance $\vec{s}$ $\vec{k}$
Questions
Was the intervention easy to describe and or implement?
Did participants understand what tasks/practice/action require of them?
Did it have a clear purpose for all relevant participants? was it clear for frail elogity people?
were the benefits of a particular practice/task (e.g. care planning franty) valued $\frac{1}{2}$ is participants? Did an participants
What benefits did the intervention bring and to whom?
Was there being an understanding of how to implement the new requirement?
Did a particular task fit with the overall goals and activity of the practice?
Did professionals believe they included the correct people to drive forward the implementation?
Did participants engage with other staff within or across organization to implement the interventions?
Who was actively engage to plan/ prepare working with the interventions?
Did they be prepared to invest time, energy and work in it?
Whether the participants can undertake their roles and tasks, whether any barries and facilitators were encountered to deliver care for frail patients based on the interventions?
Did the practice team undertake work to arrange a shared contribution to impler enginterventions? If so, what was the work?
How did the intervention affect the work of participants? What did professional gnesd to do to make the interventions
work?
How did the interventions affect the patient and professional consultation?
What impact did the intervention have on the job responsibility? How did the interventions fit with other things that
professionals need to do in the same settings?
Did the staff intake extensive training before they can use it? What did the professionals do to become skilled and
How was the intervention linked to organisational structure (e.g. practice meeting unidance following existing
model)?

	BMJ Open E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E
	6/bmjoper y copyrigh
	How was a particular task (e.g. visiting patient at home) resourced? What resources the financial, policy, staffing) were available to support interventions implementing or working?
Reflexive	How were participants likely to perceive the intervention once it had been in use for while?
Monitoring	Had implementing the intervention been adapted based on experiences? If so, how?
(i.e., participants	Was it be clear what effects the intervention has had for patients or professional ??
reflect on or	Did participants share feedback about a particular practice with others? If so, what was discussed?
appraise the	Had the organisation developed strategies of keeping up to date with a approach a granaging a set of practices?
intervention)	Could the existing practices be changed to sustain interventions working?
	ded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LT. data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Page 34 of 57

## Table S4: Quality assessment result

Table S4: Quality assessment resul	BMJ Open	10.1136/bmjopen-2021-0: cted by copyright, includ	Page 34 o
Title	Interventions	$\frac{1}{5} \frac{4}{4}$	Pigour
A community program of integrated care for frail older adults: Agil Barcelona	Designing a multidisciplinary intervention in the community, including a) multi-modal physical activity (PA) sessions, b) promotion of adherence to a Mediterranean diet c) health education and d) medication review.	L M9 éres et al. (2019)	4
A multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention reduces frailty in older people: randomized trial	Multifactorial interdisciplinary interventions (including nutritional supplementation, referral to psychiatrist, encourage social engagement, physiotherapy sessions and performed a home exercise program)	Ian Bageron et al. ( 2013) Smushogen textogen	4
Effects of a primary care-based multifactorial intervention on physical and cognitive function in frail, elderly individuals: A randomized controlled trial	A multifactorial interventions including (a structure physical activity conducted by physiotherapists – intake of hyperproteic nutritional shake which was daily for 6 weeks, memory workshops and medication review).	Laure Boonera-Liebana et al. (2088) e a . ro n . ro n . m	4
A Multicomponent Exercise Intervention that Reverses Frailty and Improves Cognition, Emotion, and Social Networking in the Community-Dwelling Frail Elderly: A Randomized Clinical Trial	A combined program of endurance, strength, coordination, balance and flexibility exercise that have the potential to impact a variety of functional performance measure. Those in the intervention group performed 65 minutes of daily activities, 5 days per week for 24 weeks.	Frangisco José Tarazona- Santabalona et al. (2016) training,	3
Effects of a Home-Based and Volunteer- Administered Physical Training, Nutritional, and Social Support Program on Malnutrition and Frailty in Older Persons: A Randomized Controlled Trial	Physical training and nutrition intervention of the first group versus only social support intervention of the second group.	Eva#uger Et as (20016) milar te	3
A Study on Effects of Acupressure Among the Frail Elderly in the Community Dwellings	A 15 minutes structured acupressure protocol with specific acupoints and applications technique will be performed on the elderly participants twice a week by the research team in YCHSS centers. The caregiver of the elderly will be trained and perform the same acupressure protocol on the elderly at 2 additional occasions during the week.	Clar Clar	4
Effects of a multifactorial intervention comprising resistance exercise, nutritional and psychosocial programs on frailty and functional health in community-dwelling	Multifactorial intervention (resistance exercise, nutritional education and psychosocial programs).	Satoshi Satino et al (2017)	3

Page	35	of	57
------	----	----	----

	BMJ Open	1136/bmjo d by copyr	
older adults: a randomized, controlled,		right, inc	
Nurse-led home visitation programme to improve health-related quality of life and reduce disability among potentially frail community-dwelling older people in general practice: A theory-based process evaluation	GOLD home visitation program – home visit for conducting CGA and a tailored care and treatment, multidisciplinary care management, and targeted intervention and follow-up.	Manary New Stijnen et al. ( 2014) for uses r uu	5
Prevention of adverse health trajectories in a vulnerable elderly population through nurse home visits: A randomized controlled trial	Visiting program including a proactive home visits by trained nurse to do the assessment and then designed and executed a care plan.	Heiner Wan Hout et al. ( 2016 as must to us D	4
A nurse-led interdisciplinary primary care approach to prevent disability among community-dwelling frail older people: A large-scale process evaluation.	Nurse led interdisciplinary approach - frail older people and their informal caregiver, if available, receive a home visit by the practice nurse who does	Metzaban nd SF et al. (2013) nd schoo data data data	5
Effectiveness of interdisciplinary primary care approach to reduce disability in community dwelling frail older people: Cluster randomised controlled trial.	a multidimensional assessment focusing on existing problems in performing daily activities and on risk factors for disability. After the home visit, the general practitioner and practice nurse discuss whether	Slike Medzelthin et al. ( 2013 – g. A	4
Reducing disability in community- dwelling frail older people: Cost- effectiveness study alongside a cluster randomised controlled trial	additional assessments by other inpatient or outpatient healthcare professionals are needed. On the basis of the assessment phase, a preliminary treatment plan is formulated. During a second	Metselthin et al. (2015)	4
Implementing care programmes for frail older people: A project management perspective.	home visit by the practice nurse, a final treatment plan is formulated.	Jill Bandelsa et al. (2014)	3
Cost-Effectiveness of a Chronic Care Model for Frail Older Adults in Primary Care: Economic Evaluation Alongside a Stepped-Wedge Cluster-Randomized Trial.	Nurse led - Geriatirc Care model (GCM) – nurses conduct a multi-dimensional geriatric assessment, PN write a care plan after each assessment in consultation with the primary care professionals , later in a second visit nurses discuses care plan with the older	Karan Mayan Leeuwen et al. (2011) no 7, gie	3
From concept to content: assessing the implementation fidelity of a chronic care model for frail, older people who live at home.	person. Second visit – nurses provide information on guideline concordant management and treatment options to be involved	Maaske BMuntinga et al. ( 2015) at Dep	3
Expanding access to pain care for frail, older people in primary care: A crosssectional study	in decision making – at all times; older person's wishes remained central. Review of actions listed on care plan with patient	Maaike BMuntinga et al. ( 2016)	3

	BMJ Open	10.1136/bmjopei ted by copyrigl	Page 36 of
Effectiveness of a Geriatric Care Model for frail older adults in primary care: Results from a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial.		Emiti O Hoogendijk et al. ( 2016 24 uudi 95 ing 47	4
Quality of primary care delivery and productive interactions among community-living frail older persons and their general practitioners and practice nurses	Older persons are screened for frailty by the geriatric nurse or practice nurse during a home visit, each frail older person is discussed in multidisciplinary consultation, the practice team discusses and agrees upon (self-management) interventions, the care plan is discussed with the frail older patient, finally. Finally, follow-up of the frail older person was provided by a multidisciplinary team.	Lottor Veo gigens et al. (2019 uses related to trasmu	) 4
Chronic Care Clinics: A randomized controlled trial of a model of primary care for frail older adults.	Patients invited to, An extended (30 minutes) visit to the patient's physician and team nurse dedicated to developing a shared treatment plan that emphasized the reduction of disability; A session with the pharmacist (15 minutes), held in the primary care examination room, ; A patient self management group session (45 minutes), led by a team nurse or social worker, and The provision of health status assessment information to the practice team at the time of the CCC visits.	E.A. ( 1999) E.A. E. ( 1999) E. E. E	3
Implementation of an innovative web- based conference table for community- dwelling frail older people, their informal caregivers and professionals: a process evaluation.	The ZWIP consists of information about the frail older person's health, functioning and social situation, contact information about professionals involved in their care, and care-related goals formulated by or with the frail older person, a secure messaging system for communication between the frail older person and one or more professionals or between professionals, and tailored educational materials for the frail older person and informal caregiver.	Sarah HN Robben et al. (2012) similar technologi	5
The short-term effects of an integrated care model for the frail elderly on health, quality of life, health care use and satisfaction with care	The general practitioners detected frailty, elderly patients were visited by their nurse who assessed their health, the assessment was discussed in a multidisciplinary meeting, a multidisciplinary treatment plan was then formulated in consultation with the elderly person and his or her informal caregiver(s).	WillselmSta Mijntje Looman et al. (2024) Pgart	n 4
		GEZ-LTA	

	BMJ Open	.1136 id by	
		5/bmjoper r copyrigh	
Cost-effectiveness of a multidisciplinary intervention model for community- dwelling frail older people	The model used problem based selection procedure performed by GPs rather than population screening to identify patients eligible. A geriatric specialist nurse visited the patient at home. Up to six visits for additional geriatric evaluation and management were planned within the next 3 months. Starting off from a wide multidimensional assessment, the intervention team developed an individualized, integrated treatment plan for each patient.	René J F Et alo(2008) uding for uses r	4
Multicomponent program to reduce functional decline in frail elderly people: A cluster controlled trial.	CareWell primary care program - Proactive, individually tailored care plans were formulated for each participant; these plans were based on individual health-related goals and needs as assessed with the EASY-Care TOS. Care plans were revised during the team meetings at least every 6 months and stored in the information portal.	Francing 2016 Smushog to text and d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d	3
Cost-Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program for Frail Older People: A Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial	In first group, there was no trained registered nurse to deliver the additional steps of the proactive care program. In the second group, the frailty screening was followed by the	Nieta 2017 al. (2017) ni.	3
Frail Older Adults' Experiences With a Proactive, Nurse-Led Primary Care Program	nurse-led care intervention. Patients who were identified as frail received a home-based Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment, followed by evidence-based care planning, care coordination and follow-up.	Bleipenberg, N et al. (2015) fraining gg, a	5
Integrated care at home reduces unnecessary hospitalizations of community-dwelling frail older adults: a prospective controlled trial.	The intervention received an additional home geriatric assessment by community geriatrics unit (GCU)	Laura Di Pollona et al. (20192) Biano ar	3
Nurse home visits with or without alert buttons versus usual care in the frail elderly: a randomized controlled trial	After screening, participants were allocated to the control NV + AB (nurse home visits including alert button) or NV alone ( nurse home visits alone). Participants in the intervention group received weekly visits from a nurse over a period of 9 months. This group of patients was also able to contact their nurses on whenever they felt the need by pressing the alert button, but the other group did not include emergency care or technological support via the alert button.	Jesug Fauna hnologies. Jesug Fauna 7, 2025 at Departm	3
Reversing Frailty Levels in Primary Care	Providers teams were trained in using the comprehensive geniatric assessment (CGA)	<u>Olga Theou</u> et al. (2017)	3

	ореп	1136/bmjoper d by copyrigh	Page 56 OI
	frailty levels among patients, the CGA was used to inform the creation of a wellness plan to identify goals most important to the patients, and patients were paired with a free-of-charge, telephone-based health coach for a period of up to six months.	1-2021-054780 on 1 ht, including for use	
Impact on hospital admissions of an integrated primary care model for very frail elderly patients	The nurse performed a home-based comprehensive geriatric assessment, developed an individualized care plan, coordinated all the required services during the follow-up. Nurses and primary care physician received support as needed from geriatricians participating.	de Stampfne 2022. de Stampfne 2022. Down to text to text to text	4
Total score in (%)		and	73%
		om http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA mining, Al training, and similar technologies.	

DALO

čte 10.

Page	39	of	57

# Table S5: An overview of the 29 frailty interventions for primary care

57 Table S5: A	n overview of the	29 frailty interve	BMJ Op	0.1136/bmjopen-20 :ted by copyright, i			
Title	Author	Screening	Final sample size	Setting	Intervention	ncluding Fing	Themes of group
Specific assessment and m	anagement frailty ne	eds	sample Size	1			
A multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention reduces frailty in older people: randomized trial	Ian D Cameron et al. (2013)	Adults aged 70 years or older with three or more of the CHS frailty criteria; not usually living in a residential aged care facility, without moderate or severe cognitive impairment.	216/241	Sydney, Australi a	Multifactorial interdisciplinary interventions (including nutritional supplementation, referral to psychiatrist, encourage social engagement, physiotherapy sessions and performed a home exercise program).	The intervention reduced finality and improved mobility intervention people who met the final frailty criteria – The poper fit of the intervention was not evident at 3- manual follow-up and based apparent only at 19 months.	Early link betweer the identified need and healthcare services.
Effects of a primary care-based multifactorial intervention on physical and cognitive function in frail, elderly individuals: A randomized controlled trial	Laura Romera- Liebana et al. ( 2018)	Screening criteria set gait time between 10 and 30 seconds in the (TGUGT); scored (MEC-35 Lobo) ≥18 points (no severe cognitive impairment); and Fried modified crit eria.	267/352	Barcelo na	A multifactorial interventions including (a structure physical activity conducted by physiotherapists – intake of hypercritic nutritional shake which was daily for 6 weeks, memory workshops and medication review).	After 2 and 18 months, adjusted means difference between groupshowed significant improvements for the intervention group in all comparisons: Short Physical Performance Battery improved, handgrip stringth, functional reach, and number of prescriptions decreased.	Significant improvement were still observed at 18 months. High level of adherence. Clarity on what th were trying to do.
A Multicomponent Exercise Intervention that Reverses Frailty and Improves Cognition, Emotion, and Social Networking in the	Francisco José Tarazona- Santabalbina et al. (2016)	Participants were randomized a volunteer who were sedentary, with a gait speed lower than 0.8	100 who were eligible – no more data available.	Valenci a, Spain	A combined program of endurance, strength, coordination, balance and flexibility exercise that have the potential to impact a variety of	The MEP was very effected in improving the PPT (P<.001), SPPB (P <sup>1</sup> /4.007), and in lowering of the frailty score esseed by Linda	Limited paper – the was not clear enough data on how the frailty intervention was implemented.

			ВМЈ Ор	en		I0.1136/b cted by c	Page 40 c
Community-Dwelling Frail Elderly: A Randomized Clinical Trial		meters per second and frail (met at least 3 of the frailty phenotype criteria).			functional performance measure. Those in the intervention group performed 65 minutes of daily activities, 5 days per week for 24 weeks.	Filed'scriteria and Edmotion. The statistical analysis slowed that in 31.4% of the intervention group, frailty was reversed after the exercise training	
Effects of a Home-Based and Volunteer- Administered Physical Training, Nutritional, and Social Support Program on Malnutrition and Frailty in Older Persons: A Randomized Controlled Trial	Eva Luger Et al. (2016)	The screening criteria for recruitment were persons at risk of malnutrition or malnourished persons, according to the (MNA-SF), rail, according to the Frailty Instrument for Primary Care of the (SHARE-FI).	66/80	Vienna, Austria	Physical training and nutrition intervention of the first group versus only social support intervention of the second group.	In program. In program. In proved in nutritional south frailty status in both proves after 12 we show t and character 12 we show t and data mining, Al tr	Social support alone improved patients' health.
A Study on Effects of Acupressure Among the Frail Elderly in the Community Dwellings	Clara W.C. Chan et al. (2017)	The screening procedure included participants were scored 5 or above in the (TFI). They were also physically fit to sit on a chair and cognitively competent to understand instructions from the practitioner and to sign the consent form.	79/108	Hong Kong	A 15 minutes structured acupressure protocol with specific acupoints and applications technique will be performed on the elderly participants twice a week by the research team in YCHSS centers. The caregiver of the elderly will be trained and perform the same acupressure protocol on the elderly at 2 additional occasions during the week.	The treatment group solution of the control geometry o	Flexible as it could be implemented at home. Patients satisfaction. Caregiver involvement. Address and reduce the pain may encourage the patients to implement the intervention.

11 of 57			ВМЈ Ор	en		.ed by cop	
Effects of a	Satoshi Seino et al	Screening criteria	67/77	Japan	Multifactorial	The interventions had a	Social capital highly
multifactorial intervention comprising resistance exercise,	(2017)	a score of 2 or higher on the (CL15).		- up un	intervention (resistance exercise, nutritional education and	significant reductions in Check is 15 score, figuilty prevalence, Timed	linked to health outcomes in the frail population.
nutritional and psychosocial programs on frailty and functional health in community-					psychosocial programs).	and Go test, and Goriatric Depression Sore, and improvements in the Dietary	Included a clear purpose from the beginning on what
dwelling older adults: a randomized, controlled, cross-over trial	A					Varting Score, and possible and metabolic intakes at	they want to achieve There was a design
	C	r Da				3 an growth and a standard at 6 months	align needs to care.
Comprehensive assessmen	t and management o	f frailty needs					
Nurse-led home visitation programme to improve health-related quality of life and reduce disability among potentially frail community-dwelling older people in general practice: A theory-based process evaluation	Mandy M N Stijnen et al. ( 2014)	Aged 75 years or older from GPs system, practices were purposefully select older people who had not been in contact for consultation for more than 6 months before the start of the study.	24 General practices ( 14 GPs and 13 PNs)	Netherl ands	GOLD home visitation program – home visit for conducting CGA and a tailored care and treatment, multidisciplinary care management and targeted intervention and follow-up.	Agceptable but there were barriers and clallenges to fully integlement the proposed plan. m ni. op g, and simplement simplement barriers and clallenges to fully integlement the proposed plan. m ng, and simplement simplement barriers and clallenges to fully integlement barriers and clallenges to fully integlement the proposed plan. m ng, and simplement simplement barriers and plan. m simplement	Assessment was tim consuming. Patients appreciated nurses visits and work.
health trajectories in a vulnerable elderly population through nurse home visits: A randomized controlled trial	et al. (2010)	A score in the lowest quartile on at least two of six self-reported functional health domains (COOP- WONCA charts), defined frail health.	01//038	ands	including a proactive home visits by trained nurse to do the assessment and then designed and executed a care plan.	beenurges in vulnerable officer persons. officer persons. officer persons. officer persons. officer persons. officer persons. officer persons.	professionals link between needs and care was not clear.
A nurse-led interdisciplinary primary care approach	Metzelthin SF et al. (2013)	Older people ( $\geq$ 70 years) and (score $\geq$ 5 on	6 GP practices GPs = 12	Netherl ands	Nurse led interdisciplinary approach - frail older	Profestionals and frail elderly were satisfied.	Time pressures was affecting the implementation

			0.1136/bmjoper ted by copyrigh	Page 42 of 5			
to prevent disability among community- dwelling frail older people: A large-scale process evaluation. Effectiveness of interdisciplinary primary care approach to reduce disability in community dwelling frail older people: Cluster randomised controlled trial. Reducing disability in community-dwelling frail older people: Cost- effectiveness study alongside a cluster randomised controlled trial Implementing care programmes for frail older people: A project management perspective.	Slike Metzelthin et al. (2013) Metzelthin et al. (2015) Jill Bindelsa et al. (2014)	GFI).	Nurses = 7 OT= 6 PT= 20 Frail = 194 270/346 270/346 270/346 interview in 2009 (n=10) and in 2012 (n=13) and a focus group in 2012 (n=5)	Netherl ands Netherl ands	people and their informal caregiver, if available, receive a home visit by the practice nurse who does a multidimensional assessment focusing on existing problems in performing daily activities and on risk factors for disability. After the home visit, the general practitioner and practice nurse discuss whether additional assessments by other inpatient or outpatient healthcare professionals are needed. On the basis of the assessment phase, a preliminary treatment plan is formulated. During a second home visit by the practice nurse, a final treatment plan is formulated.	The matrix of the second secon	processes and the main elements of the interventions. The need was identified but then was not clear who has the skill to manage the needs. Building a trusting relationship with patients consumed time. Lack of clarity on having an early purpose on what they were trying to achieve.
Cost-Effectiveness of a Chronic Care Model for Frail Older Adults in Primary Care: Economic Evaluation Alongside a Stepped-	Karen M. van Leeuwen et al. ( 2015)	First, primary care physicians considered older people to be frail based on the loss of resources in the	782/1147	Netherl ands	Nurse led - Geriatirc Care model (GCM) – nurses conduct a multi- dimensional geriatric assessment,	No significant different in cosp partment C	Adherence to the GCM was high for most elements of the intervention – but did not monitor the extent to which the

57			10.1136/bmjope cted by copyrig				
Wedge Cluster- Randomized Trial. From concept to content: assessing the implementation fidelity of a chronic care model for frail, older people who live at home.	Maaike E Muntinga et al. ( 2015)	physical domain and/or the psychosocial domain, or polypharmacy then older adults aged 65 and over, who had a PRISMA-7 score of 3 or more were	1147	Netherl ands	nurses write a care plan after each assessment in consultation with the primary care professionals , later in a second visit nurses discuses care plan with the older person.	lease of adherence varied of adherence varied of etween professionals, which most lekely can be a fributed to professional's individual classic of the etablic of the etablic professional stances	actions in the care plans were carried out as intended. It was not clear whether limited use of the care plans may service as an alternative explanation for the
Expanding access to pain care for frail, older people in primary care: A crosssectional study	Maaike E Muntinga et al. ( 2016)	eligible to participate.	781/ 1147	Netherl ands	provide information on guideline concordant management and treatment options to be involved in decision making – at all times;	A target share of people's part of people's part of people's part of people's part of people's area of the people's part of people's people's part of people's part of people's peopl	lack of effectiveness of the GCM
Effectiveness of a Geriatric Care Model for frail older adults in primary care: Results from a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial.	Emiel O.Hoogendijk et al. (2016)		782/1147	Netherl ands	older person's wishes remained central. Review of actions listed on care plan with patient	No significant differences between the GM and usual care group better maintenance of ADL agivity but no significant And No significant effects of the infervention on total and agute Rospital admissions.	
Quality of primary care delivery and productive interactions among community-living frail older persons and their general practitioners and practice nurses	Lotte Vestigens et al. (2019)	Screening by suing a TFI score of 5 or higher (range 0–15) were identified as frail.	358/464	Netherl ands	Older persons are screened for frailty by the geriatric nurse or practice nurse during a home visit, each frail older person is discussed in multidisciplinary consultation, the practice team discusses and agrees upon (self- management)	No significant different bewech groups to overally perceived quality or printary care. at Department GE	Focus on screening but then there was no time to follow up.

