

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Preschoolers' high-dose electronic media use and its association with their psychosocial well-being at five years of age

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-040848
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-May-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Niiranen, Janette; Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Department of Public Health Solutions Kiviruusu, Olli; Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare Vornanen, Riitta; University of Eastern Finland - Kuopio Campus Saarenpää-Heikkilä, Outi; University of Tampere, Pediatric Clinics Paavonen, Juulia; Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare; University of Helsinki Faculty of Medicine
Keywords:	Child & adolescent psychiatry < PSYCHIATRY, EPIDEMIOLOGY, Community child health < PAEDIATRICS





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

terez oni

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies



BMJ Open

Niiranen J¹, Kiviruusu O¹, Vornanen R², Saarenpää-Heikkilä O^{3,4}, Paavonen EJ^{1,5}.

1 Department of Public Health Solutions, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland

2 University of Eastern Finland, Department of Social Sciences, Kuopio, Finland

3 Pediatric Clinics, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland

4 Tampere Centre for Child Health Research, University of Tampere and Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland

5 Pediatric Research Center, Child Psychiatry, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

Corresponding author

juulia.paavonen@helsinki.fi

Abstract

Objectives This study investigated the frequency of preschooler's electronic media (e-media) usage and the risks of high-dose e-media use on young children's psychosocial well-being.

Design We study longitudinal associations between e-media use at 18 months and psychosocial symptoms at five years of age, as well as cross-sectional associations between e-media use and psychosocial symptoms at five years.

Setting Between 2011 and 2017 in Finland.

Participants Children aged 5 years (N=699).

Primary and secondary outcome measures Children's psychosocial symptoms were asked at the age of five years using the parent-reported questionnaires Five-to-Fifteen (FTF) and the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ).

Results Based on our results, 95% of the preschoolers exceed the daily electronic media use recommendation set by health professionals. Our results indicate that increased screen time at five years of age is associated with a risk of multiple psychosocial symptoms (OR 1.53-2.18, 95% CI: 1.05-3.20, p<0.05), while increased levels of e-media use at 18 months was only associated with FTF peer problems (OR 1.59, CI: 1.04-2.41, p=0.03). Moreover, high-dose use of electronic games at the age of five years seems to be associated with fewer risks for psychosocial well-being than program viewing, as it was only associated with SDQ hyperactivity (OR 1.65, CI 1.49-3.20, p=0.02).

Conclusion Increased screen time has multiple risks for children's psychosocial well-being. These risk factors might accumulate in the long term, and cause problems in children's socio-emotional development later on. Health professionals and pediatricians have an important role as communicators of the current research results on the safe usage time of e-media for families, and enhance parents' skills as regulators of children's safe e-media use. In the future, more research is needed on the family conditions of high-dose e-media users.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- A major strength of our study is the longitudinal study setting and repeated measurement of e-media exposure.
- Additionally, patterns of children's electronic media use are rapidly changing, and our study offers results on the associations of young children's e-media usage with their psychosocial well-being based on recent data.
- The limitation of our study is the measurement of e-media use that was based on parental questionnaires and not logs.
- Moreover, the sample is based on a representative birth cohort recruited during pregnancy and therefore it is not affected by selection bias, although those with lower education seem to be underrepresented in the sample, as are single mothers.

Preschoolers' high-dose electronic media use and its association with their psychosocial well-being at five years of age

Introduction

In recent years, as digital technology has rapidly developed, electronic media (e-media) has become an almost universal part of young children's daily life. Even at preschool age, e-media use is already a popular sedentary behavior (1). Traditional e-media is often used: nearly half of preschool-age children watch TV (2), use a laptop or desktop computer, and play video consoles daily (3). However, the pattern of how media is used has changed considerably in recent years, as preschool children's use of mobile devices has tripled from 2013 to 2017, although the overall amount of e-media use has remained relatively stable (2). Recent studies also report that a large proportion (81.3%) of 4-year-old children play games, use applications, or watch videos on mobile devices daily (3).

The World Health Organization (WHO) has published guidelines for e-media use of children aged 2-4. The recommendation is a maximum of one hour per day for this age group (4). However, in previous studies, much higher amounts have been reported. For example, among American children aged 2-4, the average total screen time per day was 159 minutes (2), and among Finnish children aged 3-6 it was 111 min (5). It seems that parents may be unaware of the potential risks of high-dose e-media usage for their children's psychosocial well-being. Studies have even pointed out that some parents use e-media devices as a tool to calm down their children (6,7), especially when the child has social emotional difficulties (6). Furthermore, studies suggest that frequent e-media use in family households might interrupt parent-child interaction, which might cause problems in children's social-emotional development (1,8–10).

Based on the research, it seems that a high amount of program viewing is a risk for preschool-age children's psychosocial well-being (8). It is associated with externalizing problems, such as hyperactivity (10,11) and conduct problems (11–13), and also with peer problems (14). However, fewer studies have investigated the associations between electronic game-playing and preschool-age children's psychosocial well-being (11,15,16). According to these studies, it seems that electronic game-playing might be less detrimental and may even have some positive effects on children's socio-emotional skills (15). Nonetheless, the use of electronic games and computers are associated with internalizing problems, such as emotional problems (16).

As the pattern of children's electronic media usage is rapidly changing, the updated data on the degree of e-media usage and its significance on well-being is needed. Moreover, although there is evidence showing the harmful effects of preschool-age children's high-dose e-media use on their well-being, few of these studies have analyzed the longitudinal associations of early exposure of e-media to children's later psychosocial problems. According to these studies it seems that high-dose e-media use that starts at early age might be detrimental for young children's psychosocial health later on (10,11,16).

 The aim of this research is to assess the amount of preschooler's e-media usage and its associations with their psychosocial well-being. We study longitudinal associations between e-media use at 18 months and psychosocial symptoms at five years of age, as well as cross-sectional associations between e-media use (program viewing and electronic game-playing) and psychosocial symptoms at five years. Psychosocial symptoms, i.e., internalizing and externalizing problems, were assessed at five years of age. We hypothesized that children who consume large amounts of e-media at 18 months of age have more psychosocial symptoms at five years than those who use less. Moreover, we hypothesized that program viewing is associated with more problems in psychosocial health, while use of e-games has less associations with negative outcomes.

Method

Study design

This study is part of a larger Finnish CHILD-SLEEP longitudinal birth cohort study, which includes several measurement points. The study design, protocol, participants, and measures have been described in more detail in Paavonen et al. (2017). The recruitment and baseline measurement took place prenatally at the 32nd week and the follow-up measurements occurred at the birth of the child and at three, eight, 18, 24 and 60 months of age. Moreover, records from the maternity hospital and maternity clinics were collated. The study protocol was approved by the local Hospital District Ethical Committee (9.3.2011, ethical research permission code R11032). Permission for the recruitment procedure was also received from the leading doctors of the targeted health centers. Participants were also asked to give their written informed consent.

Participants

Mothers and fathers were recruited for the study in the Pirkanmaa Hospital District area in Southern Finland. Altogether, 2244 parents gave their approval to receive prenatal questionnaires when they visited the maternity clinics, and 1679 (74.8%) of them gave their consent to participate in the study and returned the baseline questionnaires. The response rate at 5 years of age was 42.5% (N=714). Children with severe chronic illnesses or disabilities, e.g., Down's syndrome or Hirschsprung disease (n=7), and all twins (n=8) were excluded. The final sample included 699 children whose parents had answered the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (18) or the Five-to-Fifteen (FTF) (19) questionnaire at the children's age of 5 years. The questionnaire at 5 years of age included SDQ and e-media usage questions and was answered by the parents of 653 children. The FTF questionnaire was answered by the parents of 668 children. In addition, the 18-months questionnaire, which included children's media usage questions at that age, was available for 585 (out of 699) children. Information concerning parental sociodemographic factors such as education and number of previous children were asked prenatally and they were available for 641 children.

Measures

Screen time

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Parents reported the time a child spent engaging in electronic media activities at both 18 months and 5 years of age. Separate questions were asked for weekday and weekend e-media use on how many hours a child watches programs (including on television or other devices), and (at 5 years) how many hours a child participates in electronic game-playing (on a computer, console devices, cell phones, tablets, or other devices).

For the analyses, we first recoded all the reported electronic media use measures into minutes. Second, we calculated a weighted daily average (5/7 on weekdays and 2/7 at weekends) of the measures. At 18 months the daily average for program viewing ranged from 0 to 253 minutes. At 5 years, separate measures for program viewing (range 225) and game-playing (range 182) were calculated, as well as the total screen time per day, by totaling both electronic media use measures (range 321). Finally, each of the electronic-media use measures (program viewing, game-playing, total screen time) was dichotomized using a 75 percentile cut-off to indicate those with the highest dose of e-media use: Program viewing at 18 months of age \geq 46 mins per day (24.4%, n=143), program viewing at 5 years of age \geq 88 mins per day (24.3%, n=161), use of electronic games at 5 years of age \geq 45 mins per day (19.3%, n=126), total screen time at 5 years of age \geq 135 mins per day (24.6%, n=160).

Outcomes

 Children's psychosocial symptoms were asked at the age of five years using two different parent-reported questionnaires: the FTF and the SDQ.

The FTF questionnaire is tested for its validity and reliability for the identification of internalizing and externalizing symptoms in children aged five to fifteen years (19,20). The items are categorized into eight different domains and 22 subdomains, of which we used the following four subdomains: Attention and concentration difficulties, hyperactivity and impulsivity, emotional internalizing problems, and emotional externalizing problems (21).

The SDQ children's questionnaire includes 25 items and five scales, with five items in each. It is a validated instrument to detect psychosocial problems in preschool-aged children (18), and is widely used for research purposes (11,16). In this research, we used four subscales: Hyperactivity, emotional problems, conduct problems, and peer problems.

Children scoring in the 75th percentile or over in SDQ and FTF subscales were considered to have clinically elevated levels of psychosocial symptoms. The cut-off points for the FTF scales sum scores were: Attention and concentration problems ≥ 6 (26%, n=172), hyperactivity and impulsivity ≥ 6 (27.9%, n=185), emotional internalizing problems ≥ 2 (22.3%, n=152), and emotional externalizing problems ≥ 4 (22.9%, n=152). Accordingly, the cut-off points for the SDQ scale sum scores were: Inattention-hyperactivity ≥ 5 (25.7%, n=171), emotional problems ≥ 2 (18.6%, n=124), conduct problems ≥ 3 (32.8%, n=218), and peer problems ≥ 3 (25.1%, n=167).

Covariates

BMJ Open

We used child's age (years, continuous), gender, number of siblings, participation in a full-time daycare (no vs. yes), and parent's education (university vs. less) as covariates that were adjusted in the statistical analyses.

Statistical analyses

Data was analyzed using IBM SPSS statistics version 25. Frequencies of categorical/dichotomous variables as well as means and standard deviations of the continuous study variables were calculated first (see tables 1 and 2). Then, logistic regression analyses were conducted to calculate odds ratios and their 95% confidences intervals for the associations between electronic media use at 18 months and five years of age and each of the subscales of FTF and SDQ (see tables 3 and 4). In addition to the bivariate (crude) analyses, two adjusted logistic regression models were conducted: In the first model, the child's age, gender, parents' education and screen use at 18 months of age (in the analyses at five years), and in the second, fully adjusted model, the number of siblings and information on full-time daycare participation were also added to the model.

Results

Descriptive statistics of the sample are presented in **Table 1**. The mean age of the children in the sample was 5.7 years (SD=0.5). The sample consisted of 333 girls (n=47.6%) and 366 boys (52.4%). The majority of the children (67.7%) were in full-time daycare. Most of the parents (63.4%) had a university-level degree.

On average, at 18 months of age, children spent 32.4 (SD 31.0) minutes per day with electronic media devices. At five years the amount was 114.1 minutes (SD 50.6) per day (range 321). Program viewing (mean 80.4, SD 36.3) was more popular than the use of electronic games (mean 33.4, SD 25.9).

At 18 months, 22.7% of the children spent over 60 minutes consuming screen media each day, while at 5 years of age the percentage was 94.6%. Moreover, 66.8% of the children viewed programs for more than 60 minutes per day, whereas 10.6% of the children spent more than 60 minutes per day using electronic games.

The sample was generally normative, with low levels of emotional and behavioral symptoms. The mean scores for each of the subscales of psychosocial problems based on SDQ and FTF scales are reported in **Table 2**.

Table 3 reports the odds ratios for the associations between electronic media use at 18 months and five years of age on each of the subscales of FTF and SDQ. Based on the results, electronic media use at 18 months had less of a negative effect than at five years of age: A high amount of screen time at 18 months was associated with an increased risk of SDQ peer problems (OR 1.59, p=0.03). The association was significant after children's age, gender, and parent's SES were adjusted (OR

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

1.64, p=0.03). There was no increased risk of psychosocial problems with other subscales of FTF and SDQ.

In contrast, elevated levels of total screen time at five years of age were associated with multiple psychosocial problems: FTF attention and concentration difficulties (OR 1.88, p<0.01), hyperactivity and impulsivity (OR 1.57, p=0.03), internalizing symptoms (OR 1.75, p=0.01), and externalizing symptoms (OR 1.69, p=0.01). Moreover, it was associated with SDQ hyperactivity (OR 2.18, p<0.01) and conduct problems (OR 1.53, p=0.03). After fully controlling for the confounding factors, there were no other significant associations than the increased risk of FTF internalizing symptoms (OR 2.01, p=0.01).

Table 4 presents the odds ratios for the associations between program viewing and the use of electronic games on each of the subscales of FTF and SDQ among children at five years of age. A high amount of program viewing was associated with an increased risk of psychosocial problems, while the use of electronic games seemed less problematic. Program viewing at five years of age had an association with all of the FTF subscales (OR 1.64-1.98, p<0.05) and with SDQ hyperactivity (OR 2.43, p<0.01) and conduct problems (OR 1.48, p=0.04). In the fully-adjusted model, an increased risk appeared for attention and concentration difficulties (OR 1.91, p=0.01) and hyperactivity and impulsivity (OR 1.67, p=0.03), and with SDQ hyperactivity (OR 2.23, p<0.01). In contrast, the use of electronic games was associated with an increased risk of SDQ hyperactivity (OR 1.65, p=0.02) and only in the unadjusted model, while with the other subscales no increased risk appeared.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the frequency of preschooler's e-media usage and the risks of high-dose e-media use on young children's psychosocial well-being. The results of our study show that 95% of preschoolers exceed the daily electronic media use recommendation of one hour, which is set by health professionals and pediatricians. Based on our results, increased screen time at five years of age was associated with a risk of multiple psychosocial symptoms, while increased levels of e-media use at 18 months had only few longitudinal associations for psychosocial symptoms at five years of age. Furthermore, high-dose use of electronic games at the age of five years seemed to be associated with fewer risks of psychosocial well-being than program viewing.

Based on the results of this study, preschoolers' average daily screen time is 114 minutes at five years of age. This number is almost two times higher than the recommended daily maximum amount of e-media, which is 60 minutes (4,22). Previous studies on preschoolers' e-media use conducted in Finland have reported similar results, as the total daily screen time was 111 minutes in 2017 (5), while in Belgium it was 81 minutes (23) in 2018. Among American children, the total screen time in 2017 in this age group was somewhat higher: 159 minutes (2). It has been suggested that the products and usage culture of electronic media develops very rapidly in United States (2,24), whereas access to products might occur at a slower pace in other countries. This might explain why the frequency of usage among young children in the US is higher than in Europe.