BMJ	Open
-----	------

			0.1136/bmjopen ted by copyrigh	Page 44			
					interventions, the care plan is discussed with the frail older patient, finally. Finally, follow- up of the frail older person was provided by a multidisciplinary team.	-2021-054780 on 1 k	
Chronic Care Clinics: A randomized controlled trial of a model of primary care for frail older adults.	E.A. Coleman et al. (1999)	The chronic Disease Score used to identify frail participants, then physicians were using their experience to select the participants .	127/169	Seattle	Patients invited to, An extended (30 minutes) visit to the patient's physician and team nurse dedicated to developing a shared treatment plan that emphasized the reduction of disability; A session with the pharmacist (15 minutes), held in the primary care examination room, ; A patient self management group session (45 minutes), led by a team nurse or social worker, and The provision of health status assessment information to the practice team at the time of the CCC visits.	A tier 24 months, no significant improvements indications indicati	Uncertainty in usin the time, the professionals were creating time and recourses but they were not sure for what purpose.
Implementation of an innovative web-based conference table for community-dwelling frail older people, their informal caregivers and professionals: a process evaluation.	Sarah HM Robben et al. (2012)	Participants of the study were community- dwelling frail older people, who were patients of participating general practices	290 frail older people, 169 professional s participated in the ZWIP	Netherl	The ZWIP consists of information about the frail older person's health, functioning and social situation, contact information about professionals	Overal positive but included several limitations mainly frail older population are likely to face some level of diffeculties in engaging with e- health intervention.	Technology might not be a type of intervention used b frail older people.

of 57			0.1136/ c.ted by o				
The short-term effects of an integrated care model for the frail elderly on health, quality of life, health care use and satisfaction with care	Wilhelmina Mijntje Looman et al. (2014)	in the province of Gelderland or Noord-Brabant, the Netherlands; their informal care- givers; and healthcare and welfare professionals involved in their care. Frailty was screened with the (GFI)- The score ranges from 0 to 15. Elderly with a score of 4 or more were considered as being frail.	417/446	Netherl nads	involved in their care, and care-related goals formulated by or with the frail older person, a secure messaging system for communication between the frail older person and one or more professionals or between professionals, and tailored educational materials for the frail older person and informal caregiver. The general practitioners detected frailty, elderly patients were visited by their nurse who assessed their health, the assessment was discussed in a multidisciplinary meeting, a multidisciplinary treatment plan was then formulated in	136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Erasmushogeschool Erasmushogeschool Ithialth, care usage, and so isfaction with care in the frail elderly. The orly sonificant effect was found for one dimension of the ICECAP. The frail experimental group felt that they were better able to receive the love	Social and non healthcare factors resulted a big effe on outcomes. Lack of evidence about active involvement of patients.
Cost-effectiveness of a multidisciplinary intervention model for community-dwelling frail older people	René J F Melis Et al. (2008)	Physicians screened for frailty and referral older patients to the interventions. They had one or more limitations in cognition,	131/151	Netherl ands	elderly person and his or her informal caregiver(s). The model used problem based selection procedure performed by GPs rather than population screening to identify patients eligible. A geriatric specialist nurse visited the patient	desiret than the frail executive at reason ble costs	Time and costs consuming – but might make sense understand proble and then set the recommendations

			0.1136/bmjopen ted by copyrigh	Page 46 of !			
Multicomponent	Franca G H	(instrumental) activities of daily living, or mental well-being.	369/536	Netherl	at home. Up to six visits for additional geriatric evaluation and management were planned within the next 3 months. Starting off from a wide multidimensional assessment, the intervention team developed an individualized, integrated treatment plan for each patient.	-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloage Erasmushogescher t, including for uses related to text and a	Patient engaged on clear plan and when they understand the purpose. Better adherence of GPs in medical problems.
program to reduce functional decline in frail elderly people: A cluster controlled trial.	Ruikes et al. ( 2016)	dwelling frail elderly people aged ≥70 years were identified with the EASY- Care two-step older persons screening instrument.	309/330	ands	program - Proactive, individually tailored care plans were formulated for each participant; these plans were based on individual health- related goals and needs as assessed with the EASY-Care TOS. Care plans were revised during the team meetings at least every 6 months and stored in the information portal.	the definition of the second s	nt was not creat now professionals engage with each other – who was actively engage in the plan.
Cost-Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program for Frail Older People: A Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial	Nienke Bleijenberg RN et al. (2017)	First, a software application identified patients at risk for frailty by screening routine (EMR) data from general practices. Patients aged 60 years and	2489/ 3092	Netherl ands	In first group, there was no trained registered nurse to deliver the additional steps of the proactive care program. In the second group, the frailty screening was followed by the nurse-led care	The probability of cost effectiveness of succerning plus nurse care versus GP care was 55%, frailty screening followed by the nurse led care is ess cost effective than fightly screening followed by GP care.	Early involvement of patient was not clear Nurses did not address some of the clinical needs e.g. social care.

age 47 of 57			10.1136/ cted by				
						bmjopei copyrigl	
Frail Older Adults' Experiences With a Proactive, Nurse-Led Primary Care Program	Bleijenberg, N et al. (2015)	included in a quarterly report when they met at least 1 of the following criteria: a frailty index ≥0.20, polypharmacy of ≥5 medications in chronic use, or a consultation gap. 2. After the frailty screening based on EMR data, patients at risk received Groningen Frailty Indicator to measure the level of frailty	11 interviews of participants who received nurse led approach.	Netherl	who were identified as frail received a home- based Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment, followed by evidence- based care planning, care coordination and follow-up.	frailty creening had a low pubbability to cost effect. 	Resources of collaboration was always an issues.
2Integrated care at home reduces unnecessary hospitalizations of community-dwelling frail older adults: a prospective controlled trial.90123	Laura Di Pollona et al. (2017)	Screened for frailty by one of four alarms or risk factors (impaired cognition, falls, social isolation, or frailty of the informal caregiver support) detected by the RAI-HC.	153/301	Geneva	The intervention received an additional home geriatric assessment by community geriatrics unit (GCU).	The intervention reduced the intervention reduced the rate of hespitalizations after the first year, decreased undecessary hespitalizations due to social problem, lowered the rate of emergency room visits after the first year, and increased the poportion of patients dong by home	Better linkage between geriatric and primary care – linkage with geriatrician may help to direct the patients on how to use the resources.
<ul> <li>4 Nurse home visits with</li> <li>5 or without alert buttons</li> <li>6 versus usual care in the</li> <li>7 frail elderly: a</li> <li>8 randomized controlled</li> <li>9 trial</li> <li>0</li> <li>1</li> </ul>	Jesus Favela et al (2013)	Patients were aged over 60 years with a frailty index score higher than 0.14.	115/133	Mexico	After screening, participants were allocated to the control NV + AB (nurse home visits including alert button) or NV alone ( nurse home visits alone). Participants in the	The NY+AB group reported improvement in almostal components of frailtyschenotype and even when these changes were stight, a visiting nurse combined with technology that produces	Unclear how the technology helped to have a positive effect on frailty scores.
+2 +3 14						∵LTA	

			ed by copyrigi				
	Ŕ				intervention group received weekly visits from a nurse over a period of 9 months. This group of patients was also able to contact their nurses on whenever they felt the need by pressing the alert button, but the other group did not include emergency care or technological support via the alert button.	n patiens2of security in the patient2could diminish that level of risk. I of risk. Erasmushogeschu to text and c	
Reversing Frailty Levels in Primary Care Using the CARES Model	Olga Theou et al. ( 2017)	Older people were screened for frailty by using both CFS and FI.	26/51	Canada	Providers teams were trained in using the comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) frailty levels among patients, the CGA was used to inform the creation of a wellness plan to identify goals most important to the patients, and patients were paired with a free- of-charge, telephone-based health coach for a period of up to six months.	Change in frailty scores between baseline and following after six not the notion of th	There was emphasis between patients and processionals defining the plan together but it was not clear when intervention was implemented Concern was emphasized regarding the length of CGA especially the paper format.
Impact on hospital admissions of an integrated primary care model for very frail elderly patients	de Stampa et al. ( 2014)	Using the Contact Assessment (CA) tool- Persons with a score of 6 or more were defined	219/428	Paris	The nurse performed a home-based comprehensive geriatric assessment, developed an individualized care	The right of having at least code unplanned hospited admission decreased at one year and the planned hospital	Hospital geriatrician can direct the transition , and provided more care coordination.

 Page 48 of 57

Page 49 of	age 49 of 57 BMJ Open					10.1136 .cted by		
1 2							/bmjoper copyrigh	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10			as having complex needs with a mix of medical, psychological, social conditions and functional impairments.			plan, coordinated all the required services during the follow-up. Nurses and primary care physician received support as needed from geriatricians participating.	adimistrons rate intereased, without a significant change in togal hespital admissions for uses 1 Ju	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A community program of integrated care for frail older adults: Agil Barcelona	L M Pérez et al. (2019)	Individuals aged ≥80 years presenting at least one sign of frailty (i.e. slow gait speed, weakness, memory complaints, involuntary weight loss, poor social support). GFI was used to support the identification processes.	112/134 (The total number who completed the intervention out of the total who recruited)	Spain	Designing a multidisciplinary intervention in the community, including a) multi-modal physical activity (PA) sessions, b) promotion of adherence to a Mediterranean diet c) health education and d) medication review.	The ported in th	Clarity in the alignment between the assessment and management the needs, socialization was also encouraged with exercise.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	(CHS) Cardiovascular Heal (CL15) Check-List 15 (GFI) Groningen Frailty ind (TGUGT) Get-up-and-Go t (MEC-35 Lobo) Mini-Exan (MNA-SF) Mini <u>Nutritiona</u> (PRISMA) Program of Res COOP_WONCA (RAI-HC) Resident Assess (SHARE-FI) Survey of Hea (TFI) Tilburg Frailty Indica	Ith Study dicator est nination Cognitive of I <u>1 Assessment</u> short for earch to Integrate Serv sment Instrument Hom- alth, Ageing, and Retir- tor	Lobo m ices for the Maintena e Care ement in Europe	nce of Autonom	ıy	9 1	amj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at De and similar technologies.	
<ol> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> </ol>		For p	beer review only - htt	p://bmjopen.br	nj.com/site	e/about/guidelines.xhtml	partment GEZ-LTA	
# Supplementary file 1: A list of additional studies

- 1. Bindels J, Cox K, Widdershoven G, van Schayck OCPP, Abma TA. Care for communitydwelling frail older people: a practice nurse perspective. J Clin Nurs [Internet]. 2014 Aug 1;23(15–16):2313–22. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jocn.12513
- 2. Fairhall N, Aggar C, Kurrle SE, Sherrington C, Lord S, Lockwood K, et al. Frailty intervention trial (FIT). BMC Geriatr. 2008;8:1–10.
- 3. Fairhall N, Sherrington C, Kurrle SE, Lord SR, Lockwood K, Howard K, et al. Economic Evaluation of a Multifactorial, Interdisciplinary Intervention Versus Usual Care to Reduce Frailty in Frail Older People. J Am Med Dir Assoc [Internet]. 2015;16(1):41–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2014.07.006
- 4. Fairhall N, Sherrington C, Kurrle SE, Lord SR, Lockwood K, Cameron ID. Effect of a multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention on mobility-related disability in frail older people: randomised controlled trial. BMC Med. 2012;10.
- Murayama H, Nishi M, Shimizu Y, Kim MJ, Yoshida H, Amano H, et al. The hatoyama cohort study: Design and profile of participants at baseline. J Epidemiol. 2012;22(6):551–8.
- 6. Makai P, Perry M, Robben SHM, Schers HJ, Heinen MM, Rikkert MGMO, et al. Evaluation of an ehealth intervention in chronic care for frail older people: Why adherence is the first target. J Med Internet Res. 2014;16(6):1–8.
- 7. Robben SHM, Huisjes M, Van Achterberg T, Zuidema SU, Olde Rikkert MGM, Schers HJ, et al. Filling the gaps in a fragmented health care system: Development of the health and welfare information portal (ZWIP). J Med Internet Res. 2012;14(5).
- Makai P, Perry M, Robben SHM, Schers H, Heinen M, Rikkert MGMO, et al. Which frail older patients use online health communities and why? A mixed methods process evaluation of use of the health and welfare portal. J Med Internet Res [Internet]. 2014;16(12). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84965191679&doi=10.2196%2Fjmir.3609&partnerID=40&md5=6bfea3c8c1cd78892127 8b5582dc0e4c
- 9. Looman WM, Fabbricotti IN, De Kuyper R, Huijsman R. The effects of a pro-active integrated care intervention for frail community-dwelling older people: A quasi-experimental study with the GP-practice as single entry point Health services research. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2016;16(1):1–10. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12877-016-0214-5
- 10. Looman WM, Huijsman R, Bouwmans-Frijters CAM, Stolk EA, Fabbricotti IN. Costeffectiveness of the "Walcheren Integrated Care Model" intervention for communitydwelling frail elderly. Fam Pract. 2016;33(2):154–60.
- 11. Fabbricotti IN, Janse B, Looman WM, De Kuijper R, Van Wijngaarden JDH, Reiffers A. Integrated care for frail elderly compared to usual care: A study protocol of a quasiexperiment on the effects on the frail elderly, their caregivers, health professionals and health care costs. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2013;13(1). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84877349757&doi=10.1186%2F1471-2318-13-
  - 31&partnerID=40&md5=147e190382d7698bbb9c0e134e64db1e

### BMJ Open

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.	Erasmushogeschool .	MJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA
--	---------------------	---

12.	Ruikes FGH, Meys ARM, Van De Wetering G, Akkermans RP, Van Gaal BGI, Zuidema SU, et al. The CareWell-primary care program: Design of a cluster controlled trial and process evaluation of a complex intervention targeting community-dwelling frail elderly. BMC Fam Pract [Internet] 2012:13 Available from:
	https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0- 84870384686&doi=10.1186%2F1471-2296-13- 115&partnerID=40&md5=2888a546cbe5057a14327dd6d4836d5b
13.	Ruikes FGH, Adang EM, Assendelft WJJ, Schers HJ, Koopmans RTCM, Zuidema SU. Cost-effectiveness of a multicomponent primary care program targeting frail elderly people. BMC Fam Pract [Internet]. 2018;19(1). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inword/record.uri?eid=2.s2.0
	85047112855&doi=10.1186%2Fs12875-018-0735-
11	4&partner1D=40&md5=e50291f32112cc003c020dea9416e4a9 Molis PIE Van Fijkon MIL Porm GE Wonsing M. Adang E. Van Da Lisdonk EH, et al.
14.	The design of the Dutch EASY care study: A randomised controlled trial on the
	effectiveness of a problem-based community intervention model for frail elderly people
	[NCT00105378]. BMC Health Serv Res [Internet]. 2005;5. Available from:
	https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
	28744455568&doi=10.1186%2F1472-6963-5-
15	65&partnerID=40&md5=a26b1ad4d0bccd11f/526b66a312eabb
13.	evaluation of a trial evaluating a multidisciplinary purse-led home visiting programme for
	vulnerable older people. Disabil Rehabil. 2010:32(11):937–46.
16.	Romera L, Orfila F, Segura JM, Ramirez A, Möller M, Fabra ML, et al. Effectiveness of a
	primary care based multifactorial intervention to improve frailty parameters in the elderly:
	a randomised clinical trial: rationale and study design. BMC Geriatr [Internet].
	2014;14:125. Available from:
17	Dorner TE Lackinger C Haider S Luger F Kapan A Luger M et al Nutritional
1/.	intervention and physical training in malnourished frail community-dwelling elderly
	persons carried out by trained lay "buddies": Study protocol of a randomized controlled
	trial. BMC Public Health. 2013;13(1):1–11.
18.	Van Hout HPJ, Nijpels G, Van Marwijk HWJ, Jansen APD, Van't Veer PJ, Tybout W, et
	al. Design and pilot results of a single blind randomized controlled trial of systematic
	ISPCTN053584051 BMC Geriate 2005:5:1 0
19.	Stiinen MMN. Duimel-Peeters IGP. Jansen MWJ. Vriihoef HJM. Early detection of health
	problems in potentially frail community-dwelling older people by general practices -
	Project [G]OLD: Design of a longitudinal, quasi-experimental study. BMC Geriatr
	[Internet]. 2013;13(1). Available from:
	https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
	848/230812/˙=10.1186%2F14/1-2318-13- 7 knowth or ID=40 km d5=20280120044784fe0025e4620he282f6
20	/&parineriD=40&md5=29389120044/84109053040590058210 Vedel I De Stampa M Bergman H Ankri I Cassou B Blanchard F et al Healthcare
20.	professionals and managers' participation in developing an intervention: A pre-

Vestjens L, Cramm JM, Birnie E, Nieboer AP. Evaluating an integrated primary care approach to improve well-being among frail community-living older people: A theory-guided study protocol. BMC Geriatr. 2018;18(1):1–17.