BMJ Open

We discovered that high screen use at 5 years of age was associated with a risk of multiple psychosocial problems. More precisely, elevated levels of total screen time were associated with attention and concentration difficulties, hyperactivity and impulsivity, emotional internalizing and externalizing symptoms, and conduct problems. Similar results have been recently reported on emotional symptoms (11,16,25), conduct problems, and hyperactivity (25). Previous studies have also reported associations between increased total screen time and peer problems (25). We did not find such associations at five years. This difference might be explained by the age of the participants: In the study of Wu et al. (2017) the mean age of the participants was 4.37, whereas in our study it was 5.68. In line with this, our findings show that screen time at an earlier age, i.e., at 18 months, was associated with peer problems. However, unlike some other studies (10,11,16), we did not find high-dose use of electronic devices at 18 months of age to be associated with other problems in psychosocial well-being later on. It is feasible that parents regulate younger children's e-media usage habits, while later on, other factors such as a child's personality traits or their participation in daycare may have a more important role in the amount of usage.

Our results show that an increased amount of program viewing at 5 years of age is associated with a risk of several psychosocial problems, while electronic game use had fewer associations, which is also consistent with recent previous studies (11,15,16). Electronic game-playing was only associated with SDQ hyperactivity, whereas no risks were found regarding other psychosocial symptoms. Previous studies have yielded an association between electronic game-playing and emotional symptoms. However, the direction of the association is contradictory: Increased e-game use has been associated with emotional problems (16), but also with better socioemotional skills (15). The few associations between socioemotional health and game-playing might be explained by the social nature of game-playing: Children often participate in the use of e-games with siblings and other family members, for example, and develop their social and emotional skills in these social interactions (15). All in all, the amount of daily e-game usage in our study and all of these other studies was much lower compared to program viewing, which might explain why e-games are not associated with psychosocial problems to any larger extent at this age.

As our results point out, increased screen time has multiple risks for children's psychosocial wellbeing. These risk factors might accumulate in the long-term, and cause problems in children's socio-emotional development later on. Health professionals and pediatricians play an important role as communicators of the current research results on the safe usage of e-media for families. Parents' knowledge might further help them to set safe boundaries for young children's e-media use and protect children's psychosocial health from associated risk factors (26).

One possible mechanism accounting for the result might be that the time children spend on e-media reduces the time spend on constructive activities, such as interactions with family members, reading and playing (1,8,9). At an early age, children's socio-emotional development occurs in a dynamic interplay between social learning and environmental factors. Furthermore, if the surrounding environment does not offer enough means for a child's healthy development, it might affect a children's psychosocial well-being (27). Genetic dispositions also play a role in modifying individual risks. However, the direction of the effect of e-media use is unclear, as some parents

might use e-media devices as a tool to calm their children down, especially when the child has socio-emotional difficulties (6).

One strength of our study is its longitudinal study setting and its repeated measurement of e-media exposure. Moreover, patterns of children's electronic media usage are rapidly changing, and our study offers results on the associations between young children's e-media use and their psychosocial well-being based on recent data. In addition, the sample is based on a representative birth cohort recruited during pregnancy and therefore it is not affected by selection bias, although those with lower education seem to be underrepresented in the sample, as do single mothers (17). The measurement of e-media use was based on parental questionnaires and not logs, such as in the previous similar study (5). However, the reported exposures are very much in line with previous studies and therefore this seems to have a negligible influence on the findings. In the future, more research is needed on the family conditions of high-dose e-media users.

Conclusion

 This study reported the risks associated with high-dose use of electronic media devices by young children. Our results show that 5-year-old children spend considerably more time on e-media than is recommended by professionals. Our results further indicate that high levels of e-media use, especially program viewing, is associated with problems with psychosocial outcomes, while e-games play a lesser role among five-year-olds. Children's social-emotional development is influenced by environmental factors, including electronic media habits. Although children's electronic media use patterns might not seem problematic when considering use on a daily level, they do have risks in the long term. Thus, health professionals play a key role in providing information for parents on screen media parenting, i.e., the safe use of e-media devices among young children in order to protect their healthy development.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank all the families that participated in the CHILD-SLEEP and Finnbrain birth cohorts. The authors are also grateful for the nurses at the maternity clinics who introduced the study to the families.

Contributorship statement

EJP and OSH designed the study. JN, OK, and EJP were primarily responsible for data analysis and writing of the article. RV and AK contributed critically to the writing of the article.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by The Academy of Finland (#308588, #277557), the Signe and Ane Gyllenberg foundation, the Yrjö Jahnsson Foundation, the Foundation for Pediatric Research, the Finnish Cultural Foundation, the Competitive Research Financing of the Expert Responsibility area of Tampere University Hospital, and Doctors' Association in Tampere.

Data sharing statement

Data is not publicly available due to legal restrictions and confidential nature of the data. Data is available upon request. Requests may be sent to The Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, who is the controller of the data. For more information about data access, please see https://thl.fi/en/web/thlfi-en/statistics/information-for-researchers.

for perteries only

References

- 1. Radesky JS. I n c re a s e d S c ree n T i m e Implications for Early Childhood Development and Behavior. 2016;63:827–39.
- 2. Rideout V. "The common sense census: Media use by kids age zero to eight." San Francisco, CA; 2017.
- 3. Kabali HK, Irigoyen MM, Nunez-Davis R, Budacki JG, Mohanty SH, Leister KP, et al. Exposure and use of mobile media devices by young children. Pediatrics. 2015;136(6):1044–50.
- 4. WHO. Guidelines on physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep for children under 5 years of age. World Health Organization. 2019. 33 p.
- 5. Määttä S, Konttinen H, Haukkala A, Erkkola M, Roos E. Preschool children's context-specific sedentary behaviours and parental socioeconomic status in Finland: A cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2017;7(11):1–10.
- 6. Radesky JS, Peacock-Chambers E, Zuckerman B, Silverstein M. Use of mobile technology to calm upset children: Associations with social-emotional development. JAMA Pediatr. 2016;170(4):397–9.
- 7. Paudel S, Jancey J, Subedi N, Leavy J. Correlates of mobile screen media use among children aged 0-8: A systematic review. BMJ Open. 2017;7(10):1–12.
- 8. Gerwin RL, Kaliebe K, Daigle M. The Interplay Between Digital Media Use and Development. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2018;27(2):345–55.
- 9. Christakis DA, Gilkerson J, Richards JA, Zimmerman FJ, Garrison MM, Xu D, et al. Audible television and decreased adult words, infant vocalizations, and conversational turns: A population-based study. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2009;163(6):554–8.
- 10. Christakis DA, Zimmerman FJ, DiGiuseppe DL, McCarty CA. Early Television Exposure and Subsequent Attentional Problems in Children. Pediatrics. 2004;113(4 I):708–13.
- McNeill J, Howard SJ, Vella SA, Cliff DP. Longitudinal Associations of Electronic Application Use and Media Program Viewing with Cognitive and Psychosocial Development in Preschoolers. Acad Pediatr. 2019;19(5):520–8.
- 12. Wu X, Tao S, Rutayisire E, Chen Y, Huang K, Tao F. The relationship between screen time, nighttime sleep duration, and behavioural problems in preschool children in China. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017;26(5):541–8.
- 13. Manganello JA, Taylor CA. Television exposure as a risk factor for aggressive behavior among 3-year-old children. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2009;163(11):1037–45.
- 14. Pagani LS, Fitzpatrick C, Barnett TA, Dubow E. Prospective associations between early childhood television exposure and academic, psychosocial, and physical well-being by middle childhood. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2010;164(5):425–31.
- 15. Hinkley T, Timperio A, Salmon J, Hesketh AK. Does preschool physical activity and electronic media use predict later social and emotional skills at 6 to 8 years? A cohort study. J Phys Act Heal. 2017;14(4):308–16.
- 16. Hinkley T, Verbestel V, Ahrens W, Lissner L, Molnar D, Moreno LA, et al. Early childhood electronic media use as a predictor of poorerwell-being a prospective cohort study. JAMA Pediatr. 2014;168(5):485–92.
- 17. Paavonen JE, Saarenpää-Heikkilä O, Pölkki P, Kylliäinen A, Porkka-Heiskanen T, Paunio T. Maternal and paternal sleep during pregnancy in the Child-sleep birth cohort. Sleep Med. 2017;29:47–56.
- 18. Theunissen MHC, Vogels AGC, De Wolff MS, Reijneveld SA. Characteristics of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire in preschool children. Pediatrics. 2013;131(2):3–4.
- 19. Korkman M, Jaakkola M, Ahlroth A, Pesonen AE, Turunen MM. Screening of developmental disorders in fiveyear-olds using the FTF (Five to Fifteen) questionnaire: A validation study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry, Suppl. 2004;13(3):31–8.
- 20. Bohlin G, Janols LO. Behavioural problems and psychiatric symptoms in 5-13 year-old Swedish children A comparison of parent ratings on the FTF (Five to Fifteen) with the ratings on CBCL (Child Behavior Checklist). Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry, Suppl. 2004;13(3):14–22.
- 21. Kadesjö B, Janols LO, Korkman M, Mickelsson K, Strand G, Trillingsgaard A, et al. The FTF (Five to Fifteen): The development of a parent questionnaire for the assessment of ADHD and comorbid conditions. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry, Suppl. 2004;13(3):3–13.
- 22. American Academy of Pediatrics. American Academy of Pediatrics Announces New Recommendations for

2		
3 4	•••	Children's Media Use. 2016.
5 6	23.	De Craemer M, McGregor D, Androutsos O, Manios Y, Cardon G. Compliance with 24-h movement behaviour guidelines among belgian pre-school children: The toybox-study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(10):1–10.
7 8	24.	 Vandewater EA, Rideout VJ, Wartella EA, Huang X, Lee JH, Shim MS. Digital childhood: Electronic media and technology use among infants, toddlers, and preschoolers. Pediatrics. 2007;119(5).
9 10 11	25.	Wu X, Tao S, Rutayisire E, Chen Y, Huang K, Tao F. The relationship between screen time, nighttime sleep duration, and behavioural problems in preschool children in China. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry.
12 13 14	26.	2017;26(5):541–8.Connor TMO, Hingle M, Chuang R, Gorely T, Hinkley T, Jago R, et al. Conceptual Understanding of Screen Media Parenting: Report of a Working Group. 2013;9(8):110–9.
15 16	27.	Feldman R Fidelman AI Biological and environmental initial conditions shape the trajectories of cognitive and
17 18 19		
20 21		
22 23		social-emotional development across the first years of life. Dev Sci. 2009;12(1):194–200.
24 25 26		
27 28		
29 30 31		
32 33		
34 35		
36 37 38		
39 40		
41 42 43		
44 45		
46 47		
48 49 50		
51 52		
53 54		
55 56		
57 58		

59 60 Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

	% (N)
Sociodemographic factors	
Child's gender	
Girls	47.6 (333)
Boys	52.4 (366)
Child's age, years; mean (SD)	5.68 (0.54)
Parent's education	
University-level degree	63.4 (409)
Less than university-level degree	36.6 (236)
Number of siblings	
0	51.0 (327)
1	32.2 (207)
2	12.9 (83)
3	2.5 (16)
4 or more	1.2 (8)
Full-time daycare	
No	32.3 (214)
Yes	67.7 (448)
Screen time	
Total screen time at 18 months, min; mean (SD), range	32.4 (31.0), 252.9
Over 60 minutes, %	22.7 (136)
Over 120 minutes, %	2.8 (17)
Program viewing at 5 years, min; mean (SD), range	80.4 (36.3), 225.0
Over 60 minutes, %	66.8 (442)
Over 120 minutes, %	16.9 (112)
Electronic game-playing at 5 years, min; mean (SD), range	33.4 (25.9), 182.1
Over 60 minutes, %	10.6 (69)
Over 120 minutes, %	2.3 (15)
Total screen time at 5 years, min; mean (SD), range	114.1 (50.6), 321.4
Over 60 minutes, %	94.6 (615)
Over 120 minutes, %	40.2 (261)
Over 180 minutes, %	11.5 (75)

FTF		Range
Attention and concentration difficulties	3.75 (3.41)	18.00
Hyperactivity and impulsivity	3.98 (3.63)	18.00
Emotional/internalizing symptoms	1.52 (1.79)	15.00
Emotional/externalizing symptoms	2.83 (3.25)	21.00
SDQ		
Hyperactivity	3.04 (2.34)	10.00
Emotional problems	1.38 (1.48)	9.00
Conduct problems	1.97 (1.59)	9.00
Peer problems	1.69 (1.38)	9.00

BMJ Open

Screen time: 18 months of age						_	Adjusted 2 ^b		
FTF	OR	95% CI	р	OR	95% CI	p ố	G OR	95% CI	р
Attention and concentration difficulties	1.46	0.96-2.22	0.07	1.50	0.97-2.31	0	1.41	0.89-2.22	0.14
Hyperactivity and impulsivity	1.16	0.76-1.77	0.50	1.16	0.75-1.81		1 .14	0.72-1.80	0.59
Emotional/internalizing symptoms	1.19	0.76-1.88	0.45	1.15	0.72-1.85	0.5 🙀 🛱		0.69-1.86	0.62
Emotional/externalizing symptoms	1.03	0.66-1.60	0.91	1.04	0.65-1.65	0.8 😴	§ 1.06	0.65-1.72	0.82
SDQ						sho tex	De		
Hyperactivity	1.49	0.98-2.26	0.06	1.37	0.89-2.12	0.13	-	0.87-2.18	0.18
Emotional problems	1.36	0.86-2.17	0.19	1.38	0.85-2.23	0.1 9 5	2 1.47	0.88-2.45	0.14
Conduct problems	1.24	0.84-1.84	0.28	1.26	0.84-1.90	0.2 🎽 💁	1.23	0.80-1.90	0.35
Peer problems	1.59	1.04-2.41	0.03	1.64	1.06-2.52	0.0 <u>Ē</u>	1 .56	0.98-2.46	0.06
Total screen time: 5 years of age						ng,	i i		
FTF	OR	95% CI	р	OR	95% CI		OR	95% CI	р
Attention and concentration difficulties	1.88	1.27-2.80	<0.01	1.45	0.92-2.28	0.1 🛱 🔹	1.57	0.97-2.53	0.07
Hyperactivity and impulsivity	1.57	1.06-2.33	0.03	1.33	0.85-2.12	0.2 ¢	1.31	0.81-2.13	0.28
Emotional/internalizing symptoms	1.75	1.15-2.65	0.01	1.84	1.14-2.97	0.0Ê	2.01	1.21-3.34	0.01
Emotional/externalizing symptoms	1.69	1.12-2.55	0.01	1.39	0.87-2.23	0.1 2	1.54	0.94-2.52	0.09
SDQ						nilar			
Hyperactivity	2.18	1.49-3.20	<0.01	1.60	1.02-2.49	0.04 <u>§</u>	1.55	0.97-2.48	0.07
Emotional problems	0.99	0.62-1.56	0.95	0.98	0.58-1.66		0.90	0.49-1.61	0.70
Conduct problems	1.53	1.05-2.21	0.03	1.24	0.81-1.91	0.32	8 1.06	0.67-1.67	0.80
Peer problems	1.06	0.71-1.60	0.77	0.90	0.56-1.45	0.6	8 0.85	0.51-1.42	0.53

· - 1 Table 2 A inti. . 4: + 10 - + **1**-1 / 1 / C

^a Adjusted for age, gender, parent's education. Total screen time at 5 years of age: Also adjusted for screen time at 18 months of age

^a Adjusted for age, gender, parent's education. Total screen time at 5 years of age: Also adjusted for screen time at 16 months of age. ^b Adjusted for age, gender, parent's education, number of siblings, and daycare participation. Total screen time at 5 years of age: Age o adjusted for screen time at 18 months of age.

BMJ Open

Crude				Adjusted 1 ^a			Adjusted 2 ^b		
OR	95% CI	р	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> gling	₽ OR	95% CI	р	
1.98	1.34-2.93	<0.01	1.71	1.10-2.69	0.0 E	§ 1.91	1.19-3.08	0.01	
1.64	1.11-2.42	0.01	1.68	1.07-2.63	0.0 %	₹1.67	1.04-2.69	0.03	
1.68	1.11-2.54	0.01	1.59	0.99-2.55	0.0	a 1.71	1.03-2.84	0.04	
1.69	1.12-2.55	0.01	1.14	0.71-1.84	0.5 4 Fag	¶∎1.19	0.72-1.96	0.50	
					ಕೆಕ	R			
2.43	1.66-3.56	<0.01	2.29	1.47-3.55	SI20->	\$2.23	1.40-3.54	<0.	
0.99	0.63-1.56	0.97	0.94	0.56-1.57	0.8	≸ 0.86	0.48-1.53	0.60	
1.49	1.03-2.15	0.04	1.31	0.85-2.00	0.22 8	8 1.16	0.74-1.82	0.51	
1.04	0.69-1.56	0.86	0.93	0.58-1.50	0.7 2 .	6 0.87	0.52-1.44	0.58	
					nini	rom			
OR	95% CI	р	OR	95% CI		OR	95% CI	р	
0.95	0.60-1.51	0.82	0.69	0.41-1.16		0.67	0.38-1.17	0.10	
1.20	0.77-1.87	0.42	0.89	0.54-1.48	0.6 8	3 .0.82	0.48-1.42	0.48	
1.22	0.76-1.96	0.40	1.27	0.75-2.16		1 .36	0.78-2.40	0.28	
1.37	0.86-2.16	0.19	1.23	0.74-2.05	0.4 2	1.42	0.83-2.42	0.20	
					sim				
1.65	1.08-2.51	0.02	1.06	0.65-1.72	0.8	0.98	0.58-1.66	0.9	
0.95	0.58-1.58	0.85	1.10	0.63-1.92	0.7 .<u>\$</u>	1 .04	0.55-1.97	0.90	
1.04	0.69-1.57	0.85	0.88	0.55-1.40	0.58	₹0.75	0.50-1.25	0.27	
1.10	0.71-1.70	0.69	0.87	0.52-1.46	0.6	0.83	0.48-1.44	0.5	
	1.64 1.68 1.69 2.43 0.99 1.49 1.04 0.95 1.20 1.22 1.37 1.65 0.95 1.04	1.64 1.11-2.42 1.68 1.11-2.54 1.69 1.12-2.55 2.43 1.66-3.56 0.99 0.63-1.56 1.49 1.03-2.15 1.04 0.69-1.56 0.95 0.60-1.51 1.20 0.77-1.87 1.22 0.76-1.96 1.37 0.86-2.16 1.95 0.58-1.58 1.04 0.69-1.57	1.64 1.11-2.42 0.01 1.68 1.11-2.54 0.01 1.69 1.12-2.55 0.01 2.43 1.66-3.56 <0.01	1.64 1.11-2.42 0.01 1.68 1.68 1.11-2.54 0.01 1.59 1.69 1.12-2.55 0.01 1.14 2.43 1.66-3.56 <0.01 2.29 0.99 0.63-1.56 0.97 0.94 1.49 1.03-2.15 0.04 1.31 1.04 0.69-1.56 0.86 0.93 OR 95% CI p OR 0.95 0.60-1.51 0.82 0.69 1.20 0.77-1.87 0.42 0.89 1.22 0.76-1.96 0.40 1.27 1.37 0.86-2.16 0.19 1.23 1.65 1.08-2.51 0.02 1.06 0.95 0.58-1.58 0.85 1.10 1.04 0.69-1.57 0.85 0.88	1.64 1.11-2.42 0.01 1.68 1.07-2.63 1.68 1.11-2.54 0.01 1.59 0.99-2.55 1.69 1.12-2.55 0.01 1.14 0.71-1.84 2.43 1.66-3.56 <0.01	1.64 1.11-2.42 0.01 1.68 1.07-2.63 0.05 1.68 1.11-2.54 0.01 1.59 0.99-2.55 0.06 1.69 1.12-2.55 0.01 1.14 0.71-1.84 0.56 2.43 1.66-3.56 <0.01	1.64 1.11-2.42 0.01 1.68 1.07-2.63 0.0½ 1.67 1.68 1.11-2.54 0.01 1.59 0.99-2.55 0.00 1.71 1.69 1.12-2.55 0.01 1.14 0.71-1.84 0.5% 1.19 2.43 1.66-3.56 <0.01 2.29 1.47-3.55 <0.01 2.23 0.99 0.63-1.56 0.97 0.94 0.56-1.57 0.8% 0.86 1.49 1.03-2.15 0.04 1.31 0.85-2.00 0.24 0.87 0.95 0.60-1.51 0.82 0.69 0.41-1.16 0.16 0.67 0.95 0.60-1.51 0.82 0.69 0.41-1.16 0.16 0.62 0.67 0.95 0.60-1.51 0.82 0.69 0.41-1.48 0.66 0.82 0.82 1.20 0.77-1.87 0.42 0.89 0.54-1.48 0.66 0.82 0.82 1.22 0.76-1.96 0.40 1.27 0.75-2.16 0.36 1.36 1.37 0.86-2.16 0.19 1.23 0.74-2.0	1.641.11-2.420.011.681.07-2.630.051.671.04-2.691.681.11-2.540.011.590.99-2.550.061.711.03-2.841.691.12-2.550.011.140.71-1.840.590.961.190.72-1.962.431.66-3.56<0.012.291.47-3.55<0.66 0.86 0.48-1.530.990.63-1.560.970.940.56-1.570.860.860.48-1.531.491.03-2.150.041.310.85-2.000.240.870.52-1.44OR95% CIpOR95% CIp0.670.38-1.170.950.60-1.510.820.690.41-1.160.160.670.38-1.171.200.77-1.870.420.890.54-1.480.660.820.48-1.421.220.76-1.960.401.270.75-2.160.361.420.83-2.421.651.08-2.510.021.060.65-1.720.880.980.58-1.660.950.58-1.580.851.100.63-1.920.750.980.58-1.971.040.69-1.570.850.880.55-1.400.580.980.58-1.251.000.71-1.700.690.870.52-1.460.6690.830.48-1.44	

d by copyright,d 36/bmjopen-20 • .• 11 1 . C 1 m 11 . . • .1

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Preschoolers' high-dose electronic media use and its association with their psychosocial well-being at five years of age

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-040848.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-Nov-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Niiranen, Janette; Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Department of Public Health Solutions Kiviruusu, Olli; Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare Vornanen, Riitta; University of Eastern Finland - Kuopio Campus Saarenpää-Heikkilä, Outi; University of Tampere, Pediatric Clinics Paavonen, Juulia; Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare
Primary Subject Heading :	Paediatrics
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Mental health
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, Community child health < PAEDIATRICS, Child & adolescent psychiatry < PSYCHIATRY

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

terez oni

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies



Preschoolers' high-dose electronic media use and its association with their psychosocial well-being at five years of age

Niiranen J¹, Kiviruusu O¹, Vornanen R², Saarenpää-Heikkilä O^{3,4}, Paavonen EJ^{1,5}.

1 Department of Public Health Solutions, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland

2 University of Eastern Finland, Department of Social Sciences, Kuopio, Finland

3 Pediatric Clinics, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland

4 Tampere Centre for Child Health Research, University of Tampere and Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland

5 Pediatric Research Center, Child Psychiatry, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

r reliev only

Correspondence to

juulia.paavonen@helsinki.fi

Abstract

Objectives This study investigated the frequency of preschooler's electronic media (e-media) usage and the risks of high-dose e-media use on young children's psychosocial well-being.

Design We study longitudinal associations between e-media use at 18 months and psychosocial symptoms at five years of age, as well as cross-sectional associations between e-media use and psychosocial symptoms at five years.

Setting Between 2011 and 2017 in Finland.

Participants Children aged 5 years (N=699).

Primary and secondary outcome measures Children's psychosocial symptoms were asked at the age of five years using the parent-reported questionnaires Five-to-Fifteen (FTF) and the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ).

Results Based on our results, 95% of the preschoolers exceed the daily electronic media use recommendation set by health professionals. Our results indicate that increased screen time at five years of age is associated with a risk of multiple psychosocial symptoms (OR 1.53-2.18, 95% CI: 1.05-3.20, p<0.05), while increased levels of e-media use at 18 months was only associated with FTF peer problems (OR 1.59, CI: 1.04-2.41, p=0.03). Moreover, high-dose use of electronic games at the age of five years seems to be associated with fewer risks for psychosocial well-being than program viewing, as it was only associated with SDQ hyperactivity (OR 1.65, CI 1.49-3.20, p=0.02).

Conclusion Increased screen time has multiple risks for children's psychosocial well-being. These risk factors seem to be significant in the long term, and cause problems in children's socioemotional development later on. Health professionals and pediatricians have an important role as communicators of the current research results on the safe usage time of e-media for families, and enhance parents' skills as regulators of children's safe e-media use. In the future, more research is needed on the family conditions of high-dose e-media users.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- A major strength of our study is the longitudinal study setting and repeated measurement of e-media exposure.
- Additionally, patterns of children's electronic media use are rapidly changing, and our study offers results on the associations of young children's e-media usage with their psychosocial well-being based on recent data.
- The limitation of our study is the measurement of e-media use that was based on parental questionnaires and not logs.
- Moreover, the sample is based on a representative birth cohort recruited during pregnancy. However, those with lower education seem to be underrepresented in the sample, as are single mothers.

Keywords: Child psychiatry, child psychiatric epidemiology, pediatrics, child mental health, child development, emedia

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Introduction

In recent years, as digital technology has rapidly developed, electronic media (e-media) has become an almost universal part of young children's daily life. Even at preschool age, e-media use is already a popular sedentary behavior (1). Traditional e-media is often used: nearly half of preschool-age children watch TV (2), use a laptop or desktop computer, and play video consoles daily (3). However, the pattern of how media is used has changed considerably in recent years, as preschool children's use of mobile devices has tripled from 2013 to 2017, although the overall amount of e-media use has remained relatively stable (2). Recent studies also report that a large proportion (81.3%) of 4-year-old children play games, use applications, or watch videos on mobile devices daily (3).

Electronic media use (i.e. total screen time) comprises program viewing (i.e. watching of programs from TV, DVDs, mobile devices), as well as use of social media, internet and e-games. While the negative forms of e-media use (e.g., playing e-games alone), are often emphasized, the healthier forms also exist. A reasonable amount of educational electronic media material (e.g., serious games) might have beneficial effects on young children's psychosocial well-being and development (4). Moreover, e-media use involving social interactions, such as use with caregivers might be having fewer risks than the use alone, as parents can help the children to understand what they are seeing (5). Use of e-games with siblings and peers seems also to be less risky (6).

High dose use of e-media in young children can be a risk factor for the development of a child. Studies suggest that frequent e-media use in family households might interrupt parent-child interaction, which might cause problems in children's social-emotional development (1,4,7–9). Thus, high dose use of e-media can also be related to the development of a child, such as language development (10) or development of social skills (11), which are important to the children's psychosocial health. High-dose use can also develop to a behavioral addiction. While studied less among children, according to a recent study, internet- or screen-based behavioral addictions appear as a child's persistent requests to access e-media, and parents' unsuccessful attempts to control the use. It might cause problems with family members, such as parents and siblings, and lead to a loss of a child's previous hobbies and interests (12).

The World Health Organization (WHO) has published guidelines for the total screen time of children aged 2-4. The recommendation is a maximum of one hour per day for this age group (13). However, in previous studies, much higher amounts have been reported. For example, among American children aged 2-4, the average total screen time per day was 159 minutes (2), and among Finnish children aged 3-6 it was 111 min (14). It seems that parents may be unaware of the potential risks of high-dose e-media usage for their children's psychosocial well-being. Studies have even pointed out that some parents use e-media devices as a tool to calm down their children, especially when the child has social emotional difficulties (15–18). Thus, the link between e-media use and psychosocial symptoms seems to be bidirectional.

Page 5 of 18

BMJ Open

Based on the research, it seems that a high amount of program viewing is a risk for preschool-age children's psychosocial well-being (4). It is associated with externalizing problems, such as hyperactivity (8,19) and conduct problems (19–21), and also with peer problems (22). However, fewer studies have investigated the associations between electronic game-playing and preschool-age children's psychosocial well-being (6,19,23). According to these studies, it seems that electronic game-playing might be less detrimental and may even have some positive effects on children's socio-emotional skills (6). Nonetheless, the use of electronic games and computers are associated with internalizing problems, such as emotional problems (23).

As the patterns of children's electronic media usage are rapidly changing, the updated data on the degree of e-media usage and its significance on well-being is needed. Moreover, although there is evidence showing the harmful effects of preschool-age children's high-dose e-media use on their well-being, few of these studies have analyzed the longitudinal associations of early exposure of e-media to children's later psychosocial problems. According to these studies it seems that high-dose e-media use that starts at early age might be detrimental for young children's psychosocial health later on (8,19,23).

The aim of this research is to assess the amount of preschooler's e-media usage and its associations with their psychosocial well-being. We study longitudinal associations between e-media use at 18 months and psychosocial symptoms at five years of age, as well as cross-sectional associations between e-media use (program viewing and electronic game-playing) and psychosocial symptoms at five years. Psychosocial symptoms, i.e., internalizing and externalizing problems and inattention, were assessed at five years of age. We hypothesized that children who consume large amounts of e-media at 18 months of age have more psychosocial symptoms at five years than those who use less. Moreover, we hypothesized that program viewing is associated with more problems in psychosocial health, while use of e-games has less associations with negative outcomes.

Method

Study design

This study is part of a larger Finnish CHILD-SLEEP longitudinal birth cohort study, which includes several measurement points. The study design, protocol, participants, and measures have been described in more detail in Paavonen et al. (24). The recruitment and baseline measurement took place prenatally at the 32nd week and the follow-up measurements occurred at the birth of the child and at three, eight, 18, 24 and 60 months of age. Moreover, records from the maternity hospital and maternity clinics were collated. The study protocol was approved by the local Hospital District Ethical Committee (9.3.2011, ethical research permission code R11032). Permission for the recruitment procedure was also received from the leading doctors of the targeted health centers. Participants were also asked to give their written informed consent. Participation to the study was voluntary, and the families received no compensation for the participation.