- 22. Vestjens L, Cramm JM, Nieboer AP. An integrated primary care approach for frail community-dwelling older persons: a step forward in improving the quality of care. BMC Health Serv Res [Internet]. 2018;18(1):28. Available from: https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12913-017-2827-6
- Muntinga ME, Hoogendijk EO, Leeuwen KM Van, Hout HPJ Van, Twisk JWR, Horst HE Van Der, et al. Implementing the chronic care model for frail older adults in the Netherlands : study protocol of ACT (frail older adults : care in transition). 2012;
- 24. Melis RJF, Van Eijken MIJ, Teerenstra S, Van Achterberg T, Parker SG, Borm GF, et al. A randomized study of a multidisciplinary program to intervene on geriatric syndromes in vulnerable older people who live at home (Dutch EASYcare Study). Journals Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci [Internet]. 2008;63(3):283–90. Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-44349106967&doi=10.1093%2Fgerona%2F63.3.283&partnerID=40&md5=633d8aeddc1 72333dda73b1b256f4073
- 25. Bleijenberg N, Drubbel I, Dam VH, Numans ME, Schuurmans MJ, Wit NJ De. Proactive and integrated primary care for frail older people : design and methodological challenges of the Utrecht primary care PROactive frailty intervention trial (U-PROFIT). 2012;
- 26. Bleijenberg N, Drubbel I, Schuurmans MJ, Dam H ten, Zuithoff NPAA, Numans ME, et al. Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program on Preserving Daily Functioning of Older People: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Geriatr Soc [Internet]. 2016 Sep 1 [cited 2017 Oct 27];64(9):1779–88. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jgs.14325
- 27. Bleijenberg N, Dam VH, Drubbel I, Numans ME, Wit NJ De, Schuurmans MJ. Development of a Proactive Care Program (U-CARE) to Preserve Physical Functioning of Frail Older People in Primary Care. 2013;230–7.
- 28. Stijnen MMN, Jansen MWJ. Development of a home visitation programme for the early detection of health problems in potentially frail community-dwelling older people by general practices. 2013;49–60.
- Bindels J, Cox K, De La Haye J, Mevissen G, Heijing S, van Schayck OCP, et al. Losing connections and receiving support to reconnect: experiences of frail older people within care programmes implemented in primary care settings. Int J Older People Nurs. 2015;10(3):179–89.
- 30. Haider S, Dorner TE, Luger E, Kapan A, Titze S, Lackinger C, et al. Impact of a homebased physical and nutritional intervention program conducted by lay-volunteers on handgrip strength in prefrail and frail older adults: A randomized control trial. PLoS One. 2017;12(1):1–15.
- 31. Grabovac I, Haider S, Winzer E, Kapan A, Schindler KE, Lackinger C, et al. Changes in health parameters in older lay volunteers who delivered a lifestyle-based program to frail older people at home. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2018;130(21–22):637–44.
- 32. Kapan A, Winzer E, Haider S, Titze S, Schindler K, Lackinger C, et al. Impact of a lay-led home-based intervention programme on quality of life in community-dwelling pre-frail and frail older adults: A randomized controlled trial. BMC Geriatr. 2017;17(1):1–11.
- 33. Haider S, Grabovac I, Winzer E, Kapan A, Schindler KE, Lackinger C, et al. Change in

59

60

#### BMJ Open

1		
2		
3 4 5		inflammatory parameters in prefrail and frail persons obtaining physical training and nutritional support provided by lay volunteers: A randomized controlled trial. PLoS One.
6	2.4	2017;12(10):8–10.
7 8 9	34.	Kapan A, Luger E, Haider S, Titze S, Schindler K, Lackinger C, et al. Fear of falling reduced by a lay led home-based program in frail community-dwelling older adults: A rendemised controlled trial. Areh Gerontel Gerietr [Internet]. 2017;68:25, 32, Available
10		from: http://dy.doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2016.08.009
11	35	Bleijenberg N Ten Dam VH Steunenberg B Drubbel I Numans MF De Wit NI et al
12 13	55.	Exploring the expectations, needs and experiences of general practitioners and nurses towards a proactive and structured care programme for frail older patients: A mixed-
14 15		methods study. J Adv Nurs. 2013:69(10):2262–73.
16 17	36.	Daniels R, Van Rossum E, Metzelthin S, Sipers W, Habets H, Hobma S, et al. A disability prevention programme for community-dwelling frail older persons. Clin Rehabil
18		2011:25(11):963–74.
19 20	37.	Metzelthin SF, Van Rossum E, De Witte LP, Hendriks MR, Kempen GI. The reduction of
20		disability in community-dwelling frail older people: Design of a two-arm cluster
22		randomized controlled trial. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2010;10. Available from:
23		https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
24 25		7/956530473&doi=10.1186%2F1471-2458-10-
25	20	511&partnerID=40&md5=49688a13d/608b46c4a25eI0183/5I3I
27	50.	Orfila F Mulero P Ruiz R & Cesari M (2018) Integrated primary and geriatric care
28		for frail older adults in the community: Implementation of a complex intervention into real
29 30		life. European journal of internal medicine, 56, 57–63.
31		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2018.07.022.
32		
33 34		
35		
36		
37		
38 39		
40		
41		
42 43		
43		
45		
46		
47 48		
49		
50		
51 52		
52 53		
54		
55		
56 57		
57 58		

# Reporting checklist for systematic review (with or without a meta-analysis).

Based on the PRISMA guidelines.

# **Instructions to authors**

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the PRISMAreporting guidelines, and cite them as:

Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S, McGuinness LA, Stewart LA, Thomas J, Tricco AC, Welch VA, Whiting P, Moher D. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews

			Page
		Reporting Item	Number
Title			
Title	<u>#1</u>	Identify the report as a systematic review	1 9
Abstract			
Abstract	<u>#2</u>	Report an abstract addressing each item in the PRISMA 2020 for	2
		Abstracts checklist	
Introduction			(
Background/rationale	<u>#3</u>	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing	3-4
		knowledge	
Objectives	<u>#4</u>	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the	4
		review addresses	
Methods			
F	or peer r	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

# Page 55 of 57

# BMJ Open

1 2 3	Eligibility criteria	<u>#5</u>	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses	6
4 5 6 7 8	Information sources	<u>#6</u>	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists, and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted	5
9 10 11 12	Search strategy	<u>#7</u>	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers, and websites, including any filters and limits used	7
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Selection process	<u>#8</u>	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and, if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process	6
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Data collection process	<u>#9</u>	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and, if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process	8
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Data items	<u>#10a</u>	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (for example, for all measures, time points, analyses), and, if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect	6
38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Study risk of bias assessment	<u>#11</u>	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and, if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process	8
45 46 47 48	Effect measures	<u>#12</u>	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (such as risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results	NA
49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	Synthesis methods	<u>#13a</u>	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (such as tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5))	7
58 59 60	Fo	r peer re	view only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Page 56 of 57

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

# BMJ Open

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
50	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
_ <del>1</del> 0	
+1 ∕\⊃	
4Z	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
57	
52	
22	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Synthesis methods	<u>#13b</u>	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics or data conversions	7
Synthesis methods	<u>#13c</u>	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses	NA
Synthesis methods	<u>#13d</u>	Describe any methods used to synthesise results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used	4-5
Synthesis methods	<u>#13e</u>	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (such as subgroup analysis, meta-regression)	7
Synthesis methods	<u>#13f</u>	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesised results	7
Reporting bias assessment	<u>#14</u>	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases)	8
Certainty assessment	<u>#15</u>	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome	7
Data items	<u>#10b</u>	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (such as participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information	7
Results			
Study selection	<u>#16a</u>	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram (http://www.prisma-	9-10
		statement.org/PRISMAStatement/FlowDiagram)	
Study selection	<u>#16b</u>	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded	10
Study characteristics	<u>#17</u>	Cite each included study and present its characteristics	11-16
Risk of bias in studies	<u>#18</u>	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study	8
F	or peer re	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

# Page 57 of 57

# BMJ Open

1 2 3 4 5 6	Results of individual studies	<u>#19</u>	For all outcomes, present for each study (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (such as confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots	NA	BMJ Open: first
7 8 9 10	Results of syntheses	<u>#20a</u>	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies	NA P	published
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Results of syntheses	<u>#20b</u>	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta- analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (such as confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect	otected by copyright, ir NA	as 10.1136/bmjopen-20
20 21 22	Results of syntheses	<u>#20c</u>	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results	NA for NA	21-054780
23 24 25 26	Results of syntheses	<u>#20d</u>	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesised results	NA rela	on 1 June :
27 28 29 30	Risk of reporting biases in syntheses	<u>#21</u>	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed	nA NA	2022. Dowr
31 32 33 34	Certainty of evidence	# <u>22</u>	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed	nd data m	loaded fro
35 36	Discussion			vining, /	m http:
37 38 39 40	Results in context	<u>#23a</u>	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence	Al training,	//bmiopen.
41 42 43 44	Limitations of included studies	<u>#23b</u>	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review	17 and simila	bmi.com/ c
45 46 47	Limitations of the review methods	<u>#23c</u>	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used	17 technolog	n June 7,
48 49 50 51	Implications	<u>#23d</u>	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research	<u>gie</u> 18 s	2025 at De
52 53 54	Other information				partme
55 56 57 58 59	Registration and protocol	<u>#24a</u>	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered	4	nt GEZ-LTA
60		For peer rev	view only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml		

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

1 2 3	Registration and protocol	<u>#24b</u>	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared	NA
4 5 6 7	Registration and protocol	<u>#24c</u>	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol	NA
8 9 10 11	Support	<u>#25</u>	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review	19
12 13	Competing interests	<u>#26</u>	Declare any competing interests of review authors	19
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Availability of data, code, and other materials	<u>#27</u>	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review	27
20 21				

The PRISMA checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY. This checklist was completed on 22. June 2021 using https://www.goodreports.org/, a tool made by the EQUATOR Network in collaboration with Penelope.ai

# **BMJ Open**

# Understanding the implementation of interventions to improve the management of frailty in primary care: A rapid realist review

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-054780.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Jan-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Alharbi, Khulud; The University of Manchester Faculty of Medical and Human Sciences Blakeman, Thomas; University of Manchester, School of Community Based Medicine van Marwijk, Harm; University of Brighton, Division of Primary Care and Public Health; Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Reeves, David; University of Manchester, Institute of Population Health; Centre for Biostatistics Tsang, Jung Yin; University of Manchester, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	General practice / Family practice
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	PRIMARY CARE, GENERAL MEDICINE (see Internal Medicine), SOCIAL MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH, Organisation of health services < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

terez oni

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

# Understanding the implementation of interventions to improve the management of frailty in primary care: A rapid realist review

Khulud Alharbi, PhD student, Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester

Thomas Blakeman, PhD, MRCGP, Clinical Senior Lecturer in Primary Care, National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research, Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester

Harm van Marwijk, MD, PhD, GP and Professor of General Practice, Brighton and Sussex Medical School

David Reeves, PhD, Professor, National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester

Jung Yin Tsang, GP and NIHR, In-Practice Fellow, National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care Research, Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester

Correspondence to Khulud Alharbi; Khulud.alharbi@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk

#### Abstract

**Objective:** Identifying and managing the needs of frail people in the community is an increasing priority for policy makers. We sought to identify factors that enable or constrain the implementation of interventions for frail older persons in primary care.

**Design:** A rapid realist review.

**Data sources:** Cochrane Library, SCOPUS and EMBASE, and grey literature. The search was conducted in September 2019 and re-run in 8<sup>th</sup> of January 2022.

**Eligibility criteria for selecting studies:** We considered all types of empirical studies describing interventions targeting frailty in primary care.

**Analysis:** We followed the realist and meta-narrative evidence syntheses: evolving standards (RAMESES) quality and publication criteria for our synthesis to systematically analyse and synthesize the existing literature and to identify (intervention-context-mechanism-outcome) configurations. We used normalization processes theory (NPT) to illuminate mechanisms surrounding implementation.

**Results:** Our primary research returned 1,755 articles, narrowed down to 29 relevant frailty intervention studies conducted in primary care. Our review identified two families of interventions. They comprised: 1) interventions aimed at the comprehensive assessment and management of frailty needs; and 2) interventions targeting specific frailty needs. Key factors that facilitate or inhibit the translation of frailty interventions into practice related to the distribution of resources; patient engagement and professional skill-sets to address identified need.

**Conclusion:** There remain challenges to achieving successful implementation of frailty interventions in primary care. There were a key learning points under each family. First, targeted allocation of resources to address specific needs, allows a greater alignment of skill-sets and reduces over-assessment of frail individuals. Second, earlier patient involvement may also improve intervention implementation and adherence.

Key words: frailty, general practitioners, interventions, tools, older people.

# Strengths and limitations of this study:

- To our knowledge, this is the first realist review to explore factors supporting or inhibiting frailty interventions in primary care.
- The synthesis was constructed based on RAMESES standards entailing development and comparative analysis of ICMO configurations (intervention, context, mechanism, outcome).
- Normalisation process theory (NPT) constructs helped us to highlight factors surrounding the implementations of interventions.
- There was wide heterogeneity in the reporting of implementation processes, with more data for interventions that entailed qualitative evaluations.
- The analysis focused on a defined 'frail' populations within primary studies and excluded related elderly populations whom did not diagnosed with frailty.

# Introduction

Frailty is a promising but also somewhat contested multidimensional syndrome characterized by a reduction in resilience due to the accumulation of health deficits.<sup>1–3</sup> It tends to be progressive, leading to loss of independence, often triggered by a stressor event such as an episode of acute illness.<sup>3</sup> Frailty places individuals at risk of adverse health outcomes, including falls, unplanned hospitalisation and death.<sup>1</sup> It is highly prevalent among older people; increasing from 4% in people aged 65-69 years to greater than 16% in those aged 80 years and over.<sup>4–6</sup> The heterogeneity of frailty status also increased the challenges of understanding a frailty intervention, due to the differences between individuals capacity (e.g. pre-frail and frail).<sup>7</sup> Informed by emergent evidence, targeted support from health and care services is now advocated to improve the lives and outcomes for older people with frailty.<sup>1,8,9</sup>

Interventions using exercise, nutritional supplementation and comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) appear to be effective in improving frailty among older people in a hospital setting.<sup>10,11</sup> The NHS Long Term Plan, issued a new CGA guidelines to support primary care providers working with older people.<sup>12</sup> However, a recent systematic review highlighted limited

and mixed evidence concerning the introduction of comprehensive geriatric assessments offered in the primary care setting to those perceived to be the most vulnerable older people.<sup>13</sup> There is a need to ensure that frailty interventions are adaptable because of the mixed evidence e.g. the interventions improved adherence to medications but show no improvement in functional outcome.<sup>13</sup> Furthermore, the diversity of interventions targeting frailty increases the challenge to define the best intervention that could be used to identify, assess and manage frailty in older people.<sup>7</sup> Fisterra guideline in Spain updated in 2020 "Frail elderly people: detection and management in primary care" highlighted the most effective interventions in frailty are physical exercise, and medication.<sup>14</sup>

However, there is no clear definition or tool for identifying frailty, and the lack of evidence regarding the usefulness of its detection, is still considered to be significant barrier to identifying and managing frailty in primary care.<sup>15</sup> Accordingly, screening for frailty in primary care are unlikely to translate into improved clinical outcomes in the absence of a clear evidence for clinical decision-making.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, without an active involvement of older patients in the study design and development of care plan related to frailty, it might negatively affect the impact of the intervention outcomes and its implementation.<sup>16</sup>

Therefore, recognising and acknowledging frailty in professional daily practice might help to enhance a better understanding of a persons' frailty, which might help to overcome the challenges of providing good care for an expanding aging population. Our study sought to gain greater clarity of factors that impact the implementation of frailty interventions in primary care.

# Methods Objective

We conducted a rapid realist review of the literature to understand factors that support or inhibit implementation of frailty interventions in primary care.

# Patients and public involvement

No patients or public were involved in this study.

# Study design

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

This study has been informed by the principles underpinning rapid realist reviews (RRR)<sup>17</sup> in conjunction with normalization process theory (NPT).<sup>18</sup> The published protocol for the review is registered with PROSPERO (CRD42019161193).<sup>19</sup> The reporting of this review is consistent with the realist and meta-narrative evidence synthesis (RAMESES) publication standards.<sup>20</sup>

As stated by Saul et al, rapid realist review methodology focuses on identifying 'families of interventions' (I) and to then explain why they produce 'outcomes' of interest (O) through generating specific changes in 'context' (C) that trigger particular 'mechanisms' (M).<sup>21</sup> This approach to applying realist methodology is particularly useful when research findings need to be rapidly adapted and iteratively refined to take account of emerging evidence in intervention development.<sup>21</sup> We considered implementation of frailty interventions in primary care through analysis of intervention, context, mechanisms, outcomes (ICMO) configurations. Reflecting our primary objective, our main outcome of interest was evidence of implementation. Realist methodology was appropriate as it allowed an illumination of the interactions between these configurations, particularly within the context of complex interventions implemented in primary care.

NPT is a theory of implementation that focuses on the work people do surrounding the implementation of new sets of practices.<sup>22,23</sup> NPT proposes four constructs, 'generative mechanisms', which characterise different types of work that 'people do as they work around a set of practices'.<sup>23</sup> The four NPT constructs comprise: coherence 'sense-making work', cognitive participation 'relational work to build and sustain a community of practice', collective action 'operational work to enact a set of practices' and reflexive monitoring 'formal and informal assessment of the new sets of practice'.<sup>23,24</sup> For the purposes of this study, NPT provided a sensitising framework to help consider mechanisms that enabled or constrained implementation of frailty interventions in primary care.

#### Search process

#### Literature search

To obtain the relevant papers for review, groups of medical subject headings (MeSH) and key words highlighted (Supplementary Table S1) were used to screen for English language articles.

Page 7 of 62

#### **BMJ** Open

The first reviewer KA conducted an initial scoping search to develop familiarity with the various kinds of frailty interventions relevant to primary care settings in March 2019. Subsequently, iterative and progressively more focused searches were used and run in September 2019. The search was then re-run in 8<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 to update our results. An electronic literature search was conducted using the following bibliographic databases: Cochrane Library, SCOPUS and EMBASE. Full search strategies for all databases were included in (Supplementary Table S1).

# Data selection

The data selection process was performed in two stages with no time period restrictions. All forms of study design were included in order to present a comprehensive exploration of factors surrounding implementation, with acknowledgment that there might be varying strengths of evidence. Using the primary exclusion criteria KA screened the papers to ensure the eligibility to the study's aim (Table 1). On a weekly meeting, TB checked all of included studies. Then, following the secondary exclusion criteria, KA scanned and included studies, if there was doubt, TB double checked the studies to ensure that inclusion criteria were met. During full text screening, we considered all of the systematic reviews that might open a pathway of additional targeted searches explaining our interventions. Forward and backward citation searches were conducted on each identified key study, leading to additional studies being added to the review list throughout the process.

The secondary search was an iterative process from the published interventions identified in the primary search. This entailed:

- Searches of relevant articles in the reference list.
- Searches of the author on PubMed and ResearchGate.
- Searches of the author and research group on Google to identify relevant grey literature.

# Table 1: Primary and secondary exclusion criteria for the primary search

Primary exclusion criteria to screen (title and	Secondary exclusion criteria to screen (full
abstract)	text)

- Studies not written in English;
- Studies that include participants who are not human;
- Studies where the primary focus was not on the care of frail older people e.g. studies only focussed on the prefrail population;
- Studies which focused on managing a specific condition in frail individuals;
- Studies which were letters, notes, or conference abstracts only.

- Studies where there was no description of any intervention or guidelines;
- Studies that did not report any outcome or results;
- Studies where there were no primary care elements;
- Studies in which further information to make an assessment could not be obtained;
- Studies where there was no description or detail on how frail individuals were included in the study.

# Participants in the interventions

To increase the clarity of our analysis and understanding of the intervention, the review examined the implementation of interventions that were primarily focussed on recruiting a frail population (i.e. we only excluded studies where the sole focus was pre-frail populations). We included studies adopting any type of screening and case finding method for frailty, such as physical function, professionals' opinion, Groningen frailty indicator (GFI) or Tilburg frailty indicator (TFI) tools.

# Data extraction

KA extracted the relevant data into a spreadsheet to prepare for analysis (Supplementary Table S2). Then, an initial ICMO model was developed including use of NPT constructs. KA used this model to extract all of the relevant information, and created an ICMO model for each intervention in a separate file (Supplementary Table S3). Following NPT, KA also applied a series of questions to guide the evaluation of factors affecting the implementation of an intervention (Supplementary Table S4). On a weekly basis, KA shared the ICMO model and an original copy of each intervention study with TB and JT, which enhanced their discussion and supported the development of themes. The ICMO model was helpful to address how, when, why and where the intervention was implemented. Between three and five interventions were typically reviewed at each meeting.

#### **BMJ** Open

# Data analysis

Three reviewers (KA, TB and JT) independently extracted relevant themes from studies, and weekly data sessions were held to critically appraise, analyse and synthesise developing themes. After each meeting, themes were summarized and their relationships elicited. Through an iterative process, ICMO models for each intervention study developed as the study progressed, with researchers gaining increasing familiarity with RRR methodology.

Specifically, types of interventions targeting frailty in primary care (i.e. 'families of interventions') were identified according to their common features and proposed sets of practices.<sup>21</sup> Analysis of the studies examined what local changes in practice 'context' occurred following the introduction of the intervention. NPT provided a sensitising framework to consider 'mechanisms' triggered. Using constant comparative methods, we examined the relationships between intervention, contextual changes, mechanisms and outcomes, both for individual studies and across types of 'families of intervention'. Through this iterative process, we constructed an understanding of factors underpinning the implementation of frailty interventions in primary care.

# Quality appraisal

In keeping with realist methodology, appraising whether the main focus of each study was 'frailty in primary care' was a key factor .<sup>25</sup> Since we included multiple study designs in this RRR, all included studies were evaluated for methodological rigour by KA using the mixed methods appraisal tool (MMAT),<sup>26</sup> and confirmed with TB and JT. A score was assigned to each intervention for each appraisal criteria met (out of five), to inform the confidence of findings obtained (Supplementary Table S5). This approach was helpful in focusing on more comprehensive papers without excluding any weaker papers.<sup>27</sup>

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

# Results

Figure 1 illustrates the article selection process for the review. Of 1755 studies screened for relevance, 85 articles underwent full text review, leading to 29 intervention studies contributing to the analysis. Included studies were published between 2000 and 2019. Most were conducted in Netherlands (n=17) and Spain (n=3), with nine other countries represented by one study each: Japan, China, Australia, Austria, Canada, France, USA, Switzerland, and Mexico.