Participants

Mothers and fathers were recruited for the study in the Pirkanmaa Hospital District area in Southern Finland. Altogether, 2244 parents gave their approval to receive prenatal questionnaires when they visited the maternity clinics, and 1679 (74.8%) of them gave their consent to participate in the study and returned the baseline questionnaires. The response rate at 5 years of age was 42.5% (N=714). Children with severe chronic illnesses or disabilities, e.g., Down's syndrome or Hirschsprung disease (n=7), and all twins (n=8) were excluded. The final sample included 699 children whose parents had answered the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (25) or the Five-to-Fifteen (FTF) (26) questionnaire at the children's age of 5 years. The questionnaire at 5 years of age included SDQ and e-media usage questions and was answered by the parents of 653 children. The FTF questionnaire was answered by the parents of 668 children. In addition, the 18-months questionnaire, which included children's media usage questionnaire did not include measures of children's psychosocial symptoms. Information concerning parental sociodemographic factors such as education and number of previous children were asked prenatally and they were available for 641 children.

Patient and public Involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting of the research.

Measures

Screen time

Parents reported the time a child spent engaging in electronic media activities at both 18 months and 5 years of age. Separate questions were asked for weekday and weekend e-media use on how many hours a child watches programs (including on television or other devices), and (at 5 years) how many hours a child participates in electronic game-playing (on a computer, console devices, cell phones, tablets, or other devices). Questions on electronic game-playing at 18 months were not included as their use in this age-group became more common only after our data has collected (2).

For the analyses, we first recoded all the reported electronic media use measures into minutes. Second, we calculated a weighted daily average (5/7 on weekdays and 2/7 at weekends) of the measures. At 18 months the daily average for program viewing ranged from 0 to 253 minutes. At 5 years, separate measures for program viewing (range 225) and game-playing (range 182) were calculated, as well as the total screen time per day, by totaling both electronic media use measures (range 321). Finally, each of the electronic-media use measures (program viewing, game-playing, total screen time) was dichotomized using a 75 percentile cut-off to indicate those with the highest dose of e-media use: Program viewing at 18 months of age \geq 46 mins per day (24.4%, n=143), program viewing at 5 years of age \geq 88 mins per day (24.3%, n=161), use of electronic games at 5 years of age \geq 45 mins per day (19.3%, n=126), total screen time at 5 years of age \geq 135 mins per day (24.6%, n=160).

Outcomes (5 years of age)

 Children's psychosocial symptoms were asked at the age of five years using two different parentreported questionnaires: the FTF and the SDQ. From these questionnaires subscales most directly linked to the concept of psychosocial symptoms, i.e., emotional and behavioral problems and inattention, were included.

The FTF questionnaire is tested for its validity and reliability for the identification of internalizing and externalizing symptoms in children aged five to fifteen years (26,27). The items are categorized into eight different domains and 22 subdomains, of which we used the following four subdomains: Attention and concentration difficulties, hyperactivity and impulsivity, emotional internalizing problems, and emotional externalizing problems (28).

The SDQ children's questionnaire includes 25 items and five scales, with five items in each. It is a validated instrument to detect psychosocial problems in preschool-aged children (25), and is widely used for research purposes (19,23). In this research, we used four subscales: Hyperactivity, emotional problems, conduct problems, and peer problems.

Children scoring in the 75th percentile or over in SDQ and FTF subscales were considered to have clinically elevated levels of psychosocial symptoms. The cut-off points for the FTF scales sum scores were: Attention and concentration problems ≥ 6 (26%, n=172), hyperactivity and impulsivity ≥ 6 (27.9%, n=185), emotional internalizing problems ≥ 2 (22.3%, n=152), and emotional externalizing problems ≥ 4 (22.9%, n=152). Accordingly, the cut-off points for the SDQ scale sum scores were: Inattention-hyperactivity ≥ 5 (25.7%, n=171), emotional problems ≥ 2 (18.6%, n=124), conduct problems ≥ 3 (32.8%, n=218), and peer problems ≥ 3 (25.1%, n=167).

Covariates

We used child's age (years, continuous), gender, number of siblings, participation in a full-time daycare (no vs. yes), and parent's education (university vs. less) as covariates that were adjusted in the statistical analyses.

Statistical analyses

Data was analyzed using IBM SPSS statistics version 25. Frequencies of categorical/dichotomous variables as well as means and standard deviations of the continuous study variables were calculated first (see tables 1 and 2). Then, logistic regression analyses were conducted to calculate odds ratios and their 95% confidences intervals for the associations between electronic media use and outcomes. First longitudinal associations between e-media use at 18 months and FTF and SDQ scales at five years were analyzed (upper part of table 3). Then cross-sectional associations between e-media use and each of the subscales of FTF and SDQ at five years were analyzed (lower part of table 3 and table 4). In addition to the bivariate (crude) analyses, two adjusted logistic regression models were conducted: In the first model, the child's age, gender, parents' education and screen use at 18 months of age (in the analyses at five years), and in the second, fully adjusted model, the number of siblings and information on full-time daycare participation were also added to the model.

Results

Descriptive statistics of the sample are presented in **Table 1**. The mean age of the children in the sample was 5.7 years (SD=0.5). The sample consisted of 333 girls (n=47.6%) and 366 boys (52.4%). The majority of the children (67.7%) were in full-time daycare. Most of the parents (63.4%) had a university-level degree.

On average, at 18 months of age, children spent 32.4 (SD 31.0) minutes per day with electronic media devices. At five years the amount was 114.1 minutes (SD 50.6) per day (range 321). Program viewing (mean 80.4, SD 36.3) was more popular than the use of electronic games (mean 33.4, SD 25.9).

At 18 months, 22.7% of the children spent over 60 minutes consuming screen media each day, while at 5 years of age the percentage was 94.6%. Moreover, 66.8% of the children viewed programs for more than 60 minutes per day, whereas 10.6% of the children spent more than 60 minutes per day using electronic games.

The sample was generally normative, with low levels of emotional and behavioral symptoms. The mean scores for each of the subscales of psychosocial problems based on SDQ and FTF scales are reported in **Table 2**.

Table 3 reports the odds ratios for the associations between electronic media use at 18 months and five years of age on each of the subscales of FTF and SDQ. Based on the results, electronic media use at 18 months had less of a negative effect than at five years of age: A high amount of screen time at 18 months was associated with an increased risk of SDQ peer problems (OR 1.59, p=0.03). The association was significant after children's age, gender, and parent's SES were adjusted (OR 1.64, p=0.03). There was no increased risk of psychosocial problems with other subscales of FTF and SDQ.

In contrast, elevated levels of total screen time at five years of age were associated with multiple psychosocial problems: FTF attention and concentration difficulties (OR 1.88, p<0.01), hyperactivity and impulsivity (OR 1.57, p=0.03), internalizing symptoms (OR 1.75, p=0.01), and externalizing symptoms (OR 1.69, p=0.01). Moreover, it was associated with SDQ hyperactivity (OR 2.18, p<0.01) and conduct problems (OR 1.53, p=0.03). After fully controlling for the confounding factors, there were no other significant associations than the increased risk of FTF internalizing symptoms (OR 2.01, p=0.01).

Table 4 presents the odds ratios for the associations between program viewing and the use of electronic games on each of the subscales of FTF and SDQ among children at five years of age. A high amount of program viewing was associated with an increased risk of psychosocial problems, while the use of electronic games seemed less problematic. Program viewing at five years of age had an association with all of the FTF subscales (OR 1.64-1.98, p<0.05) and with SDQ hyperactivity (OR 2.43, p<0.01) and conduct problems (OR 1.48, p=0.04). In the fully-adjusted

 model, an increased risk appeared for attention and concentration difficulties (OR 1.91, p=0.01) and hyperactivity and impulsivity (OR 1.67, p=0.03), and with SDQ hyperactivity (OR 2.23, p<0.01). In contrast, the use of electronic games was associated with an increased risk of SDQ hyperactivity (OR 1.65, p=0.02) and only in the unadjusted model, while with the other subscales no increased risk appeared.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the frequency of preschooler's e-media usage and the risks of high-dose e-media use on young children's psychosocial well-being. The results of our study show that 95% of preschoolers exceed the daily electronic media use recommendation of one hour, which is set by health professionals and pediatricians. Based on our results, increased screen time at five years of age was associated with a risk of multiple psychosocial symptoms, while increased levels of e-media use at 18 months had only few longitudinal associations for psychosocial symptoms at five years of age. Furthermore, high-dose use of electronic games at the age of five years seemed to be associated with fewer risks of psychosocial well-being than program viewing.

Based on the results of this study, preschoolers' average daily screen time is 114 minutes at five years of age. This number is almost two times higher than the recommended daily maximum amount of e-media, which is 60 minutes (5,13). Previous studies on preschoolers' e-media use conducted in Finland have reported similar results, as the total daily screen time was 111 minutes in 2017 (14), while in Belgium it was 81 minutes (29) in 2018. Among American children, the total screen time in 2017 in this age group was somewhat higher: 159 minutes (2). It has been suggested that the products and usage culture of electronic media develops very rapidly in United States (2,30), whereas access to products might occur at a slower pace in other countries. This might explain why the frequency of usage among young children in the US is higher than in Europe.

We discovered that high screen use at 5 years of age was associated with a risk of multiple psychosocial problems. More precisely, elevated levels of total screen time were associated with attention and concentration difficulties, hyperactivity and impulsivity, emotional internalizing and externalizing symptoms, and conduct problems. Similar results have been recently reported on emotional symptoms (19,20,23), conduct problems, and hyperactivity (20). Regarding hyperactivity, previous studies suggest that screen time may hinder the availability for activities that are considered to enhance cognitive capacities and stimulate longer attention span (31). Moreover, the harmful effect of television viewing might function by displacing developmentally important learning opportunities with an attention-capturing stimulus with a lack of developmental value (9,32). Previous studies have also reported associations between increased total screen time and peer problems (20). We did not find such associations at five years. This difference might be explained by the age of the participants: In the study of Wu et al. (2017) the mean age of the participants was 4.37, whereas in our study it was 5.68. In line with this, our findings show that screen time at an earlier age, i.e., at 18 months, was associated with peer problems later on. It seems that a high amount of screen use at a younger age is a risk factor for peer problems. However, unlike some other studies (8,19,23) we did not find high-dose use of electronic devices at 18 months of age to be associated with other problems in psychosocial well-being later on. It is possible that

parents regulate younger children's e-media usage habits, while later on, other factors may have a more important role in the amount of usage. These are for example certain inherited temperamental traits of a child, such as persistence and introversion (33), or their participation in daycare.

Our results show that an increased amount of program viewing at 5 years of age is associated with a risk of several psychosocial problems, while electronic game use had fewer associations, which is also consistent with recent previous studies (6,19,23). Electronic game-playing was only associated with SDQ hyperactivity, whereas no risks were found regarding other psychosocial symptoms. Previous studies have yielded an association between electronic game-playing and emotional symptoms. However, the direction of the association is contradictory: Increased e-game use has been associated with emotional problems (23), but also with better socio-emotional skills (6). The few associations between socioemotional health and game-playing might be explained by the social nature of game-playing: Children often participate in the use of e-games with siblings and other family members, for example, and develop their social and emotional skills in these social interactions (6). All in all, the amount of daily e-game usage in our study and all of these other studies was much lower compared to program viewing, which might explain why e-games are not associated with psychosocial problems to any larger extent at this age.

As our results point out, increased screen time has multiple risks for children's psychosocial wellbeing. These risk factors might accumulate in the long-term, and cause problems in children's socio-emotional development later on. Health professionals and pediatricians play an important role as communicators of the current research results on the safe usage of e-media for families. Parents' knowledge might further help them to set safe boundaries for young children's e-media use and protect children's psychosocial health from associated risk factors (34).

One possible mechanism accounting for the result might be that the time children spend on e-media reduces the time spend on constructive activities, such as interactions with family members, reading and playing (1,4,7). At an early age, children's socio-emotional development occurs in a dynamic interplay between social learning and environmental factors. Furthermore, if the surrounding environment does not offer enough means for a child's healthy development, it might affect a children's psychosocial well-being (35). Genetic dispositions also play a role in modifying individual risks. However, the direction of the effect of e-media use is unclear, as some parents might use e-media devices as a tool to calm their children down, especially when the child has socio-emotional difficulties (15). It is possible, that there is a bidirectional link between the two factors.

One strength of our study is its longitudinal study setting and its repeated measurement of e-media exposure. Moreover, patterns of children's electronic media usage are rapidly changing, and our study offers results on the associations between young children's e-media use and their psychosocial well-being based on recent data. In addition, the sample is based on a representative birth cohort recruited during pregnancy.

A limitation of our study is that those with lower education seem to be underrepresented in the sample, which is common according to studies on drop-out rates in longitudinal studies on mental

 health (36). Moreover, single mothers are underrepresented in the sample. Another limitation is that psychological symptoms at 18 months of age were not assessed. The measurement of e-media use was based on parental questionnaires and not logs. However, in a previous study (14) conducted in Finland among a comparable age-group and using parental logs on child's e-media use, the reported daily total e-media exposure was 111 minutes, while in our study the respective figure was 114 minutes. This suggests that the reported exposures in our study could be relatively reliable. Nevertheless, in future studies, parent reports of children's e-media use need to be validated with objective measures. In the future, more research is needed on the family conditions of high-dose e-media users.

Conclusion

This study reported the risks associated with high-dose use of electronic media devices by young children. Our results show that 5-year-old children spend considerably more time on e-media than is recommended by professionals. Our results further indicate that high levels of e-media use, especially program viewing, is associated with problems with psychosocial outcomes, while e-games play a lesser role among five-year-olds. Children's social-emotional development is influenced by environmental factors, including electronic media habits. Although children's electronic media use patterns might not seem problematic when considering use on a daily level, they do have risks in the long term. Thus, health professionals play a key role in providing information for parents on screen media parenting, i.e., the safe use of e-media devices among young children in order to protect their healthy development.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank all the families that participated in the CHILD-SLEEP cohorts. The authors are also grateful for the nurses at the maternity clinics who introduced the study to the families.

Contributorship statement

EJP and OSH designed the study. JN, OK, and EJP were primarily responsible for data analysis and writing of the article. RV and OSH contributed critically to the writing of the article.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by The Academy of Finland (#308588, #277557), the Signe and Ane Gyllenberg foundation, the Yrjö Jahnsson Foundation, the Foundation for Pediatric Research, the Finnish Cultural Foundation, the Competitive Research Financing of the Expert Responsibility area of Tampere University Hospital, and Doctors' Association in Tampere.

Data sharing statement

Data is not publicly available due to legal restrictions and confidential nature of the data. Data is available upon request. Requests may be sent to The Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, who is the controller of the data. For more information about data access, please see https://thl.fi/en/web/thlfi-en/statistics/information-for-researchers.

References

- 1. Radesky JS. I n c re a s e d S c ree n T i m e Implications for Early Childhood Development and Behavior. 2016;63:827–39.
- 2. Rideout V. "The common sense census: Media use by kids age zero to eight." San Francisco, CA; 2017.
- 3. Kabali HK, Irigoyen MM, Nunez-Davis R, Budacki JG, Mohanty SH, Leister KP, et al. Exposure and use of mobile media devices by young children. Pediatrics. 2015;136(6):1044–50.
- 4. Gerwin RL, Kaliebe K, Daigle M. The Interplay Between Digital Media Use and Development. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2018;27(2):345–55.
- 5. American Academy of Pediatrics. American Academy of Pediatrics Announces New Recommendations for Children's Media Use. 2016.
- 6. Hinkley T, Timperio A, Salmon J, Hesketh AK. Does preschool physical activity and electronic media use predict later social and emotional skills at 6 to 8 years? A cohort study. J Phys Act Heal. 2017;14(4):308–16.
- Christakis DA, Gilkerson J, Richards JA, Zimmerman FJ, Garrison MM, Xu D, et al. Audible television and decreased adult words, infant vocalizations, and conversational turns: A population-based study. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2009;163(6):554–8.
- 8. Christakis DA, Zimmerman FJ, DiGiuseppe DL, McCarty CA. Early Television Exposure and Subsequent Attentional Problems in Children. Pediatrics. 2004;113(4 I):708–13.
- 9. Lissak G. Adverse physiological and psychological effects of screen time on children and adolescents: Literature review and case study. Environ Res. 2018;164(October 2017):149–57. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.01.015
- Madigan S, McArthur BA, Anhorn C, Eirich R, Christakis DA. Associations Between Screen Use and Child Language Skills: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2020 Jul 1;174(7):665–75. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.0327
- 11. Immordino-yang MH, Mccoll A, Damasio H, Damasio A. Neural correlates of admiration and compassion. 2009;106(19).
- 12. Domoff SE, Harrison K, Gearhardt AN, Douglas A, Lumeng JC, Miller AL, et al. Development and Validation of the Problematic Media Use Measure: A Parent Report Measure of Screen Media "Addiction" in Children. Psychol Pop Media Cult. 2020;8(1):2–11.
- 13. WHO. Guidelines on physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep for children under 5 years of age. World Health Organization. 2019. 33 p.
- Määttä S, Konttinen H, Haukkala A, Erkkola M, Roos E. Preschool children's context-specific sedentary behaviours and parental socioeconomic status in Finland: A cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2017;7(11):1–10.
 Bradede JS, Brazada Charakam F, Zarland D, Siland G, Markan J, Sarland D, Sarland
- 15. Radesky JS, Peacock-Chambers E, Zuckerman B, Silverstein M. Use of mobile technology to calm upset children: Associations with social-emotional development. JAMA Pediatr. 2016;170(4):397–9.
 - Paudel S, Jancey J, Subedi N, Leavy J. Correlates of mobile screen media use among children aged 0-8: A systematic review. BMJ Open. 2017;7(10):1–12.
 - 17. Jones RA, Downing K, Rinehart NJ, Barnett LM, May T, McGillivray JA, et al. Physical activity, sedentary behavior and their correlates in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A systematic review. PLoS One. 2017 Feb 28;12(2):e0172482. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172482
 - 18. Chonchaiya W, Nuntnarumit P, Pruksananonda C. Comparison of television viewing between children with autism spectrum disorder and controls. Acta Paediatr Int J Paediatr. 2011;100(7):1033–7.
- McNeill J, Howard SJ, Vella SA, Cliff DP. Longitudinal Associations of Electronic Application Use and Media Program Viewing with Cognitive and Psychosocial Development in Preschoolers. Acad Pediatr. 2019;19(5):520–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2019.02.010
- 2019;19(5):520–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2019.02.010
 20. Wu X, Tao S, Rutayisire E, Chen Y, Huang K, Tao F. The relationship between screen time, nighttime sleep duration, and behavioural problems in preschool children in China. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry.
 2017;26(5):541–8.

1	
2 3	21.
4 5	22.
6 7	• •
8 9	23.
10 11	24.
12 13	25.
14 15	26.
16 17	27.
18 19 20	28.
21 22	29.
23 24	29.
25 26	30.
27 28	31.
29 30	32.
31 32	33.
33 34	34.
35 36	35.
37 38	36.
39	
40 41	
42 43	
44 45	
46 47	
48 49	
50 51	
52 53	
54	
55 56	
57 58	
59	

- 21. Manganello JA, Taylor CA. Television exposure as a risk factor for aggressive behavior among 3-year-old children. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2009;163(11):1037–45.
- 22. Pagani LS, Fitzpatrick C, Barnett TA, Dubow E. Prospective associations between early childhood television exposure and academic, psychosocial, and physical well-being by middle childhood. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2010;164(5):425–31.
- 23. Hinkley T, Verbestel V, Ahrens W, Lissner L, Molnar D, Moreno LA, et al. Early childhood electronic media use as a predictor of poorerwell-being: a prospective cohort study. JAMA Pediatr. 2014;168(5):485–92.
- 24. Paavonen JE, Saarenpää-Heikkilä O, Pölkki P, Kylliäinen A, Porkka-Heiskanen T, Paunio T. Maternal and paternal sleep during pregnancy in the Child-sleep birth cohort. Sleep Med. 2017;29:47–56.
- 25. Theunissen MHC, Vogels AGC, De Wolff MS, Reijneveld SA. Characteristics of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire in preschool children. Pediatrics. 2013;131(2):3–4.
- 26. Korkman M, Jaakkola M, Ahlroth A, Pesonen AE, Turunen MM. Screening of developmental disorders in fiveyear-olds using the FTF (Five to Fifteen) questionnaire: A validation study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry, Suppl. 2004;13(3):31–8.
- 27. Bohlin G, Janols LO. Behavioural problems and psychiatric symptoms in 5-13 year-old Swedish children A comparison of parent ratings on the FTF (Five to Fifteen) with the ratings on CBCL (Child Behavior Checklist). Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry, Suppl. 2004;13(3):14–22.
- 28. Kadesjö B, Janols LO, Korkman M, Mickelsson K, Strand G, Trillingsgaard A, et al. The FTF (Five to Fifteen): The development of a parent questionnaire for the assessment of ADHD and comorbid conditions. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry, Suppl. 2004;13(3):3–13.
- 29. De Craemer M, McGregor D, Androutsos O, Manios Y, Cardon G. Compliance with 24-h movement behaviour guidelines among belgian pre-school children: The toybox-study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(10):1–10.
 - 30. Vandewater EA, Rideout VJ, Wartella EA, Huang X, Lee JH, Shim MS. Digital childhood: Electronic media and technology use among infants, toddlers, and preschoolers. Pediatrics. 2007;119(5).
- 31. Nikkelen SWC, Valkenburg PM, Huizinga M, Bushman BJ. Media Use and ADHD-Related Behaviors in Children and Adolescents : A Meta-Analysis. 2014;50(9):2228–41.
- 32. Zimmerman FJ, Christakis DA. Associations Between Content Types of Early Media Exposure and Subsequent Attentional Problems. Pediatrics. 2007;120(5);986–92.
- 33. Allen MS, Vella SA, Laborde S. Sport participation, screen time, and personality trait development during childhood. Br J Dev Psychol. 2015;33(3):375–90.
- 34. Connor TMO, Hingle M, Chuang R, Gorely T, Hinkley T, Jago R, et al. Conceptual Understanding of Screen Media Parenting: Report of a Working Group. 2013;9(August):110–9.
- 35. Feldman R, Eidelman AI. Biological and environmental initial conditions shape the trajectories of cognitive and social-emotional development across the first years of life. Dev Sci. 2009;12(1):194–200.
- 36. Granero Pérez R, Ezpeleta L, Domenech JM. Features associated with the non-participation and drop out by socially-at-risk children and adolescents in mental-health epidemiological studies. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2007;42(3):251–8.

	% (N)
Sociodemographic factors	
Child's gender	
Girls	47.6 (333)
Boys	52.4 (366)
Child's age, years; mean (SD)	5.68 (0.54)
Parent's education	
University-level degree	63.4 (409)
Less than university-level degree	36.6 (236)
Number of siblings	
0	51.0 (327)
1	32.2 (207)
2	12.9 (83)
3	2.5 (16)
4 or more	1.2 (8)
Full-time daycare	
No	32.3 (214)
Yes	67.7 (448)
Screen time	
Total screen time at 18 months, min; mean (SD), range	32.4 (31.0), 252.9
Over 60 minutes, %	22.7 (136)
Over 120 minutes, %	2.8 (17)
Program viewing at 5 years, min; mean (SD), range	80.4 (36.3), 225.0
Over 60 minutes, %	66.8 (442)
Over 120 minutes, %	16.9 (112)
Electronic game-playing at 5 years, min; mean (SD), range	33.4 (25.9), 182.1
Over 60 minutes, %	10.6 (69)
Over 120 minutes, %	2.3 (15)
Total screen time at 5 years, min; mean (SD), range	114.1 (50.6), 321.4
Over 60 minutes, %	94.6 (615)
Over 120 minutes, %	40.2 (261)
Over 180 minutes, %	11.5 (75)

· · · • f ΔI (00) T 11 1 D · .· c 1. c . *.*.

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

FTF Attention and concentration difficulties Hyperactivity and impulsivity	3.75 (3.41)	
	3.75 (3.41)	
Hyperactivity and impulsivity		18.00
	3.98 (3.63)	18.00
Emotional/internalizing symptoms	1.52 (1.79)	15.00
Emotional/externalizing symptoms	2.83 (3.25)	21.00
SDQ		
Hyperactivity	3.04 (2.34)	10.00
Emotional problems	1.38 (1.48)	9.00
Conduct problems	1.97 (1.59)	9.00
Peer problems	1.69 (1.38)	9.00

Screen time: 18 months of age	Crude			Adjust	ed 1 ^a	ling	Adjusted 2 ^b		
FTF	OR	95% CI	р	OR	95% CI	1 1	G OR	95% CI	р
Attention and concentration difficulties	1.46	0.96-2.22	0.07	1.50	0.97-2.31		1.41	0.89-2.22	0.14
Hyperactivity and impulsivity	1.16	0.76-1.77	0.50	1.16	0.75-1.81		1 .14	0.72-1.80	0.59
Emotional/internalizing symptoms	1.19	0.76-1.88	0.45	1.15	0.72-1.85	0.5 🙀 🖥		0.69-1.86	0.62
Emotional/externalizing symptoms	1.03	0.66-1.60	0.91	1.04	0.65-1.65	0.88 m	§ 1.06	0.65-1.72	0.82
SDQ						sho tex	De		
Hyperactivity	1.49	0.98-2.26	0.06	1.37	0.89-2.12		1 .37	0.87-2.18	0.18
Emotional problems	1.36	0.86-2.17	0.19	1.38	0.85-2.23	0.1 9 6		0.88-2.45	0.14
Conduct problems	1.24	0.84-1.84	0.28	1.26	0.84-1.90	0.27	1.23	0.80-1.90	0.35
Peer problems	1.59	1.04-2.41	0.03	1.64	1.06-2.52	0.0 <u>5</u>	§ 1.56	0.98-2.46	0.06
Total screen time: 5 years of age						ng,	i i		
FTF	OR	95% CI	р	OR	95% CI		OR	95% CI	р
Attention and concentration difficulties	1.88	1.27-2.80	<0.01	1.45	0.92-2.28	0.1 B	1.57	0.97-2.53	0.07
Hyperactivity and impulsivity	1.57	1.06-2.33	0.03	1.33	0.85-2.12	0.2 ¢	1.31	0.81-2.13	0.28
Emotional/internalizing symptoms	1.75	1.15-2.65	0.01	1.84	1.14-2.97	0.0Ê	2.01	1.21-3.34	0.01
Emotional/externalizing symptoms	1.69	1.12-2.55	0.01	1.39	0.87-2.23	0.1 25	1.54	0.94-2.52	0.09
SDQ						nilar			
Hyperactivity	2.18	1.49-3.20	<0.01	1.60	1.02-2.49	0.04 <u>8</u>	1.55	0.97-2.48	0.07
Emotional problems	0.99	0.62-1.56	0.95	0.98	0.58-1.66	0.94	₹0.90	0.49-1.61	0.70
Conduct problems	1.53	1.05-2.21	0.03	1.24	0.81-1.91	0.32	1 .06	0.67-1.67	0.80
Peer problems	1.06	0.71-1.60	0.77	0.90	0.56-1.45	0.6 🎾	8 0.85	0.51-1.42	0.53

BMJ Open Table 3. Associations between electronic media use at 18 months and 5 years of age with psychosocial well-being at age 5 years.

^a Adjusted for age, gender, parent's education. Total screen time at 5 years of age: Also adjusted for screen time at 18 months of age

^a Adjusted for age, gender, parent's education, number of siblings, and daycare participation. Total screen time at 5 years of age: Age o adjusted for screen time at 18 months of age. 13