The iterative secondary search identified 38 records further that provided further insight into each of the 29 intervention studies (Figure 2). A descriptive overview of the interventions is presented in (Supplementary Table S6), and a list of the records identified by the secondary search is provided in (Supplementary file1).

# **Families of frailty interventions**

Through an iterative analysis of data from across the included studies, the interventions targeting frailty were grouped into two 'families': 1) interventions aimed at comprehensive assessment and management; and 2) interventions targeting specific frailty needs. Comparative analysis of the ICMO configurations identified three key related factors underpinning the implementation of frailty interventions in primary care: distribution of resources, patient engagement and the skill-set of the professionals involved. The studies used the term 'resources' in different ways and referred to the use of time, the presence of multidisciplinary team members, enabling technology, as well as access to secondary care and community resources.

# Family 1: Comprehensive assessment and management of frailty

Of the 29 included studies, 23 interventions related to this family. Interventions were mostly carried out in the Netherlands (n=17),<sup>28-44</sup> with the others conducted (n=1) in France,<sup>45</sup> Switzerland,<sup>46</sup> Spain,<sup>47</sup> Canada,<sup>48</sup> Mexico,<sup>49</sup> and the USA.<sup>50</sup>

Common design features across these interventions included a focus on developing a care plan and consideration of patients' preferences, with some aiming to improve collaboration between primary and secondary care organisations.<sup>28-50</sup> Participants in the intervention groups tended to receive an in-home multidimensional geriatric assessment by a nurse. These were generally

completed using assessment tools, which varied across the interventions: the Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA),<sup>28,48</sup> the Resident Assessment Instrument–Home Care version (RAI-HC),<sup>29,45</sup> the interRAI Community Health Assessment instrument,<sup>41–44</sup> or the Easy-Care instrument.<sup>32,34</sup> In conjunction with GPs or through extended team meetings, a preliminary care plan was formulated. The approach then tended to entail a second home visit conducted by the nurse to discuss and finalise the care plan with the patient. In the main, nurses were responsible for planning and coordinating care delivery, providing periodic evaluation and monitoring of care plans.<sup>28-50</sup> In only one intervention, participants were referred to a geriatrician or physical therapist who performed the CGA and then designed a tailored multifactorial interventions in the community.47

#### Key factors influencing implementation

A. Distribution of resources

Our comparative analysis of the intervention studies suggested that in the main, professionals invested considerable time in performing an assessment to identify patients' problems, with less time made available for managing the identified needs. For example, in the geriatric care model (GCM), nurses spent 50 to 90 minutes conducting the initial assessment, an average of 37 minutes writing care plans, and a further 40 minutes preparing and carrying out multidisciplinary team meetings,<sup>42</sup> but just over half an hour on 'discussing care plans' during follow up visits.<sup>42</sup> Subsequently, care plans and follow-up visits were not always carried out as intended depending on time pressure or on assessment outcomes, with some nurses not writing a care plan at all when there was limited time or when no health needs were identified.<sup>42</sup>

The [G]OLD preventive home visitation programme, invested on average 85 minutes per older person from preparation of the home visit to formulating the care plan.<sup>28,51</sup> Professionals considered home visiting helpful to gain an overview of a persons' living environment, which supported decision making (i.e., a possible transition to a nursing home).<sup>28,51</sup> However, in some cases, the time needed to complete an assessment and develop a care plan for frail older people proved considerably longer than anticipated.<sup>52,53</sup> For example, it took extra evaluation to clarify the urgency of the problem,<sup>52</sup> or it took time for elderly patients to become acquainted with the nurses and to share their stories.<sup>53</sup> In the disability prevention programme, some nurses

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

substituted second home visits by a telephone discussion of the care plan for patients with less complicated issues.<sup>37,54</sup> No data was available for time spent on executing the care plan or the suggested management for any of these studies. A key implementation barrier for proactive elderly care is that nurses spent most of the time doing the assessment to develop a care plan and then they struggle to implement the care plan for each individual.

In contrast, the '+AGIL Barcelona' intervention allocated resources for both a comprehensive assessment and the management of identified frailty needs. This entailed evaluating the needs through a CGA conducted by a geriatrician and physical therapist, and then providing exercise groups (also encouraging socialisation), promotion of a Mediterranean diet, health education, and medication reviews, along with ongoing primary care practitioner input. The patients and family also received the CGA results on the same day of the evaluation and agreed a tailored care plan together – there was no time lag to patient involvement. Adjusting the available resources and support of the geriatric team and community resources were a facilitators that allowed the intervention to be adaptable and sustainable for primary care teams and for older people (Figure 3).<sup>47</sup>

#### B. Patient engagement

As the first home visit in most interventions tended to focus on assessment, with the care plan then being created in discussion between the nurse and the GPs with the patient more involved on the second visit,<sup>28,30,32,39,41,42,44,55</sup> this could create a mismatch between patients' and professionals' priorities. Some patients then lack motivation to implement the intervention or resisting changes.<sup>28</sup> For example, one patient indicated that proactive nurse visits tended to be 'meddling in other people's affairs', especially when there was no specific request for help.<sup>28</sup> In other interventions it became 'overwhelming' for older people when it did not match their needs or provided no further perceived benefits.<sup>56</sup> Implementing proactive care plans can thus create tensions around people's autonomy. Conversely, nurses indicated that in some cases it was important to gain trust before older people would want to share their problems, if they had these, and experiences with them.<sup>53</sup> Proactive visits by nurses in some interventions were well-received by older people; as they felt anything could be discussed with nurses, <sup>57</sup> including non-medical issues.<sup>36</sup> One intervention conducted in the Netherlands attempted to maintain patient and

professional relationships through use of a web-based conference table. However, although patients appreciated their concerns being delivered to their GPs, they were less comfortable using the computer and preferred face-to-face contact.<sup>31</sup> Only one study completed the assessment and a care plan on the same day.<sup>47</sup> Involving patients directly into the development of care plans, resulted in high adherence (90.2% attended > 75% exercise sessions) and significant improvements in physical function.<sup>47</sup> There was limited evidence on the degree to which patients were involved in developing and executing their care plan. Although many projects saw the importance of involving older people when designing the intervention, there was evidence to suggest that older people priorities and preferences were not considered during implementation (Figure 3).

# C. Professional skill-set

Use of a multidisciplinary team was a key feature across this family of frailty interventions. However, in the main, there was limited evidence on how management of needs identified in a care plan was delegated across different disciplines, which limited the analysis to understand the translation of care plan into practice. Analysis indicated that professionals encountered a number of barriers to deliver the care for frail older persons based on the intervention and skillset. For example, nurses were responsible for the assessment and development of the care plan, and were reported to have good organization and communication skills.<sup>37</sup> However, at times, this was insufficient to implement a care plan with difficulties reported undertaking medication reviews,<sup>51</sup> or creating plans for patients with mental problems.<sup>28</sup> Alternatively, a successful feature was the enhanced role of geriatricians in fostering collaboration and sharing information between primary care and hospital settings, which enabled smoother transitions of care (i.e. more appropriate admissions) and allowed identified needs to be more swiftly met (Figure 3).<sup>45,46</sup>

## Family 2: Targeting specific frailty needs

Out of the 29 intervention studies, 6 related to screening and targeting specific frailty needs. The interventions were conducted in Spain (n=2),<sup>58,59</sup> and in (n=1) Australia,<sup>60</sup> Austria,<sup>61</sup> China,<sup>62</sup> and Japan.<sup>63</sup>

In the main, these interventions aimed to address a specific need and produce observable outcomes such as mobility, functional, cognitive and emotional status, psychosocial status,

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

hospitalization and level of pain.<sup>58–63</sup> These mostly entailed multifactorial interventions including physical activity, memory workshops, medication review,<sup>58</sup> a combined exercise programme,<sup>59</sup> nutritional supplementation, referral to a psychiatrist, encouraging social engagement and home exercise programmes,<sup>60</sup> nutritional and physical programmes alongside social support,<sup>61</sup> acupressure treatment,<sup>62</sup> and resistance exercise, nutritional and psycho-social programmes.<sup>63</sup>

#### Key factors influencing implementation

A. Distribution of resources and professionals skill-sets

Our analysis of this family of interventions suggested that compared to the more comprehensive (Family 1) interventions, there was clearer and more adaptable allocation of resources across both the assessment and management of specific needs. Likewise, the care plan appeared more straightforward to align professional skill sets to address specific needs. One example of a multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention conducted in Australia, older participants were recruited if they met three or more of phenotype criteria (i.e. weight loss, exhaustion, low physical activity, slowness, weakness) and then according to the needs participants were assigned either nutritional intervention, referral to psychiatrist, or home physical activity sessions. The intervention also entailed ongoing reassessment throughout the intervention phase.<sup>60</sup> The physiotherapist was able to coordinate the intervention in the community with 'well-prepared health and care services for older people', resulting in a high level of adherence to the intervention.<sup>60,64</sup> In another multifactorial intervention conducted in Barcelona, participants were screened for frailty using phenotype criteria and then they were aligned to the interventions according to their needs i.e. physical activity, nutritional intake, memory workshop and medication review. The monitoring was a priority: every 2 weeks there was an evaluation of progression, measuring intensity and number of repetitions of physical activity, which resulted in a sustained 'improvement in mobility and strength performance'.<sup>58,65</sup> GPs skills were successfully used to perform medication reviews, where patients were re-educated about unnecessary drugs and successfully reduced their use (Figure 4).58

B. Patient and 'social' engagement

Page 15 of 62

#### **BMJ** Open

Analysis suggested that patients appreciated the intervention when it met their needs and capacity. Promoting the social life of participants was considered a key feature of some interventions that facilitated implementation. 61-63 For example, acupressure treatment was designed as a caregiver administered treatment, which could be carried out at home or community settings.<sup>62</sup> After training, 'caregivers were requested to spend two 20 minutes sessions per week with the elderly doing homework assigned by the activity group'.<sup>62</sup> Participants revealed that they were in a better mood after the intervention,<sup>62</sup> and they experienced a significantly higher satisfaction in their ability to perform daily living activities.<sup>62</sup> In another multifactorial intervention in Japan, a psychosocial programme was conducted alongside the exercise and nutritional programmes.<sup>63</sup> The psychosocial programme consisted of practical and group activities to discuss hobbies and interests. Participants also discussed how to continue the exercise after the intervention. Consequently, sessions were completed as planned with evidence that the participants continued the exercise programme even after the intervention.<sup>63</sup> In another home-based intervention performed in Austria, trained nonprofessional volunteers visited malnourished frail older persons twice a week for approximately one hour. The first group of older people performed a nutritional and physical activity intervention, with the control group receiving social support only.<sup>61</sup> Adherence to the visit was higher in the physical exercise group but both groups demonstrated improvement in nutritional and frailty scores. The study suggested that social support alone can have a significant impact on nutrition and frailty status in older persons (Figure 4).<sup>61</sup>

#### Sustainability of frailty interventions

Overall, there was no clear evidence to capture the long term sustainability of the interventions. In the interventions aimed at comprehensive assessment and developing care plan, an imbalance between time investment and the available resources in proportion to the problems detected might be a factor that constrained long-term implementation.<sup>28,35,42,55,57,66</sup> Further, our analysis suggested that older people's interests and perceptions needed to be considered earlier to understand how much they are willing to be part of the intervention.<sup>29,36</sup> It was evident from interventions targeting specific frailty needs that the enhancement of community networks and social interaction influenced the interventions being sustained for at least 3 months.<sup>58,63</sup>

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

#### Discussion

#### Statement of the principal findings

In this review, we identified two families of interventions and highlighted factors that enabled and constrained their implementation. These related to the distribution of resources, patients' engagement and the professional skill-set to target identified need. For interventions entailing a comprehensive approach to frailty, our analysis suggested that time to form trusting relationships was important but that a disproportionate amount of resource may be consumed by assessment compared to the implementation of management plans. Furthermore, the development and resourcing of a professional skill-set to address a range of needs was not necessarily explicit from the outset. In contrast, interventions targeting specific frailty needs demonstrated greater clarity regarding the distribution of resources, with alignment of a professional skill-set to a specific need (and thus seem easier to implement). Our analysis further suggested that incorporating social factors into intervention design might support implementation and sustainability.

#### Strengths and limitations

A key strength of this study is that it provides an evidence-based map of interventions in primary care for managing the 'needs' of frail older people. Our focus was to evaluate factors underpinning successful implementation of interventions targeting frailty, rather than drawing strong conclusions on effectiveness. In addition, we acknowledge that our review of intervention studies takes the concept of frailty at face value and does not take into account literature that critiques the 'power relations' surrounding the introduction of frailty into routine practice.<sup>67–69</sup> However, we acknowledge the heterogeneity of the frailty groups, with interventions highlighting a range of frailty approaches to identifying frail populations, such as eFi and phenotype. We did not explore how each approach has been used; but we have included a summary of the screening criteria in (Supplementary Table S6). We included only studies that focused mainly on a frail population, but acknowledge that targeting older people with pre-frailty might be more effective in implementing strategies and interventions for vulnerable older adults than for those who are actually frail as there may be less 'residual capacity' for improving the care of older people.

Several limitations to examining implementation exist from available evidence. First, there was no data on time taken to execute care plans, nor for whether identified needs were fully addressed. Furthermore, few studies provided evidence around the sustainability of interventions. Lack of contextual details (e.g. what happened after introducing the intervention) in the published studies, also limited our analysis. However, to enhance trustworthiness, our findings were constructed through constant comparative methods, iterative testing and retesting of ICMO configurations, which were regularly updated.<sup>21</sup> Additionally, our secondary search identified accompanying articles revealing further contextual data and evaluation for certain interventions. Rigour was maintained through three reviewers attending regular data meetings.

#### Comparison of our findings with other studies

Our review of frailty interventions in primary care resonates with previous qualitative research exploring comprehensive geriatric assessments.<sup>13</sup> Gardner et al <sup>13</sup> found that patients and carers 'wanted their knowledge and priorities to be included in the assessment and care plan and that, at times, the integration of social and personal care needs was unclear'. One method may be to involve older people in co-designing interventions, with a randomized control trial aiming to reverse frailty and build resilience awaiting definitive evaluation.<sup>70</sup> Findings from the wider literature, including our previous analysis of dialogue surrounding self-management support for people with long-term conditions, highlight the potential for assessment tools to reinforce a checklist approach to consultations, potentially disrupting (and delaying) patient and caregiver involvement in care planning discussions.<sup>71–73</sup> Furthermore, Macdonald et al <sup>7</sup> suggests that a CGA approach potentially works if the resources and professionals skill set (i.e. geriatrician) allocated to address the identified needs.<sup>7</sup> However, there are still limitations to outcome measurement of the interventions,<sup>7</sup> two studies demonstrated no significant differences between intervention and control groups in terms of frailty measures.<sup>74,75</sup> Our review also highlights clear potential challenges in implementing comprehensive assessment to develop a care plan in primary care.

#### Implications for policy and practice

Some older people want to maintain their privacy, and may be reluctant to reveal certain types of possibly stigmatizing needs, known as 'hidden needs', such as cognitive problems.<sup>76</sup> This RRR

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

further suggests that incorporating social dimensions of care into interventions design may reduce the potential for loneliness and isolation and so enhance their implementation.<sup>28,47,63,62,77– <sup>79</sup> Our analysis suggested that comprehensive assessment and visiting older people at home enabled trusting relationships between patients and professionals to form as well as fostering multidisciplinary collaborations. Though important, this was insufficient to ensure effective implementation of care plans without adequate extra resourcing (e.g. time, workforce expansion). There is also evidence to support the introduction of interventions targeting exercise training for people with different stages of frailty.<sup>7</sup> Our recent qualitative study highlighted widespread concern surrounding current capacity to address identified unmet needs of frail patients in primary care.<sup>80</sup> There appears to be a role for both families of 'comprehensive' and 'specific' approaches to frailty in primary care, matching the approach to identified need by involving older people early or through co-design.</sup>

# Conclusion

There remain challenges to achieving successful implementation of frailty management interventions in primary care to improve health outcomes for older people with frailty. Developing a specific care plan helps professionals to manage the identified needs, allowing a greater alignment of skill-sets and avoiding over-assessment of people living with frailty. Earlier involvement of patients is another key factor that may facilitate implementation and increase adherence to the intervention.

# Author contributorship

The idea for this article originated from an ongoing PhD research programme around patient frailty in primary care (KA). DR, KA, TB, HvM and JT conceived of the article. TB, JT and KA developed the study design and KA extracted the themes and developed the final result under the supervision of TB and JT. KA wrote the manuscript with contributions and comments from DR, HVM, TB and JT. TB is guarantor of the article.

# **Competing interests**

None declared

# Funding

This is a doctoral funded study through Umm Al-Qura University and the University of Manchester. This paper is linked to independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) School for Primary Care Research, Manchester and Applied Research Collaboration, Kent, Surrey and Sussex. The views expressed in this document are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

# Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

# Ethic statement

Ethics approval was not required.

# References

- 1. Clegg A, Young J, Iliff S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. 2013;381.
- Puts MTE, Toubasi S, Atkinson E, Ayala AP, Andrew M, Ashe MC, et al. Interventions to prevent or reduce the level of frailty in community-dwelling older adults: a protocol for a scoping review of the literature and international policies. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2016 Mar 1 [cited 2019 Mar 19];6(3):e010959. Available from: https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/6/3/e010959
- 3. Moody DNE, Lyndon HNE, Dr. Stevens GNK. Toolkit for general practice in supporting older people living with frailty. 2017;
- 4. Song X, Mitnitski A, Rockwood K. Prevalence and 10-Year outcomes of frailty in older adults in relation to deficit accumulation. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2010;58(4):681–7.
- Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci [Internet]. 2001;56. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gerona/56.3.M146
- 6. Gilardi F, Capanna A, Ferraro M, Scarcella P, Marazzi MC, Palombi L, et al. Frailty screening and assessment tools: a review of characteristics and use in Public Health. Ann Ig. 2018;30:128–39.
- 7. Macdonald SH-F, Travers J, Shé EN, Bailey J, Romero-Ortuno R, Keyes M, et al. Primary care interventions to address physical frailty among community-dwelling adults aged 60 years or older : A meta-analysis. 2020;69.
- 8. Binder EF, Schechtman KB, Ehsani AA, Steger-May K, Brown M, Sinacore DR, et al. Effects of exercise training on frailty in community-dwelling older adults: Results of a

	functional decline in physically frail, elderly persons who live at home. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 2002:347(14 CC-Incontinence CC-Bone Joint and Muscle Trauma CC-SR
	[Internet], 2002:347(14 CC-Incontinence CC-Bone Joint and Muscle Trauma CC-SR
	REHAB CC-Stroke):1068-1074. Available from:
	https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-00398268/full
10.	Gardner M, Shepperd S, Godfrey M, Mäkelä P, Tsiachristas A, Singh-Mehta A, et al.
	Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment in hospital and hospital-at-home settings: a mix
11	Ellis G. Gardnar M. Tsiachristas A. Langharna P. Burka O. Harwood PH, et al.
11.	Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older adults admitted to hospital. Cochrane
	Database Syst Rev 2017.2017(9)
12.	British Geriatrics Society. Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment Toolkit for Primary
	Practitioners. 2019;1–48.
13.	Garrard JW, Cox NJ, Dodds RM, Roberts HC, Sayer AA. Comprehensive geriatric
	assessment in primary care: a systematic review. Aging clinical and experimental
	research. 2020 Feb;32(2):197-205.
14.	Lesende IM, Itrube AG, Soler PA, and Gil SJ. Update of the Spanish Fisterra guidelin
	"Frail elderly people: detection and management in Primary Health Care". What
15	contribute and highight.2021:5:1-5.
15.	Adyad, A. Is primary nearth care capable of addressing frailty?. Eur Geriatr Med 12, 002 (2021), https://doi.org/10.1007/s41000.021.00518.7
16	Giving voice to older adults living with frailty and their family caregivers: engageme
10.	older adults living with frailty in research, health care decision making and in health
	policy. Res Involv Engagem 2:23
17.	Rycroft-Malone J, McCormack B, Hutchinson AM, DeCorby K, Bucknall TK, Kent
	al. Realist synthesis: illustrating the method for implementation research. Implement
	[Internet]. 2012;7(1):1. Available from: 7/1748-5908-7-33
18.	Murray E, Treweek S, Pope C, MacFarlane A, Ballini L, Dowrick C, et al. Normalisa
	process theory: A framework for developing, evaluating and implementing complex
	interventions. BMC Med [Internet]. 2010;8(1):63. Available from:
10	nttp://www.biomedcentral.com/1/41-/015/8/63
19.	the implementation of interventions to improve the management of frailty in primary
	a rapid realist review protocol $2018 \cdot 1 - 4$
20	Westhorn G Wong G Greenhalgh T Pawson R Buckingham I RAMESES publicat
_0.	standards: realist syntheses. J Adv Nurs. 2013:69(5):1005–22.
21.	Saul JE, Willis CD, Bitz J, Best A. A time-responsive tool for informing policy maki
	Rapid realist review. Implement Sci. 2013;8(1):1–15.
22.	May CR, Cummings A, Girling M, Bracher M, Mair FS, May CM, et al. Using
	Normalization Process Theory in feasibility studies and process evaluations of compl
	healthcare interventions: A systematic review. Implement Sci. 2018;13(1).
23.	May C, Murray E, Finch T etal. Normalization process theory on-line users' manual
~ 1	toolkit. NPT. 2010.
24.	May C, Finch T. Implementing, Embedding, and Integrating Practices: An Outline of
	Normalization Process Theory. Sociology [Internet]. 2009 Jun 1;43(3):535–54. Avail