BMJ Open

95% CI 1.34-2.93 1.11-2.42 1.11-2.54 1.12-2.55 1.66-3.56 0.63-1.56 1.03-2.15 0.69-1.56	p <0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	OR 1.71 1.68 1.59 1.14 2.29 0.94	95% CI 1.10-2.69 1.07-2.63 0.99-2.55 0.71-1.84 1.47-3.55 0.56-1.57	0.5 te rasmusno 0.5 te rasmusno<0.6 te rasmusno	A OR 9 1.91 7 1.67 1 .71 1 .19 2 2.23	95% CI 1.19-3.08 1.04-2.69 1.03-2.84 0.72-1.96	p 0.01 0.03 0.04 0.50
1.11-2.42 1.11-2.54 1.12-2.55 1.66-3.56 0.63-1.56 1.03-2.15	0.01 0.01 0.01 <0.01 0.97	1.68 1.59 1.14 2.29 0.94	1.07-2.63 0.99-2.55 0.71-1.84	0.0 \$55 \$60 \$55 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$5	1.67	1.04-2.69 1.03-2.84 0.72-1.96	0.03 0.04 0.50
1.11-2.54 1.12-2.55 1.66-3.56 0.63-1.56 1.03-2.15	0.01 0.01 <0.01 0.97	1.59 1.14 2.29 0.94	0.99-2.55 0.71-1.84 1.47-3.55	0.0 gelated to teno	≜ 1.71	1.03-2.84 0.72-1.96	0.0 4 0.50
1.12-2.55 1.66-3.56 0.63-1.56 1.03-2.15	0.01 	1.14 2.29 0.94	0.71-1.84	0.5 to	1₽ 1.19	0.72-1.96	0.50
1.66-3.56 0.63-1.56 1.03-2.15	< 0.01 0.97	2.29 0.94	1.47-3.55	1 to to \$1.00 \$1.00	₩ 1.19		
0.63-1.56 1.03-2.15	0.97	0.94		1 to to \$1.00 \$1.00	\$2.23	4 40 0 54	
0.63-1.56 1.03-2.15	0.97	0.94			2.23	1 10 2 21	
1.03-2.15			0.56-1.57		Ψ	1.40-3.54	<0.(
	0.04			0.005 0	1 ₽ 0.00	0.48-1.53	0.60
0.69-1.56		1.31	0.85-2.00	0.2ද් බි	1 .16	0.74-1.82	0.51
	0.86	0.93	0.58-1.50	0.72	0.87	0.52-1.44	0.58
				nini	om		
95% CI	р	OR	95% CI	p,	OR	95% CI	р
0.60-1.51	0.82	0.69	0.41-1.16	0.16	0.67	0.38-1.17	0.16
0.77-1.87	0.42	0.89	0.54-1.48	0.6 6	8 .0.82	0.48-1.42	0.48
0.76-1.96	0.40	1.27	0.75-2.16	0.3 8	1 .36	0.78-2.40	0.28
0.86-2.16	0.19	1.23	0.74-2.05	0.4 2	1.42	0.83-2.42	0.20
				sim	<u>;</u>		
1.08-2.51	0.02	1.06	0.65-1.72	0.8 2	0.98	0.58-1.66	0.95
0.58-1.58	0.85	1.10	0.63-1.92		≸ 1.04	0.55-1.97	0.90
0.69-1.57	0.85	0.88	0.55-1.40		₹0.75	0.50-1.25	0.27
0.71-1.70	0.69	0.87	0.52-1.46	0.6	0.83	0.48-1.44	0.51
	0.60-1.51 0.77-1.87 0.76-1.96 0.86-2.16 1.08-2.51 0.58-1.58 0.69-1.57 0.71-1.70 18 months of age	0.60-1.51 0.82 0.77-1.87 0.42 0.76-1.96 0.40 0.86-2.16 0.19 1.08-2.51 0.02 0.58-1.58 0.85 0.69-1.57 0.85 0.71-1.70 0.69 18 months of age.	0.60-1.51 0.82 0.69 0.77-1.87 0.42 0.89 0.76-1.96 0.40 1.27 0.86-2.16 0.19 1.23 1.08-2.51 0.02 1.06 0.58-1.58 0.85 1.10 0.69-1.57 0.85 0.88 0.71-1.70 0.69 0.87	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.60-1.51 0.82 0.69 0.41-1.16 0.16 0.67 0.77-1.87 0.42 0.89 0.54-1.48 0.66 0.82 0.76-1.96 0.40 1.27 0.75-2.16 0.38 1.36 0.86-2.16 0.19 1.23 0.74-2.05 0.42 1.42 Image: 1.06 Image: 1.06 Image: 1.06 Image: 1.06 Image: 1.04 Image: 1.06 Image: 1.04 Image: 1.04 Image: 1.06 Image: 1.06 Image: 1.06 Image: 1.06 Image: 1.06 Image: 1.04 Image: 1.06 Image: 1.06 <td>0.60-1.51 0.82 0.69 0.41-1.16 0.16 0.67 0.38-1.17 0.77-1.87 0.42 0.89 0.54-1.48 0.66 0.82 0.48-1.42 0.76-1.96 0.40 1.27 0.75-2.16 0.38 1.36 0.78-2.40 0.86-2.16 0.19 1.23 0.74-2.05 0.42 1.42 0.83-2.42 I.08-2.51 0.02 1.06 0.65-1.72 0.8 I 0.98 0.58-1.66 0.58-1.58 0.85 1.10 0.63-1.92 0.78 0.75 0.50-1.25 0.69-1.57 0.85 0.88 0.55-1.40 0.58 0.69 0.48-1.44 18 months of age. 0.87 0.52-1.46 0.66 0.63 0.48-1.44</td>	0.60-1.51 0.82 0.69 0.41-1.16 0.16 0.67 0.38-1.17 0.77-1.87 0.42 0.89 0.54-1.48 0.66 0.82 0.48-1.42 0.76-1.96 0.40 1.27 0.75-2.16 0.38 1.36 0.78-2.40 0.86-2.16 0.19 1.23 0.74-2.05 0.42 1.42 0.83-2.42 I.08-2.51 0.02 1.06 0.65-1.72 0.8 I 0.98 0.58-1.66 0.58-1.58 0.85 1.10 0.63-1.92 0.78 0.75 0.50-1.25 0.69-1.57 0.85 0.88 0.55-1.40 0.58 0.69 0.48-1.44 18 months of age. 0.87 0.52-1.46 0.66 0.63 0.48-1.44

d by copyright,g 36/bmjopen-20 • .• 11 1 . C 1 1 1 . • .1

		BMJ Open BMJ Open-2	
		STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports क्यूं coष्ट्रिं <i>ort studies</i>	
Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Abstract page
Introduction	1	ated	
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	1-2
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	2
Methods	1	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported to us t	
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	2-3
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, soll of v-up, and data collection	2-3
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	3
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	3-4
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (meas	3-4
measurement		comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	8
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	3
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which eoubings were chosen and why	3-4
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	4-5
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	-
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	3
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses G V V V V	-

Page 19 of 18

18		BMJ Open BMJ Open , 2020	
Results		ght; inc 20	
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, exandine of or eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	3-4
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	3-4
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	 (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information of exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest 	4-5
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	4
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	4-5
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Table 2
Main results	16	(<i>a</i>) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precession eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Tables 3 and 4
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	3-4
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful meteriod	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	-
Discussion		raini	
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	6
Limitations		an br	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of a solution of results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	8
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	8
Other information		hno	
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, $\frac{1}{9}$, the original study on which the present article is based	9

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in controls in case-control studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published exan bless of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine ar http://www.plosmedicine. http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.spobe-statement.org.

AL

BMJ Open

High-dose electronic media use in five-year-olds and its association with their psychosocial symptoms – a cohort study

IJ Open njopen-2020-040848.R2 iginal research -Dec-2020 ranen, Janette; Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Department Public Health Solutions
iginal research -Dec-2020 ranen, Janette; Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Department
-Dec-2020 ranen, Janette; Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Department
ranen, Janette; Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Department
viruusu, Olli; Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare rnanen, Riitta; University of Eastern Finland - Kuopio Campus arenpää-Heikkilä, Outi; University of Tampere, Pediatric Clinics avonen, Juulia; Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare
ediatrics
idemiology, Mental health
IDEMIOLOGY, Community child health < PAEDIATRICS, Child & olescent psychiatry < PSYCHIATRY
a e ic

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

terez oni

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies



High-dose electronic media use in five-year-olds and its association with their psychosocial symptoms – a cohort study

Niiranen J¹, Kiviruusu O¹, Vornanen R², Saarenpää-Heikkilä O^{3,4}, Paavonen EJ^{1,5}.

1 Department of Public Health Solutions, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland 2 University of Eastern Finland, Department of Social Sciences, Kuopio, Finland

3 Pediatric Clinics, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland

4 Tampere Centre for Child Health Research, University of Tampere and Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland

5 Pediatric Research Center, Child Psychiatry, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

Correspondence to

juulia.paavonen@helsinki.fi

Abstract

Objectives This study investigated the frequency of preschooler's electronic media (e-media) usage and the risks of high-dose e-media use on young children's psychosocial well-being.

Design We study longitudinal associations between e-media use at 18 months and psychosocial symptoms at five years of age, as well as cross-sectional associations between e-media use and psychosocial symptoms at five years.

Setting Between 2011 and 2017 in Finland.

Participants Children aged 5 years (N=699).

Primary and secondary outcome measures Children's psychosocial symptoms were asked at the age of five years using the parent-reported questionnaires Five-to-Fifteen (FTF) and the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ).

Results Based on our results, 95% of the preschoolers exceed the daily electronic media use recommendation set by health professionals. Our results indicate that increased screen time at five years of age is associated with a risk of multiple psychosocial symptoms (OR=1.53-2.18, 95% CI: 1.05-3.20, p<0.05), while increased levels of e-media use at 18 months was only associated with FTF peer problems (OR=1.59, CI: 1.04-2.41, p=0.03). Moreover, high-dose use of electronic games at the age of five years seems to be associated with fewer risks for psychosocial well-being than program viewing, as it was only associated with SDQ hyperactivity (OR=1.65, CI: 1.49-3.20, p=0.02).

Conclusion Increased screen time has multiple risks for children's psychosocial well-being. These risk factors seem to be significant in the long term, and are related to problems in children's socioemotional development later on. Health professionals and pediatricians have an important role as communicators of the current research results on the safe usage time of e-media for families, and enhance parents' skills as regulators of children's safe e-media use. In the future, more research is needed on the family conditions of high-dose e-media users.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- A major strength of our study is the longitudinal study setting and repeated measurement of e-media exposure.
- Additionally, patterns of children's electronic media use are rapidly changing, and our study offers results on the associations of young children's e-media usage with their psychosocial well-being based on recent data.
- The limitation of our study is the measurement of e-media use that was based on parental questionnaires and not logs.
- Moreover, the sample is based on a representative birth cohort recruited during pregnancy.
- However, single mothers as well as those with lower education seem to be underrepresented in the sample.

Keywords: Child psychiatry, child psychiatric epidemiology, pediatrics, child mental health, child development, emedia

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Introduction

In recent years, as digital technology has rapidly developed, electronic media (e-media) has become an almost universal part of young children's daily life. Even at preschool age, e-media use is already a popular sedentary behavior (1). Traditional e-media is often used: nearly half of preschool-age children watch TV (2), use a laptop or desktop computer, and play video consoles daily (3). However, the pattern of how media is used has changed considerably in recent years, as preschool children's use of mobile devices has tripled from 2013 to 2017, although the overall amount of e-media use has remained relatively stable (2). Recent studies also report that a large proportion (81.3%) of 4-yearold children play games, use applications, or watch videos on mobile devices daily (3).

Electronic media use (i.e. total screen time) comprises program viewing (i.e. watching of programs from TV, DVDs, mobile devices), as well as use of social media, internet and e-games. While the negative forms of e-media use (e.g., playing e-games alone), are often emphasized, the healthier forms also exist. A reasonable amount of educational electronic media material (e.g., serious games) might have beneficial effects on young children's psychosocial well-being and development (4). Moreover, e-media use involving social interactions, such as use with caregivers might be having fewer risks than the use alone, as parents can help the children to understand what they are seeing (5). Use of e-games with siblings and peers seems also to be less risky (6).

High dose use of e-media in young children can be a risk factor for the development of a child. Studies suggest that frequent e-media use in family households might interrupt parent-child interaction, which might cause problems in children's social-emotional development (1,4,7–9). Thus, high dose use of e-media can also be related to the development of a child, such as language development (10) or development of social skills (11), which are important to the children's psychosocial health. High-dose use can also develop to a behavioral addiction. While studied less among children, according to a recent study, internet- or screen-based behavioral addictions appear as a child's persistent requests to access e-media, and parents' unsuccessful attempts to control the use. It might cause problems with family members, such as parents and siblings, and lead to a loss of a child's previous hobbies and interests (12).

The World Health Organization (WHO) has published guidelines for the total screen time of children aged 2-4. The recommendation is a maximum of one hour per day for this age group (13). However, in previous studies, much higher amounts have been reported. For example, among American children aged 2-4, the average total screen time per day was 159 minutes (2), and among Finnish children aged 3-6 it was 111 min (14). It seems that parents may be unaware of the potential risks of high-dose e-media usage for their children's psychosocial well-being. Studies have even pointed out that some parents use e-media devices as a tool to calm down their children, especially when the child has social emotional difficulties (15–18). Thus, the link between e-media use and psychosocial symptoms seems to be bidirectional.

Based on the research, it seems that a high amount of program viewing is a risk for preschool-age children's psychosocial well-being (4). It is associated with externalizing problems, such as

 hyperactivity (8,19) and conduct problems (19–21), and also with peer problems (22). However, fewer studies have investigated the associations between electronic game-playing and preschool-age children's psychosocial well-being (6,19,23). According to these studies, it seems that electronic game-playing might be less detrimental and may even have some positive effects on children's socio-emotional skills (6). Nonetheless, the use of electronic games and computers are associated with internalizing problems, such as emotional problems (23).

As the patterns of children's electronic media usage are rapidly changing, the updated data on the degree of e-media usage and its significance on well-being is needed. Moreover, although there is evidence showing the harmful effects of preschool-age children's high-dose e-media use on their well-being, few of these studies have analyzed the longitudinal associations of early exposure of e-media to children's later psychosocial problems. According to these studies it seems that high-dose e-media use that starts at early age might be detrimental for young children's psychosocial health later on (8,19,23).

The aim of this research is to assess the amount of preschooler's e-media usage and its associations with their psychosocial well-being. We study longitudinal associations between e-media use at 18 months and psychosocial symptoms at five years of age, as well as cross-sectional associations between e-media use (program viewing and electronic game-playing) and psychosocial symptoms at five years. Psychosocial symptoms, i.e., internalizing and externalizing problems and inattention, were assessed at five years of age. We hypothesized that children who consume large amounts of e-media at 18 months of age have more psychosocial symptoms at five years than those who use less. Moreover, we hypothesized that program viewing is associated with more problems in psychosocial health, while use of e-games has less associations with negative outcomes.

Method

Study design

This study is part of a larger Finnish CHILD-SLEEP longitudinal birth cohort study, which includes several measurement points. The study design, protocol, participants, and measures have been described in more detail in Paavonen et al. (24). The recruitment and baseline measurement took place prenatally at the 32nd week and the follow-up measurements occurred at the birth of the child and at three, eight, 18, 24 and 60 months of age. Moreover, records from the maternity hospital and maternity clinics were collated. The study protocol was approved by the local Hospital District Ethical Committee (9.3.2011, ethical research permission code R11032). Permission for the recruitment procedure was also received from the leading doctors of the targeted health centers. Participants were also asked to give their written informed consent. Participation to the study was voluntary, and the families received no compensation for the participation.

Participants

Mothers and fathers were recruited for the study in the Pirkanmaa Hospital District area in Southern Finland. Altogether, 2244 parents gave their approval to receive prenatal questionnaires when they

visited the maternity clinics, and 1679 (74.8%) of them gave their consent to participate in the study and returned the baseline questionnaires. The response rate at 5 years of age was 42.5% (N=714). Children with severe chronic illnesses or disabilities, e.g., Down's syndrome or Hirschsprung disease (n=7), and all twins (n=8) were excluded. The final sample included 699 children whose parents had answered the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (25) or the Five-to-Fifteen (FTF) (26) questionnaire at the children's age of 5 years. The questions regarding child were asked from both parents at five years and 73.4% the answers was filled by a mother alone, 1.0% by father alone and 25.5% by parents together. The questionnaire at 5 years of age included SDQ and e-media usage questions and was answered by the parents of 653 children. The FTF questionnaire was answered by the parents of 668 children. In addition, the 18-months questionnaire, which included children's media usage questions at that age, was available for 585 (out of 699) children. The 18-months questionnaire did not include measures of children's psychosocial symptoms. Information concerning maternal sociodemographic factors such as education and number of previous children were asked prenatally and they were available for 641 children.

Patient and public Involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting of the research.

Measures

Screen time

Parents reported the time a child spent engaging in electronic media activities at both 18 months and 5 years of age. Separate questions were asked for weekday and weekend e-media use on how many hours a child watches programs (including on television or other devices), and (at 5 years) how many hours a child participates in electronic game-playing (on a computer, console devices, cell phones, tablets, or other devices). Questions on electronic game-playing at 18 months were not included as their use in this age-group became more common only after our data has collected (2).

For the analyses, we first recoded all the reported electronic media use measures into minutes. Second, we calculated a weighted daily average (5/7 on weekdays and 2/7 at weekends) of the measures. At 18 months the daily average for program viewing ranged from 0 to 253 minutes. At 5 years, separate measures for program viewing (range 225) and game-playing (range 182) were calculated, as well as the total screen time per day, by totaling both electronic media use measures (range 321). Finally, each of the electronic-media use measures (program viewing, game-playing, total screen time) was dichotomized using a 75 percentile cut-off to indicate those with the highest dose of e-media use: Program viewing at 18 months of age \geq 46 mins per day (24.4%, n=143), program viewing at 5 years of age \geq 88 mins per day (24.3%, n=161), use of electronic games at 5 years of age \geq 45 mins per day (19.3%, n=126), total screen time at 5 years of age \geq 135 mins per day (24.6%, n=160).

Outcomes (5 years of age)

BMJ Open

Children's psychosocial symptoms were asked at the age of five years using two different parentreported questionnaires: the FTF and the SDQ. From these questionnaires subscales most directly linked to the concept of psychosocial symptoms, i.e., emotional and behavioral problems and inattention, were included.

The FTF questionnaire is tested for its validity and reliability for the identification of internalizing and externalizing symptoms in children aged five to fifteen years (26,27). The items are categorized into eight different domains and 22 subdomains, of which we used the following four subdomains: Attention and concentration difficulties, hyperactivity and impulsivity, emotional internalizing problems, and emotional externalizing problems (28).

The SDQ children's questionnaire includes 25 items and five scales, with five items in each. It is a validated instrument to detect psychosocial problems in preschool-aged children (25), and is widely used for research purposes (19,23). In this research, we used four subscales: Hyperactivity, emotional problems, conduct problems, and peer problems.

Children scoring in the 75th percentile or over in SDQ and FTF subscales were considered to have clinically elevated levels of psychosocial symptoms. The cut-off points for the FTF scales sum scores were: Attention and concentration problems ≥ 6 (26%, n=172), hyperactivity and impulsivity ≥ 6 (27.9%, n=185), emotional internalizing problems ≥ 2 (22.3%, n=152), and emotional externalizing problems ≥ 4 (22.9%, n=152). Accordingly, the cut-off points for the SDQ scale sum scores were: Inattention-hyperactivity ≥ 5 (25.7%, n=171), emotional problems ≥ 2 (18.6%, n=124), conduct problems ≥ 3 (32.8%, n=218), and peer problems ≥ 3 (25.1%, n=167).

Covariates

We used child's age (years, continuous), gender, number of siblings, participation in a full-time daycare (no vs. yes), and maternal education (university vs. less) as covariates that were adjusted in the statistical analyses.

Statistical analyses

Data was analyzed using IBM SPSS statistics version 25. Frequencies of categorical/dichotomous variables as well as means and standard deviations of the continuous study variables were calculated first (see tables 1 and 2). Then, logistic regression analyses were conducted to calculate odds ratios and their 95% confidences intervals for the associations between electronic media use and outcomes. First longitudinal associations between e-media use at 18 months and FTF and SDQ scales at five years were analyzed (upper part of table 3). Then cross-sectional associations between e-media use and each of the subscales of FTF and SDQ at five years were analyzed (lower part of table 3 and table 4). In addition to the bivariate (crude) analyses, two adjusted logistic regression models were conducted: In the first model, the child's age, gender, maternal education and screen use at 18 months of age (in the analyses at five years), and in the second, fully adjusted model, the number of siblings and information on full-time daycare participation were also added to the model.

Results

Descriptive statistics of the sample are presented in **Table 1**. The mean age of the children in the sample was 5.7 years (SD=0.5). The sample consisted of 333 girls (n=47.6%) and 366 boys (52.4%). The majority of the children (67.7%) were in full-time daycare. Most of the parents (63.4%) had a university-level degree.

On average, at 18 months of age, children spent 32.4 (SD 31.0) minutes per day with electronic media devices. At five years the amount was 114.1 minutes (SD 50.6) per day (range 321). Program viewing (mean 80.4, SD 36.3) was more popular than the use of electronic games (mean 33.4, SD 25.9).

At 18 months, 22.7% of the children spent over 60 minutes consuming screen media each day, while at 5 years of age the percentage was 94.6%. Moreover, 66.8% of the children viewed programs for more than 60 minutes per day, whereas 10.6% of the children spent more than 60 minutes per day using electronic games.

The sample was generally normative, with low levels of emotional and behavioral symptoms. The mean scores for each of the subscales of psychosocial problems based on SDQ and FTF scales are reported in **Table 2**.

Table 3 reports the odds ratios for the associations between electronic media use at 18 months and five years of age on each of the subscales of FTF and SDQ. Based on the results, electronic media use at 18 months had less of a negative effect than at five years of age: A high amount of screen time at 18 months was associated with an increased risk of SDQ peer problems (OR=1.59, p=0.03). The association was significant after children's age, gender, and parent's SES were adjusted (OR=1.64, p=0.03). There was no increased risk of psychosocial problems with other subscales of FTF and SDQ.

In contrast, elevated levels of total screen time at five years of age were associated with multiple psychosocial problems: FTF attention and concentration difficulties (OR=1.88, p<0.01), hyperactivity and impulsivity (OR=1.57, p=0.03), internalizing symptoms (OR=1.75, p=0.01), and externalizing symptoms (OR=1.69, p=0.01). Moreover, it was associated with SDQ hyperactivity (OR=2.18, p<0.01) and conduct problems (OR 1.53, p=0.03). After fully controlling for the confounding factors, there were no other significant associations than the increased risk of FTF internalizing symptoms (OR=2.01, p=0.01).

Table 4 presents the odds ratios for the associations between program viewing and the use of electronic games on each of the subscales of FTF and SDQ among children at five years of age. A high amount of program viewing was associated with an increased risk of psychosocial problems, while the use of electronic games seemed less problematic. Program viewing at five years of age had an association with all of the FTF subscales (OR=1.64-1.98, p<0.05) and with SDQ hyperactivity (OR=2.43, p<0.01) and conduct problems (OR=1.48, p=0.04). In the fully-adjusted model, an increased risk appeared for attention and concentration difficulties (OR=1.91, p=0.01) and hyperactivity and impulsivity (OR=1.67, p=0.03), and with SDQ hyperactivity (OR=2.23, p<0.01). In contrast, the use of electronic games was associated with an increased risk of SDQ hyperactivity

 (OR=1.65, p=0.02) and only in the unadjusted model, while with the other subscales no increased risk appeared.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the frequency of preschooler's e-media usage and the risks of high-dose e-media use on young children's psychosocial well-being. The results of our study show that 95% of preschoolers exceed the daily electronic media use recommendation of one hour, which is set by health professionals and pediatricians. Based on our results, increased screen time at five years of age was associated with a risk of multiple psychosocial symptoms, while increased levels of e-media use at 18 months had only few longitudinal associations for psychosocial symptoms at five years of age. Furthermore, high-dose use of electronic games at the age of five years seemed to be associated with fewer risks of psychosocial well-being than program viewing.

Based on the results of this study, preschoolers' average daily screen time is 114 minutes at five years of age. This number is almost two times higher than the recommended daily maximum amount of e-media, which is 60 minutes (5,13). Previous studies on preschoolers' e-media use conducted in Finland have reported similar results, as the total daily screen time was 111 minutes in 2017 (14), while in Belgium it was 81 minutes (29) in 2018. Among American children, the total screen time in 2017 in this age group was somewhat higher: 159 minutes (2). It has been suggested that the products and usage culture of electronic media develops very rapidly in United States (2,30), whereas access to products might occur at a slower pace in other countries. This might explain why the frequency of usage among young children in the US is higher than in Europe.

We discovered that high screen use at 5 years of age was associated with a risk of multiple psychosocial problems. More precisely, elevated levels of total screen time were associated with attention and concentration difficulties, hyperactivity and impulsivity, emotional internalizing and externalizing symptoms, and conduct problems. Similar results have been recently reported on emotional symptoms (19,23,31), conduct problems, and hyperactivity (31). Regarding hyperactivity, previous studies suggest that screen time may hinder the availability for activities that are considered to enhance cognitive capacities and stimulate longer attention span (32). Moreover, the harmful effect of television viewing might function by displacing developmentally important learning opportunities with an attention-capturing stimulus with a lack of developmental value (9,33). Previous studies have also reported associations between increased total screen time and peer problems (31). We did not find such associations at five years. This difference might be explained by the age of the participants: In the study of Wu et al. (2017) the mean age of the participants was 4.37, whereas in our study it was 5.68. In line with this, our findings show that screen time at an earlier age, i.e., at 18 months, was associated with peer problems later on. It seems that a high amount of screen use at a younger age is a risk factor for peer problems. However, unlike some other studies (8,19,23) we did not find highdose use of electronic devices at 18 months of age to be associated with other problems in psychosocial well-being later on. It is possible that parents regulate younger children's e-media usage habits, while later on, other factors may have a more important role in the amount of usage. These are for example certain inherited personality traits of a child, such as persistence and introversion (34), or their participation in daycare.

 Our results show that an increased amount of program viewing at 5 years of age is associated with a risk of several psychosocial problems, while electronic game use had fewer associations, which is also consistent with recent previous studies (6,19,23). Electronic game-playing was only associated with SDQ hyperactivity, whereas no risks were found regarding other psychosocial symptoms. Previous studies have yielded an association between electronic game-plaving and emotional symptoms. However, the direction of the association is contradictory: Increased e-game use has been associated with emotional problems (23), but also with better socio-emotional skills (6). The few associations between socioemotional health and game-playing might be explained by the social nature of game-playing: Children often participate in the use of e-games with siblings and other family members, for example, and develop their social and emotional skills in these social interactions (6). All in all, the amount of daily e-game usage in our study and all of these other studies was much lower compared to program viewing, which might explain why e-games are not associated with psychosocial problems to any larger extent at this age.

As our results point out, increased screen time has multiple risks for children's psychosocial wellbeing. These risk factors might accumulate in the long-term, and cause problems in children's socioemotional development later on. Health professionals and pediatricians play an important role as communicators of the current research results on the safe usage of e-media for families. Parents' knowledge might further help them to set safe boundaries for young children's e-media use and protect children's psychosocial health from associated risk factors (35).

One possible mechanism accounting for the result might be that the time children spend on e-media reduces the time spend on constructive activities, such as interactions with family members, reading and playing (1,4,7). At an early age, children's socio-emotional development occurs in a dynamic interplay between social learning and environmental factors. Furthermore, if the surrounding environment does not offer enough means for a child's healthy development, it might affect a children's psychosocial well-being (36). Genetic dispositions also play a role in modifying individual risks. However, the direction of the effect of e-media use is unclear, as some parents might use e-media devices as a tool to calm their children down, especially when the child has socio-emotional difficulties (15). It is possible, that there is a bidirectional link between the two factors.

One strength of our study is its longitudinal study setting and its repeated measurement of e-media exposure. Moreover, patterns of children's electronic media usage are rapidly changing, and our study offers results on the associations between young children's e-media use and their psychosocial wellbeing based on recent data. In addition, the sample is based on a representative birth cohort recruited during pregnancy.

A limitation of our study is that those with lower education seem to be underrepresented in the sample,
which is common according to studies on drop-out rates in longitudinal studies on mental health (37).
Moreover, single mothers are underrepresented in the sample. Another limitation is that psychosocial symptoms at 18 months of age were not assessed. The measurement of e-media use was based on parental questionnaires and not logs or objective or observational measures. Therefore, the reported amounts of e-media use are prone to recall bias or social-desirability bias (over-reporting or

underreporting the actual usage). If this bias is randomly distributed among the children, it does not affect the findings. However, if it is related to exposure or outcome, it might have some effect on the findings. Thus, in future studies, parent reports of children's e-media use need to be validated with objective measures. However, of note is that in a previous study (14) conducted in Finland among a comparable age-group and using parental logs on child's e-media use, the reported daily total e-media exposure was 111 minutes, while in our study the respective figure was 114 minutes. This suggests that the reported exposures in our study could be relatively reliable. In the future, more research is needed on the family conditions of high-dose e-media users.

Conclusion

This study reported the risks associated with high-dose use of electronic media devices by young children. Our results show that 5-year-old children spend considerably more time on e-media than is recommended by professionals. Our results further indicate that high levels of e-media use, especially program viewing, is associated with problems with psychosocial outcomes, while use of e-games was only associated with hyperactivity in the crude models. Children's social-emotional development is influenced by environmental factors, including electronic media habits. Although children's electronic media use patterns might not seem problematic when considering use on a daily level, they do have risks in the long term. Thus, health professionals play a key role in providing information for parents on screen media parenting, i.e., the safe use of e-media devices among young children in order to protect their healthy development.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank all the families that participated in the CHILD-SLEEP and Finnbrain birth cohorts. The authors are also grateful for the nurses at the maternity clinics who introduced the study to the families.

Contributorship statement

EJP and OSH designed the study. JN, OK, and EJP were primarily responsible for data analysis and writing of the article. RV and OSH contributed critically to the writing of the article.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by The Academy of Finland (#308588, #277557), the Signe and Ane Gyllenberg foundation, the Yrjö Jahnsson Foundation, the Foundation for Pediatric Research, the Finnish Cultural Foundation, the Competitive Research Financing of the Expert Responsibility area of Tampere University Hospital, and Doctors' Association in Tampere.

Data sharing statement

Erasmushogeschool . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Data is not publicly available due to legal restrictions and confidential nature of the data. Data is available upon request. Requests may be sent to The Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, who is the controller of the data. For more information about data access, please see https://thl.fi/en/web/thlfi-en/statistics/information-for-researchers.

References

- 1. Radesky JS. I n c re a s e d S c ree n T i m e Implications for Early Childhood Development and Behavior. 2016;63:827–39.
- 2. Rideout V. "The common sense census: Media use by kids age zero to eight." San Francisco, CA; 2017.
- 3. Kabali HK, Irigoyen MM, Nunez-Davis R, Budacki JG, Mohanty SH, Leister KP, et al. Exposure and use of mobile media devices by young children. Pediatrics. 2015;136(6):1044–50.
- 4. Gerwin RL, Kaliebe K, Daigle M. The Interplay Between Digital Media Use and Development. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2018;27(2):345–55.
- 5. American Academy of Pediatrics. American Academy of Pediatrics Announces New Recommendations for Children's Media Use. 2016.
- 6. Hinkley T, Timperio A, Salmon J, Hesketh AK. Does preschool physical activity and electronic media use predict later social and emotional skills at 6 to 8 years? A cohort study. J Phys Act Heal. 2017;14(4):308–16.
- 7. Christakis DA, Gilkerson J, Richards JA, Zimmerman FJ, Garrison MM, Xu D, et al. Audible television and decreased adult words, infant vocalizations, and conversational turns: A population-based study. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2009;163(6):554–8.
- 8. Christakis DA, Zimmerman FJ, DiGiuseppe DL, McCarty CA. Early Television Exposure and Subsequent Attentional Problems in Children. Pediatrics. 2004;113(4 I):708–13.
- 9. Lissak G. Adverse physiological and psychological effects of screen time on children and adolescents: Literature review and case study. Environ Res. 2018;164(October 2017):149–57. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.01.015
- Madigan S, McArthur BA, Anhorn C, Eirich R, Christakis DA. Associations Between Screen Use and Child Language Skills: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2020 Jul 1;174(7):665–75. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.0327
- 11. Immordino-yang MH, Mccoll A, Damasio H, Damasio A. Neural correlates of admiration and compassion. 2009;106(19).
- 12. Domoff SE, Harrison K, Gearhardt AN, Douglas A, Lumeng JC, Miller AL, et al. Development and Validation of the Problematic Media Use Measure: A Parent Report Measure of Screen Media "Addiction" in Children. Psychol Pop Media Cult. 2020;8(1):2–11.
- 13. WHO. Guidelines on physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep for children under 5 years of age. World Health Organization. 2019. 33 p.
- 14. Määttä S, Konttinen H, Haukkala A, Erkkola M, Roos E. Preschool children's context-specific sedentary behaviours and parental socioeconomic status in Finland: A cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2017;7(11):1–10.
- 15. Radesky JS, Peacock-Chambers E, Zuckerman B, Silverstein M. Use of mobile technology to calm upset children: Associations with social-emotional development. JAMA Pediatr. 2016;170(4):397–9.
- 16. Paudel S, Jancey J, Subedi N, Leavy J. Correlates of mobile screen media use among children aged 0-8: A systematic review. BMJ Open. 2017;7(10):1–12.
- 17. Jones RA, Downing K, Rinehart NJ, Barnett LM, May T, McGillivray JA, et al. Physical activity, sedentary behavior and their correlates in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A systematic review. PLoS One. 2017 Feb 28;12(2):e0172482. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172482
 - 18. Chonchaiya W, Nuntnarumit P, Pruksananonda C. Comparison of television viewing between children with autism spectrum disorder and controls. Acta Paediatr Int J Paediatr. 2011;100(7):1033–7.
 - McNeill J, Howard SJ, Vella SA, Cliff DP. Longitudinal Associations of Electronic Application Use and Media Program Viewing with Cognitive and Psychosocial Development in Preschoolers. Acad Pediatr. 2019;19(5):520–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2019.02.010
- 20. Wu X, Tao S, Rutayisire E, Chen Y, Huang K, Tao F. The relationship between screen time, nighttime sleep duration, and behavioural problems in preschool children in China. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017;26(5):541–8.
- 21. Manganello JA, Taylor CA. Television exposure as a risk factor for aggressive behavior among 3-year-old children. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2009;163(11):1037–45.