2			
3		from: https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038509103208	
4	25	Pawson R Greenhalgh T Gill H Kieran W Realist Synthesis An Introduction Realist	
5	23.	synthesis : an introduction 2004: (April)	
6	26	Hong O Pluva P Eèbraguas S Partlett G Poardman E Cargo M at al Mixed Mathada	
7	20.	Americal Table (MMAT) Version 2018 User suide MaCill [Internet] 2018:1, 11	
8		Appraisal 1001 (MMA1), Version 2018. User guide. McGill [Internet]. 2018;1–11.	
9		Available from:	
10		http://mixedmethodsappraisaltoolpublic.pbworks.com/w/file/fetch/127916259/MMAT_20	
11		18_criteria-manual_2018-08-	
12		01_ENG.pdf%0Ahttp://mixedmethodsappraisaltoolpublic.pbworks.com/	
14	27.	Pawson R. Digging for nuggets: How "bad" research can yield "good" evidence. Int J Soc	
15		Res Methodol Theory Pract. 2006;9(2):127–42.	
16	28	Stiinen MMN Jansen MWJ Duimel-peeters IGP Vriihoef HJM Nurse-led home	
17	20.	visitation programme to improve health-related quality of life and reduce disability among	
18		notentially frail community-dwelling older people in general practice : a theory-based	
19		process avaluation 2014:1, 14	
20	20	Ven Heret HDL Jensen ADD. Ven Menneille HWL Drente M. Eniterer DE. Niinele C.	
21	29.	Van Hout HPJ, Jansen APD, Van Marwijk HwJ, Pronk M, Frijters DF, Nijpels G.	
22		Prevention of adverse health trajectories in a vulnerable elderly population through nurse	
23		home visits: A randomized controlled trial [ISRCTN05358495]. Journals Gerontol - Ser A	
24		Biol Sci Med Sci. 2010;65 A(7):734–42.	
25	30.	Vestjens L, Cramm JM, Nieboer AP. Quality of primary care delivery and productive	
26		interactions among community-living frail older persons and their general practitioners	
27		and practice nurses. BMC Health Serv Res [Internet]. 2019;19(1). Available from:	
28		https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-	
29		85068817094&doi=10 1186%2Fs12913-019-4255-	
31		2 what the rID=40 kmd5=753c76884f111d2ae5b210475c731847	
37	31	Poblan SHM Parry M Huisias M Nieuwanhuijzan I Van Schars HI Weel C Van et al.	
33	51.	Implementation of an inpositive web based conference table for community dwelling	
34		finite item as a the inite second sec	
35		rall older people, their informal caregivers and professionals : a process evaluation.	
36	~ ~		
37	32.	Looman WM, Fabbricotti IN, Huijsman R. The short-term effects of an integrated care	
38		model for the frail elderly on health, quality of life, health care use and satisfaction with	
39		care. Int J Integr Care [Internet]. 2014;14(4). Available from:	
40		https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-	
41		84957863082&doi=10.5334%2Fijic.1010&partnerID=40&md5=3a9b0921fe70d4784a9be	
42		c88e1f55df3	
43	33.	Melis RJF. Adang E. Teerenstra S. Van Eijken MIJ. Wimo A. Van Achterberg T. et al.	
44		Cost-effectiveness of a multidisciplinary intervention model for community-dwelling frail	
45		older people Journals Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci [Internet] 2008;63(3):275–82	
40		Available from: https://www.2 scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-	
47		$Avanable nonin. https://www.2.scopus.com/mward/record.un/cut_2-s2.o-$	
49	24	$\frac{4434908080}{\alpha} partiterind - 40 \alpha mu_{3} - 704110000219338a0474a33967201a02$	
50	34.	Ruikes FGH, Zuidema SU, Akkermans RP, Assendeint WJJ, Schers HJ, Koopmans	
51		RICM. Multicomponent Program to Reduce Functional Decline in Frail Elderly People:	
52		A Cluster Controlled Trial. J Am Board Fam Med. 2016;29(2):209–17.	
53	35.	Bleijenberg N, Drubbel I, Neslo RE, Schuurmans MJ, ten Dam VH, Numans ME, et al.	
54		Cost-Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program for Frail Older People: A	
55		Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Med Dir Assoc [Internet]. 2017;18(12):1029-	
56			
57			
58			
59		2	

36.	Bleijenberg N, Boeije HR, Onderwater AT, Schuurmans MJ. Frail Older Adults' Experiences With a Proactive, Nurse-Led Primary Care Program. J Gerontol Nurs
	[Internet]. 2015;41(9):20–9. Available from:
	https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
	84948689333&doi=10.3928%2F00989134-20150814-
	03&partnerID=40&md5=1f267925c94840a0ab013dac0544dc3f
37.	Metzelthin SF, Daniëls R, van Rossum E, Cox K, Habets H, de Witte LP, et al. A nurs led interdisciplinary primary care approach to prevent disability among community- dwelling frail older people: A large-scale process evaluation. Int J Nurs Stud.
20	2013;50(9):1184–96.
38.	Hobma O, Sipers W, Kempen GIJM. Effectiveness of interdisciplinary primary care
	approach to reduce disability in community dwelling Irall older people : cluster
	http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1126/hmi f5264
30	Metzelthin SE van Rossum E Hendriks MRC De witte I P Hohma SO Siners W et
57.	Reducing disability in community-dwelling frail older people: Cost-effectiveness study
	alongside a cluster randomised controlled trial Age Ageing 2015.44(3):390–6
40.	Bindels J, Cox K, Abma TA, van Schavck OCP, Widdershoven G. Implementing care
	programmes for frail older people: A project management perspective. Health Policy
	(New York) [Internet]. 2015;119(1):9–16. Available from:
	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2014.09.008
41.	Van Leeuwen KM, Bosmans JE, Jansen APD, Hoogendijk EO, Muntinga ME, Van Ho HPJ, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of a Chronic Care Model for Frail Older Adults in Prima
	Care: Economic Evaluation Alongside a Stepped-Wedge Cluster-Randomized Trial. J Geriatr Soc [Internet]. 2015;63(12):2494–504. Available from:
	https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid= $2-s2.0-$
	84949947822&doi=10.1111%2Fjgs.13834&partnerID=40&md5=465357eaf9574de0a f3f89f376439
42.	Muntinga ME, Van Leeuwen KM, Schellevis FG, Nijpels G, Jansen APD. From conce
	to content: Assessing the implementation fidelity of a chronic care model for frail, old
	people who live at home. BMC Health Serv Res [Internet]. 2015;15(1). Available from
	1100000000000000000000000000000000000
	6492801/885@001-10.1180%2F\$12915-014-0002-
43	Muntinga ME Jansen APD Schellevis EG Nijnels G Expanding access to pain care t
чэ.	frail older people in primary care. A crosssectional study BMC Nurs [Internet]
	2016:15(1). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
	85008415039&doi=10.1186%2Fs12912-016-0147-
	5&partnerID=40&md5=e0ba479f901cae81d207e593c90b3cc6
44.	Hoogendijk EO, Van Der Horst HE, Van De Ven PM, Twisk JWR, Deeg DJH, Frijters
	DHM, et al. Effectiveness of a Geriatric Care Model for frail older adults in primary ca
	Results from a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial. Eur J Intern Med [Internet].
	2016;28:43–51. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2015.10.023
45.	Stampa M De, Vedel I, Beland F, Ankri J, Bergman H. Impact on hospital admissions
	- an interation devices of a core second tor model the most start in a training the start of the

#### BMJ Open

2		
3	46	Di Pollina I. Guessous I. Petoud V. Combescure C. Buchs B. Schaller P. et al. Integrated
4	<del>т</del> 0.	are at home reduces unnecessary hearitalizations of community dwelling freil alder
5		care at nonic reduces unnecessary nospitalizations of community-dwelling fram older
6		adults: a prospective controlled that. BMC Gerlatr [Internet]. 2017;17(1). Available from:
7		https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
8		85012245131&doi=10.1186%2Fs12877-017-0449-
9		9&partnerID=40&md5=8198c50e75c6a6d6978e4a1547462f04
10	47.	Pérez Bazán LM. Enfedaque-Montes MB. Cesari M. Soto-Bagaria L. Gual N. Burbano
11		MP et al. A Community Program of Integrated Care for Frail Older Adults: +AGIL
12		Barcalona, I Nutr Health Aging [Internet] 2010:23(8):710.6 Available from:
13		https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602.010.1244.4
14	10	$\frac{1}{1000} = \frac{1}{1000} = 1$
15	48.	Theou O, Park GH, Garm A, Song X, Clarke B, Rockwood K. Reversing frailty levels in
16		primary care using the CARES model. Can Geriatr J [Internet]. 2017;20(3):105–11.
17		Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
18		85030477908&doi=10.5770%2Fcgj.20.274&partnerID=40&md5=1aa11ad02afedb68480a
19		6559f4d469a6
20	49	Favela I. Castro I.A. Franco-Marina F. Sánchez-García S. Juárez-Cedillo T. Espinel
21	17.	Bermudez C at al Nurse home visits with or without alert buttons versus usual care in the
22		frail alderly: a randomized controlled trial Clin Intern A ging [Internet] 2012:9 CC
23		a randomized controlled that. Chil interv Aging [internet]. 2015,8 CC-
24		C:85-95. Available from:
25		https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-00861881/full
20	50.	Coleman EA, Grothaus LC, Sandhu N, Wagner EH. Chronic Care Clinics: A randomized
27		controlled trial of a model of primary care for frail older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc
20		[Internet]. 1999;47(7):775–83. Available from:
30		https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
31		0032801165&doi=10 1111%2Fi 1532-
32		5415 1999  tb 03832  x & partnerID = 40 & md 5 = 3634 b d1 d67a35 f a7a14a9 d716 f 511a46
33	51	Stiinen MMN Jansen MWI Development of a home visitation programme for the early
34	51.	detaction of health problems in potentially freil community dyselling older poorle by
35		detection of health problems in potentially fran community-dwenning order people by
36		general practices. 2013;49–60.
37	52.	Melis RJF, Van Eijken MIJ, Boon ME, Olde Rikkert MGM, Van Achterberg T. Process
38		evaluation of a trial evaluating a multidisciplinary nurse-led home visiting programme for
39		vulnerable older people. Disabil Rehabil. 2010;32(11):937–46.
40	53.	Bindels J, Cox K, Widdershoven G, van Schayck OCPP, Abma TA. Care for community-
41		dwelling frail older people: a practice nurse perspective. J Clin Nurs [Internet]. 2014 Aug
42		1.23(15–16):2313–22 Available from http://dx doi org/10 1111/jocn 12513
43	54	Daniels R van Rossum F van den Heuvel W et al Feasibility of an interdisciplinary
44	51.	programme to prevent disability in frail older persons 2011:
45	55	Metzelthin SE Van Dessum E De Witte LD Ambergen AW Hehme SO Sinere W et al.
46	55.	Metzehunn SF, van Kossum E, De witte LF, Ambergen Aw, Hooma SO, Sipers w, et al.
47		Effectiveness of interdisciplinary primary care approach to reduce disability in community
48		dwelling frail older people: Cluster randomised controlled trial. BMJ [Internet].
49 50		2013;347(7926). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
51		84885044743&doi=10.1136%2Fbmj.f5264&partnerID=40&md5=150ad73b1172203d737
52		f4b44ea06314d
53	56.	Melis RJF, Van Eijken MIJ, Teerenstra S, Van Achterberg T, Parker SG, Borm GF, et al.
54		A randomized study of a multidisciplinary program to intervene on geriatric syndromes in
55		vulnerable older people who live at home (Dutch EASYcare Study) Journals Gerontol -
56		· another ender people and have at nome (Daten Eris Foure Study). Journals Gerontor
57		
58		
59		2

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0- 44349106967&doi=10.1093%2Fgerona%2F63.3.283&nartnerID=40&md5=633d8ae
	72333dda73b1b256f4073
57.	Daniels R, Rossum E Van, Metzelthin S, Sipers W, Habets H, Hobma S, et al. A disa
50	prevention programme for community-dwelling frail older persons. 2011; Romara Liabana I. Orfila E. Sagura IM. Real J. Fabra ML. Mäller M. et al. Effected
38.	primary care-based multifactorial intervention on physical and cognitive function in elderly individuals: A randomized controlled trial. Journals Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sc Sci [Internet]. 2018;73(12):1668–74. Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0- 85056353269&doi=10.1093%2Fgerona%2Fglx259&partnerID=40&md5=bea05f9ed a063aa534fbaa1f8a52
50	auosacs 5410cc110c52 Tarazona Santabalbina EL Gómaz Cabrara MC Dáraz Dos D Martínaz Arnau EM (
37.	H, Tsaparas K, et al. A Multicomponent Exercise Intervention that Reverses Frailty a Improves Cognition, Emotion, and Social Networking in the Community-Dwelling H Elderly: A Randomized Clinical Trial. J Am Med Dir Assoc [Internet]. 2016;17(5):4 33. Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0- 84959263119&doi=10.1016%2Fj.jamda.2016.01.019&partnerID=40&md5=4c2254 1c19f4206307d8443fd22
60.	Cameron ID, Fairhall N, Langron C, Lockwood K, Monaghan N, Aggar C, et al. A multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention reduces frailty in older people: Randomi trial. BMC Med. 2013;
61.	Luger E, Dorner TE, Haider S, Kapan A, Lackinger C, Schindler K. Effects of a Hor Based and Volunteer-Administered Physical Training, Nutritional, and Social Suppo Program on Malnutrition and Frailty in Older Persons: a Randomized Controlled Tri Am Med Dir Assoc [Internet]. 2016;17(7):671.e9-671.e16. Available from: https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-01426702/full
62.	Chan CWC, Chau PH, Leung AYM, Lo KC, Shi H, Yum TP, et al. A cupressure for older people in community dwellings-a randomised controlled trial. Age Ageing. 2017:46(6):957–64.
63.	Seino S, Nishi M, Murayama H, Narita M, Yokoyama Y, Nofuji Y, et al. Effects of a multifactorial intervention comprising resistance exercise, nutritional and psychosoc programs on frailty and functional health in community-dwelling older adults: a randomized, controlled, cross-over trial. Geriatr Gerontol Int [Internet]. 2017;17(11):2034-2045. Available from: https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-01365404/full
64.	Fairhall N, Sherrington C, Kurrle SE, Lord SR, Lockwood K, Cameron ID. Effect of multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention on mobility-related disability in frail old people: randomised controlled trial. BMC Med. 2012;10.
65.	Romera L, Orfila F, Segura JM, Ramirez A, Möller M, Fabra ML, et al. Effectiveness primary care based multifactorial intervention to improve frailty parameters in the el a randomised clinical trial: rationale and study design. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2014;14:125. Available from: https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-01112225/full
66	Bleijenberg N. Drubbel I. Schuurmans MJ. Dam H ten, Zuithoff NPA, Numans ME.

#### BMJ Open

1			
2			
3		Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program on Preserving Daily Functioning of	
4		Older People: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Geriatr Soc.	
5 6		2016;64(9):1779–88.	
7	67.	Grenier AM. The conspicuous absence of the social, emotional and political aspects of	
7 8		frailty: the example of the White Book on Frailty Ageing Soc [Internet] 2019/06/20	
9		2020.40(11).2338-54 Available from:	
10		https://www.aembridge.org/aere/article/congnicuous.absence.of.the.social.emotional.and	
11		nups.//www.camondge.org/core/article/conspicuous-absence-or-me-sociar-emotionar-and-	
12		pointcal-aspects-of-framy-ine-example-of-the-white-book-on-	
13		frailty/3/12DF95D1/E4F316C33943251DB06/5	
14	68.	Tomkow L. The emergence and utilisation of frailty in the United Kingdom : a	
15		contemporary biopolitical practice. 2020;695–712.	
16	69.	Pickard S, Cluley V, Danely J, Laceulle H, Leon-Salas J, Vanhoutte B, et al. New	
17		horizons in frailty: the contingent, the existential and the clinical. Age Ageing [Internet].	
18		2019 Jul 1;48(4):466–71. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afz032	
19	70	John Travers Roman Romero-Ortuno Éidin Ní Shé Marie-Therese Cooney Involving	
20	,	older people in co-designing an intervention to reverse frailty and build resilience. Family	
21		Practice 2021: cmab084 https://doi.org/10.1003/fampra/cmab084	
22	71	Plakaman T. Chaw Graham C. Pagyas D. Pagars A. Pawar P. The Quality and Outcomes	
23	/1.	Diakeman 1, Ciew-Oranam C, Reeves D, Rogers A, Dower F. The Quanty and Outcomes	
24 25		Framework and sen-management dialogue in primary care consultations. A qualitative	
25		study. Br J Gen Pract. 2011;61(591):666–73.	
20 27	72.	Blakeman T, Bower P, Reeves D, Chew-Graham C. Bringing self-management into	
28		clinical view: A qualitative study of long-term condition management in primary care	
29		consultations. Chronic Illn. 2010;6(2):136–50.	
30	73.	Collins S, Britten N, Ruusuvuori J, Thompson A. Patient Participation in Health Care	
31		Consultations: Qualitative Perspectives: Qualitative Perspectives. 2007.	
32	74.	Li C. Chen C. Li C. Wang W. Wu S. The effectiveness of a comprehensive geriatric	
33		assessment intervention program for frailty in community-dwelling older people : a	
34		randomized controlled trial DART*** [Internet] 2010:50:S39–42 Available from:	
35		http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4943(10)70011-X	
36	75	Pohm I. Eklund K. Wilholmson K. Zid I. Health Promotion Can Postnone Frailty:	
37	73.	Denni L, Ekiuna K, Winternison K, Zia L. Heatur Flohouon Car Fostpore Flainty.	
38	70	Results from the RCT Elderly Persons in the Risk Zone. $2015;35(4):505-15$ .	
39	/6.	Ivanoff SD, Duner A, Eklund K, Wilhelmson K, Liden E, Holmgren E. Comprehensive	
40		geriatric assessment of frail older people: ideals and reality. J Interprof Care [Internet].	
41 42		2018;32(6):728–34. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2018.1508130	
4Z //2	77.	Valtorta NK, Kanaan M, Gilbody S, Ronzi S, Hanratty B. Loneliness and social isolation	
43		as risk factors for coronary heart disease and stroke: Systematic review and meta-analysis	
45		of longitudinal observational studies. Heart. 2016;102(13):1009–16.	
46	78.	National Academy for Social Prescribing. Accessed 11 December 2019,	
47		https://socialprescribingacademy.org.uk/	
48	79	Munford LA Wilding A Bower P Sutton M Effects of participating in community assets	
49	17.	on quality of life and costs of care: Longitudinal cohort study of older people in England	
50		DML Open 2020-10(2)	
51	00	DIVIJ Opell. 2020, 10(2). Alberhi K. Marryiik II. Van. Deevee D. Dieleemen T. Identification and monocomput of	
52	80.	Ainardi K, Marwijk H Van, Reeves D, Biakeman T. Identification and management of	
53		franty in English primary care : a qualitative study of national policy. 2020;1–12.	
54			
55			
56 57			
5/			
50 50			
72		2.	




# <u>Figure 3: Summary of identified context, mechanisms and outcomes for</u> <u>family 1 – comprehensive assessment and management of frailty</u>







Database         SCOPUS	Search strategy       5         (TITLE-ABS-KEY ("frail*" OR "frail elderly" OR "frailty")) AND (TITLE-ABS-KEY (("general practitioners," OR "general practitioner" OR "family" physician," OR "primary care" OR "primary medical care"))) AND general practice and the second study and	Limitations Tool OR Tools Guidance OR
Database SCOPUS	Search strategy (TITLE-ABS-KEY ("frail*" OR "frail elderly" OR "frailty")) AND (TITLE-ABS- KEY (("general practitioners," OR "general practitioner" OR "family physician," OR "primary care" OR "primary medical care"))) AND end of the ABS-KEY ("interventions" OR "intervention study" "OR "models" of the ABS-KEY ("interventions" of the study" "OR "models" of the ABS-KEY ("interventions" of the study" "OR "models" of the study" "OR "intervention" study" "OR "intervention" study" "OR "study" "Study	Limitations Tool OR Tools Guidance OR
SCOPUS	(TITLE-ABS-KEY ("frail*" OR "frail elderly" OR "frailty")) AND (TITLE-ABS-KEY ("general practitioners," OR "general practitioner" OR "family" mo physician," OR "primary care" OR "primary medical care"))) AND <b>e b</b> LE- ABS-KEY ("interventions" OR "intervention study" "OR "models" <b>b</b> LE-	Tool OR Tools Guidance OR
	model" OR "strategy" OR "strategies " OR "project" OR "projects" Bogg	Guideline Policy OR Policies OR Healthcare policies
EMBASE	frail OR frail elderly OR frailty . [mp=title, abstract, heading word, drug trade name, keyword, floating subheading word, candidate term word] AND general practitioners OR general practitioner OR family physician OR primary care OR primary medical care . [mp=title, abstract, heading word, drug trade name, keyword, floating subheading word, candidate term word] AND interventions OR intervention study OR models or model OR strategy OR strategies OR project OR projects . [mp=title, abstract, heading word, drug trade name, griginal title, device manufacturer, drug manufacturer, device trade name, keyword, floating subheading word, candidate term word] AND generations of intervention study OR models or model OR strategy OR strategies OR project OR projects . [mp=title, abstract, heading word, drug trade name, griginal title, device manufacturer, drug manufacturer, device trade name, keyword, floating subheading word, candidate term word]	Same limitation were used
Cochrane library	<ul> <li>PICO Advanced search Elderly – Population AND Primary healthcare services – Intervention AND Frailty – Outcome</li> <li>Search manager engine was used and the Mesh function was activated Frail older adult And primary healthcare services And intervention</li> </ul>	
Note	SCOPUS treat singular as plural so we do not have to add it both in our search terms	'

Page 31 of 62		BMJ Open Gen 5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mesh term	("frail*" or "frail elderly" or "frailty" or " frailty syndrome" or "frail elders" or "Frail older adult") and ("general practitioners" or " general practitioner" or "family physician" or "primary care" or " primary medical care"), and ("interventions" or "intervention study" or "models" or "model" or "strategies" or "project" or "projects"). Basic Boolean operators (i.e. AND, OR) were used in the search strategy
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		1 June 2022. Downloaded fr Erasmushogeschool . I to text and data r
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		om http://bmjopen.bmj.com mining, Al training, and sin
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37		n/ on June 7, 2025 at Depa nilar technologies.
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	BMJ Open	cted by	10.1136	Page 3
		copyright	/bmjopen-	
Table S2: First data extraction	tool	, includir	2021-054	
Title		ng fo	780	
Authors		r us	on	
Primary outcomes		ies I	<u>ו</u> דת	
Sample size		relat	10	
Intervention		ed t	2022	
Results	4	io te	<u>D</u>	
Major limitations/challenges	6	žege	ŴŊ	
Facilitators	No.	nd	oad	
Year	60	data	ed 1	
Setting		mir	rom	
Study location	1 h	ning	htt	
Secondary outcomes		Þ	p://t	
Population		traii	mjo	
Other outcomes		ning	per	
Define frailty	И.	l, an	.bm	
Theory/theories underpinning		d si	ij.co	
interventions		mila	Đ.	
		ır te	r ua	
		chn	une	
		olog	7,2	
		jies.	2025	
			at I	
			Эера	
			irtm	
			ient	
			GE	
			2-LJ	
			FA	
Forma	r review only - http://bmiopen.bmi.com/site/about/quidelines.xhtml			



3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

1 2

## Table S4: NPT questions guidance

	BMJ Open	cted by	<b>10</b> <b>13</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>17</b> <b>17</b> <b>17</b> <b>17</b> <b>17</b> <b>17</b> <b>17</b>
	;	copvr	ľomjol
		iaht. i	pen-2
Table S4: NPT	questions guidance	including for	021-054780 (
NPT component	Questions	sn.	on 1
Coherence	Was the intervention easy to describe and or implement?	es r	ل ur
(i.e., meaning and	Did participants understand what tasks/practice/action require of them?	elati	ក ច ក ស
sense-making by	Did it have a clear purpose for all relevant participants? Was it clear for frail eld		people?
participants)	Were the benefits of a particular practice/task (e.g. care planning frailty) valued see its potential value?	o <del>fe</del> xta	Bil participants? Did all participants
	What benefits did the intervention bring and to whom?	nd c	oad
	Was there being an understanding of how to implement the new requirement?	ooi lata	ed f
	Did a particular task fit with the overall goals and activity of the practice?	min'	ro m
		ina. A	http:/
Cognitive	Did professionals believe they included the correct people to drive forward the i	<u>z</u> nr	permentation?
participation	Did participants engage with other staff within or across organization to implem	<b>e</b> n	tenterventions?
(i.e., commitment	Who was actively engage to plan/ prepare working with the interventions?	1 <u>0</u> .	en
and engagement by participants)	Did they be prepared to invest time, energy and work in it?	s pur	mj.c
	Whether the participants can undertake their roles and tasks, whether any barrie deliver care for frail patients based on the interventions?	sinálar t	and facilitators were encountered to
	Did the practice team undertake work to arrange a shared contribution to imple work?	eone Inolo	interventions? If so, what was the
		qies.	2025
Collective Action (i.e., the work	How did the intervention affect the work of participants? What did professiona work?	ıls	nged to do to make the interventions
participants do to	How did the interventions affect the patient and professional consultation?		int m
make the	What impact did the intervention have on the job responsibility? How did the in	ter	vantions fit with other things that
	professionals need to do in the same settings?		G E
			<u>z-</u> LTA

	BMJ Open BMJ Open
	%/bmjoper
intervention function)	Did the staff intake extensive training before they can use it? What did the professionals do to become skilled and resourced users?
	How was the intervention linked to organisational structure (e.g. practice meeting, using guidance, following existing model)?
	How was a particular task (e.g. visiting patient at home) resourced? What resources that financial, policy, staffing) were available to support interventions implementing or working?
	Eras elatec
Reflexive	How were participants likely to perceive the intervention once it had been in use the a while?
(i.e., participants	Had implementing the intervention been adapted based on experiences? If so, have $\underline{\underline{S}}$
reflect on or	Did participants share feedback about a particular practice with others? If so, what was discussed?
appraise the	Had the organisation developed strategies of keeping up to date with a approache for granaging a set of practices?
intervention)	Could the existing practices be changed to sustain interventions working?
	p://bmjopen.bmj.cc
	milar technologies.
	at Departmen

g, Al training, and similar technologies. tp://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA

	BMJ Open	10.1136/bm cted by cop	Page 36
Sable S5: Quality assessment result		jopen-202 yright, inc	
Title	Interventions	Author 7	Rigour
A community program of integrated care for frail older adults: Agil Barcelona	Designing a multidisciplinary intervention in the community, including a) multi-modal physical activity (PA) sessions, b) promotion of adherence to a Mediterranean diet c) health education and d) medication review.	L M Péroz et al. (2019) g for un 1	4
A multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention reduces frailty in older people: randomized trial	Multifactorial interdisciplinary interventions (including nutritional supplementation, referral to psychiatrist, encourage social engagement, physiotherapy sessions and performed a home exercise program)	Ian Concerner et al. ( 201a) Cane Erasmush to te	4
Effects of a primary care-based multifactorial intervention on physical and cognitive function in frail, elderly individuals: A randomized controlled trial	A multifactorial interventions including (a structure physical activity conducted by physiotherapists – intake of hyperproteic nutritional shake which was daily for 6 weeks, memory workshops and medication review).	Laurage mera-Liebana et al. (201888 hoo data Liebana et al.	4
A Multicomponent Exercise Intervention that Reverses Frailty and Improves Cognition, Emotion, and Social Networking in the Community-Dwelling Frail Elderly: A Randomized Clinical Trial	A combined program of endurance, strength, coordination, balance and flexibility exercise that have the potential to impact a variety of functional performance measure. Those in the intervention group performed 65 minutes of daily activities, 5 days per week for 24 weeks.	Frangisco José Tarazona Santabalbina et al. (2016) to Al trainin pop	3
Effects of a Home-Based and Volunteer- Administered Physical Training, Nutritional, and Social Support Program on Malnutrition and Frailty in Older Persons: A Randomized Controlled Trial	Physical training and nutrition intervention of the first group versus only social support intervention of the second group.	Evaluger Et and (2016) similar t	3
A Study on Effects of Acupressure Among the Frail Elderly in the Community Dwellings	A 15 minutes structured acupressure protocol with specific acupoints and applications technique will be performed on the elderly participants twice a week by the research team in YCHSS centers. The caregiver of the elderly will be trained and perform the same acupressure protocol on the elderly at 2 additional occasions during the week.	Clanger With Chan et al. ( 2017) 20170 09 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	4
Effects of a multifactorial intervention comprising resistance exercise, nutritional and psychosocial programs on frailty and functional health in community-dwelling	Multifactorial intervention (resistance exercise, nutritional education and psychosocial programs).	Satoshi Stino et al (2017)	3

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	BMJ Open	1136, d by
		lbmjopen-21 copyright, i
older adults: a randomized, controlled, cross-over trial		021-054
Nurse-led home visitation programme to improve health-related quality of life and reduce disability among potentially frail community-dwelling older people in general practice: A theory-based process evaluation	GOLD home visitation program – home visit for conducting CGA and a tailored care and treatment, multidisciplinary care management, and targeted intervention and follow-up.	Manudy North
Prevention of adverse health trajectories in a vulnerable elderly population through nurse home visits: A randomized controlled trial	Visiting program including a proactive home visits by trained nurse to do the assessment and then designed and executed a care plan.	Heing History 2010 Hour et al. ( 2010 Hour Hour et al. ( 2010 Hour Hour Hour et al. ( 2010 Hour Hour Hour Hour Hour Hour Hour Hour
A nurse-led interdisciplinary primary care approach to prevent disability among community-dwelling frail older people: A large-scale process evaluation.	Nurse led interdisciplinary approach - frail older people and their informal caregiver, if available, receive a home visit by the practice nurse who does a multidimensional assessment focusing on existing	Met de gign SF et al. (2013) min m ng min
Effectiveness of interdisciplinary primary care approach to reduce disability in community dwelling frail older people: Cluster randomised controlled trial.	problems in performing daily activities and on risk factors for disability. After the home visit, the general practitioner and practice nurse discuss whether additional assessments by other inpatient or outpatient healthcare professionals are needed. On the basis of the	Slike Metzelthin et al. ( 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201
Reducing disability in communitydwelling frail older people: Costeffectiveness study alongside a cluster randomised controlled trial	assessment phase, a preliminary treatment plan is formulated. During a second home visit by the practice nurse, a final treatment plan is formulated.	Metereltion et al. (2015)
Implementing care programmes for frail older people: A project management perspective.	1	Jill BindElsa et al. (2014)
Cost-Effectiveness of a Chronic Care Model for Frail Older Adults in Primary Care: Economic Evaluation Alongside a Stepped-Wedge Cluster-Randomized Trial.	Nurse led - Geriatirc Care model (GCM) – nurses conduct a multi-dimensional geriatric assessment, PN write a care plan after each assessment in consultation with the primary care professionals , later in a second visit nurses discuses care plan with the older person.	Kanon Mesvan Leeuwen et a (20,55) S at De ba
From concept to content: assessing the implementation fidelity of a chronic care	Second visit – nurses provide information on guideline concordant management and treatment options to be involved	Maaike HMuntinga et al. ( 2015)

	BMJ Open	0.1136/bmjc xted by copy	Page
model for frail, older people who live at home.	in decision making – at all times; older person's wishes remained central. Review of actions listed on care plan with patient	open-2021-0547 /right, includin	
Expanding access to pain care for frail, older people in primary care: A crosssectional study		Maake PMuntinga et a 2016 9	al. ( 3
		relat	
Effectiveness of a Geriatric Care Model for frail older adults in primary care: Results from a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial.		Emi@l 808Bloogendijk et 2016 E Sogen te Sogen te Sogen	al. ( 4
Quality of primary care delivery and productive interactions among community-living frail older persons and their general practitioners and practice nurses	Older persons are screened for frailty by the geriatric nurse or practice nurse during a home visit, each frail older person is discussed in multidisciplinary consultation, the practice team discusses and agrees upon (self-management) interventions, the care plan is discussed with the frail older patient, finally. Finally, follow-up of the frail older person was provided by a multidisciplinary team.	Lotte data from http://bn	2019) 4
Chronic Care Clinics: A randomized controlled trial of a model of primary care for frail older adults.	Patients invited to, An extended (30 minutes) visit to the patient's physician and team nurse dedicated to developing a shared treatment plan that emphasized the reduction of disability; A session with the pharmacist (15 minutes), held in the primary care examination room, ; A patient self management group session (45 minutes), led by a team nurse or social worker, and The provision of health status assessment information to the practice team at the time of the CCC visits.	E.A. and similar technologies.	999) 3
		at Department GEZ-LT	

age 39 of 62		BMJ Open	10.1136, cted by	
			/bmjoper copyrigh	
)	Implementation of an innovative webbased conference table for communitydwelling frail older people, their informal caregivers and professionals: a process evaluation.	The ZWIP consists of information about the frail older person's health, functioning and social situation, contact information about professionals involved in their care, and care-related goals formulated by or with the frail older person, a secure messaging system for communication between the frail older person and one or more professionals or between professionals, and tailored educational materials for the frail older person and informal caregiver.	Sarah H 2 Robben et al. (20 2) (20 2) (20 2) (20 2) (20 2) (21 - 054780 on 1 June 2 Er Er	5
2 3 4 5 5 7	The short-term effects of an integrated care model for the frail elderly on health, quality of life, health care use and satisfaction with care	The general practitioners detected frailty, elderly patients were visited by their nurse who assessed their health, the assessment was discussed in a multidisciplinary meeting, a multidisciplinary treatment plan was then formulated in consultation with the elderly person and his or her informal caregiver(s).	Will Will Will Will Will Will Will Will	4
9 1 2 3 4 5 5 7	Cost-effectiveness of a multidisciplinary intervention model for communitydwelling frail older people	The model used problem based selection procedure performed by GPs rather than population screening to identify patients eligible. A geriatric specialist nurse visited the patient at home. Up to six visits for additional geriatric evaluation and management were planned within the next 3 months. Starting off from a wide multidimensional assessment, the intervention team developed an individualized, integrated treatment plan for each patient.	Renting FMelis Et al. (92008) Al training, and s	4
	Multicomponent program to reduce functional decline in frail elderly people: A cluster controlled trial.	CareWell primary care program - Proactive, individually tailored care plans were formulated for each participant; these plans were based on individual health-related goals and needs as assessed with the EASY-Care TOS. Care plans were revised during the team meetings at least every 6 months and stored in the information portal.	Franka GH. Ruikes et al. ( 2018) on technologies, 2025	3
	Cost-Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program for Frail Older People: A Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial	In first group, there was no trained registered nurse to deliver the additional steps of the proactive care program. In the second group, the frailty screening was followed by the	Nienke Breijenberg RN et al. (2017)	3

	BMJ Open	cted by copyrig	10.1136/bmjope	Page 40 of 6
Frail Older Adults' Experiences With a Proactive, Nurse-Led Primary Care Program	nurse-led care intervention. Patients who were identified as frail received a home-based Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment, followed by evidence-based care planning, care coordination and follow-up.	ht.genb Bleigenb	n- 2029, N et al. (2015) 221-054780	5
Integrated care at home reduces unnecessary hospitalizations of community-dwelling frail older adults: a prospective controlled trial.	The intervention received an additional home geriatric assessment by community geriatrics unit (GCU)	Lau <b>use</b> r (20 <b>gs</b> relate	p Pollona et al. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	3
Nurse home visits with or without alert buttons versus usual care in the frail elderly: a randomized controlled trial	After screening, participants were allocated to the control NV + AB (nurse home visits including alert button) or NV alone ( nurse home visits alone). Participants in the intervention group received weekly visits from a nurse over a period of 9 months. This group of patients was also able to contact their nurses on whenever they felt the need by pressing the alert button, but the other group did not include emergency care or technological support via the alert button.	smushogeschool . addo text and data mining Jesuto text and data	22: Downloaded from ht	3
Reversing Frailty Levels in Primary Care Using the CARES Model	Providers teams were trained in using the comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA)	Olga Th	<u>eou</u> et al. ( 2017)	3
	frailty levels among patients, the CGA was used to inform the creation of a wellness plan to identify goals most important to the patients, and patients were paired with a free-of-charge, telephone-based health coach for a period of up to six months.	aining, and similar t	jopen.bmj.com/ on	
Impact on hospital admissions of an integrated primary care model for very frail elderly patients	The nurse performed a home-based comprehensive geriatric assessment, developed an individualized care plan, coordinated all the required services during the follow-up. Nurses and primary care physician received support as needed from geriatricians participating.	de Sam	La et al. (2014) e 7 2025 at D	4
Total score in (%)			e pa	73%

#### Table S6: An overview of the 29 frailty interventions for primary care

62 Table S6: A	n overview of the	<b>29</b> frailty interve	BMJ Open 29 frailty interventions for primary care				10.1136/bmjopen-202 cted by copyright, inc		
Title	Author	Screening	Final sample	Setting	Intervention	Findings Findings Fight	Themes of group discussion		
Specific assessment and m	anagement frailty ne	reds	SILC				uiseussion		
A multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention reduces frailty in older people: randomized trial	Ian D Cameron et al. (2013)	Adults aged 70 years or older with three or more of the CHS frailty criteria; not usually living in a residential aged care facility, without moderate or severe cognitive impairment.	216/241	Sydney, Australi a	Multifactorial interdisciplinary interventions (including nutritional supplementation, referral to psychiatrist, encourage social engagement, physiotherapy sessions and performed a home exercise program).	The intervention reduced frailty and intervention reduced mobility in odder complex who met the fills frailty criteria – The penefit of the intervention was not evident at 3- month failed we up and became apparent only at 12 minintly.	Early link between identified needs an healthcare services		
Effects of a primary care-based multifactorial intervention on physical and cognitive function in frail, elderly individuals: A randomized controlled trial	Laura Romera- Liebana et al. ( 2018)	Screening criteria set gait time between 10 and 30 seconds in the (TGUGT); scored (MEC-35 Lobo) ≥18 points (no severe cognitive impairment); and Fried modified crit eria.	267/352	Barcelo na	A multifactorial interventions including (a structure physical activity conducted by physiotherapists – intake of hypercritic nutritional shake which was daily for 6 weeks, memory workshops and medication review).	After and 18 months, acquisted means difference between group showed somificant improvements for the intervention group in all comparisons: Short physical Performance Battery in proved, handgrip steingth, functional reach, and number of prescriptions decreased.	Significant improvement were still observed at 18 months. High level of adherence. Clarity on what the were trying to do.		
						spartment GEZ-LT/			