2	
2	
3	
4	
4	
5	
~	
6	
7	
0	
0	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
4 5	
15	
16	
17	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
~ 1	
22	
23	
22	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	
29	
30	
50	
31	
32	
22	
33	
34	
25	
35	
36	
27	
57	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

22.	Pagani LS, Fitzpatrick C, Barnett TA, Dubow E. Prospective associations between early childhood television
	exposure and academic, psychosocial, and physical well-being by middle childhood. Arch Pediatr Adolesc
	Med. 2010;164(5):425–31.

- 23. Hinkley T, Verbestel V, Ahrens W, Lissner L, Molnar D, Moreno LA, et al. Early childhood electronic media use as a predictor of poorer well-being: a prospective cohort study. JAMA Pediatr. 2014;168(5):485–92.
- 24. Paavonen JE, Saarenpää-Heikkilä O, Pölkki P, Kylliäinen A, Porkka-Heiskanen T, Paunio T. Maternal and paternal sleep during pregnancy in the Child-sleep birth cohort. Sleep Med. 2017;29:47–56.
- 25. Theunissen MHC, Vogels AGC, De Wolff MS, Reijneveld SA. Characteristics of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire in preschool children. Pediatrics. 2013;131(2):3–4.
- 26. Korkman M, Jaakkola M, Ahlroth A, Pesonen AE, Turunen MM. Screening of developmental disorders in fiveyear-olds using the FTF (Five to Fifteen) questionnaire: A validation study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry, Suppl. 2004;13(3):31–8.
- 27. Bohlin G, Janols LO. Behavioural problems and psychiatric symptoms in 5-13 year-old Swedish children A comparison of parent ratings on the FTF (Five to Fifteen) with the ratings on CBCL (Child Behavior Checklist). Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry, Suppl. 2004;13(3):14–22.
- 28. Kadesjö B, Janols LO, Korkman M, Mickelsson K, Strand G, Trillingsgaard A, et al. The FTF (Five to Fifteen): The development of a parent questionnaire for the assessment of ADHD and comorbid conditions. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry, Suppl. 2004;13(3):3–13.
- 29. De Craemer M, McGregor D, Androutsos O, Manios Y, Cardon G. Compliance with 24-h movement behaviour guidelines among belgian pre-school children: The toybox-study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(10):1–10.
- 30. Vandewater EA, Rideout VJ, Wartella EA, Huang X, Lee JH, Shim MS. Digital childhood: Electronic media and technology use among infants, toddlers, and preschoolers. Pediatrics. 2007;119(5).
- 31. Wu X, Tao S, Rutayisire E, Chen Y, Huang K, Tao F. The relationship between screen time, nighttime sleep duration, and behavioural problems in preschool children in China. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017;26(5):541–8.
- 32. Nikkelen SWC, Valkenburg PM, Huizinga M, Bushman BJ. Media Use and ADHD-Related Behaviors in Children and Adolescents : A Meta-Analysis. 2014;50(9):2228–41.
- 33. Zimmerman FJ, Christakis DA. Associations Between Content Types of Early Media Exposure and Subsequent Attentional Problems. Pediatrics. 2007;120(5):986–92.
- 34. Allen MS, Vella SA, Laborde S. Sport participation, screen time, and personality trait development during childhood. Br J Dev Psychol. 2015;33(3):375–90.
- 35. Connor TMO, Hingle M, Chuang R, Gorely T, Hinkley T, Jago R, et al. Conceptual Understanding of Screen Media Parenting: Report of a Working Group. 2013;9(August):110–9.
- 36. Feldman R, Eidelman AI. Biological and environmental initial conditions shape the trajectories of cognitive and social-emotional development across the first years of life. Dev Sci. 2009;12(1):194–200.
 - 37. Granero Pérez R, Ezpeleta L, Domenech JM. Features associated with the non-participation and drop out by socially-at-risk children and adolescents in mental-health epidemiological studies. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2007;42(3):251–8.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of sociodemographic factors and measures of screen time (N=699).

	% (N)
Sociodemographic factors	
Child's gender	
Girls	47.6 (333)

Boys	52.4 (366)
Child's age, years; mean (SD)	5.68 (0.54)
Maternal education	
University-level degree	63.4 (409)
Less than university-level degree	36.6 (236)
Number of siblings	
0	51.0 (327)
1	32.2 (207)
2	12.9 (83)
3	2.5 (16)
4 or more	1.2 (8)
Full-time daycare	
No	32.3 (214)
Yes	67.7 (448)
Screen time	
Total screen time at 18 months, min; mean (SD), range	32.4 (31.0), 252.9
Over 60 minutes, %	22.7 (136)
Over 120 minutes, %	2.8 (17)
Program viewing at 5 years, min; mean (SD), range	80.4 (36.3), 225.0
Over 60 minutes, %	66.8 (442)
Over 120 minutes, %	16.9 (112)
Electronic game-playing at 5 years, min; mean (SD), range	33.4 (25.9), 182.1
Over 60 minutes, %	10.6 (69)
Over 120 minutes, %	2.3 (15)
Total screen time at 5 years, min; mean (SD), range	114.1 (50.6), 321.4
Over 60 minutes, %	94.6 (615)
Over 120 minutes, %	40.2 (261)
Over 180 minutes, %	11.5 (75)

FTF Attention and concentration difficulties Hyperactivity and impulsivity	3.75 (3.41)	
Hyperactivity and impulsivity		
		18.00
	3.98 (3.63)	18.00
Emotional/internalizing symptoms	1.52 (1.79)	15.00
Emotional/externalizing symptoms	2.83 (3.25)	21.00
SDQ		
Hyperactivity	3.04 (2.34)	10.00
Emotional problems	1.38 (1.48)	9.00
Conduct problems	1.97 (1.59)	9.00
Peer problems	1.69 (1.38)	9.00

Screen time: 18 months of age	Crude	?		Adjust	ed 1 ^a	ling	Adjuste	d 2 ^b	
FTF	OR	95% CI	р	OR	95% CI		gOR	95% CI	р
Attention and concentration difficulties	1.46	0.96-2.22	0.07	1.50	0.97-2.31	0.07 5	1 .41	0.89-2.22	0.14
Hyperactivity and impulsivity	1.16	0.76-1.77	0.50	1.16	0.75-1.81	· · · · ·	Ma 1.14	0.72-1.80	0.59
Emotional/internalizing symptoms	1.19	0.76-1.88	0.45	1.15	0.72-1.85	0.55 a	2 1.13	0.69-1.86	0.62
Emotional/externalizing symptoms	1.03	0.66-1.60	0.91	1.04	0.65-1.65	0.88 6	R 1.06	0.65-1.72	0.82
SDQ						tex	.Do		
Hyperactivity	1.49	0.98-2.26	0.06	1.37	0.89-2.12	0.15 g		0.87-2.18	0.18
Emotional problems	1.36	0.86-2.17	0.19	1.38	0.85-2.23	0.19 a g		0.88-2.45	0.14
Conduct problems	1.24	0.84-1.84	0.28	1.26	0.84-1.90		6 1.23	0.80-1.90	0.35
Peer problems	1.59	1.04-2.41	0.03	1.64	1.06-2.52	0.03 <u>=</u>	§ 1.56	0.98-2.46	0.06
Total screen time: 5 years of age						ng, ,	htt		
FTF	OR	95% CI	р	OR	95% CI	$p \stackrel{\text{A}}{\text{ff}}$	OR	95% CI	р
Attention and concentration difficulties	1.88	1.27-2.80	<0.01	1.45	0.92-2.28	0.11	2 1.57	0.97-2.53	0.07
Hyperactivity and impulsivity	1.57	1.06-2.33	0.03	1.33	0.85-2.12	0.22	6 1.31	0.81-2.13	0.28
Emotional/internalizing symptoms	1.75	1.15-2.65	0.01	1.84	1.14-2.97	0.01a	2.01	1.21-3.34	0.01
Emotional/externalizing symptoms	1.69	1.12-2.55	0.01	1.39	0.87-2.23	0.17	1.54	0.94-2.52	0.09
SDQ						nilar	m/ c		
Hyperactivity	2.18	1.49-3.20	<0.01	1.60	1.02-2.49	0.04 <u>§</u>	P 1.55	0.97-2.48	0.07
Emotional problems	0.99	0.62-1.56	0.95	0.98	0.58-1.66	0.94	a 0.90	0.49-1.61	0.70
Conduct problems	1.53	1.05-2.21	0.03	1.24	0.81-1.91	0.32	P 1.06	0.67-1.67	0.80
Peer problems	1.06	0.71-1.60	0.77	0.90	0.56-1.45	0.67 <mark>%</mark>	8 0.85	0.51-1.42	0.53

BMJ Open Table 3. Associations between electronic media use at 18 months and 5 years of age with psychosocial well-being at age 5 years.

^a Adjusted for age, gender, maternal education. Total screen time at 5 years of age: Also adjusted for screen time at 18 months of age.

^bAdjusted for age, gender, maternal education, number of siblings, and daycare participation. Total screen time at 5 years of age: Also adjusted for screen time at 18 months of age.

BMJ Open

Crude	2		Adjusted 1 ^a			Adjusted 2 ^b		
OR	95% CI	р	OR	95% CI	p p	BOR	95% CI	р
1.98	1.34-2.93	<0.01	1.71	1.10-2.69	0.02 <u></u>	ğ1.91	1.19-3.08	0.0
1.64	1.11-2.42	0.01	1.68	1.07-2.63	0.02 5	⊒1.67	1.04-2.69	0.0
1.68	1.11-2.54	0.01	1.59	0.99-2.55	0.06°	₹1.71	1.03-2.84	0.0
1.69	1.12-2.55	0.01	1.14	0.71-1.84	0.59	E1.19	0.72-1.96	0.5
					d to	021		
2.43	1.66-3.56	<0.01	2.29	1.47-3.55	<0.0	2.23	1.40-3.54	<0
0.99	0.63-1.56	0.97	0.94	0.56-1.57	0.80	<u>5</u> 0.86	0.48-1.53	0.6
1.49	1.03-2.15	0.04	1.31	0.85-2.00	0.22g	1 .16	0.74-1.82	0.5
1.04	0.69-1.56	0.86	0.93	0.58-1.50	0.77	0.87	0.52-1.44	0.5
						om		
OR	95% CI	р	OR	95% CI	p ng,	OR	95% CI	р
0.95	0.60-1.51	0.82	0.69	0.41-1.16	0.16	0.67	0.38-1.17	0.1
1.20	0.77-1.87	0.42	0.89	0.54-1.48	0.66		0.48-1.42	0.4
1.22	0.76-1.96	0.40	1.27	0.75-2.16	0.38	2 1.36	0.78-2.40	0.2
1.37	0.86-2.16	0.19	1.23	0.74-2.05	0.42 a	1.42	0.83-2.42	0.2
					sim			
1.65	1.08-2.51	0.02	1.06	0.65-1.72	0.81 a r	0.98	0.58-1.66	0.9
0.95	0.58-1.58	0.85	1.10	0.63-1.92		5 1.04	0.55-1.97	0.9
1.04	0.69-1.57	0.85	0.88	0.55-1.40		2 0.75	0.50-1.25	0.2
1.10	0.71-1.70	0.69	0.87	0.52-1.46	0.60	20.83	0.48-1.44	0.5
	1.98 1.64 1.68 1.69 2.43 0.99 1.49 1.04 OR 0.95 1.20 1.37 1.65 0.95 1.04	1.98 1.34-2.93 1.64 1.11-2.42 1.68 1.11-2.54 1.69 1.12-2.55 2.43 1.66-3.56 0.99 0.63-1.56 1.49 1.03-2.15 1.04 0.69-1.56 0.95 0.60-1.51 1.20 0.77-1.87 1.22 0.76-1.96 1.37 0.86-2.16 1.05 1.08-2.51 0.95 0.58-1.58 1.04 0.69-1.57	1.98 $1.34-2.93$ <0.01 1.64 $1.11-2.42$ 0.01 1.68 $1.11-2.54$ 0.01 1.69 $1.12-2.55$ 0.01 1.69 $1.12-2.55$ 0.01 0.99 $0.63-1.56$ 0.97 1.49 $1.03-2.15$ 0.04 1.04 $0.69-1.56$ 0.86 P 0.95 $0.60-1.51$ 0.82 1.20 $0.77-1.87$ 0.42 1.22 $0.76-1.96$ 0.40 1.37 $0.86-2.16$ 0.19 $$	1.981.34-2.93<0.011.711.641.11-2.420.011.681.681.11-2.540.011.591.691.12-2.550.011.142.431.66-3.56<0.01	1.981.34-2.93<0.011.711.10-2.691.641.11-2.420.011.681.07-2.631.681.11-2.540.011.590.99-2.551.691.12-2.550.011.140.71-1.84 $$	1.98 1.34-2.93 <0.01	1.98 1.34-2.93 <0.01	1.98 1.34-2.93 <0.01

d by copyright,g 36/bmjopen-20 11 1 . • .• C 1 TD 11 . • .1

		BMJ Open BMJ Open-2	
		्रें पूर्व STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports के coport studies	
Section/Topic	ltem #	Recommendation for or	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(h) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was build	Abstract page
Introduction		Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported to us t	
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	1-2
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	2
Methods	1		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	2-3
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follogy-up, and data collection	2-3
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	3
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	3-4
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (meas grentent). Describe	3-4
measurement		comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	8
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	3
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which a output of the second and why	3-4
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	4-5
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	-
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	3
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	-

Page 19 of 18

18		BMJ Open BMJ Open , 2020	
Results		ght; in: 20	
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, exandine of for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	3-4
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	3-4
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information of exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	4-5
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	4
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	4-5
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Table 2
Main results	16	(<i>a</i>) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precession eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Tables 3 and 4
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	3-4
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful meteriod	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	-
Discussion		raini ini	
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	6
Limitations		an d m	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	8
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	8
Other information		hno	
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, $\frac{1}{9}$ the original study on which the present article is based	9

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in controls in case-control studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published exan bless of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine ar http://www.plosmedicine. http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.spobe-statement.org.

AL