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

		RWI Ob	en		.1136/bmjoj ad by copyr	Page 42 of 6
Francisco José Tarazona- Santabalbina et al. (2016)	Participants were randomized a volunteer who were sedentary, with a gait speed lower than 0.8	100 who were eligible – no more data available.	Valenci a, Spain	A combined program of endurance, strength, coordination, balance and flexibility exercise that have the potential to impact a variety of	The NEEP was very effective in improving the PET ( $G < .001$ ), SEPBEP 1/4.007), and in lowering of the frailty some assessed by Linda	Limited paper – there was not clear enough data on how the frailty intervention was implemented.
~	meters per second and frail (met at least 3 of the frailty phenotype criteria).			functional performance measure. Those in the intervention group performed 65 minutes of daily activities, 5 days per week for 24 weeks.	Fraction criteria and Entropy of criteria and Entropy of criteria and State the al analysis state the al analy	
Eva Luger Et al. (2016)	The screening criteria for recruitment were persons at risk of malnutrition or malnourished persons, according to the (MNA-SF), rail, according to the Frailty Instrument for Primary Care of the (SHARE-FI).	66/80	Vienna, Austria	Physical training and nutrition intervention of the first group versus only social support intervention of the second group.	Interproped in nutritional source and frailty status in both groups after 12 wraining, and similar techno	Social support alone improved patients' health.
					7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA logies.	
	Francisco José Tarazona- Santabalbina et al. (2016) Eva Luger Et al. (2016)	Francisco José Tarazona- Santabalbina et al. (2016)Participants were randomized a volunteer who were sedentary, with a gait speed lower than 0.8meters per second and frail (met at least 3 of the frailty phenotype criteria).meters per second and frail (met at least 3 of the frailty phenotype criteria).Eva Luger Et al. ( 2016)The screening criteria for recruitment were persons at risk of malnutrition or malnourished persons, according to the (MNA-SF), rail, according to the Frailty Instrument for Primary Care of the (SHARE-FI).	Francisco José Tarazona- Santabalbina et al. (2016)Participants were randomized a volunteer who were sedentary, with a gait speed lower than 0.8100 who were eligible – no more data available.meters per second and frail (met at least 3 of the frailty phenotype criteria).meters per second and frail (met at least 3 of the frailty phenotype criteria).66/80Eva Luger Et al. (2016)The screening criteria for recruitment were persons at risk of malnutrition or malnourished persons, according to the (MNA-SF), rail, according to the Frailty Instrument for Primary Care of the (SHARE-FI).66/80	Francisco José Tarazona- Santabalbina et al. (2016)Participants were randomized a volunteer who were sedentary, with a gait speed lower than 0.8100 who were eligible – no more data available.Valenci a, Spainmeters per second and frail (met at least 3 of the frailty phenotype criteria for recruitment were persons at risk of malnutrition or malnourished persons, according to the (MNA-SF), rail, according to the (SHARE-FI).100 who were eligible – no more data available.Valenci a, Spain	Francisco José Tarazona- Santabalbina et al. (2016)       Participants were randomized a volunteer who were selentary, with a gait speed lower than 0.8       100 who were eligible – no more data available.       Valenci a, Spain       A combined program of endurance, strength, coordination, balance and flexibility exercise that have the potential to impact a variety of         meters per second and frail (met at least 3 of the frailby phenotype eriteria).       meters per second frailby phenotype eriteria).       functional performance measure. Those in the intervention group performed 65 minutes of daily activities, 5 days per week for 24 weeks.         Eva Luger Et al. ( 2016)       The screening criteria for recruitment were persons at risk of malnoutrition or malnourished persons, according to the (MNA-SF), rail, according to the Frailty Instrument for Primary Care of the (SHARE-FI).       Vienna, Austria       Physical training and nutrition intervention of the second group.	Francisco José Tarazona- Santabalbina et al. (2016)     Participants were randomized a volunteer who were eligible – no more data available.     Valenci a, Spain (advance, strength, coordination, balance and flexibility secrets that have the potential to impact a variety of male second and frail (met at least 3 of the frailty phenotype criteria).     The SPEP %-007), and in MSV endows       Eva Luger     meters per second and frail (met at least 3 of the frailty phenotype criteria).     functional performance measure. Those in the intervention group, performed 65 minutes of daily activities, 5 days, per week for 24 weeks.     If the SPEP %-007), and in MSV endows       Eva Luger     The screening criteria for recruitment were persons at risk of malnourished persons, according to the (NNA-SF), rait, according to the Frailty Instrument for Primary Care of the (SHARE-FI).     Vienna, Austria     Vienna, Austria     Physical training and mutrition intervention of the first group versus only social support intervention of the second group.     It metors are training provide in nutritional mutrition intervention of the first group versus only social support intervention of the second group.     It metors are training provide in nutritional mutrition and mutrition intervention of the (SHARE-FI).     It metors are training provide in nutritional mutrition intervention of the (SHARE-FI).     It metors are training provide in nutrition and mutrition intervention of the (SHARE-FI).     It metors are training provide in nutrition intervention of the second group.     It metors are training provide in nutrition intervention of the (SHARE-FI).

age 43 of	62		BMJ O	pen	10.1136/bmjo cted by copy			
0 1 2 3 4 5 5 5 7 8 9	A Study on Effects of Acupressure Among the Frail Elderly in the Community Dwellings	Clara W.C. Chan et al. (2017)	The screening procedure included participants were scored 5 or above in the (TFI). They were also physically fit to sit on a chair and cognitively competent to understand instructions from the practitioner and to sign the consent form.	79/108	Hong Kong	A 15 minutes structured acupressure protocol with specific acupoints and applications technique will be performed on the elderly participants twice a week by the research team in YCHSS centers. The caregiver of the elderly will be trained and perform the same acupressure protocol on the elderly at 2 additional occasions during the week.	The treatment group showed improvement in an measurements in compating to the control goupe e. physical score, steep evality, pain insensity. Erasmushogeschool to text and data m	Flexible as it could be implemented at home. Patients satisfaction. Caregiver involvement. Address and reduce the pain may encourage the patients to implement the intervention.
2 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	Effects of a multifactorial intervention comprising resistance exercise, nutritional and psychosocial programs on frailty and functional health in communitydwelling older adults: a randomized, controlled, cross-over trial	Satoshi Seino et al ( 2017)	Screening criteria a score of 2 or higher on the (CL15).	67/77	Japan	Multifactorial intervention (resistance exercise, nutritional education and psychosocial programs).	The interventions had a significant reductions in Calcele List 15 score, fightly prevalence, Timed up and Go test, and Grianic Depression Score and improvements in the Dietary Variety Score and protein and nacrosutrient intakes at 3000 mbs, all of which, excluding protein and nacrosutrient intakes, persised at 6 months.	Social capital highly linked to health outcomes in the frail population. Included a clear purpose from the beginning on what they want to achieve. There was a design to align needs to care.
5 7 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 5 5 7	Comprehensive assessmen	<b>at and management o</b> For p	o <b>f frailty needs</b> beer review only - http	p://bmjopen.b	omj.com/site	e/about/guidelines.xhtml	Department GEZ-LTA	

1	
2	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
,	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
25	
22	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
11	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
4/	

			BMJ Ope	en		10.1136/bmjop cted by copyri	Page 44 of 62
Nurse-led home visitation programme to improve health-related quality of life and reduce disability among potentially frail community-dwelling older people in general practice: A theory-based process evaluation	Mandy M N Stijnen et al. ( 2014)	Aged 75 years or older from GPs system, practices were purposefully select older people who had not been in contact for consultation for more than 6 months before the start of the study.	24 General practices (14 GPs and 13 PNs)	Netherl	GOLD home visitation program – home visit for conducting CGA and a tailored care and treatment, multidisciplinary care management and targeted intervention and follow- up.	Acceptible but there were barrier, and challenges to fully implement the proposed plan. for uses related to series to series t	Assessment was time consuming. Patients appreciated nurses visits and work.
Prevention of adverse health trajectories in a vulnerable elderly population through nurse home visits: A randomized controlled trial	Hein P J van Hout et al. (2010)	A score in the lowest quartile on at least two of six self-reported functional health domains (COOPWONCA charts), defined frail health.	617/658	Nertherl	Visiting program including a proactive home visits by trained nurse to do the assessment and then designed and executed a care plan.	Negginizes of home visits backbook in vulnerable orden dersons. ata mining, Al traini	How did the professionals link between needs and care was not clear.
A nurse-led interdisciplinary primary care approach	Metzelthin SF et al. (2013)	Older people ( $\geq$ 70 years) and (score $\geq$ 5 on	6 GP practices GPs = 12	Netherl ands	Nurse led interdisciplinary approach - frail older	Professionals and frail endering were satisfied.	Time pressures was affecting the implementation
to prevent disability among communitydwelling frail older people: A large- scale process evaluation. Effectiveness of interdisciplinary primary care approach to reduce disability in community dwelling frail older people:	Slike Metzelthin et al. (2013)	GFI).	Nurses = 7 OT= 6 PT= 20 Frail = 194 270 /346	Netherl ands	people and their informal caregiver, if available, receive a home visit by the practice nurse who does a multidimensional assessment focusing on existing problems in performing daily activities and on risk factors for disability. After the home visit, the general practitioner and	milar technologie No different with regards to disability EX-LI	processes and the main elements of the interventions. The need was identified but then was not clear who has the skill to manage the needs. Building a trusting relationship with

of 62			BMJ Op	en		0.1136/ ted by	
						ſbmjopei copyrigł	
Cluster randomised controlled trial.					practice nurse discuss whether additional assessments by other inpatient or outpatient healthcare professionals are needed. On the basis of the assessment phase, a preliminary treatment	n-2021-054780 on 1 Jun ht, including for uses re	patients consum time. Lack of clarity of having an early purpose on what were trying to achieve
Reducing disability in community-dwelling frail older people: Costeffectiveness study alongside a cluster randomised controlled trial	Metzelthin et al. ( 2015)	Pr Do	270/346	Netherl ands	During a second home visit by the practice nurse, a final treatment plan is formulated.	The this ervention under state with the an increase in the attraction and the attraction and the attraction and the attraction and the attraction attraction provide attraction any beneficial effective attraction any beneficial	
Implementing care programmes for frail older people: A project management perspective.	Jill Bindelsa et al. ( 2014)	3.7	interview in 2009 (n=10) and in 2012 (n=13) and a focus group in 2012 (n=5)	Netherl ands	4.	Successful in two regions -In the region there was a level of uncertainty. Issued that influenced the influenced the influenced the collaboration between influenced the collaboration between influenced the	
					07/1	a aptaion to existing selectories, project leadership and securing furgure funding.	
Cost-Effectiveness of a Chronic Care Model for Frail Older Adults in Primary Care: Economic Evaluation Alongside a Stepped-	Karen M. van Leeuwen et al. ( 2015)	First, primary care physicians considered older people to be frail based on the loss of resources in the	782/1147	Netherl ands	Nurse led - Geriatire Care model (GCM) – nurses conduct a multidimensional geriatric assessment,	No significant different ingcosts is at Depart	Adherence to the GCM was high to most elements of intervention – bu not monitor the to which the
Wedge Cluster- Randomized Trial.		physical domain and/or the			nurses write a care plan after each assessment in	rtment G	

1	
2	
2	
<u></u> .	
4	
5	
6	
7	
ò	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
25	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
27	
22	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
20	
29	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
16	
40	
4/	

			ВМЈ Ор	en		10.1136/bmjop cted by copyri	Page 46 of 6.
From concept to content: assessing the implementation fidelity of a chronic care model for frail, older people who live at home.	Maaike E Muntinga et al. ( 2015)	psychosocial domain, or polypharmacy then older adults aged 65 and over, who had a PRISMA-7 score of 3 or more were	1147	Netherl ands	consultation with the primary care professionals , later in a second visit nurses discuses care plan with the older person.	level & adherence varied between pointes ionals, which noist likely can be agributed to profestional's individual characteristics and circumestances	actions in the care plans were carried out as intended. It was not clear whether limited use of the care plans may service as an
Expanding access to pain care for frail, older people in primary care: A crosssectional study	Maaike E Muntinga et al. ( 2016)	eligible to participate.	781/ 1147	Netherl ands	provide information on guideline concordant management and treatment options to be involved in decision making – at all times; older person's wishes	All age share of people share of people share of ideal age share of people share of ideal age share of ideal age share of ideal age share of ideal age share of people share o	alternative explanation for the lack of effectiveness of the GCM
Effectiveness of a Geriatric Care Model for frail older adults in primary care: Results from a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial.	Emiel O.Hoogendijk et al. (2016)		782/1147	Netherl	remained central. Review of actions listed on care plan with patient	A second	
Quality of primary care delivery and productive interactions among community-living frail older persons and their general practitioners and practice nurses	Lotte Vestigens et al. (2019)	Screening by suing a TFI score of 5 or higher (range 0–15) were identified as frail.	358/464	Netherl ands	Older persons are screened for frailty by the geriatric nurse or practice nurse during a home visit, each frail older person is discussed in multidisciplinary consultation, the practice team discusses and agrees upon (selfmanagement)	No significant different between groups to operativereived quality oppring set Department G	Focus on screening but then there was no time to follow up.
						;EZ-LTA	

Page 47 of	62			BMJ Op	en		10.1136/L	
Page 47 of 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	62 Chronic Care Clinics: A randomized controlled trial of a model of primary care for frail older adults.	E.A. Coleman et al. (1999)	The chronic Disease Score used to identify frail participants, then physicians were using their experience to select the participants .	BMJ Op 127/169	Seattle	interventions, the care plan is discussed with the frail older patient, finally. Finally, followup of the frail older person was provided by a multidisciplinary team. Patients invited to, An extended (30 minutes) visit to the patient's physician and team nurse dedicated to developing a shared treatment plan that emphasized the reduction of disability; A session with the pharmacist (15 minutes), held in the primary care examination room,	Adjustic for uses retractions where the monstrated. The constraints in the monstrated of the monstrated of the monstrated of the monstrated. The constraints in the monstrated of the monstrated of the monstrated of the monstrated of the monstrated. The constraints in the monstrated of t	Uncertainty in using the time, the professionals were creating time and recourses but they were not sure for what purpose.
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34					10	; A patient self management group session (45 minutes), led by a team nurse or social worker, and The provision of health status assessment information to the practice team at the time of the CCC visits.	bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 training, and similar technologies.	
<ol> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> </ol>		For p	eer review only - http	p://bmjopen.bn	nj.com/site	/about/guidelines.xhtml	at Department GEZ-LTA	

			BMJ Op	en		10.1136/bmj cted by cop	Page 48 of 6
Implementation of an innovative web-based conference table for community-dwelling frail older people, their informal caregivers and professionals: a process evaluation.	Sarah HM Robben et al. (2012)	Participants of the study were community- dwelling frail older people, who were patients of participating general practices	290 frail older people, 169 professional s participated in the ZWIP	Netherl ands	The ZWIP consists of information about the frail older person's health, functioning and social situation, contact information about professionals	Greration positive but included several limitations mainly frail other topulation are linely to face some level of difficulties in engaging with chealth incervantion.	Technology might not be a type of intervention used by frail older people.
		in the province of Gelderland or Noord-Brabant, the Netherlands; their informal care- givers; and healthcare and welfare professionals involved in their care.			involved in their care, and care-related goals formulated by or with the frail older person, a secure messaging system for communication between the frail older person and one or more professionals or between professionals, and tailored educational materials for the frail older person and informal caregiver.	2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Departm rasmushogeschool . ated to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.	
	For p	peer review only - http	o://bmjopen.br	nj.com/site	/about/guidelines.xhtml	rt GEZ-LTA	

Page 49 of	62			BMJ Ope	en		10.1136	
1 2							s/bmjopei	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The short-term effects of an integrated care model for the frail elderly on health, quality of life, health care use and satisfaction with care	Wilhelmina Mijntje Looman et al. (2014)	Frailty was screened with the (GFI)- The score ranges from 0 to 15. Elderly with a score of 4 or more were considered as being frail.	417/446	Netherl nads	The general practitioners detected frailty, elderly patients were visited by their nurse who assessed their health, the assessment was discussed in a multidisciplinary meeting, a multidisciplinary treatment plan was then formulated in consultation with the elderly person and his or her informal caregiver(s).	It has a little effect on health care usage, and so isfaction with care in the frage elderly. The only so inficant effect was found for one demension of the I of P. The frail end of P. The frail end of P. The frail end of the I of the frail end of the frail	Social and non healthcare factors resulted a big effect on outcomes. Lack of evidence about active involvement of patients.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Cost-effectiveness of a multidisciplinary intervention model for community-dwelling frail older people	René J F Melis Et al. (2008)	Physicians screened for frailty and referral older patients to the interventions. They had one or more limitations in cognition,	131/151	Netherl ands	The model used problem based selection procedure performed by GPs rather than population screening to identify patients eligible. A geriatric specialist nurse visited the patient	The new interventions is cost-contective at reasonable costs ining, and si co	Time and costs consuming – but it might make sense to understand problem and then set the recommendations.
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47		For p	beer review only - http	p://bmjopen.bm	nj.com/site	/about/guidelines.xhtml	ym/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA milar technologies.	

Multicomponent program to reduce controlled trial.     Franca G.H. (16)     Community- defining (16)     Community- enditional assessment, the individual prior to six visits for additional geriatric evaluation and management were planned within the next 3 months. Starting off from a wide multidimensional assessment, the intervention team developed an individualized, integrated treatment plan for each particinat.     Patient engaged on clear plan and when they understand the purpose.       Multicomponent program to reduce controlled trial.     Franca G.H. (216)     Community- dwelling frail elderty people instrument.     369/536     Netherl ands     Netherl ands     CareWell primary care program - Proactive, individually tailored care plans were formulated for each particinant, these plans were revised during the team meetings at least every 6 months and stored in the information portal.     Netherl ands     Netherl ands <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>BMJ Op</th> <th>en</th> <th></th> <th>10.1136/bmj cted by cop</th> <th>Page 50 of</th>				BMJ Op	en		10.1136/bmj cted by cop	Page 50 of
	Multicomponent program to reduce functional decline in frai elderly people: A cluster controlled trial.	Franca G.H. Ruikes et al. ( 2016)	(instrumental) activities of daily living, or mental well-being. Community- dwelling frail elderly people aged ≥70 years were identified with the EASYCare two- step older persons screening instrument.	369/536	Netherl ands	at home. Up to six visits for additional geriatric evaluation and management were planned within the next 3 months. Starting off from a wide multidimensional assessment, the intervention team developed an individualized, integrated treatment plan for each patient. CareWell primary care program - Proactive, individually tailored care plans were formulated for each participant; these plans were based on individual healthrelated goals and needs as assessed with the EASY-Care TOS. Care plans were revised during the team meetings at least every 6 months and stored in the information portal.	ppen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded free ficial effects of tight, including for uses related to text and data maintened. All training, and similar technology of the second seco	Patient engaged on clear plan and when they understand the purpose.         Better adherence of GPs in medical problems.         It was not clear how professionals engage with each other – who was actively engage in the plan.

Page 51 of	62			BMJ Ope	en		10.1136 cted by	
1 2							/bmjope copyrigl	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Cost-Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program for Frail Older People: A Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial	Nienke Bleijenberg RN et al. (2017)	First, a software application identified patients at risk for frailty by screening routine (EMR) data from general practices. Patients aged 60 years and older were	2489/ 3092	Netherl ands	In first group, there was no trained registered nurse to deliver the additional steps of the proactive care program. In the second group, the frailty screening was followed by the nurse- led care intervention. Patients	The probability of cost effectiveness of screening phis norse care varsus GP care was 55% , failed screening failed by the nurse led core is less cost effective than failty screening failed by GP care. Adding the nurse led to	Early involvement of patient was not clear Nurses did not address some of the clinical needs e.g. social care.
14		C		1		1	Dov o tex	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Frail Older Adults' Experiences With a Proactive, Nurse-Led Primary Care Program	Bleijenberg, N et al. (2015)	included in a quarterly report when they met at least 1 of the following criteria: a frailty index ≥0.20, polypharmacy of ≥5 medications in chronic use, or a consultation gap. 2. After the frailty screening based on EMR data, patients at risk received Groningen Frailty Indicator to measure the level of frailty.	11 interviews of participants who received nurse led approach.	Netherl	who were identified as frail received a homebased Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment, followed by evidencebased care planning, care coordination and follow- up.	failey screening had a low the state of the	Resources of collaboration was always an issues.
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47		For p	eer review only - http	p://bmjopen.bm	nj.com/site	/about/guidelines.xhtml	5 at Department GEZ-LTA	

2
3
Δ
- -
2
6
7
8
9
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
20
21
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
27
28
29
30
31
32
22
22
34
35
36
37
38
20
39
40
41
42
43
ΔΛ
44
45
46
47

			ВМЈ Ор	en		10.1136/bmjoper cted by copyrigh	Page 52 of 62
Integrated care at home reduces unnecessary hospitalizations of community-dwelling frail older adults: a prospective controlled trial.	Laura Di Pollona et al. (2017)	Screened for frailty by one of four alarms or risk factors (impaired cognition, falls, social isolation, or frailty of the informal caregiver support) detected by the RAI-HC.	153/301	Geneva	The intervention received an additional home geriatric assessment by community geriatrics unit (GCU).	The intervention reduced the rate of haspitalizations after the fust year, decreased unnecessary haspitalizations due to social problem, lowered the rate of emergency room wists after the first year wists after the first year of patients differences the problem of patients differences the	Better linkage between geriatric and primary care – linkage with geriatrician may help to direct the patients on how to use the resources.
Nurse home visits with or without alert buttons versus usual care in the frail elderly: a randomized controlled trial	Jesus Favela et al (2013)	Patients were aged over 60 years with a frailty index score higher than 0.14.	115/133	Mexico	After screening , participants were allocated to the control NV + AB ( nurse home visits including alert button) or NV alone ( nurse home visits alone). Participants in the	The K & V+AB group reported improvement in a most all components of fightly phenotype and even when these changes were wight, a visiting nurse combined with technology that produces	Unclear how the technology helped to have a positive effect on frailty scores.
					intervention group received weekly visits from a nurse over a period of 9 months. This group of patients was also able to contact their nurses on whenever they felt the need by pressing the alert button, but the other group did not include emergency care or technological support via the alert button.	a sonscoof security in the patient could diminish the level of the firsk. milar technologies. GEZ-LT	

Page 53 of	62			BMJ Op	en		10.1136/br cted by cc	
1 2 3					1	1	njopen-	
5 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Reversing Frailty Levels in Primary Care Using the CARES Model	Olga Theou et al. ( 2017)	Older people were screened for frailty by using both CFS and FI.	26/51	Canada	Providers teams were trained in using the comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) frailty levels among patients, the CGA was used to inform the creation of a wellness plan to identify goals most important to the patients, and patients were paired with a freeof-charge, telephone-based health coach for a period of up to six months.	Cliang of frailty scores between baseline and for the six months. Ing for uses related to text and data mining, A	There was emphasis between patients and processionals defining the plan together but it was not clear when intervention was implemented Concern was emphasized regarding the length of CGA especially the paper format.
22 23	Impact on hospital	de Stampa et al. (	Using the Contact	219/428	Paris	The nurse performed a	The rise of having at least	Hospital geriatrician
24	admissions of an	2014)	Assessment (CA)			home-based	on unganned hospital	can direct the transition
25	integrated primary care		tool- Persons with a			comprehensive geriatric	ad iss in decreased at one	, and provided more
26	model for very frail elderly	7	score of 6 or more			assessment, developed an	ye <b>a</b> r an <mark>a</mark> the planned	care coordination.
27	patients		were defined			individualized care	hospitat	
28 29 30			as having complex needs with a mix of medical,			plan, coordinated all the required services during the follow-up. Nurses	admissions rate in reased, without a stanificant change in	
31			psychological,			and primary care	togal lesspital admissions	
32			social conditions			support as needed from	• 7,	
33			and functional			geriatricians	gie 203	
34 25			impairments.			participating.	S. 25	
35 36	A community program	L M Pérez et al.	Individuals aged	112/134	Spain	Designing a	The reported	Clarity in the
37	of integrated care for	(2019)	≥80 years	(The total		multidisciplinary	improgement of physical	alignment between
38	Irall older adults: Agli Banadana		presenting at leas t	number who		community including a)	function was statistically	the assessment and
39	Barcelona		one sign of frailty	the		multi-modal physical	and conically significant.	management the
40			(i.e. slow gai	the		matti modal priystear	The benefits were	needs, socialization
41							EZ-	
42 43								
45 44							<i>L</i>	
45		Forp	peer review only - http	o://bmjopen.bn	nj.com/site	e/about/guidelines.xhtml		
46		,	,		-	-		
47								

				BMJ Open		10.1136/	Page 54 of 62
1 2 3	I		speed, weakness.	intervention	activity (PA) sessions, b)	copyright across	was also encouraged
4 5			memory	out of the	promotion of adherence	deferent initial frailty	with exercise.
6			1	total who	to a Mediterranean diet	degree, from milder to	
7			involuntary	recruited)	d) medication review.	ngore advanced.	
8			weight loss, poo			on on	
9			social support).			ses 1 J	
10			GFI was used to				
11			support the			e 20 Era:	
12			identification			smu d to	
14			processes.			Do	
15						xt a	
16	(CHS) Cardiovascular Heal	lth Study					
1/	(CL15) Check-List 15	5				ded dat	
10 19	(GFI) Groningen Frailty ind	dicator				a m	
20	(TGUGT) Get-up-and-Go t	test				ini m	
21	(MEC-35 Lobo) Mini-Exar	mination Cognitive of I	Lobo			ng,	
22	(MNA-SF) Mini <u>Nutritiona</u>	al Assessment short for	m :for the Maintenau			Al t	
23	(PRISMA) Program of Res	search to Integrate Serv	ices for the Maintenai	nce of Autonomy		rair <sup>m</sup> jo	
24 25	(RAI-HC) Resident Assess	sment Instrument Hom	e Care			hing	
25 26	(SHARE-FI) Survey of Hea	alth. Ageing, and Retir	ement in Europe (TF)	D		g, n.b	
20	Tilburg Frailty Indicator	,88,	F- (	-)		nj.o	
28							
29						ilar	
30						ר און אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אי	
31						ine	
32						7, ; סוסנ	
34						202: gies	
35						P G at	
36						De	
37						par	
38						time	
39 40						int	
40 41						G	
42						Ϋ́.	
43						ТА	
44		-					
45		For p	eer review only - http	o://bmjopen.bmj.cor	n/site/about/guidelines.xhtml		
46 47							
4/							

1		
2		
3		
4 5		
6	Sup	plementary file 1: A list of additional studies
7		
0 9	1.	Bindels J, Cox K, Widdershoven G, van Schayck OCPP, Abma TA. Care for
10		communitydwelling frail older people: a practice nurse perspective. J Clin Nurs [Internet].
11		2014 Aug
12		1;23(15–16):2313–22. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jocn.12513
13	2.	Fairhall N, Aggar C, Kurrle SE, Sherrington C, Lord S, Lockwood K, et al. Frailty
14		intervention trial (FIT). BMC Geriatr. 2008;8:1-10.
15	3.	Fairhall N, Sherrington C, Kurrle SE, Lord SR, Lockwood K, Howard K, et al. Economic
10 17		Evaluation of a Multifactorial. Interdisciplinary Intervention Versus Usual Care to Reduce
18		Frailty in Frail Older People, J Am Med Dir Assoc [Internet], 2015:16(1):41–8, Available
19		from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jamda.2014.07.006
20	4	Fairhall N. Sherrington C. Kurrle SE. Lord SR. Lockwood K. Cameron ID. Effect of a
21		multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention on mobility-related disability in frail older
22		neonle: randomised controlled trial BMC Med 2012:10
23	5	Murayama H Nishi M Shimizu V Kim MI Voshida H Amano H et al. The hatoyama
24	5.	cohort study: Design and profile of participants at baseline. I Enidemiol. 2012;22(6):551
26		echort study. Design and prome of participants at baseline. J Epidemion. 2012,22(0).551–
27	6	0. Malai D. Darry M. Dahhan SUM, Sahara HI, Hainan MM, Dikkart MGMO, at al
28	0.	Evaluation of an abaalth intervention in abrania care for froil alder nearly. Why
29		Evaluation of an english intervention in chronic care for trail older people: why
30	7	adherence is the first target. J Med Internet Res. $2014;10(0):1-8$ .
31 20	/.	Robben SHM, Huisjes M, Van Achterberg T, Zuidema SU, Olde Rikkert MGM, Schers
33		HJ, et al. Filling the gaps in a fragmented health care system: Development of the health
34	0	and welfare information portal (ZWIP). J Med Internet Res. 2012;14(5).
35	8.	Makai P, Perry M, Robben SHM, Schers H, Heinen M, Rikkert MGMO, et al. Which frail
36		older patients use online health communities and why? A mixed methods process
37		evaluation of use of the health and welfare portal. J Med Internet Res [Internet].
38		2014;16(12). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-
39 40		s2.084965191679&doi=10.2196%2Fjmir.3609&partnerID=40&md5=6bfea3c8c1cd78892
41		127 8b5582dc0e4c
42	9.	Looman WM, Fabbricotti IN, De Kuyper R, Huijsman R. The effects of a pro-active
43		integrated care intervention for frail community-dwelling older people: A
44		quasiexperimental study with the GP-practice as single entry point Health services
45		research.
46 47		BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2016;16(1):1–10. Available from:
47 48		http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12877-016-0214-5
49	10.	Looman WM, Huijsman R, Bouwmans-Frijters CAM, Stolk EA, Fabbricotti IN.
50		Costeffectiveness of the "Walcheren Integrated Care Model" intervention for
51		communitydwelling frail elderly. Fam Pract. 2016;33(2):154–60.
52	11.	Fabbricotti IN, Janse B, Looman WM, De Kuijper R, Van Wijngaarden JDH, Reiffers A.
53		Integrated care for frail elderly compared to usual care: A study protocol of a
54 55		,
56		
57		
58		
59		For near review only http://hmienen.hmi.com/site/shevit/suidelines.yhtml
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xntml

	and health care costs. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2013;13(1). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-
	s2 084877349757&doi=10 1186%2F1471-2318-13-
	31&partnerID=40&md5=147e190382d7698bbb9c0e134e64db1e
12.	Ruikes FGH, Meys ARM, Van De Wetering G, Akkermans RP, Van Gaal BGI, Zuid SU, et al. The CareWell-primary care program: Design of a cluster controlled trial an process evaluation of a complex intervention targeting community-dwelling frail elder BMC Fam Pract [Internet] 2012:13 Available from:
	https://www2 scopus com/inward/record uri?eid=2-
	s2.084870384686&doi=10.1186%2F1471-2296-13-
	115&partnerID=40&md5=2888a546cbe5057a14327dd6d4836d5b
13.	Ruikes FGH, Adang EM, Assendelft WJJ, Schers HJ, Koopmans RTCM, Zuidema S Cost-effectiveness of a multicomponent primary care program targeting frail elderly people. BMC Fam Pract [Internet]. 2018;19(1). Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-
	s2.085047112855&doi=10.1186%2Fs12875-018-0735-
	4&partnerID=40&md5=e50291f32112cc003c020dea9416e4a9
14.	Melis RJF, Van Eijken MIJ, Borm GF, Wensing M, Adang E, Van De Lisdonk EH, e
	The design of the Dutch EASYcare study: A randomised controlled trial on the
	effectiveness of a problem-based community intervention model for frail elderly peop
	[NCT00105378]. BMC Health Serv Res [Internet]. 2005;5. Available from:
	https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-
	s2.028/44455568&doi=10.1186%2F14/2-6963-5-
15	65&partner1D=40&md5=a26b1ad4d0bccd111/526b66a312eabb Malia BJE Van Eijkan MU Baan ME Olda Bilkart MCM Van Ashtarbara T. Braa
13.	evaluation of a trial evaluating a multidisciplinary nurse-led home visiting programm vulnerable older people. Disabil Rehabil. 2010;32(11):937–46.
16.	Romera L, Orfila F, Segura JM, Ramirez A, Möller M, Fabra ML, et al. Effectivenes primary care based multifactorial intervention to improve frailty parameters in the ele a randomised clinical trial: rationale and study design. BMC Geriatr [Internet].
	2014;14:125. Available from:
	https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-01112225/full
17.	Dorner TE, Lackinger C, Haider S, Luger E, Kapan A, Luger M, et al. Nutritional intervention and physical training in malnourished frail community-dwelling elderly persons carried out by trained lay "buddies": Study protocol of a randomized control trial BMC Public Health 2013;13(1):1–11
18.	Van Hout HPJ, Nijpels G, Van Marwijk HWJ, Jansen APD, Van't Veer PJ, Tybout V
10.	al. Design and pilot results of a single blind randomized controlled trial of systematic demand-led home visits by nurses to frail elderly persons in primary care
	[ISRCTN05358495]. BMC Geriatr. 2005;5:1–9.
19.	Stijnen MMN, Duimel-Peeters IGP, Jansen MWJ, Vrijhoef HJM. Early detection of l problems in potentially frail community-dwelling older people by general practices -
	Project [G]OLD: Design of a longitudinal, quasi-experimental study. BMC Geriatr

### BMJ Open

s2.084872308127&doi=10.1186%2F1471-2318-13- 7&partnerID=40&md5=29389120044784fc9035e4639bc382f6 Vedel I, De Stampa M, Bergman H, Ankri J, Cassou B, Blanchard F, et al. Healthcare professionals and managers' participation in developing an intervention: A preintervention
<ul> <li>/&amp;partnerID=40&amp;md5=29389120044784fc9035e4639bc382f6</li> <li>Vedel I, De Stampa M, Bergman H, Ankri J, Cassou B, Blanchard F, et al. Healthcare professionals and managers' participation in developing an intervention: A preintervention</li> </ul>
professionals and managers' participation in developing an intervention: A preintervention
study in the elderly care context Implement Sci 2009.4(1).1–11
Vestjens L, Cramm JM, Birnie E, Nieboer AP. Evaluating an integrated primary care approach to improve well-being among frail community-living older people: A
theoryguided study protocol. BMC Geriatr. 2018;18(1):1–17.
Vestjens L, Cramm JM, Nieboer AP. An integrated primary care approach for frail community-dwelling older persons: a step forward in improving the quality of care. BMC Health Serv Res [Internet]. 2018;18(1):28. Available from: https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12913-017-2827-6
Muntinga ME, Hoogendijk EO, Leeuwen KM Van, Hout HPJ Van, Twisk JWR, Horst HE Van Der, et al. Implementing the chronic care model for frail older adults in the Netherlands : study protocol of ACT (frail older adults : care in transition). 2012;
Melis RJF, Van Eijken MIJ, Teerenstra S, Van Achterberg T, Parker SG, Borm GF, et al. A randomized study of a multidisciplinary program to intervene on geriatric syndromes in vulnerable older people who live at home (Dutch EASYcare Study). Journals Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci [Internet]. 2008;63(3):283–90. Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
44349106967&doi=10.1093%2Fgerona%2F63.3.283&partnerID=40&md5=633d8aeddc1 72333dda73b1b256f4073
Bleijenberg N, Drubbel I, Dam VH, Numans ME, Schuurmans MJ, Wit NJ De. Proactive and integrated primary care for frail older people : design and methodological challenges of the Utrecht primary care PROactive frailty intervention trial (U-PROFIT) 2012:
Bleijenberg N, Drubbel I, Schuurmans MJ, Dam H ten, Zuithoff NPAA, Numans ME, et al. Effectiveness of a Proactive Primary Care Program on Preserving Daily Functioning of Older People: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Geriatr Soc [Internet]. 2016 Sep 1 [cited 2017 Oct 27];64(9):1779–88. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jgs.14325
Bleijenberg N, Dam VH, Drubbel I, Numans ME, Wit NJ De, Schuurmans MJ. Development of a Proactive Care Program (U-CARE) to Preserve Physical Functioning of Frail Older People in Primary Care. 2013;230–7.
Stijnen MMN, Jansen MWJ. Development of a home visitation programme for the early detection of health problems in potentially frail community-dwelling older people by general practices. 2013:49–60
Bindels J, Cox K, De La Haye J, Mevissen G, Heijing S, van Schayck OCP, et al. Losing connections and receiving support to reconnect: experiences of frail older people within care programmes implemented in primary care settings. Int J Older People Nurs.
2015;10(3):179–89. Haider S, Dorner TE, Luger E, Kapan A, Titze S, Lackinger C, et al. Impact of a homebased physical and nutritional intervention program conducted by lay-volunteers on

handgrip strength in prefrail and frail older adults: A randomized control trial. PLoS One. 2017;12(1):1–15.

- 31. Grabovac I, Haider S, Winzer E, Kapan A, Schindler KE, Lackinger C, et al. Changes in health parameters in older lay volunteers who delivered a lifestyle-based program to frail older people at home. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2018;130(21–22):637–44.
- 32. Kapan A, Winzer E, Haider S, Titze S, Schindler K, Lackinger C, et al. Impact of a lay-led home-based intervention programme on quality of life in community-dwelling pre-frail and frail older adults: A randomized controlled trial. BMC Geriatr. 2017;17(1):1–11.
- 33. Haider S, Grabovac I, Winzer E, Kapan A, Schindler KE, Lackinger C, et al. Change in inflammatory parameters in prefrail and frail persons obtaining physical training and nutritional support provided by lay volunteers: A randomized controlled trial. PLoS One. 2017;12(10):8–10.
- 34. Kapan A, Luger E, Haider S, Titze S, Schindler K, Lackinger C, et al. Fear of falling reduced by a lay led home-based program in frail community-dwelling older adults: A randomised controlled trial. Arch Gerontol Geriatr [Internet]. 2017;68:25–32. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2016.08.009
- 35. Bleijenberg N, Ten Dam VH, Steunenberg B, Drubbel I, Numans ME, De Wit NJ, et al. Exploring the expectations, needs and experiences of general practitioners and nurses towards a proactive and structured care programme for frail older patients: A mixedmethods study. J Adv Nurs. 2013;69(10):2262–73.
- 36. Daniels R, Van Rossum E, Metzelthin S, Sipers W, Habets H, Hobma S, et al. A disability prevention programme for community-dwelling frail older persons. Clin Rehabil. 2011;25(11):963–74.
- 37. Metzelthin SF, Van Rossum E, De Witte LP, Hendriks MR, Kempen GI. The reduction of disability in community-dwelling frail older people: Design of a two-arm cluster randomized controlled trial. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2010;10. Available from: https://www2.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.077956530473&doi=10.1186%2F1471-2458-10-511&partnerID=40&md5=49688a13d7608b46c4a25ef018375f3f
- 38. Inzitari, M., Pérez, L. M., Enfedaque, M. B., Soto, L., Díaz, F., Gual, N., Martín, E., Orfila, F., Mulero, P., Ruiz, R., & Cesari, M. (2018). Integrated primary and geriatric care for frail older adults in the community: Implementation of a complex intervention into real life. *European journal of internal medicine*, 56, 57–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2018.07.022.

# Reporting checklist for systematic review (with or without a meta-analysis).

Based on the PRISMA guidelines.

# **Instructions to authors**

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the PRISMAreporting guidelines, and cite them as:

Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA,
Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E,
McDonald S, McGuinness LA, Stewart LA, Thomas J, Tricco AC, Welch VA, Whiting P, Moher D. The
PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews

			Page
		Reporting Item	Number
Title			
Title	<u>#1</u>	Identify the report as a systematic review	1
Abstract			
Abstract	<u>#2</u>	Report an abstract addressing each item in the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	<u>#3</u>	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge	3-4
Objectives	<u>#4</u>	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses	4
Methods			
I	For peer r	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

ъ

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054780 on 1 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

1 2 3	Eligibility criteria	<u>#5</u>	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses	6
4 5 6 7 8	Information sources	<u>#6</u>	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists, and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted	5
10 11 12	Search strategy	<u>#7</u>	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers, and websites, including any filters and limits used	7
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Selection process	<u>#8</u>	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and, if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process	6
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Data collection process	<u>#9</u>	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and, if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process	8
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Data items	<u>#10a</u>	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (for example, for all measures, time points, analyses), and, if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect	6
38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Study risk of bias assessment	<u>#11</u>	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and, if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process	8
45 46 47 48	Effect measures	<u>#12</u>	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (such as risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results	NA
49 50 51 52 53 54 55 55 56 57	Synthesis methods	<u>#13a</u>	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (such as tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5))	7
58 59 60	Fo	or peer re	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

#### Page 61 of 62

## BMJ Open

1 2 3 4	Synthesis methods	<u>#13b</u>	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics or data conversions	7
6 7 8	Synthesis methods	<u>#13c</u>	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses	NA
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Synthesis methods	<u>#13d</u>	Describe any methods used to synthesise results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used	4-5 Protected by cop
6  7  8  9 20	Synthesis methods	<u>#13e</u>	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (such as subgroup analysis, meta-regression)	yright, includir 7
22 23 24	Synthesis methods	<u>#13f</u>	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesised results	ng for uses
.5 6 7 8	Reporting bias assessment	<u>#14</u>	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases)	erasmus related to t 8
9 0 1 2	Certainty assessment	<u>#15</u>	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome	text and da
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Data items	<u>#10b</u>	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (such as participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information	or . Ita mining, Al trainir 7
0 1	Results			ıg, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Study selection	<u>#16a</u>	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram (http://www.prisma- statement.org/PRISMAStatement/FlowDiagram)	9-10 9-10
0 1 2 3	Study selection	<u>#16b</u>	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded	10
4 5 6	Study characteristics	<u>#17</u>	Cite each included study and present its characteristics	11-16
57 8	Risk of bias in studies	<u>#18</u>	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study	8
9 60	Fo	or peer re	view only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

Results of individual studies	<u>#19</u>	For all outcomes, present for each study (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (such as confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots	NA
Results of syntheses	<u>#20a</u>	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies	NA P
Results of syntheses	<u>#20b</u>	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta- analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (such as confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect	otected by copyright, ir NA
Results of syntheses	<u>#20c</u>	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results	NA ncluding fo
Results of syntheses	<u>#20d</u>	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesised results	NA ruses rela
Risk of reporting biases in syntheses	<u>#21</u>	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed	NA text
Certainty of evidence	<u>#22</u>	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed	NA NA
Discussion			ining,
Results in context	<u>#23a</u>	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence	Al training,
Limitations of included studies	<u>#23b</u>	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review	17 and simila
Limitations of the review methods	<u>#23c</u>	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used	17 technolo
Implications	<u>#23d</u>	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research	<b>gies.</b> 18
Other information			
Registration and	<u>#24a</u>	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not	4
## **BMJ** Open

1 2 3	Registration and protocol	<u>#24b</u>	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared	NA
4 5 6 7	Registration and protocol	<u>#24c</u>	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol	NA
8 9 10 11	Support	<u>#25</u>	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review	19
12 13	Competing interests	<u>#26</u>	Declare any competing interests of review authors	19
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Availability of data, code, and other materials	<u>#27</u>	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review	27

The PRISMA checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY. This checklist was completed on 22. June 2021 using <u>https://www.goodreports.org/</u>, a tool made by the <u>EQUATOR Network</u> in collaboration with <u>Penelope.ai</u>